use in hospitals. Infect Control 1983;4:245-325.

- Kauffman CA, Bradley SF, Terpenning MS. Methicillin-resistant S aureus in long-term care facilities. *Infect Control* 1990;11:600-603.
- 14. Gupta A, Hancock MJ, Enos WF, et al. Nursing homes in northern Virginia are a reservoir for methicillin-resistant *Staphy-lococcus aureus*. Presented at the 90th Annual Meeting of the American Society for Microbiology. May 13-17, 1990; Anaheim, CA Abstract L-12.
- 15. Boyce JM, Landry M, Deetz TR, DuPont HL. Epidemiologic studies of an outbreak of nosocomial methicillin-resistant *Stapky-lococcusaureus* infections. *Infect Control* 1981;2:110-116.
- Craven DE, Reed C, Kollisch N, et al. A large outbreak of infections caused by a strain of *Staphylococcus* aureus resistant to oxacillin and aminoglycosides. *Am J Med* 1981;71:53-58.
- 17. Locksley RM, Cohen ML, Quinn TC, et al. Multiply antibioticresistant *Staphylococcus aureus:* introduction, transmission, and evolution of nosocomial infection. *Ann Intern Med* 1982;97:317-324.
- Thompson RL. Cabezudo I, Wenzel RL. Epidemiology of nosocomial infections caused by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus*

aureus. Ann Intern Med 1982;97:309-317.

- Casewell MW. Epidemiology and control of the 'modern' methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Hosp Infect 1986;7(suppl A):1-11.
- Rhinehart E, Shlaes DM, Keys TF, et al. Nosocomial clonal dissemination of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus* aureus. *Arch Intern Med* 1987;147:521-524.
- 21. Pritchard VG, Sanders N. Universal precautions-how effective are they against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus* aureus? *Journal of Gerontological Nursing* 1991;17:6-11.
- 22. Maki DG, McCormick RD, Zilz MA, Stolz SM, Alvarado CJ. An MRSA outbreak in a SICU during universal precautions: new epidemiology for nosocomial MRSA: downside of universal precautions. Presented at the 30th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, Oct. 21-24, 1990, Atlanta GA. Abstract L473.
- 23. Goetz AM, Muder RR. The problem of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus:* a critical appraisal of the efficacy of infection control procedures with a suggested approach for infection control programs. *Am/Infect Control* 1992;20:80-84.

False-Positive Serologic Tests for HTLV-I Following Influenza Vaccination

by Gina Pugliese, RN, MS Medical News Editor

False-positive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) reactivity for antibody to human T-cell lymphotrophic virus type I (HTLVI) was reported among blood donors to the American Red Cross Blood Services in the Badger Region. The reactivity was associated with prior receipt of the 1992-93 influenza virus vaccine. When July/August 1992 was compared with October/November 1992, the proportion of blood donors with false-positive HTLVI antibody screening tests more than doubled (0.032% to 0.083%).

The association between recent influenza vaccination and temporary false-positive ELISAs for antibodies to multiple viruses was first described in 1991. Because influenza vaccines are sterile suspensions, there is no risk of contracting any viral infection from these vaccines. The false-positive reactivity for antibodies to HIV, HTLVI, and hepatitis C in association with influenza vaccination observed in 1991 has been attributed to serum immunoglobulin M (IgM) (which is not specific for these viruses) binding to and crossreacting with test kit components.

In early 1992, ELISA test kits for HIV and hepatitis C used in blood banks were replaced by new kits that appeared to reduce-and possibly eliminate-nonspecific IgM cross-reactivity. However, similar changes have not been implemented for HTLVI test kits. The duration of false-negative reactivity following influenza vaccination is likely to be less than four months.

FROM: False-positive serologic tests for human T-cell lymphotrophic virus type I among blood donors following influenza vaccination, 1992. *MMWR*. 1993;42:173-175.

336