

REVIEW ARTICLE

Projecting the impact of climate change on the transmission of Ross River virus: methodological challenges and research needs

W. YU¹*, P. DALE², L. TURNER¹ AND S. TONG¹

¹School of Public Health & Social Work and Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology, Queensland, Australia

²Environmental Futures Centre, Griffith School of Environment, Griffith University, Nathan, Queensland, Australia

Received 6 February 2013; Final revision 19 January 2014; Accepted 3 February 2014;
first published online 10 March 2014

SUMMARY

Ross River virus (RRV) is the most common vector-borne disease in Australia. It is vitally important to make appropriate projections on the future spread of RRV under various climate change scenarios because such information is essential for policy-makers to identify vulnerable communities and to better manage RRV epidemics. However, there are many methodological challenges in projecting the impact of climate change on the transmission of RRV disease. This study critically examined the methodological issues and proposed possible solutions. A literature search was conducted between January and October 2012, using the electronic databases Medline, Web of Science and PubMed. Nineteen relevant papers were identified. These studies demonstrate that key challenges for projecting future climate change on RRV disease include: (1) a complex ecology (e.g. many mosquito vectors, immunity, heterogeneous in both time and space); (2) unclear interactions between social and environmental factors; and (3) uncertainty in climate change modelling and socioeconomic development scenarios. Future risk assessments of climate change will ultimately need to better understand the ecology of RRV disease and to integrate climate change scenarios with local socioeconomic and environmental factors, in order to develop effective adaptation strategies to prevent or reduce RRV transmission.

Key words: Climate change, projection, rainfall, Ross River virus, temperature.

INTRODUCTION

Ross River virus (RRV) is the causal pathogen of the most widely spread mosquito-borne disease (MBD) in Australia. Thousands of clinical cases are reported to the Australian Department of Health and Ageing each year. In 2011, RRV infections accounted for 60·3% ($n=5139$) of all reported MBD notifications

[1]. Although outbreaks, epidemics, small case clusters, and incidental cases have been reported from all Australian states and territories each year (Fig. 1), most notifications were from Queensland, tropical Western Australia and the Northern Territory [1]. For example, from 1993 to 2012, the notifications from Queensland accounted for an average of 49% of the total cases, ranging from 78% in 1994 to 42% in 2012. Between 1993 and 2012 the average incidence for Queensland was 57/100 000, compared to the national average of 22/100 000 (compiled from communicable diseases data <http://www9.health.gov.au/cda/Source/CDA-index.cfm>) [1].

* Author for correspondence: Dr W. Yu, School of Public Health and Social Work, Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology, Kelvin Grove, QLD 4059, Australia.
(Email: weiwei.yu@qut.edu.au)




Fig. 1 [colour online]. Number of notifications of Ross River virus infection, received from State and Territory health authorities, 1993–2012. CT, Capital Territory; NSW, New South Wales; NT, Northern Territory; Qld, Queensland; SA, South Australia; Tas, Tasmania; Vic, Victoria; WA, West Australia. (Source: Australian Department of Health and Ageing. National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System: http://www9.health.gov.au/cda/source/Rpt_4.cfm).

RRV outbreaks have increased throughout the country, notably in urban settlements and tourist destinations [2–6]. Over the past decade, Queensland Health has noted an increase in disease incidence throughout the state, including expansion into areas where the disease was previously absent, possibly related to an increase in travel [7]. The annual costs of symptom management and productivity losses are estimated at A\$3–6 million, although this sum does not account for public health surveillance, control and response activities or full diagnostic and medical costs [3, 8]. The cost of healthcare resources and productivity loss have been estimated at A\$1000–2500 per person between 1996 and 2002 [3, 9, 10], while it was more recently estimated to be over A\$1000 per person (varying from A\$1018 to A\$1180) [11, 12] and the aggregated cost was A\$4.3–4.9 million in 2007 [12]. Outbreaks of RRV impact considerably on tourism and industry, as well as on local communities [5, 13].

The epidemiology of RRV is complex because transmission cycles are driven by various mosquito species and vertebrate hosts within a variety of disparate geoclimatic regions [14–16]. More than 40 mosquito species have been implicated as vectors of RRV [16, 17]. Significant vectors include, *Aedes vigilax* and

A. camptorhynchus with larval habitats in inter-tidal coastal wetlands, other *Aedes* species breed in fresh water and are found in many inland areas, and *Culex annulirostris*, which is found in vegetated semi-permanent and permanent fresh water, is common in tropical and temperate areas. Marsupials (e.g. kangaroos, wallabies) and other animals (e.g. dogs, cats, horses, possums) are implicated as intermediate vertebrate hosts for this disease. The incubation period may be as short as 3 days or as long as 21 days (usually 7–9 days on average) [18].

