# MULTIVALENT AND MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED BOUNDARY ROTATION 

RONALD J. LEACH

1. The class $V_{k}(p)$. We generalize the class $V_{k}$ of analytic functions of bounded boundary rotation [8] by allowing critical points in the unit disc $U$.

Definition. Let $f(z)=a_{q} z^{q}+\ldots(q \geqq 1)$ be analytic in $U$. Then $f(z)$ belongs to the class $V_{k}(p)$ if for $r$ sufficiently close to 1 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\} d \theta=2 p \pi \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(1.2) $\quad \limsup _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\}\right| d \theta \leqq p k \pi$.

We note that (1.1) implies that $f$ has precisely $p-1$ critical points in $U$. Also, if $f(z) \in V_{k}(p)$, then $\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right\}>0$ for $r_{0}<|z|<1$ if and only if $k=2$. Hence $V_{2}(p)=C(p)$, where $C(p)$ is the class of $p$-valent convex functions defined by Goodman [4].

If $p=1$, then except for normalization, $V_{k}(p)$ reduces to the class $V_{k}$. It is well-known that the class $V_{k}(1)$ consists only of univalent functions if $2 \leqq k \leqq 4$. To determine the largest value of $k$ such that each function in $V_{k}(p)$ is at most $p$-valent, we will need the following

Lemma 1.1. Let $f(z) \in V_{k}(p)$. Then

$$
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 1^{-}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\}\right| d \theta \text { exists. }
$$

Proof. Let $f(z)$ have non-zero critical points $a_{1}, \ldots a_{p-q}(q \geqq 1)$, counting multiplicities, and let $r_{0}=\max \left|a_{j}\right|$. Then for $r_{0}<|z|<1$,

$$
\left|\operatorname{Re} 1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|
$$

is subharmonic. Consequently for $\rho<|z|<1$,

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\}\right| d \theta
$$

is a convex function of $\log r$ and hence the limit exists.
Theorem 1.2. Let $f(z) \in V_{k}(p)$. Then $f(z)$ is at most max $[p,\{p k / 2-1\}]$ valent, where $\{p k / 2-1\}$ denotes the smallest integer greater than $p k / 2-1$.

[^0]Proof. By Lemma 1.1, given $\epsilon>0$ we may choose $r_{0}<1$ so that if $r_{0}<r<1$,

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\}\right| d \theta<2\left(\frac{p k}{2}+\epsilon\right) \pi
$$

Since $f(z)$ is analytic for $|z| \leqq r$, it follows by a result due to Umezawa [17] that $f$ is at most max $[p,\{p k / 2-1\}]$ valent in $|z| \leqq r$. The result follows by letting $r \rightarrow 1$.

Note. This was proved by Brannan [1] in the case $p=1$.
Corollary 1.3. Let $f(z) \in V(p)$ with $k<2+2 / p$. Then $f$ is at most $p$-valent in $U$.

Our next goal is to obtain representation formulas for $V_{k}(p)$. We will need to use the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(z, z_{j}\right)=\frac{\left(z-z_{j}\right)\left(1-\bar{z}_{j} z\right)}{z} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which have been employed by Hummel [6] and others.
Lemma 1.4. Let $f(z)=a_{q} z^{q}+\ldots(q \geqq 1)$ belong to $V_{k}(p)$ and have non-zero critical points $z_{1}, \ldots z_{p-q}$, counting multiplicities. Let

$$
g(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \prod_{j=1}^{p-q} \psi\left(z, z_{j}\right)^{-1} f^{\prime}(z) d z
$$

Then $g(z)$ has $p-1$ critical points all at $z=0$ and $g(z) \in V_{k}(p)$.
Proof. It follows from the definition of $g(z)$ that

$$
1+\frac{z g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}=1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\sum_{j=1}^{p-q}\left(\frac{z_{j}}{z-z_{j}}-\frac{z \bar{z}_{j}}{1-\bar{z}_{j} z}\right) .
$$

Let $\epsilon>0$ be given. Since for $|z|=1$

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z_{j}}{z-z_{j}}-\frac{z \bar{z}_{j}}{1-\bar{z}_{j} z}\right\}=0
$$

there is an $r_{0}<1$ such that if $r_{0}<r<1$, then

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{r e^{i \theta} g^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\}\right| d \theta \leqq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\}\right| d \theta+\epsilon
$$

Consequently

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{r e^{i \theta} g^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\}\right| d \theta \leqq p k \pi+\epsilon .
$$

