# REMARK ON THE DUAL EHP SEQUENCE 

YASUTOSHI NOMURA

Dedicated to Professor K. Noshiro for his 60th birthday

In this note we will improve the dual EHP sequence which has been constructed in [6] by showing that that can be extended by one term. We then observe that this can be used to deduce a result which has been announced by T. Ganea in [4]. As another application we will establish a theorem which asserts that, under certain conditions, a principal fibration with a loop-space as fibre is principally equivalent to the one induced by some map.

Throughout this note, we make use of the notations and results described in [5] and [6] without specific reference. In particular, $E_{f, g}$ and $E_{g}$ denote the mapping track of a triad $A \xrightarrow{f} Y \stackrel{g}{\leftrightarrows} B$ and the fibre of $g$ respectively. Dually, $C_{f, g}$ and $C_{g}$ denote the mapping cylinder of a cotriad $A \stackrel{f}{\longleftrightarrow} X \xrightarrow{g} B$ and the cofibre of $g$ respectively. We denote the loop and (reduced) suspension functor by $\Omega$ and $S$ respectively. We use $\pi(X, Y)$ to denote the set of based homotopy classes of based maps $X \rightarrow Y$, but we will permit ourselves not to distinguish between a map and the homotopy class it represents.

## 1. The dual EHP sequence

For a triad $A \xrightarrow{f} Y \stackrel{g}{\leftrightarrows} B$, we introduce in [6] the maps

$$
\xi^{\prime}: C_{P_{1}, P_{2}} \rightarrow Y \text { and } \eta^{\prime}: S E_{f, g} \rightarrow C_{f\ulcorner g}
$$

which make the following diagram homotopy-commutative:
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in which the columns are fibre triples, the middle row is the sequence associated with the cotriad $A \stackrel{P_{1}}{\leftarrow} E_{f, g} \xrightarrow{P_{2}} B$ consisting of projections, and $i_{i}, i_{2}, k$ are ap. propriate injections. The map $\eta^{\prime}$, which is defined by

$$
\eta^{\prime}(a, r, b ; s)= \begin{cases}(a, 4 s) & 0 \leqq 4 s \leqq 1 \\ r\left(\frac{4 s-1}{2}\right) & 1 \leqq 4 s \leqq 3 \\ (b, 4-4 s) & 3 \leqq 4 s \leqq 4\end{cases}
$$

for $a \in A, b \in B, r \in Y^{1}$ with $f(a)=\gamma(0), g(b)=\gamma(1)$, induces the "suspension"

$$
\mathscr{C}^{*}: \pi\left(C_{f \vee g}, V\right) \rightarrow \pi\left(S E_{f, g}, V\right)
$$

The composite $\mathscr{H}=Q \circ j$, which is given by

$$
\mathscr{H}((1-t)(a, \alpha) \oplus t(\beta, b))=(a, \alpha+\beta, b ; t)
$$

for $a \in A, b \in B, \alpha, \beta \in Y^{I}$ with $f(a)=\alpha(0), g(b)=\beta(1), \alpha(1)=\beta(0)=*$, induces the dual Hopf invariant

$$
\mathscr{H}^{*}: \pi\left(S E_{f, g}, V\right) \rightarrow \pi\left(E_{\bar{f}} * E_{g}, V\right) .
$$

Now, the cooperation of $S A \vee S B$ on $C_{f\ulcorner g}$ in the Puppe sequence for $f \nabla g$, defines an action of $\pi(S A \vee S B, V)$ on $\pi\left(C_{f\ulcorner g}, V\right)$. We denote the result of the action of $(\alpha, \beta) \in \pi(S A, V) \oplus \pi(S B, V)$ on $v \in \pi\left(C_{f \cdot g}, V\right)$ by $(\alpha, \beta) \tau v$. Then we can easily verify the following

