

intravenous to oral treatment switch, and discontinuation of therapy. **Results:** There were 318 interventions, and 64.82% of the interventions performed by the AMS team were accepted by prescribers. The interventions provided a total savings of BR\$ 119,706 (~US\$30,000) in direct antimicrobial spending. Correlating the interventions with the defined daily dose (DDD) measurement and comparing data from the same period in 2018, we detected a reductions in the consumption of several antimicrobials: ceftriaxone (25.6%), ciprofloxacin (45.7%), meropenem (34%), piperacillin/tazobactam (12.7%), teicoplanin (18.8%), vancomycin (20.6%), cefepime (23.9%) and polymyxin B (26%). We also detected reductions in days of therapy (DOT) for most of these drugs, such as polymyxin B, with an average reduction of 2 DOT. **Conclusions:** Reducing antimicrobial use is one of the key strategies for avoiding unnecessary exposure and selective pressure leading to the emergence of resistant microorganisms. The measured data point to a favorable trend in the rational use of antimicrobials in our institution with simple interventions. The results presented were used to reaffirm the importance of the AMS team in our institution. More data on length of stay, indirect costs, reduction of side effects, mortality, and occurrence of microbial resistance should be made.

**Funding:** None

**Disclosures:** None

Doi:[10.1017/ice.2020.775](https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.775)

#### **Presentation Type:**

Poster Presentation

#### **Evaluation of Novel “No-Touch” Technologies for Decontamination of Toys in Pediatric Healthcare Settings**

Hanan Haydar, University Hospitals Rainbow Babies and Children’s Hospital; Jessica Kumar, Cleveland Stokes VA Hospital; Jennifer Cadnum, Cleveland VA Medical Center; Claudia Hoyen, University Hospitals Rainbow Babies and Children’s Hospital; Curtis Donskey, Cleveland VA Medical Center

**Background:** Toys in playrooms are often shared among patients in pediatric healthcare settings; they can present a risk for transmission of bacterial and viral pathogens. Effective cleaning and disinfection of toys using disinfectant wipes is labor intensive and difficult due to irregular surfaces. **Methods:** We conducted a point-prevalence culture survey to determine the frequency of contamination of in-use toys and high-touch surfaces in playrooms in a pediatric healthcare facility with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE), and *Clostridioides difficile*. Using a variety of toys inoculated with pathogens, we evaluated efficacy and ease-of-use of 3 novel “no-touch” technologies: (1) an electrostatic sprayer, (2) a small ultraviolet-C (UV-C) box (18.9 × 9.9 × 1.8 inches) for smaller toys, and (3) a high-level disinfection cabinet using ultrasonic submicron droplets of peracetic acid and hydrogen peroxide. Test pathogens included *C. difficile*, MRSA, and *Candida auris*. **Results:** Of 135 items cultured in playrooms, 6 (4.4%) were contaminated with MRSA, 1 (0.7%) was contaminated with VRE, and none were contaminated with *C. difficile*. Each of the technologies reduced all pathogens by >4 log<sub>10</sub> CFU on all types of toys tested (plastic, soft rubber, and tablet). The electrostatic sprayer was considered the easiest to use by all users because large numbers of toys could be processed much more quickly (ie, spray for 20 seconds and allow to air dry) than with disinfectant wipes. The disinfection cabinet required 21 minutes for cycle completion, whereas the

decontamination cycle for the UV box was only 30–90 seconds but with limited capacity to hold toys. **Conclusions:** Three “no-touch” technologies were effective for disinfection of toys contaminated with healthcare-associated pathogens. The electrostatic spray application of disinfectant was considered the easiest to use for rapid decontamination of toys.

**Funding:** None

**Disclosures:** None

Doi:[10.1017/ice.2020.776](https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.776)

#### **Presentation Type:**

Poster Presentation

#### **Evaluation of Patient Risk Factors for Carbapenemase-Producing Organism Colonization**

Carolyn Stover, Tennessee Department of Health; Allison Chan, Tennessee Department of Health; Snigdha Vallabhaneni, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; Allison Brown, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; Amelia Keaton, TN Department of Health; Alicia Shugart, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; Nychie Dotson, Florida Department of Health; Sebastian Arenas, Florida Department of Health; Marion Kainer, Western Health; Maroya Walters, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

**Background:** Carbapenemase-producing organisms (CPOs) are a growing antibiotic resistance threat. Colonization screening can be used to identify asymptotically colonized individuals for implementation of transmission-based precautions. Identifying high-risk patients and settings to prioritize screening recommendations can preserve facility resources. To inform screening recommendations, we analyzed CPO admission screens and screening conducted on point-prevalence surveys (PPSs) performed through the Antibiotic Resistance Laboratory Network’s Southeast Regional Laboratory (SE AR Lab Network). **Methods:** During 2017–2019, the SE AR Lab Network collected data via a REDCap survey for a subset of CPO screens on a limited set of easily determined patient risk factors. Rectal swabs were collected and tested with the Cepheid Carba-R. Specimens collected within 2 days of admission were classified as admission screening and the remainder were classified as PPS. Index cases were excluded from analyses. Odd ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals were calculated, and a value of 0.1 was used for cells with a value of zero. **Results:** In total, 520 screens were conducted, which included 366 admission screens at 2 facilities and 154 screens from 27 PPSs at 8 facilities. CPOs were detected in 14 (2.7%) screens, including in 10 (2.7%) admission screens and in 4 (2.6%) contacts during PPSs; carbapenemases detected were *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase (KPC) (n = 12), New Delhi Metallo-β-lactamase (NDM) (n = 1) and Verona Integron-Encoded Metallo-β-lactamase (VIM) (n = 1). One long-term acute care hospital (LTACH) performed universal admission screening, which accounted for 96% of admission screens and all 10 CPOs detected by admission screening. Mechanical ventilation (OR, 5.0; 95% CI, 1.4–18.0) and the presence of a tracheostomy (OR, 5.4; 95% CI, 1.5–19.4) were associated with a positive admission screen. Moreover, 8 facilities conducted PPSs: 4 acute care hospitals, 2 long-term acute care hospitals, and 2 nursing homes. CPO prevalence in long-term acute care hospitals was 4.8% (2 of 42), 2.4% (1 of 41) in acute care hospitals, and 1.5% (1 of 69) in nursing homes. Requiring assistance with bathing (OR, 4.8; 95% CI, 1.6–8.0) and stool incontinence (OR, 16.6; 95% CI, 13.4–19.8) were associated with a positive screen on PPSs. All 7 roommates of known cases tested negative for CPO colonization.