Since $\epsilon>0$ is arbitrary and $g$ has precisely $p-1$ critical points, $g(z) \in V_{k}(p)$.
Theorem 1.5. Let $f(z)=a_{q} z^{q}+\ldots \in V_{k}(p)$ and suppose $f(z)$ has non-zero critical points $z_{1}, \ldots z_{p-q}$, counting multiplicities. Then:
(i) there is a function $\mu(t)$ of bounded variation on $[0,2 \pi]$ with

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \mu(t)=2 p \text { and } \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|d \mu(t)| \leqq p k
$$

and a constant $A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=A z^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^{p-q} \psi\left(z, z_{j}\right) \exp \left[-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left(1-z e^{-i t}\right) d \mu(t)\right] ; \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) there is a function $h(z) \in V_{k}$ and a constant $A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=A z^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^{p-q} \psi\left(z, z_{j}\right)\left[h^{\prime}(z)\right]^{p} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) there are two normalized univalent starlike functions $s_{1}(z)$ and $s_{2}(z)$ and a constant $A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=A z^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^{p-q} \psi\left(z, z_{j}\right)\left[\frac{s_{1}(z)}{z}\right]^{\frac{1}{2} p(k+2)}\left[\frac{s_{2}(z)}{z}\right]^{-\frac{1}{p} p(k-2)} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. An application of Plessner's Theorem [3, p. 38] to the function $g(z)$ related to $f(z)$ as in Lemma 1.4 yields (1.4), and (1.6) follows by decomposing $\mu(t)$ into the difference of two increasing functions.

The following distortion theorem is an easy consequence of Theorem 1.5 and thus we omit the proof. Hummel [6] has similar results for the class $S(p)$.

Theorem 1.6. Let $f(z)=a_{q} z^{q}+\ldots \in V_{k}(p)$ have non-zero critical points $z_{1}, \ldots z_{p-q}$, counting multiplicities. Let $R_{1}=\max \left|z_{j}\right|, R_{2}=\min \left|z_{j}\right|$. Then with $z=r e^{i \theta}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqq \frac{(1+r)^{\frac{1}{p} p(k-2)}}{(1-r)^{3 p(k+2)}} \frac{q\left|a_{q}\right|}{\Pi\left|z_{j}\right|} r^{q-1} \prod_{j=1}^{p-q}\left(r+\left|z_{j}\right|\right)\left(1+r\left|z_{j}\right|\right) \\
& \left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \geqq \frac{(1-r)^{\frac{1}{p}(k-2)}}{(1+r)^{j p(k+2)}} \frac{q\left|a_{q}\right|}{\Pi\left|z_{j}\right|} r^{q-1} \prod_{j=1}^{p-q}\left(r-\left|z_{j}\right|\right)\left(1-\left|z_{j}\right| r\right), R_{1}<r<1 \\
& \left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \geqq \frac{(1-r)^{\frac{1}{p} p(k-2)}}{(1+r)^{\frac{1}{p}(k+2)} \frac{q\left|a_{q}\right|}{\Pi\left|z_{j}\right|} r^{q-1} \prod_{j=1}^{p-q}\left(\left|z_{j}\right|-r\right)\left(1-\left|z_{j}\right| r\right), 0<r<R_{2} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1.7. Let $f(z)=a_{q} z^{q}+\ldots \in V_{k}(p)$ have $p-q$ non-zero critical points $z_{1}, \ldots z_{p-q}$, counting multiplicities. The $f(z)$ is $q$-valently convex for $|z|<$ $r_{q}$, where $r q$ is the least positive root of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{p}{2}\left[\left(1+\frac{k}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right)+\left(1-\frac{k}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)\right] \\
&-\sum_{j=1}^{p-q} \frac{\left|z_{j}\right|\left(1-r^{2}\right)}{\left(\left|z_{j}\right|-r\right)\left(1-\left|z_{j}\right| r\right)}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $\mu(t)$ be the function in (1.4) such that

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=q a_{q} z^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^{p-q} \psi\left(z, z_{j}\right) \exp \left[-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left(1-z e^{-i t}\right) d \mu(t)\right] .
$$

Then we compute

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}=\operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{p-q} \frac{z \psi^{\prime}\left(z, z_{j}\right)}{\psi\left(z, z_{j}\right)}+\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1+z e^{-i t}}{1-z e^{-i t}} d \mu(t)
$$

Hummel [6] has obtained the bonds
(1.7) $\operatorname{Re} \frac{z \psi^{\prime}\left(z, z_{j}\right)}{\psi\left(z, z_{j}\right)} \geqq \frac{-\left|z_{j}\right|\left(1-r^{2}\right)}{\left(\left|z_{j}\right|-r\right)\left(1-\left|z_{j}\right| r\right)}$.

