CORRESPONDENCE.

A NEW METHOD OF VALUING POLICIES IN GROUPS.

To the Editors of the Journal of the Institute of Actuaries.

DEAR SIRS,—In accordance with a suggestion by Mr. Lidstone I have tested the application of the method described in the abovementioned paper (J.I.A., April 1920), to the calculation of expected claims.

Applying it to the data of Table I, I have obtained the following results :

Whole-Life Assurances. Expected Claims O^M

a, β method.

Years of Birth	Age Groups	Expected Claims		Percentage
		Amount	Deviation	Deviation
1823-29 1830-39 1840-49 1850-59 1860-69 1870-79 1880-89 1890-98	90–96 80–89 70–79 60–69 50–59 40–49 30–39 21–29	394 6,337 18,672 18,122 12,003 7,157 3,382 671	$ \begin{array}{r} -1 \\ -28 \\ +1 \\ +6 \\ +4 \\ +2 \\ -1 \\ \dots \end{array} $	·25 ·44 ·01 ·03 ·03 ·03 ·03 ·03 ·
Total		66,738	- 17	-03

I am indebted to Mr. Lidstone for pointing out to me

- that if the a, β expression for the value be written in the form a'Σ+βΣ², where a'=a-4.5β, the multipliers of a' and β can be obtained by a double summation, the multiplications by 4.5, &c., being thereby avoided;
- (2) that if the S's are constant, my method will produce accurate results, whatever the values of the u's.

Yours faithfully,

H. L. TRACHTENBERG.

National Institute for Medical Research, Hampstead, N.W. 3.

11 January 1921.