Mosquitoes are cold-blooded (ectothermic) and thus are especially sensitive to climatic changes [19]. RRV is likely to be affected by climate change because weather influences the survival and reproduction rates of mosquitoes. This in turn influences distribution, abundance, intensity and temporal patterns of mosquito activity (particularly biting rates) throughout the year; as well as rates of development, survival and reproduction of pathogens within mosquitoes [20]. These results suggest that RRV may become increasingly widespread in the future due to global warming [21].

There is no vaccination or specific treatment for RRV infection. The most effective way to prevent




Fig. 2. Flowchart of literature search.

infection is to protect the person from mosquito bites. As climate conditions can directly and indirectly affect mosquito density and mosquito habitats, there is growing concern regarding the future risks of arbovirus diseases arising from climate change [6, 22, 23]. Therefore, it is vitally important to make appropriate projections on the future spread of RRV under various climate change scenarios in order for policymakers to identify vulnerable communities and better manage RRV epidemics and mosquito vectors.

This paper brings together and critically reviews the current methodological challenges and research needs for projecting the potential impacts of climate change on RRV, which may have wide applications in the development of effective risk management programmes for RRV and other MBDs nationally and internationally.

METHODS

A literature search was conducted and updated between January and October 2012, using the electronic databases Medline, Web of Science and PubMed. The key words and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms used were: ‘climate’, ‘climate change’, ‘climate variability’, ‘global warming’, ‘greenhouse effect’

AND ‘Ross River virus’, AND ‘project*’, ‘forecasting’, ‘future’ or ‘scenario*’. References and citations of the articles identified were inspected to ensure that the relevant articles were included in the detailed review.

Two inclusion criteria were used to select articles. First, only quantitative, empirical studies published in peer-reviewed English-language journals were selected. Second, a paper had to contain information on the possible impact of climate change on RRV disease.

RESULTS

General

We identified 42 papers from searches of the selected electronic bibliographical databases. After reviewing the titles and abstracts of these papers, we retrieved 26 articles for the detailed examination. Finally, 19 studies met the eligibility criteria and were included in the review. Figure 2 shows a flowchart of this process and the reasons for exclusion of articles at each stage.

Among the relevant papers identified, only two studies projected how changes in rainfall patterns will affect RRV disease in Australia by 2100 using ‘dry season’ and ‘wet season’ RRV scenarios [12, 24]. The results presented in both studies were similar.

Table 1. *The characteristics of the included studies*

Study	Time period	Setting	Climatic factors	Model	Considered other factors	Findings
Indirect projection						
Werner <i>et al.</i> 2012 [6]	1993–2009	Southeastern Tasmania	Monthly mean maximum temperature, monthly rainfall and monthly mean maximum tide	Negative binomial regression model	Mosquito larvae data	RRV cases are projected to increase 23.2% in temperature and 9.9% in rainfall over the long-term average per unit increase
McIver <i>et al.</i> 2010 [4]	Jan. 2000–May 2007	Broome, the west Kimberley region of Western Australia	Monthly minimum and maximum temperatures, monthly rainfall, humidity and monthly maximum tide height	SARIMA model	—	A threshold level of 117 mm monthly rainfall would predict an outbreak of RRV disease with 85% specificity and 87% sensitivity
Hu <i>et al.</i> 2010 [30]	1999–2001	Queensland	Monthly maximum temperature and rainfall	Bayesian spatiotemporal conditional autoregressive model	Socio-economic Index for Areas, population	The average increase in monthly RRV incidence was 2.4% and 2.0% for a 1 °C increase in monthly maximum temperature and a 10 mm increase in rainfall, respectively
Williams <i>et al.</i> 2009 [36]	1999–2006	River Murray Valley of South Australia	Seasonal rainfall relative to the historic mean (i.e. seasonal rainfall/historic mean). Seasonal minimum and maximum temperatures	Stepwise multiple regression	Mosquito community data	Although rainfall, river height and mosquito abundance are significant factors in determining RRV activity, there are regional differences in this relationship
Jacups <i>et al.</i> 2008 [37]	1 Jan. 1991–30 June 2006	Darwin	Monthly mean, minimum and maximum temperatures, monthly rainfall and monthly sea level	Generalized linear model	Mosquito trap averages	The best global model included rainfall, minimum temperature and tree mosquito species, which explained 63.5% deviance and predicted disease accurately
Hu <i>et al.</i> 2007 [22]	2001	Brisbane	Monthly SOI data	Multiple negative binomial regression models	Overseas visitors, indigenous population, labour workers, educational level family income, and vegetation	The spatial pattern of RRV disease in Brisbane seemed to be determined by a combination of local ecological, socioeconomic, and environmental factors
Woodruff <i>et al.</i> 2006 [34]	July 1991–June 1999	Southwest Western Australia	Monthly minimum and maximum temperatures, monthly rainfall and rain days, and monthly mean tide height	Multivariate logistic regression models	Monthly mosquito trap numbers	Climate data on their own were moderately sensitive (64%) for predicting epidemics and addition of mosquito surveillance data increased the sensitivity to 90%
Hu <i>et al.</i> 2006 [29]	1 Nov. 1998–31 Dec. 2001	Brisbane	Monthly rainfall	Polynomial distributed lagtime-series regression and SARIMA	Population size, monthly mosquito density	85% and 95% of the variance in the RRV transmission was accounted for by rainfall and mosquito density, respectively. Only mosquito density at lags of 0 and 1 month was significantly associated with the transmission or RRV disease