Since $\mu(t)$ has positive variation $<p(1+k / 2)$ and negative variation $<p(k / 2-1)$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1+z e^{-i t}}{1-z e^{-i \bar{l}}} d \mu(t) \geqq p\left(1+\frac{k}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right)+p\left(1-\frac{k}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result now follows by combining (1.7) and (1.8).
The following corollary is immediate.
Corollary 1.8. Let $f(z)=a_{p} z^{p}+\ldots \in V_{k}(p)$. Then $f(z)$ is $p$-valently convex for $|z|<\frac{1}{2}\left(k-\left(k^{2}-4\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$ and this result is sharp.
2. Coefficient Bounds for $V_{r}(p)$. Goodman [4] has conjectured that if $f(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ is at most $p$-valent in $U$, then for $n \geqq p+1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leqq \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{2 j(n+p)!}{\left(n^{2}-j^{2}\right)(p+j)!(p-j)!(n-p-1)!}\left|a_{j}\right| . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conjecture (2.1) has been verified for certain subclasses of $p$-valent functions. If $f(z)$ belongs to the class $K(p)$ of $p$-valent close-to-convex functions defined by Livingston [9], then (2.1) is known for $n=p+1$ with no restriction on $a_{1}, \ldots a_{p}[\mathbf{9}]$ and for $n \geqq p+1$, provided $a_{1}=\ldots=a_{p-2}=0[\mathbf{1 1}]$.

We recall that if $g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in V_{k}$, then $\left|b_{2}\right| \leqq k / 2,\left|b_{3}\right| \leqq\left(k^{2}+\right.$ $2) / 6[8]$ and $\left|b_{4}\right| \leqq\left(k^{3}+8 k\right) / 4![15]$, with equality if

$$
g(z)=\frac{1}{k}\left[\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{k / 2}-1\right] .
$$

We will first consider functions $f(z) \in V_{k}(p)$ with all critical points at the origin.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f(z)=a_{p} z^{p}+\ldots \in V_{k}(p)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (p+1)\left|a_{p+1}\right| \leqq p^{2} k\left|a_{p}\right| \\
& (p+2)\left|a_{p+2}\right| \leqq\left(\frac{p^{2} k^{2}}{2}+p\right) p\left|a_{p}\right| \\
& (p+3)\left|a_{p+3}\right| \leqq \frac{p^{2} k}{6}\left(p^{2} k^{2}+6 p+2\right)\left|a_{p}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

All of these results are sharp, with equality for $F^{\prime}(z)=p a_{p}\left[g^{\prime}(z)\right]^{p}$, where

$$
g(z)=\frac{1}{k}\left[\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{k / 2}-1\right] .
$$

Proof. Let $g(z)=z+\sum_{2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$ be the function in $V_{k}$ related to $f$ by Theorem 1.5. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p a_{p}}= & z^{p-1}+2 p b_{2} z^{p}+\left[3 p b_{3}+2 p(p-1) b_{2}{ }^{2}\right] z^{p+1} \\
& +\left[4 p b_{4}+p(p-1) 6 b_{2} b_{3}+\frac{4 p(p-1)(p-2)}{3} b_{2}{ }^{3}\right] z^{p+2}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing coefficients we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (p+1) a_{p+1}=2 p^{2} b_{2} a_{p} \\
& (p+2) a_{p+2}=\left[3 p b_{3}+2 p(p-1) b_{2}{ }^{2}\right] a_{p} \\
& (p+3) a_{p+3}=\left[4 p b_{4}+6 p(p-1) b_{2} b_{3}+(4 / 3) p(p-1)(p-2) b_{2}{ }^{3}\right] a_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the result follows from the estimates for $\left|b_{2}\right|,\left|b_{3}\right|$, and $\left|b_{4}\right|$ after a short calculation.

We remark that if $k=2$, we get the known results of Goodman [4] for $p$-valent convex functions; namely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (p+1)\left|a_{p+1}\right| \leqq 2 p^{2}\left|a_{p}\right| \\
& (p+2)\left|a_{p+2}\right| \leqq(2 p+1) p^{2}\left|a_{p}\right| \\
& (p+3)\left|a_{p+3}\right| \leqq \frac{2}{3}(2 p+1)(p+1) p\left|a_{p}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

We omit the proof of the next lemma whose proof is similar to [7, Theorem $3.2]$ and [8, p. 7-10].

