

## SUBSIMPLE, INJECTIVE, RETRACT

by SHALOM FEIGELSTOCK and AARON KLEIN

(Received 7th November 1983)

Simple and subsimple objects were introduced in [6]. It was shown that if there are enough simple objects in a category  $\mathcal{C}$ , then there is no room for injectives in  $\mathcal{C}$ . This idea was exploited in [6] and [2] to show that several classes of groups, rings and classes belonging to other categories do not possess non-trivial injectives or retracts. In this note, the above results will be strengthened by introducing a weaker condition than subsimple of [6]. As a consequence, and by employing some embedding theorems, we show that some important classes do not possess non-trivial retracts.

All the categories are assumed to have a zero object.

**Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a full subcategory of a category  $\mathcal{D}$ . An object  $A$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  will be called  $\mathcal{D}$ -*subsimple* if there exist  $S \in \text{ob}\mathcal{D}$ ,  $T \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}$  such that  $A$  is a proper subobject of  $S$ ,  $S$  is a subobject of  $T$ , and  $S$  is simple in  $\mathcal{D}$  [6, Definition (i)].

Obviously a subsimple object in a category  $\mathcal{C}$ , as defined in [6], is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -subsimple object.

Theorem 1 of [6] and Lemma 1 of [2] are extended as follows. (Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be as in the definition above.)

**Theorem 1.** *If a non-zero  $I \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}$  is an extremal quotient in  $\mathcal{D}$  of a  $\mathcal{D}$ -subsimple object  $A \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}$ , then  $I$  is not injective in  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

**Proof.** Assume  $I$  is injective in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $A \xrightarrow{m} S \xrightarrow{h} T$ ,  $m$  non-invertible,  $S$  simple in  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $T \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}$ , and let  $A \xrightarrow{e} I$  be extremal [4, 17.9]. As  $I$  is injective in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $hm \in \mathcal{C}(A, T)$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{C}(T, I)$  such that  $f(hm) = e$ . Clearly  $fh$  is a monomorphism since  $fh \neq 0$ , as  $I \neq 0$ . Hence  $fh$  is invertible, since  $e$  is extremal. So  $m$  is an extremal epimorphism and a monomorphism, hence invertible. Contradiction.

**Corollary 2.** (i) *A full category of groups containing the free groups and the symmetric groups does not possess non-zero injectives.* (ii) *A full category of  $J$ -algebras,  $J$  an integral domain, containing the free  $J$ -algebras and the algebras of endomorphisms of  $J$ -modules does not possess non-zero injectives.*

**Theorem 3.** *A  $\mathcal{D}$ -subsimple object  $A \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}$  cannot be a retract in  $\mathcal{C}$ . ( $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are as in the definition above.)*

**Proof.** Assume  $A$  is a retract in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $A \xrightarrow{m} S \xrightarrow{h} T$  as in the proof of Theorem 1. Since  $hm \in \mathcal{C}(A, T)$ , there exists  $g \in \mathcal{C}(T, A)$  such that  $g(hm) = 1$ . But  $gh \neq 0$  since  $A \neq 0$ , so  $gh$  is a monomorphism, hence invertible. So  $m$  is invertible. Contradiction.

**Theorem 4.** *There are no non-trivial retracts in:*

(i) *the class of finitely generated groups,  $\mathcal{Fg}\mathcal{G}r$ ; (ii) the class of  $n$ -generator groups,  $n$  a positive integer,  $n\mathcal{G}r$ ; (iii) the class of countable, locally finite groups,  $\mathcal{CLf}\mathcal{G}r$ ; (iv) the class of finitely generated groups with solvable word problem.*

**Proof.** (i): Let  $G$  be a finitely generated group. In particular  $G$  is countable, so by a theorem of Boone and Higman [1], there exists a simple countable group  $H$  such that  $G \not\leq H$ . Hence, by a theorem of Higman, B. H. Neumann and H. Neumann [5, Theorem 4], there exists a 2-generator group  $K$  with  $H \leq K$ . It follows that  $G$  is  $\mathcal{G}r$ -subsimple in  $\mathcal{Fg}\mathcal{G}r$ , so by Theorem 3, there are no non-trivial retracts in  $\mathcal{Fg}\mathcal{G}r$ .

(ii): The case  $n=1$  can be easily proved directly. Let  $n > 1$ . Again by the theorems of [1] and [5] mentioned above, every group in  $n\mathcal{G}r$  is  $\mathcal{G}r$ -subsimple in  $n\mathcal{G}r$ , hence there are no non-trivial retracts in  $n\mathcal{G}r$ , Theorem 3.

(iii): Let  $G$  be a countable, locally finite group. By a theorem of P. Hall [3], there exists a simple, countable, locally finite group  $H$  such that  $G \not\leq H$ . Put  $K=H$ , and apply Theorem 3 to obtain that there are no non-trivial retracts in  $\mathcal{CLf}\mathcal{G}r$ .

(iv): Same proof as for (iii) but instead of P. Hall's theorem we employ a theorem of Thompson [7] to embed any finitely-generated group with solvable word problem into a simple group of the same sort.

#### REFERENCES

1. W. W. BOONE and G. HIGMAN, An algebraic characterization of groups with soluble word problem, *J. Austr. Math. Soc.* **18** (1974), 41–53.
2. S. FEIGELSTOCK and A. KLEIN, Retracts and injectives, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **25** (4) (1982), 462–467.
3. P. HALL, Some constructions for locally finite groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* **34** (1959), 305–319.
4. P. HERRLICH and G. E. STRECKER, *Category Theory* (Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1973).
5. G. HIGMAN, B. H. NEUMANN and H. NEUMANN, Embedding theorems for groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* **24** (1949), 247–254.
6. A. KLEIN, Injectives and simple objects, *J. Pure and Appl. Alg.* **15** (1979), 243–245.
7. R. J. THOMPSON, Embeddings into finitely generated simple groups which preserve the word problem, *Word Problem II* (Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Math., North-Holland, Amsterdam–New York, 1980), 401–441.

BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY  
RAMAT-GAN ISRAEL 52100