Table 1 (cont.)

Study	Time period	Setting	Climatic factors	Model	Considered other factors	Findings
Tong <i>et al.</i> 2005 [32]	1998–2001	Brisbane	Monthly rainfall, SOI, high tides	Poisson time series regression models	Monthly mosquito number	The increases in the high tide, rainfall, mosquito density, the density of <i>Culex annulirostris</i> and <i>Ochlerotatus vigilax</i> , each at a lag of 1 month, were statistically significantly associated with the rise of monthly RRV incidence
Gatton <i>et al.</i> 2005 [27]	1991–2001	Queensland	Daily average minimum and maximum temperatures, the number of days with temperatures >35 °C, total rainfall, and number of days	Logistic regression	—	Heterogeneity predisposing outbreaks supports the notion that there are different RRV epidemics throughout Australia but also suggests that generic parameters for the prediction and control of outbreaks are of limited use at a local level
Darren <i>et al.</i> 2005 [26]	1984–2001	Queensland	Aggregated climate zones	Mixture model	—	A lower number of components preferred for data from the zone which appeared to show a more distinctive pattern
Hu <i>et al.</i> 2004 [28]	1985–2001	Brisbane	Monthly mean, minimum and maximum temperatures, total precipitation, mean relative humidity and high tidal levels	SARIMA	—	Monthly precipitation was significantly associated with RRV transmission
Tong <i>et al.</i> 2004 [5]	1985–1996	Townsville		SARIMA	—	Rainfall, high tide and maximum temperature were likely to be key determinants of RRV transmission in the Townsville region
Bi & Parton 2003 [25]	1985–1996	Townsville and Toowoomba	Monthly mean minimum temperature, high tides, rainfall, relative humidity, SOI, maximum temperature	ARIMA and GLS regression analyses	—	Temperature, rainfall and high tides are possible contributors to the transmission of RRV infection in the coastal region of Queensland, with a lagged effect of 0–4 months, while temperatures were the main potential risk factor for the transmission of RRV in inland regions of Queensland
Woodruff <i>et al.</i> 2002 [33]	1991–1999	Southeastern Australia	Monthly rainfall totals, number of rain days, average temp., average maximum temp., absolute maximum temp., average minimum temp., absolute minimum temp. averages of min. temperature, average relative humidity, monthly SOI	Multivariable logistic regression model	Irrigation and mosquito control measures	Weather conditions at relatively coarse temporal and spatial resolutions can be used to predict RRV disease epidemics with sufficient accuracy and lag time for public health planning
Tong & Hu 2002 [31]	1985–1996	Queensland	Monthly rainfall, maximum temperature, minimum temperature, and relative humidity	Time series Poisson regression models		The incidence of RRV disease was significantly associated with rainfall, maximum temperature, minimum temperature, relative humidity, and high tide in the coastline region, and with rainfall and relative humidity in the inland region

Table 1 (cont.)