Lemma 2.2. Let $g(z)=z+b_{2} z^{2}+\ldots \in V_{k}(p)$. Then for any integer $p \geqq 1,\left|3 p b_{3}-2 p(p-1) b_{2}{ }^{2}\right| \leqq p^{2} k^{2} / 2-p$, with equality for

$$
g(z)=\frac{1}{k}\left[\left(\frac{1+i z}{1-i z}\right)^{k / 2}-1\right] .
$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $f(z)=a_{p-1} z^{p-1}+\ldots \in V_{k}(p)$. Then

$$
(p+1)\left|a_{p+1}\right| \leqq p^{2} k\left|a_{p}\right|+(p-1)\left|a_{p-1}\right|\left(p^{2} k^{2} / 2-p+1\right)
$$

Proof. If $a_{p-1}=0$, this reduces to Theorem 2.1 and our result is sharp in this case. We then assume that $a_{p-1} \neq 0$ and hence that $f(z)$ has a non-zero critical point $z_{0}$, since each function in $V_{k}(p)$ has precisely $p-1$ critical points. Thus there is a function $g(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in V_{k}(1)$ such that

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=p a_{p} z^{p-2}\left(z-z_{0}\right)\left(1-\bar{z}_{0} z\right)\left[g^{\prime}(z)\right]^{p} .
$$

We may assume without loss of generality that $b_{1}=1$. Let $\left[g^{\prime}(z)\right]^{p}=$ $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{m} z^{m}$. Then we have $c_{0}=1, c_{1}=2 p b_{2}, c_{2}=3 p b_{3}+\binom{p}{2} 4 b_{2}{ }^{2}$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=p-1}^{\infty} n a_{n} z^{n-1}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left[-\bar{z}_{0} c_{m}+\left(1+\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right) c_{m+1}-z_{0} c_{m+2}\right] z^{m+p} \\
&+\left[\left(1+\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)-z_{0} c_{1}\right] z^{p-1}-z_{0} z^{p-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus comparing coefficients

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (p-1) a_{p-1}=-z_{0} \\
& p a_{p}=\left(1+\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)-z_{0} c_{1} \\
& (p+1) a_{p+1}=-\bar{z}_{0}+\left(1+\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right) c_{1}-z_{0} c_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
(p+1) a_{p+1} & =-\bar{z}_{0}+c_{1}\left[\left(1+\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)-z_{0} c_{1}\right]+z_{0} c_{1}^{2}-z_{0} c_{2} \\
& =c_{1} p a_{p}+\left(-z_{0}\right)\left[\bar{z}_{0} / z_{0}+c_{2}-c_{1}^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
(p+1)\left|a_{p+1}\right| & \leqq\left|c_{1}\right| p\left|a_{p}\right|+(p-1)\left|a_{p-1}\right|\left[1+\left|c_{2}-c_{1}{ }^{2}\right|\right] \\
& =2 p^{2}\left|b_{2}\right| a_{p}|+(p-1)| a_{p-1} \mid\left[1+\left|3 p b_{3}-2 p(p+1) b_{2}{ }^{2}\right|\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and the result follows by Lemma 2.2 , since $\left|b_{2}\right| \leqq k / 2$.
We note that if $k=2+2 / p$, Theorem 2.3 yields the result

$$
(p+1)\left|a_{p+1}\right| \leqq 2 p(p+1)\left|a_{p}\right|+(p-1)\left|a_{p-1}\right|\left[2(p+1)^{2}-p+1\right]
$$

which is certainly not sharp since (2.1) is known to be sharp for $p$-valently close-to-convex functions with $n=p+1$. In order to obtain a sharp coefficient bound we restrict our attention to function $f(z)=a_{p-1} z^{p-1}+\ldots \in V_{k}(p)$ with real coefficients. The following lemma will be needed.

Lemma 2.4. Let $g(z)=z+b_{2} z^{2}+\ldots \in V_{k}$ have real coefficients. Then if $p \geqq 2,\left|1+3 p b_{3}-2 p(p+1) b_{2}{ }^{2}\right| \leqq p^{2} k^{2} / 2-p-1$, and this result is shar $p$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, $\left|3 p b_{3}-2 p(p+1) b_{2}{ }^{2}\right| \leqq p^{2} k^{2} / 2-p$, with equality for

$$
g(z)=\frac{1}{k}\left[\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{k / 2}-1\right]=z+\frac{k}{2} z^{2}+\frac{k^{2}+2}{6} z^{3}+\ldots
$$

for which $3 p b_{3}-2 p(p+1) b_{2}{ }^{2}=p-p^{2} k^{2} / 2$. Hence

$$
1+3 p b_{3}-2 p(p+1) b_{2}^{2} \geqq 1+p-p^{2} k^{2} / 2
$$

It remains to show that

$$
1+3 p b_{3}-2 p(p+1) b_{2}^{2} \leqq p^{2} k^{2} / 2-p-1
$$

Suppose then that $3 p b_{3}-2 p(p+1) b_{2}{ }^{2}>p^{2} k^{2} / 2-p-2$. Since $g \in V_{k}$, there is a function $\mu(t)$ of bounded variation on $[0,2 \pi]$ with