Lemma 1.1. $\mathscr{C}^{*}((\alpha, \beta) T v)=\left(S P_{1}\right)^{*} \alpha+\mathscr{E}^{*}(v)-\left(S P_{2}\right)^{*} \beta$.
Next, given $v: C_{f \vee g} \rightarrow V$, let $u$ denote the composite $Y \xrightarrow{k} C_{f v g} \xrightarrow{v} V$. v determines the liftings $\tilde{f}: A \rightarrow E_{u}$ and $\tilde{g}: B \rightarrow E_{u}$ of $f$ and $g$ with respect to the projection $E_{u} \rightarrow Y$. We denote the adjoint of $\mathscr{E}^{*}(v)$ by $\theta: E_{f, g} \rightarrow \Omega V$. Let $j_{1}: E_{f}^{-} \rightarrow E_{f, g}$ and $j_{2}: E_{g} \rightarrow E_{f, g}$ denote the obvious injections. Then we have

Lemma 1.2. The following diagram is homotopy-commutative;

where $i$ is the inclusion of the fibre.
Proof: According to Proposition 5. 14 of [6], we have

$$
m_{*}\left\{\theta, P_{2}^{*}(\tilde{g})\right\}=P_{1}^{*}(\tilde{f})
$$

where $m: \Omega V \times E_{u} \rightarrow E_{u}$ is the action of $\Omega V$ on $E_{u}$. Note that $P_{1} \circ j_{2}$ and $P_{2} \circ j_{1}$ are trivial maps. Consequently, by composing with $j_{1}$, we see that $i_{*}\left(\theta \circ j_{1}\right)=$ $\tilde{f} \circ P_{1} \circ j_{1}$. Similarly for homotopy-commutativity of the lower square.

The main purpose of this section is to improve Theorem 5.8 of [6] as follows;

Theorem 1.3. Suppose that $f, g$ and $Y$ are $p$-, $q$ - and $r$-connected respectively, and that $\pi_{i}(V)=0$ for $i \geqq p+q+r+2$. If $A, B$ and $Y$ have the homotopy type of $C W$-complexes, then the sequence

$$
\pi\left(E_{f}^{-} * E_{g}, V\right) \stackrel{\mathscr{A}^{*}}{\leftrightarrows} \pi\left(S E_{f, g}, V\right) \stackrel{\mathscr{E}^{*}}{ } \pi\left(C_{f \vee g}, V\right)
$$

is exact.
Proof. Since $E_{f}^{-} * E_{g}$ is $(p+q)$-connected, it follows from a theorem of Sugawara [9, Theorem 6.5] that the sequence

$$
\pi\left(E_{f}^{-*} E_{g}, V\right) \stackrel{j^{*}}{\leftarrow} \pi\left(C_{P_{1}, P_{2}}, V\right) \stackrel{\xi^{* *}}{\leftarrow} \pi(Y, V)
$$

is exact. Consequentiy, given $\rho \in \pi\left(S E_{f, g}, V\right)$ with $\mathscr{H}^{*}(\rho)=j^{*} Q^{*}(\rho)=0$, there exists $\tau \in \pi(Y, V)$ such that $Q^{*}(\rho)=\xi^{\prime *}(\tau)$. Since

$$
\because(f \nabla g) \circ k_{1}=\tau \circ \xi^{\prime} \circ i_{1} \simeq \rho \circ Q \circ i_{1}=*
$$

for the injection $k_{1}: A \rightarrow A \vee B$, we see that $(f \nabla g)^{*} \tau=0$, so that $\tau=k^{*} v$ for some $v \in \pi\left(C_{f v g}, V\right)$. Thus,

$$
Q^{*} \mathscr{C}^{*}(v)=\xi^{*} k^{*}(v)=Q^{*}(\rho) .
$$

Now, by Lemma 1. $1^{\prime}$ in [6], we can find $\alpha \in \pi(S A, V), \beta \in \pi(S B, V)$ such that $\rho=\left(S P_{1}\right)^{*} \alpha+\mathscr{C}^{*}(v)-\left(S P_{2}\right)^{*} \beta$, whence, by Lemma 1. 1, we have

$$
\mathscr{C}^{*}((\alpha, \beta)+v)=\rho,
$$

which completes the proof of our theorem.
Corollary 1.4. (Sugawara [9, Lemma 7.4]). Let $Y$ be a $r$-connected space which has the homotopy type of a CW complex and let $V$ be such that $\pi_{i}(V)=0$
for $i \geqq 3 r+2$. Then an element of $\pi(\Omega Y, \Omega V)$ is primitive if, and only if, it is $a$ suspension element, i.e., lies in the image of $\pi(Y, V) \rightarrow \pi(\Omega Y, \Omega V)$.