Study	Time period	Setting	Climatic factors	Model	Considered other factors	Findings
Tong and Hu 2001 [13]	1985–1994	Cairns	Monthly minimum and maximum temperatures, rainfall, relative humidity and high tidal levels	ARIMA	Population	Relative humidity at a lag of 5 months and rainfall at a lag of 2 months appeared to play significant roles in the transmission of RRV disease
Direct projection Woodruff <i>et al.</i> 2008 [12]	2030 2060 2090	Australia	Rainfall	IPCC scenario analysis	—	Decreases in rainfall over most of southern and sub-tropical Australia. In southwest Western Australia rain has reduced markedly and slight increases are projected in Tasmania, central Northern Territory and northern New South Wales
Bambrick <i>et al.</i> 2011 [24]	2100	Australia	Rainfall	Map projected changes	—	Changes to transmission patterns will be regionally specific

ARIMA, Autoregressive integrated moving average; GLS, generalized least square; IPCC, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; RRV, Ross River virus; SARIMA, seasonal autoregressive integrated moving average; SOI, Southern Oscillation Index.

Changes to transmission patterns are thought to be regionally specific. The annual pattern will probably change from epidemic to endemic in temperate regions that border sub-tropical endemic regions. An increase in months of RRV activity may occur in cooler temperate regions such as southern Tasmania, higher altitude New South Wales and Victoria. Marked decreases in rainfall in southwest Western Australia may reduce RRV in this location. However, long drought periods may provide suitable conditions for building numbers of susceptible kangaroo hosts, which suggests a stronger pattern of large outbreaks of RRV disease interspersed by years of inactivity in certain temperate and semi-arid regions [12]. In coastal areas, salt-water vector breeding will be enhanced by increased tidal inundation due to sea-level rise and population growth will increase the number of people at risk of infection. Because of the increased scarcity and cost of water leading to reduced irrigation, the irrigation areas of southwest New South Wales and northern Victoria will cease to be a significant location of RRV activity [12].

Seventeen other studies developed the predictive early warning models and assessed the relative risks of climate, mosquito and other socioeconomic factors on RRV, based on retrospective data which explored the model validation, inclusive variables and regional variations (Table 1). In general, the studies cover all Australian climates, except equatorial and desert (Fig. 3). The body of research covered a range of areas including Queensland [5, 13, 22, 25–32], Victoria [33], Western Australia [4, 34], South Australia [35, 36], Tasmania [6] and the Top End of the Northern Territory [37].

These studies appear to confirm that several environmental variables, including rainfall, temperature, humidity, and high tide (or even river height as demonstrated in the article by Williams *et al.* [36]) are correlated with mosquito abundance and subsequent RRV activity. Several of these studies demonstrate that the environmental variables related to RRV disease incidence often differ between regions, thus making it difficult to generalize findings from one regional model to another [2, 27, 31, 33, 36]. A lagged effect of climate drivers on RRV transmission has also been identified in these studies. Lags have been employed to account for virus/mosquito lifecycle, incubation period in the human host, and presentation to a medical practitioner [38]. Combining mosquito population data with locally available and easily accessible climate data has been shown to enhance the ability




Fig. 3 [colour online]. The climates of the included study areas.

of local models to predict RRV disease outbreaks [33, 37]. Other socio-ecological factors were also important contributors in studying the climate–RRV relationship [2, 23, 33].

There were generally three steps in the published research to assess the pre-existing association between RRV and climate. First, the model was developed using various independent variables with RRV as the dependent variable. Various models were used in the included articles. The two main models were seasonal auto-regressive integrated moving average (SARIMA) and auto-regressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) used in six of the studies, various regression models were used in seven studies and four others [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) scenario analysis, change mapping, mixed models, generalized linear models (see Table 1)]. Some studies used more than one model to compare the results [25, 29]. Second, model fit was checked. Finally, the model was verified by predictive variables matched to the actual observations. The criteria for choosing a model included Bayesian Information Criterion [37], Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) [28], change in AIC (Δ AIC) [37], goodness-of-fit test [5, 27, 29, 33, 34], and Deviance Information Criterion [26, 30].