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \mu(t)=2 \text { and } \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|d \mu(t)| \leqq k
$$

such that

$$
g^{\prime}(z)=\left[\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left(1-z e^{-i t}\right) d \mu(t)\right]
$$

A brief calculation shows that

$$
3 p b_{3}-2 p(p+1) b_{2}^{2}=\frac{p}{2}\left[\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-2 i t} d \mu(t)-p \lambda\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-i t} d \mu(t)\right)^{2}\right]
$$

We have that

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-i t} d \mu(t)=2 b_{2} \quad \text { is real }
$$

and hence

$$
\frac{p^{2} k^{2}}{2}-p-2<\frac{p}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-2 i t} d \mu(t) \leqq \frac{p k}{2}
$$

or,
(2.2) $p^{2} k^{2} / 2-p(1+k / 2)-2<0$.

The left hand side of (2.2) is an increasing function of $p,(p \geqq 2)$ for any fixed value of $k \geqq 2$.

When $p=2$, we have

$$
2 k^{2}-2(1+k / 2)-2 \leqq 0
$$

which is impossible for any $k>2$. Thus

$$
1+3 p b_{3}-2 p(p+1) b_{2}{ }^{2} \leqq p^{2} k^{2} / 2-p-1
$$

and the result follows.
Theorem 2.5. Let $f(z)=a_{p-1} z^{p-1}+\ldots \in V_{k}(p)(p>2)$ have real coefficients. Then

$$
(p+1)\left|a_{p+1}\right| \leqq p^{2} k\left|a_{p}\right|+(p-1)\left|a_{p-1}\right|\left(p^{2} k^{2} / 2-p-1\right)
$$

and there is a function in $V_{k}(p)$ for which equality holds.
Proof. If $f(z)$ has real coefficients, then $f(z)$ maps $U$ onto a domain symmetric with respect to the real axis. Since $f(z)$ has precisely $p-1$ critical points, $f$
has precisely one non-zero critical point $z_{0}$ which must be real since complex roots of the equation $f^{\prime}(z)=0$ occur in conjugate pairs. Using the notation of Theorem 2.3

$$
\begin{align*}
(p+1) a_{p+1} & =p a_{p} c_{1}+(p-1) a_{p-1}\left[\bar{z}_{0} / z_{0}+c_{2}-c_{1}{ }^{2}\right]  \tag{2.3}\\
& =2 p b_{2} a_{p}+(p-1) a_{p-1}\left[1+3 p b_{3}-2 p(p+1) b_{2}^{2}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $z_{0}$ and the $a_{n}$ are real, the $c_{n}$ and hence the $b_{n}$ are real. By Lemma 2.4, since the $b_{n}$ are real,

$$
\left|1+3 p b_{3}-2 p(p+1) b_{2}^{2}\right| \leqq p^{2} k^{2} / 2-p-1
$$

Since $g(z) \in V_{k},\left|b_{2}\right| \leqq k / 2$ and the result follows.
To see that this result is sharp we consider

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=z^{p-2}\left(z-z_{0}\right)\left(1-z_{0} z\right)\left[g^{\prime}(z)\right]^{p}
$$

where

$$
g(z)=\frac{1}{k}\left[\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{k / 2}-1\right] \text { and } 0<z_{0}<\frac{p k-\left(p^{2} k^{2}-4\right)^{1 / 2}}{2}
$$

For this function it follows from (2.3) that

$$
(p+1) a_{p+1}=p^{2} k a_{p}+\left(-z_{0}\right)\left[1+p-p^{2} k^{2} / 2\right]
$$

and hence since $a_{p}>0$ and $a_{p-1}<0$,

$$
(p+1)\left|a_{p+1}\right|=p^{2} k\left|a_{p}\right|+(p-1)\left|a_{p-1}\right|\left(p^{2} k^{2} / 2-p-1\right) .
$$

We note that if $k=2+2 / p$, Theorem 2.5 reduces to a special case of the Goodman conjecture, which is known to be sharp, if correct.

