

E R R A T A

In the November 2011 issue of the journal, in the article by Breier et al (Breier A-C, Brandt C, Sohr D, Geffers C, Gastmeier P. Laminar airflow ceiling size: no impact on infection rates following hip and knee prosthesis. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2011; 32(11):1097–1102), there are errors in Table 1. The sixth column heading should read “LAF, ceiling size at least 3.2 m × 3.2 m”

(not “LAF, ceiling size <3.2 m × 3.2 m”), and the seventh column heading should read “LAF, ceiling size <3.2 m × 3.2 m” (not “LAF, ceiling size at least 3.2 m × 3.2 m”). In addition, the “No. of hospitals” for HIP-A, HIP-F, and KPRO were inverted in columns 6 and 7. The correct table is reprinted below. The authors regret these errors.

TABLE 1. Surgical Site Infection (SSI) Rates in All Hospitals

Procedure	All hospitals	Participating in survey	Included in analysis	Among those included		
				No LAF	LAF, ceiling size at least 3.2 m × 3.2 m	LAF, ceiling size <3.2 m × 3.2 m
HIP-A						
No. of hospitals	124	72 (58.1%)	48	15	13	20
No. of procedures	76,317	50,022	33,463	10,446	7,291	15,726
No. of severe SSIs (rate)	490 (0.64)	350 (0.70)	248 (0.74)	52 (0.50)	61 (0.84)	135 (0.86)
HIP-F						
No. of hospitals	89	58 (65.2%)	41	11	12	18
No. of procedures	15,972	11,289	7,749	1,236	2,326	4,187
No. of severe SSIs (rate)	351 (2.20)	258 (2.29)	185 (2.39)	25 (2.02)	63 (2.71)	97 (2.32)
KPRO						
No. of hospitals	89	48 (53.9%)	38	12	9	17
No. of procedures	50,019	25,933	20,554	6,098	4,564	9,892
No. of severe SSIs (rate)	262 (0.52)	148 (0.57)	129 (0.63)	36 (0.59)	23 (0.50)	70 (0.71)

NOTE. Rate is number of cases per 100 procedures. HIP-A, hip prosthesis due to arthrosis; HIP-F, hip prosthesis due to fracture; KPRO, knee prosthesis; LAF, laminar airflow.

In the print edition of the April 2012 issue of the journal, in the “Letters to the Editor” section, Figure 1 and Table 1 on page 433 are incorrectly placed within the letter by Pellerin et al (Pellerin J, Edmond M, Bearman G, Lee K, Stevens MP. An examination of stewardship interventions by major category in an urban academic medical center. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2012;33(4): 432–434). Figure 1 (“Linezolid use over 36 months ...”) should appear within the letter by Po et al (Po JL, Nguyen BQ, Carling PC. The impact of an infectious diseases specialist-directed com-

puterized physician order entry antimicrobial stewardship program targeting linezolid use. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2012; 33(4):434–435). Table 1 (“Antibacterial Courses Used in Hospital da Luz during January 2011”) should appear within the letter by Rodrigues et al (Rodrigues JF, Casado A, Palos C, Santos C, Duarte A, Fernandez-Llimos F. A computer-assisted prescription system to improve antibacterial surgical prophylaxis. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2012;33(4):435–437). The publisher regrets these errors.