The impact of important climate variables on RRV transmission

Rainfall has almost universally been included in models of RRV infection around Australia [38]. As shown in a Brisbane study, 85% of the variation in RRV incidence was accounted for by rainfall [2]. In Broome, 117 mm of monthly rainfall was associated with a 95% likelihood of an outbreak of RRV disease, with 85% specificity and 87% sensitivity [4]. For a lag period of 0–4 months, Tong & Hu found no significant correlation between rainfall and RRV incidence in Gladstone [31]. A significant positive correlation was evident during a 1-month lag in Darwin [37] and South Australia [35], a 2-month lag in Brisbane [28, 31], Townsville [5] and Cairns [13] and the whole of Queensland [31], a 3-month lag in Mackay [31] and Tasmania [6], and a 4-month lag in Bundaberg [31]. Some studies showed a significant correlation between rainfall and vectors, during a 1-month lag in Brisbane [32] and Darwin [37] for *Cx annulirostris* and *A. vigilax* vectors, as well as 2- and 4-month lag times in Townsville and Toowoomba for *A. vigilax* [25], and a 2-month lag in Darwin for *A. notoscriptus* [37].

Other climatic indicators seemed to play a role in the transmission of RRV disease but the effect was

region-specific. Minimum temperature was positively associated with RRV during a 1-month lag in South Australia [35], a 2-month lag in Townsville [5] and Queensland [31] and a 3-month lag in Darwin [37]. A positive relationship was found between maximum temperature and RRV infection in Townsville [5], Queensland [25, 31] and Tasmania [6]. The Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) had a positive association during a 2-month lag in South Australia [35] and Brisbane [2]. Humidity was negatively related to the number of cases in the previous month in South Australia [35], a 2-month lag in Townsville [5] and a 5-month lag in Cairns [13].

High tide was implicated as an important precursor of RRV outbreaks in several studies. The negative relationship between tide and RRV cases at 0- and 1-month lag times in Tasmania is novel to the study by Werner *et al.* [6]. A positive relationship between maximum tide and RRV infections in the current month was found in Darwin [37] and Townsville [5]. Absolute tide height was positively related to RRV in Bunbury [34].

Climate data alone was moderately sensitive (e.g. 64%) in predicting epidemics and the addition of mosquito surveillance data increased the sensitivity of the early warning model to 90% in Western Australia [33, 34]. The best model was found to include climate indicators and mosquito species, which explained more deviance than climate-only or vector-only models [37]. A study in Brisbane found that 95% of variation in RRV incidence was accounted for by mosquito density [29]. While not demonstrating causative relationships, several mosquito species were significantly associated with increased virus activity. In the Renmark-Paringa Local Government Area (LGA), *Coquillettidia linealis*, known to be an efficient vector of RRV, was found to be a significant factor. Conversely, time-lagged *Cx globocoxitus* abundance was also found to be a significant predictor of activity, despite not being considered a competent vector of RRV [36].

Climate is not the only factor affecting RRV incidence. Other socio-ecological factors were also important contributors in studying climate–RRV relationships [22, 23, 33]. For example, there was a positive relationship between RRV incidence and the proportion of people with lower levels of education and with vegetation density. There was a negative relationship between RRV incidence and the proportion of labour workers. A decrease in RRV incidence was related to an increase in the proportion of labour

workers. [22]. However, non-climatic factors are seldom included in much of the research cited, possibly due to data availability. No models to date have incorporated data on vertebrate hosts or information on human population movements from non-endemic areas to endemic areas [38].

DISCUSSION

Climate change is likely to increase the risk of RRV transmission

The fourth assessment report of the IPCC reported that global mean surface temperature will probably rise by between 1.1 °C and 6.4 °C by 2100, with best estimates of between 1.8 °C and 4.0 °C. During this century, global average surface temperature increases are likely to exceed the safe threshold of 2.0 °C above the pre-industrial average temperature [39]. In Australia, if emissions are low, warming of between 1 °C and 2.5 °C is likely to occur by around 2070, with a best estimate of 1.8 °C. Under a high emission scenario, the best estimate for warming is 3.4 °C, with a range of 2.2 °C to 5 °C [40]. It also predicts that decreases in annual average rainfall are likely to occur in southern Australia, droughts are likely to become more frequent and sea levels will continue to rise [40]. These changes will increase the level of climate change-related risks for the rest of the century and create significant challenges in terms of RRV infection and endemicity [21, 23].

Rainfall is considered the most important climatic factor driving RRV prevalence due to mosquitoes' reliance on water to complete their lifecycle [5, 6, 28]. At higher temperatures but before reaching the upper threshold, the proliferation and reproduction rates increased, the transmission season was extended, and ecological balances and climate-related migration of vectors, reservoir hosts, or human populations changed [41]. Tidal inundation of salt-marshes is a major source of water for breeding of the important arbovirus vectors *A. vigilax* and *A. camptorhynchus*. Adult females of both species lay their eggs on soil, mud substrate and the plants around the margins of their larval habitats. The eggs hatch when high tides subsequently inundate the sites. Large populations of adult mosquitoes can emerge as soon as 8 days after a series of spring tides [5].