This follows by considering a triad $* \rightarrow Y \leftarrow *$ and applying Lemma 5.1 in [6].

Now consider a triad $A \xrightarrow{f} Y \stackrel{g}{\leftarrow} B$ in which $f$ and $g$ are fibrations with fibres $F_{1}, F_{2}$ respectively. Let $\operatorname{Ker}(f: g)$ be the pull-back, i.e., $\operatorname{Ker}(f: g)=$ $\{(a, b) \mid f(a)=g(b)\} . \quad$ Let $\pi_{1}: \operatorname{Ker}(f: g) \rightarrow A, \pi_{2}: \operatorname{Ker}(f: g) \rightarrow B$ denote the projections. Then the map $C_{\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}} \rightarrow Y$ corresponding to $\xi^{\prime}$, is essentially the same as the Whitney sum of $f$ and $g$ (as defined by I. M. Hall [3]). It is also known as the fibre-join of $f$ and $g$ (see [1]). To $\eta^{\prime}$ corresponds the map

$$
\overline{\mathscr{C}}: S \operatorname{Ker}(f ; g) \rightarrow C_{f v g}
$$

which is given by

$$
\overline{\mathscr{C}}(a, b: s)= \begin{cases}(a, 2 s) & \text { if } 2 s \leqq 1 \\ (b, 2-2 s) & \text { if } 2 s \geqq 1 .\end{cases}
$$

Also, in this case, to the dual Hopf invariant $\mathscr{\mathscr { } /}$ corresponds

$$
\overline{\mathscr{M}}: F_{1} * F_{2} \rightarrow S \operatorname{Ker}(f: g)
$$

which is defined by setting

$$
\overline{\mathscr{M}}((1-t) a \oplus t b)=(a, b ; t) .
$$

With these notations we have
Corollary 1.5. Suppose $F_{1}, F_{2}$ and $Y$ are $(p-1)-,(q-1)$ - and $r$-connected respectively and let $V$ be such that $\pi_{i}(V)=0$ for $i \geqq p+q+r+2$. If $A, B$ and $Y$ have the homotopy type of $C W$-complexes, then the sequence

$$
\pi\left(F_{1} * F_{2}, V\right) \stackrel{\overline{\mathscr{I}}^{*}}{\leftrightarrows} \pi(S \operatorname{Ker}(f: g), V) \stackrel{\overline{\mathscr{C}}^{*}}{\leftrightarrows}-\pi\left(C_{f_{V g}}, V\right)
$$

is exact.
Finally we observe that the following result announced in [4] can be derived from Lemma 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 by considering a triad $* \longrightarrow Y \stackrel{g}{\longleftrightarrow} B$.

Theorem of ganea. Let $F \longrightarrow B \xrightarrow{g} Y$ be a fibration in which $Y$ is $(m-1)$. connected and suppose $\pi_{i}(F) \neq 0$ only if $n \leqq i \leqq n+2 m-2, m \geqq 1, n \geqq 1$. Let
$\theta: F \rightarrow \Omega V$ be a homotopy equivalence such that the composite

$$
\Omega Y * F \longrightarrow S F \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}} V
$$

is nullhomotopic, where the first is obtained by Hopf construction associated with the action $\Omega Y \times F \rightarrow F$ and $\bar{\theta}$ is adjoint to $\theta$. Then there exists a map $u: Y \rightarrow V$ and a fibre homotopy equivalence $B \rightarrow E_{u}$ with induced fibre equivalence in $\theta \in \pi(F, \Omega V)$.