## 3. Asymptotic coefficient estimates for $V_{k}(p)$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f(z) \in V_{k}(p)$. Then

$$
\alpha=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2} p(k+2)} M\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)
$$

exists. If $\alpha>0$, there is a unique $\theta_{0}$ so that

$$
\alpha=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2} p(k+2)}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta_{0}}\right)\right| .
$$

Proof. The result is known if $p=1[\mathbf{1 2}]$ and hence we may suppose $p \geqq 2$. If $f$ has non-zero critical points $a_{1}, \ldots a_{p-q}$, then by Theorem 1.5 there are two univalent starlike functions $s_{1}(z)$ and $s_{2}(z)$ such that

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=z^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^{p-q} \psi\left(z, a_{j}\right) \cdot\left[\frac{s_{1}(z)}{z}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}(k+2)} \cdot\left[\frac{s_{2}(z)}{z}\right]^{-\frac{1}{q} p(k-2)} .
$$

Since $z / s_{2}(z)$ and $\psi\left(z, a_{j}\right)$ are bounded near $|z|=1$, it follows from [14] that
$\alpha=0$ unless $s_{1}(z)=z /\left(1-e^{i \theta 0} z\right)^{2}$. Thus we may suppose that

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1}(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2} p(k+2)} M\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)>0
$$

and that $s_{1}(z)$ is of the form $z /\left(1-e^{i \theta 0} z\right)^{2}$. We may assume without loss of generality that $\theta_{0}=0$.

Choose a sequence $r_{n} \rightarrow 1$ and a point $z_{n}$ on $|z|=r_{n}$ with

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-r_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} p(k+2)}\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|>0
$$

We will show that the points $z_{n}$ eventually lie interior to a fixed stoltz angle with vertex at $z=1$. Suppose not. Then given $M>0$, there is a subsequence $z_{j}$ such that $\left|1-z_{j}\right|>M\left(1-r_{j}\right)$. If we set $L=\max \left|R\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|$, where $R(z)=$ $z^{p-1} \Pi \psi\left(z, a_{j}\right)$, then for $j$ sufficiently large we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 L 2^{\frac{1}{2} p(k-2)} & \geqq\left|R\left(z_{j}\right)\right|\left|\frac{z_{j}}{s_{2}\left(z_{j}\right)}\right|^{\frac{1}{4} p(k-2)} \\
& \geqq\left[\left(1-r_{j}\right) \cdot \frac{M}{\left|1-z_{j}\right|}\right]^{\frac{1}{2} p(k+2)}\left|R\left(z_{j}\right)\right|\left|\frac{z_{j}}{s_{2}\left(z_{j}\right)}\right|^{\frac{1}{4} p(k-2)} \\
& =M^{\frac{1}{2} p(k+2)}\left(1-r_{j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} p(k+2)}\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{j}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

which is impossible since $M>0$ was arbitrary and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-r_{j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} p(k+2)}\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{j}\right)\right|>0 .
$$

Since $s_{2}(z)$ is starlike in $U, \lim _{r \rightarrow 1} r / s_{2}(r)$ exists ([14] and the fact that

$$
r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\log \left\lvert\, f\left(r e^{i \theta} \mid\right)=\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}>0\right.\right)
$$

and we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z_{n}}{s_{2}\left(z_{n}\right)}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_{n}}{s_{2}\left(r_{n}\right)} .
$$

It follows that for any sequence $z_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-r_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} p(k+2)}\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|>0 \\
& \begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|R\left(r_{n}\right)\right|\left|\frac{r_{n}}{s_{2}\left(r_{n}\right)}\right|^{\frac{1}{4} p(k-2)} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|R\left(z_{n}\right)\right|\left|\frac{z_{n}}{s_{2}\left(r_{n}\right)}\right|^{\frac{1}{4} p(k-2)} \\
& \geqq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1-r_{n}}{\left|1-z_{n}\right|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} p(k+2)}\left|R\left(z_{n}\right)\right|\left|\frac{z_{n}}{s_{2}\left(z_{n}\right)}\right|^{\frac{1}{2} p(k-2)} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-r_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} p(k+2)}\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|f^{\prime}(r)\right| \leqq M(r, f)$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-r_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} \nu(k+2)}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r_{n}\right)\right|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-r_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} p(k+2)} M\left(r_{n}, f^{\prime}\right)
$$

and the result follows.
We note that

$$
\alpha \leqq 2^{\frac{1}{2} p(k-2)} \max _{|z|=1}\left|\prod_{j=1}^{p-q} \psi\left(z, a_{j}\right)\right|
$$

Theorem 3.2. Let $f(z)=\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in V_{k}(p)$. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|a_{n}\right|}{(n)^{\frac{3}{p}(k+2)-2}}=\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} p(k+2)\right)},
$$

where $\alpha$ is the constant of Theorem 3.1.
Proof. The proof in the case $\alpha>0$ follows by using the major-minor arc technique of Hayman [5, Theorem 5.7] as modified by Noonan [12].