Because RRV is transmitted by various mosquito species in different situations, the epidemiology of the disease varies between and within regions. The different risk factors identified in the different regions

possibly reflect the underlying climatic environments, and/or different vector populations and habitats [27]. In the temperate south, epidemic activity is usually associated with summer and autumn rainfall in inland areas or rain and/or tidal inundation of coastal marshes during the warmer seasons, when the vectors are most active. In the tropical north, distinct seasonal activity associated with the highest spring tides and the wet season is apparent, and in some regions there may be year-round activity [15]. Many cases of infections occur in coastal regions which have intertidal salt-marsh and mangrove habitats harbouring large populations of mosquitoes that can carry the virus. However, cases are also reported in inland areas of Australia, in response to rainfall and salinity. In northern and central Queensland, RRV is active throughout the year, in other states, disease presence follows spring and summer rains.

Projection is a useful tool for policy-making

RRV is one of the few infectious diseases that can be predicted by climate-based early warning systems [34]. Early warning of weather conditions conducive to outbreaks of RRV disease is possible at the regional level, with a high degree of accuracy [33]. It is important to make appropriate projections on the future spread of RRV under various climate change scenarios because such information is essential for policy-makers to identify vulnerable communities and to better manage RRV epidemics [12, 42]. Using climatic indices along with forecasting models can alert authorities to possible changes in the risk level, either immediately or in the near future [43]. The ability to predict an outbreak months or years in advance based upon climatic indicators may make it possible to implement early intervention initiatives or aggressive vector control programmes [44].

Current challenges

There have been several key methodological challenges in projecting the impact of climate change on RRV transmission from the projection research of climate change MBDs. These include:

- (1) Data selected are heavily dependent on availability and technique limitation. For example, climate data in a LGA is generally sourced from the station with the most complete data or the longest recording history [27, 28].

- (2) Analytical scales (e.g. monthly) may be too coarse to assess the immediate effects of climate variation on RRV disease; however, the incidences of RRV may be too low to assess if weekly or daily indices are used [28].
- (3) A number of IPCC emission scenarios were applied and various baseline time periods were chosen in previous projections of climate change on other MBDs. No standard method is recommended.
- (4) In order to project future climate factors within specific regions, downscaling techniques and regional models need to be applied to project future regional climate change. However, regional climate models are very complex and there are no consistent procedures.
- (5) Both biological and statistical models were used to describe the climate–MBD relationship and the modelling technique is not yet standard. In addition, there are limitations to these models in that potential changes to the immune status of the human population, and in the ecology of vertebrate virus reservoirs, have not been taken into account [36].
- (6) Finally, uncertainties exist in scenario-based climate change risk assessment. Future greenhouse gas emissions, population growth, socioeconomic status, urbanization, land-use change phenomena, migration and disease control programmes will affect future risks of RRV outbreaks.

Recommendations for future research directions

An assessment of the future risk of RRV transmission associated with climate change will ultimately need to integrate global and regional climate-based analysis with local socioeconomic and environmental factors, in order to guide comprehensive and sustainable strategies to control and prevent RRV transmission. We therefore make the following recommendations for future research directions:

- (1) The availability of climate data at a sub-regional level [e.g. Statistical Local Area (SLA) or LGA] over a long-term period will assist better understanding of the distribution of RRV transmission across the country. Accordingly, the climate data at various stations at a sub-regional level should be incorporated in the models to project the distribution of the disease in both time and space.
- (2) Improved understanding of RRV ecology, and the direct and indirect effects of climate variability

on RRV cycles, is required to establish the baseline relationship between climatic factors and RRV transmission.

- (3) A local model (e.g. SLA/LGA level) for projecting future climate change-related RRV risk needs to be developed. This is an area which is relevant not only for developing adaptation strategies to the direct climate change effects on RRV but also for planning interventions to reduce the indirect effects of factors such as land use and ecological degradation. In particular, the development of combining process-based models (capturing the ecology of the RRV transmission system) with a statistical approach is needed.
- (4) Uncertainty analysis should be included within the model. The problem with non-climatic drivers of RRV transmission is that most of their impacts have not been quantified and thus, minimizing these uncertainties would markedly improve the outcomes of future projections of climate change impacts on RRV.
- (5) For any predictive models to become part of effective warning systems, they must provide reliable forecasts with clear parameters for triggering response activities. The specificity of the models for particular regions means that they are limited in geographical applicability. Such models are most effective when developed for specific regions [36].