Moreover, it follows from Theorem 5.12 in [6] that, if $V$ is an $H$-space with $\pi_{i}(V)=0$ for $i \geqq m+n+\min (m, n+1)$, maps $u$ in the above forms a coset of the image of

$$
\mathscr{P}^{*}: \pi\left(S C_{g} \widehat{*} S Y, V\right) \rightarrow \pi(Y, V),
$$

where $\mathscr{S}=\left\langle\overline{S k}, \overline{1_{\mathrm{YY}}}\right\rangle$ is the cojoin product of the adjoints of $S k: S Y \rightarrow S C_{g}$ and the identity $1_{S Y}$ of $S Y$.

## 2. An application to principal fibrations in the restricted sense

In [7] we strengthened the notion of principal fibrations in the sense of Peterson-Thomas [8] as follows. A fibration $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$ is said to be principal in the restricted sense, if $F$ is a homotopy-associative $H$-space (with inversion) and if there exist maps

$$
\mu: F \times E \rightarrow E \text { and } h: \operatorname{Ker}(p: p) \rightarrow F
$$

subject to the following conditions:
(i) $\mu\left(1_{F} \times i\right)=i \mu_{0}$ where $\mu_{0}: F \times F \rightarrow F$ is the multiplication of $F$,
(ii) $p_{\mu}=p q_{2}, h\left\{q_{2}, \mu\right\} \simeq q_{1}$ where $q_{1}: F \times E \rightarrow F$ and $q_{2}: F \times E \rightarrow E$ are the projections,
(iii) $\mu\left(\mu_{0} \times 1_{E}\right) \simeq^{B} \mu\left(1_{F} \times \mu\right)$ where $\simeq_{B}$ indicates "is vertically homotopic to", (iv) $\mu\left\{h, p_{1}\right\} \simeq{ }_{B} p_{2}$ where $p_{1}, p_{2}: \operatorname{Ker}(p: p) \rightarrow E$ are the projections,
(v) $\mu\left\{0,1_{E}\right\} \simeq{ }_{B} 1_{E}$ where $1_{E}$ is the identity map of $E$.

For example, a principal fibre bundle and $E_{f} \rightarrow X$ induced by $f: X \rightarrow Y$ from the contractible path space over $Y$ are principal fibrations in the restricted sense. Note that, from (iv), $h\left\{p_{2}, p_{1}\right\} \simeq-h$ where $\left\{p_{2}, p_{1}\right\}: \operatorname{Ker}(p: p)$ $\rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(p: p)$ is the permutation.

Lemma 2.1. $\left\{h, p_{1}\right\}: \operatorname{Ker}(p: p) \rightarrow F \times E$ and $\left\{q_{2}, \mu\right\}: F \times E \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(p: p)$ are mutually inverse homotopy equivalences.

Proof. This follows from the following:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left\{q_{2}, \mu\right\}\left\{h, p_{1}\right\} \simeq\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\} & \text { by (iv) }, \\
\left\{h, p_{1}\right\}\left\{q_{2}, \mu\right\} \simeq\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}\right\} & \text { by (ii). }
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 2.2. The composite $E \xrightarrow{\{1,1\}} \operatorname{Ker}(p: p) \xrightarrow{h} F$ is nullhomotopic, where $1=1_{E}$.

Proof. By (v) and (ii) we have

$$
h\{1,1\} \simeq h\left\{q_{2}, \mu\right\}\{0,1\} \simeq q_{1}\{0,1\}=0 .
$$

Lemma 2.3. Suppose $F$ has the inversion $\omega: F \rightarrow F$. Then the composite

$$
F \times F \xrightarrow{l} \operatorname{Ker}(p: p)^{h} F
$$

is homotopic to the composite

$$
F \times F \xrightarrow{\tau} F \times F \xrightarrow{1 p \times \omega} F \times F \xrightarrow{\mu_{0}} F,
$$

where $l$ is the inclusion and $\tau$ is the switching map.
Proof. We define $n: F \times F \rightarrow F \times F$ by setting $n\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\left(x^{\prime}, \mu_{0}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Since $F$ has an inversion, $n$ is a homotopy equivalence. We see at once that $\mu_{0}\left(1_{F} \times \omega\right)_{\tau n}$ is homotopic to the projection $F \times F \rightarrow F$ on the first factor. Now, since the diagram

is homotopy commutative, it follows that $h \ln \simeq \mu_{0}\left(1_{F} \times \omega\right)_{\tau n}$, whence the desired conclusion.