Let us now consider the case $\alpha=0$. Given $\epsilon>0$, we may choose $r_{0}<1$ so that if $r_{0}<r<1, f$ has no critical points and

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\}\right| d \theta<(p k+\epsilon) \pi .
$$

We may assume $p \geqq 2$ since the result is known for $p=1$. An argument similar to that in [2] shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} r\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta<2^{3 / 2}[2(p k+\epsilon)+1] \pi M(r, f) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $M\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)=o(1-r)^{-\frac{1}{2} p(k+2)}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(r, f)=o(1-r)^{-\frac{1}{2} p(k+2)+1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result follows by using (3.1), (3.2) and the inequality

$$
\left|a_{n}\right|<\frac{e}{n} \int_{r=1-1 / n}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta
$$

4. The class $V_{k}^{*}(p)$. We say that a function $f(z)$ meromorphic in $U$ belongs to the class $V_{k}^{*}(p)$ if : $f^{\prime}(z)$ has a finite number of zeros and poles in $U$, there is a $\rho<1$ so that if $\rho<r<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\} d \theta=-2 p \pi \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(4.2) $\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\}\right| d \theta \leqq p k \pi$.

Since $f$ is meromorphic, each pole of $f^{\prime}$ must be of at least second order. We note that by the argument of Lemma 1.1,

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\}\right| d \theta \text { exists, }
$$

and that $V_{2}{ }^{*}(p)=C(p)$, the class of $p$-valent meromorphic convex functions.
Pfaltzgraff and Pinchuk [13] defined the class $\Lambda_{k}$ of meromorphic functions of bounded boundary rotation as the class of all functions

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=-\frac{1}{z^{2}} \exp \left[-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left(1-z e^{-i t}\right) d \mu(t)\right],
$$

where

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \mu(t)=2, \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|d \mu(t)| \leqq k \text { and } \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-i t} d \mu(t)=0 .
$$

(The last condition ensures that $f^{\prime}$ does not have a simple pole at 0 .) Since a function in $\Lambda_{k}$ has no non-zero critical points, in general $\Lambda_{k}$ is a proper subclass of $V_{k}^{*}(p)$.
We note that (4.1) implies that for $\rho<r<1$, the argument of the vector tangent to $f(|z|=r)$ decreases by $2 p \pi$ as $\theta$ increases from 0 to $2 \pi\left(z=r e^{i \theta}\right)$ and hence the curve $f(|z|=r)$ has at least $p$ loops.

Theorem 4.1. Let $f(z) \in V_{k}{ }^{*}(p)$. Then:

$$
p+1 \leqq N\left(\infty, f^{\prime}\right) \leqq(p k+2 p+4) / 4
$$

and

$$
0 \leqq N\left(0, f^{\prime}\right) \leqq p(k-2) / 4 .
$$

Proof. We will show the inequalities hold in $|z|<r$, where $r$ is chosen so that (4.1) holds. From (4.1) and the argument principle we obtain

$$
N\left(0, f^{\prime}\right)-N(\infty, f)=-(p+1)
$$

and hence $f^{\prime}(z)$ has at least $p+1$ poles. Following Umezawa [16], we note that $N\left(w, f^{\prime}\right)$ is constant until $w$ arrives at a value assumed by $f^{\prime}(z)$ on $|z|=r$ and the jump of $N\left(w, f^{\prime}\right)$ at such a value must be an integer. Now

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}\right| d \theta \geqq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right| d \theta-2 \pi
$$

and hence if $\epsilon>0$ is given we may choose $\rho<1$ so that if $\rho<|z|<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi+(p k+\epsilon) \pi>\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right| d \theta \geqq\left[N\left(0, f^{\prime}\right)+N\left(\infty, f^{\prime}\right)\right] 2 \pi \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $N\left(0, f^{\prime}\right)-N\left(\infty, f^{\prime}\right)=-p+1$, (4.3) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N\left(\infty, f^{\prime}\right) \leqq \frac{p k+2 p+4}{4}+\frac{\epsilon}{4} \\
& N\left(0, f^{\prime}\right) \leqq \frac{p(k-2)}{4}+\frac{\epsilon}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the result follows.
The next Lemma, due to Umezawa [17] will be used in estimating the valency of functions in $V_{k}{ }^{*}(p)$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic for $|z|<R, f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ on $|z|=R$. If

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}\right| d \theta<2 \pi[M-N(\infty, f)+1]
$$

where [ ] denotes the greatest integer function, then $f$ is at most $M$ valent and at least max $[2 N(\infty, f)-M, 1]$ valent for $\mid z 1 \leqq R$.