CONCLUSION

Future risk assessments of climate change will ultimately need to better understand the ecology of RRV disease and to integrate climate change scenarios with local socioeconomic and environmental factors, in order to develop effective adaptation strategies to control and prevent RRV transmission. Current methods of projecting the impact of climate on RRV transmission are still at an early stage and need further development.

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

None.

REFERENCES

1. **Australian Department of Health and Ageing (ADHA)**. National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System (http://www9.health.gov.au/cda/source/Rpt_4.cfm). Accessed 18 January 2013.

2. **Harley D, Sleight AC, Ritchie S**. Ross River virus transmission, infection, and disease: a cross-disciplinary review. *Clinical Microbiologic Reviews* 2001; **14**: 909–932.
3. **Harley D, Ritchie S, Bain C, Sleight AC**. Risks for Ross River virus disease in tropical Australia. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2005; **34**: 548–555.
4. **McIver L, et al.** A climate-based early warning system to predict outbreaks of Ross River virus disease in the Broome region of Western Australia. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* 2010; **34**: 89–90.
5. **Tong S, Hu W, McMichael AJ**. Climate variability and Ross River virus transmission in Townsville Region, Australia, 1985–1996. *Tropical Medicine and International Health* 2004; **9**: 298–304.
6. **Werner AK, et al.** Environmental drivers of Ross River virus in southeastern Tasmania, Australia: towards strengthening public health interventions. *Epidemiology and Infection* 2012; **140**: 359–371.
7. **Dwyer S**. Queensland joint strategic framework for mosquito management 2010–2015 (http://www.health.qld.gov.au/mozziediseases/documents/joint_strat_fwkw.pdf). Accessed 12 December 2012.
8. **Hawkes RA, et al.** A major outbreak of epidemic polyarthritis in New South Wales during the summer of 1983/1984. *Medical Journal of Australia* 1985; **143**: 330–333.
9. **Boughton CR**. *Australian Arboviruses of Medical Importance: A Handbook for General Practitioners and Other Clinicians*. Royal Australian College of General Practitioners, Melbourne 1996, pp 67.
10. **Mylonas A, Brown A, Catthew T**. Natural history of Ross River virus-induced epidemic polyarthritis. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2002; **177**: 356–360.
11. **Ratnayake J**. The valuation of social and economic cost of mosquito-transmitted Ross River virus. Griffith University (PhD thesis), 2005 (<http://trove.nla.gov.au/work/160376622>). Accessed 11 November 2012.
12. **Woodruff R, Bambrik H**. Climate change impacts on the burden of Ross River virus disease. Garnaut climate change review. 2008 ([http://www.garnautreview.org.au/ca25734e0016a131/WebObj/03-BRossRivervirus/\\$File/03-B%20Ross%20River%20virus.pdf](http://www.garnautreview.org.au/ca25734e0016a131/WebObj/03-BRossRivervirus/$File/03-B%20Ross%20River%20virus.pdf)). Accessed 20 November 2012.
13. **Tong S, Hu W**. Climate variation and incidence of Ross river virus in Cairns, Australia: a time-series analysis. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2001; **109**: 1271–1273.
14. **Kelly-Hope LA, Purdie DM, Kay BH**. Ross River virus disease in Australia, 1886–1998, with analysis of risk factors associated with outbreaks. *Journal of Medical Entomology* 2004; **41**: 133–150.
15. **Russell RC**. Ross River virus: ecology and distribution. *Annual Review of Entomology* 2002; **47**: 1–31.
16. **Russell RC**. Ross River virus: disease trends and vector ecology in Australia. *Bulletin of the Society for Vector Ecology* 1994; **19**: 73–81.
17. **Mackenzie JS, et al.** Arboviruses causing human disease in the Australasian zoogeographic region. *Archives of Virology* 1994; **136**: 448–467.

18. **Harley D, et al.** Ross River virus disease in tropical Queensland: evolution of rheumatic manifestations in an inception cohort followed for six months. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2003; **177**: 352–355.
19. **McMichael AJ, Woodruff RE, Hales S.** Climate change and human health: present and future risks. *Lancet* 2006; **367**: 859–869.
20. **Rogers DJ, Randolph SE.** Climate change and vector-borne diseases. *Advances in Parasitology* 2006; **62**: 345–381.
21. **Costello A, et al.** Managing the health effects of climate change. Lancet and University College London Institute for Global Health Commission. *Lancet* 2009; **373**: 1693–1733.
22. **Hu W, et al.** Exploratory spatial analysis of social and environmental factors associated with the incidence of Ross River virus in Brisbane, Australia. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2007; **76**: 814–819.
23. **Tong S, et al.** Climate variability, social and environmental factors, and Ross River virus transmission: research development and future research needs. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2008; **116**: 1591–1597.
24. **Bambrick H, Woodruff R.** Climate change impacts on Ross River virus in Australia. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 2011; **65**: A323.
25. **Bi P, Parton KA.** Climate variations and the transmission of Ross River virus infection in coastal and inland region of Queensland: an analysis from Townsville and Toowoomba. *Environmental Health* 2003; **3**: 73–79.
26. **Darren W, et al.** Spatial temporal modelling of Ross River virus in Queensland. In: Zerger A, Argent R, eds. MODSIM 2005 International Congress on Modelling and Simulation: Advances and Applications for Management and Decision Making, 12–15 December 2005, Australia, Victoria, Melbourne.
27. **Gatton ML, Kay BH, Ryan PA.** Environmental predictors of Ross River virus disease outbreaks in Queensland, Australia. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2005; **72**: 792–729.
28. **Hu W, et al.** Development of a predictive model for Ross River virus disease in Brisbane, Australia. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2004; **71**: 129–137.
29. **Hu W, et al.** Rainfall, mosquito density and transmission of Ross River virus: a time-series forecasting model. *Ecological Modelling* 2006; **196**: 505–514.
30. **Hu W, et al.** Bayesian spatiotemporal analysis of socio-ecologic drivers of Ross River virus transmission in Queensland, Australia. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2010; **83**: 722–728.
31. **Tong S, Hu W.** Different responses of Ross River virus to climate variability between coastline and inland cities in Queensland, Australia. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine* 2002; **59**: 739–744.
32. **Tong S, et al.** Climatic, high tide and vector variables and the transmission of Ross River virus. *Internal Medicine Journal* 2005; **35**: 677–680.
33. **Woodruff RE, et al.** Predicting Ross River virus epidemics from regional weather data. *Epidemiology* 2002; **13**: 384–393.
34. **Woodruff RE, et al.** Early warning of Ross River virus epidemics: combining surveillance data on climate and mosquitoes. *Epidemiology* 2006; **17**: 569–575.
35. **Bi P, et al.** Climate variability and Ross River virus infections in Riverland South Australia, 1992–2004. *Epidemiology and Infection* 2009; **137**: 1486–1493.
36. **Williams CR, Fricker SR, Kokkinn MJ.** Environmental and entomological factors determining Ross River virus activity in the River Murray Valley of South Australia. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* 2009; **33**: 284–288.
37. **Jacups SP, et al.** Predictive indicators for Ross River virus infection in the Darwin area of tropical northern Australia, using long-term mosquito trapping data. *Tropical Medicine and International Health* 2008; **13**: 943–952.
38. **Jacups SP, Whelan PI, Currie BJ.** Ross River virus and Barmah Forest virus infections: A review of history, ecology, and predictive models, with implications for tropical Northern Australia. *Vector-borne and Zoonotic Diseases* 2008; **8**: 283–297.
39. **Inter-governmental panel on climate change (IPCC).** Climate change 2007: synthesis report. summary for policymakers (http://www.ipcc.ch/publications_and_data/publications_and_data_reports.shtml). Accessed 14 November 2012.
40. **Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO).** Climate change in Australia: technical report 2007 (<http://www.csiro.au/en/Organisation-Structure/Divisions/Marine-Atmospheric-Research/Climate-Change-Technical-Report-2007.aspx>). Accessed 15 November 2012.
41. **Semenza JC, Menne B.** Climate change and infectious diseases in Europe. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2009; **9**: 365–375.
42. **Sutherst RW.** Global change and human vulnerability to vector-borne diseases. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 2004; **17**: 136–173.
43. **Bulto PL, et al.** Assessment of human health vulnerability to climate variability and change in Cuba. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2006; **114**: 1942–1949.
44. **Patz JA, Khaliq M.** MSJAMA: global climate change and health: challenges for future practitioners. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 2002; **287**: 2283–2284.