Corollary 4.3. Let $f(z) \in V^{*}(p)$ have $q$ poles in $U$. Then $f(z)$ is at least $\max [q+1-p k / 2,1]$ valent and at most $p k / 2+q-1$ valent in $U$.

We note that if $k<2+2 / p$, then for $r$ sufficiently near 1 ,

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\}\right| d \theta<p+1
$$

and hence $f(z)$ belongs to the class $K^{*}(p)$ of meromorphic close-to-convex functions of order $p$ defined by Livingston [10].

The following result is similar to Theorem 1.5 and its proof will be omitted.
Theorem 4.4. Let $f(z) \in V_{k}^{*}(p)$ and suppose $f^{\prime}(z)$ has zeros at $\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}$ and poles at $\beta_{1}, \ldots \beta_{n+p+1}$, counting multiplicities. Then there are two univalent starlike functions $s_{1}(z)$ and $s_{2}(z)$ such that

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p+1}}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n+p+1} \psi\left(z, B_{j}\right)\right]^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \psi\left(z, \alpha_{j}\right)\left[\frac{s_{1}(z)}{z}\right]^{\frac{1}{1 p}(k-2)}}{\left[\frac{s_{2}(z)}{z}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}(k+2)}}
$$

We note that Theorem 4.4 gives distortion theorems analogous to Theorems 1.6 and 1.7 , but we do not state them here.

Theorem 4.5. Let $f(z) \in V_{k}^{*}(p)$. Then $\alpha=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2} p(k-2)} M\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)$ exists. For $k>2$, if $\alpha>0$, there is a unique $\theta_{0}$ such that

$$
\alpha=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2} p(k-2)}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta_{0}}\right)\right| .
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 3.1, using Theorem 4.4 instead of Theorem 1.5.

We now turn to the problem of estimating the coefficients of a function $f(z) \in V_{k}^{*}(p)$.

Theorem 4.6. Let $f(z)=\sum_{n=-q}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$, with $k>2+2 / p$. Then if $\alpha$ denotes the constant of Theorem 4.5,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|a_{n}\right|}{n^{\frac{1}{p} p(k-2)-2}}=\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma\left[\frac{1}{2} p(k-2)\right]} .
$$

Proof. The proof in the case $\alpha>0$ follows by using the major-minor arc technique of Hayman [5, Theorem 5.7] as modified by Noonan [12]. Suppose $\alpha=0$. Given $\epsilon>0$ we may choose $r_{0}<1$ so that if $r_{0}<r<1$, (4.1) holds and

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\}\right| d \theta<(p k+\epsilon) \pi
$$

Using an argument similar to that of Brannan and Kirwan [2], there is a constant $C=C(p, k)$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} r\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta<C \cdot M(r, f)
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| & \leqq\left|\int_{r_{0}}^{r} f^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right) e^{i \theta} d \rho\right|+\left|f\left(r_{0} e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \\
& \leqq \int_{r_{0}}^{r} M\left(\rho, f^{\prime}\right) d \rho+\left|f\left(r_{0} e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \\
& =o(1-r)^{-\frac{1}{2} p(k-2)+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $M\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)=o(1-r)^{-\frac{1}{2} p(k-2)}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{1} I\left(r, f^{\prime}\right) & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} r\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta \\
& =o(1-r)^{-\frac{1}{2} p(k-2)+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the result follows from the standard inequality

$$
\left|a_{n}\right|<\frac{e}{n} I_{1}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}, f^{\prime}\right)
$$

We mention that Livingston [10] has shown that if $f(\boldsymbol{z})=\sum_{n=-p}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ belongs to the class $K^{*}(p)$ and has all its poles at $z=0$, then $\left|a_{n}\right|=O(1 / n)$. Consequently if $f(z) \in V_{k}{ }^{*}(p)$ with $2<k<2+2 / p$, we have $\left|a_{n}\right|=O(1 / n)$. Since when $k=2, V_{k}^{*}(p)$ is precisely the class of $p$-valent meromorphically convex functions and hence $\left|a_{n}\right|=O\left(1 / n^{2}\right)$. To obtain an estimate on the
growth of $\left|a_{n}\right|$ when $k=2+2 / p$ we note that $f(z) \in V_{k}^{*}(p)$ implies $f(z) \in$ $V_{k}^{*}(p)$ for all $k^{\prime}>k$. Theorem 4.6 then yields that if $k=2+2 / p,\left|a_{n}\right|=$ $O\left(n^{-1+\epsilon}\right)$ for every $\epsilon>0$.
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