The goal in this section is to prove the following
Theorem 2.4. Let $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$ be a principal fibration in the restricted sense such that $B$ is $m$-connected and $\pi_{j}(F) \neq 0$ only if $n+1 \leqq j \leqq 2 n+m+2$. Suppose there is given an $H$-homotopy equivalence $\theta_{0}: F \rightarrow \Omega V$. If $E$ and $B$ have the
homotopy type of $C W$-complexes, then there exist $a$ map $u: B \rightarrow V$ and a fibre homotopy equivalence $\widetilde{p}: E \rightarrow E_{u}$ with induced fibre equivalence in $\theta_{0} \in \pi(F, \Omega V)$, so that the diagram

is homotopy commutative, where $m$ is the action of $\Omega V$ on $E_{u}$.
Proof. We apply Corollary 1.5 to the $\operatorname{triad} E \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} B \stackrel{p}{\leftrightarrows} E$ and use Lemma 1.2 for $\theta=\left(-\theta_{0}\right) \circ h: \operatorname{Ker}(p: p) \rightarrow \Omega V$.

First we show that $\overline{\mathscr{M}}^{*}(\bar{\theta})=0$ for the adjoint $\bar{\theta}: S \operatorname{Ker}(p: p) \rightarrow V$ of $\theta$. Consider the diagram

in which the row in the bottom is the fibre sequence constructed for the triad $* \rightarrow V \leftarrow *$. By Lemma 2.3, we see that the above diagram is homotopy-commutative. Since $\xi^{\circ} \circ \mathscr{I} \simeq 0$, it follows that

$$
\bar{\theta} \circ \overline{\mathscr{Z}}=s^{\prime} S\left(-\theta_{0}\right)(S h), \bar{M} \simeq 0,
$$

as required.
By the assumption on connectedness, Corollary 1.5 now implies that $\overline{\mathscr{E}}^{*}(v)=\bar{\theta}$ for some $v: C_{p \nabla p} \rightarrow V$. Let $u: B \rightarrow V$ denote the composite $B \xrightarrow{k} C_{p \nabla p} \xrightarrow{v} V$. Then, by Lemma 1.2, we obtain the homotopy commutative diagram

where $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}$ are liftings of $p$. Using Lemma 2.3, we see that $\theta\{i, 0\} \simeq \theta_{0}$, $-\theta\{0, i\} \simeq \theta_{0}$. By Proposition 5.14 of [6], $m_{*}\left\{-\theta, \tilde{j_{p}}\right\}=\tilde{g} p_{2}$ and, in turn, $m_{*}\left(\theta_{0} \times \tilde{f}\right)\left\{h, p_{1}\right\}=\widetilde{g} \mu\left\{h, p_{1}\right\}$ by (iv). This, together with Lemma 2.1, yields $m\left(\theta_{0} \times \widetilde{f}\right) \simeq \widetilde{g} \mu$.

But $\tilde{f} \simeq \tilde{g}$, because $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$ define the separation element in $\pi(E, \Omega V)$, the adjoint of which is the composite

$$
S E \xrightarrow{S\left\{1_{E}, 1_{F}\right\}} \operatorname{SKer}(p: p) \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathscr{E}}} C_{p \Delta p} \xrightarrow{v} V .
$$

This composite is nullhomotopic by Lemma 2.2. This shows that $m\left\{\theta_{0} \times \tilde{p}\right\} \simeq \tilde{p} \mu$ for $\tilde{p}=\tilde{f}$, which completes the proof of the theorem.

## 3. The dual situations

In this section we briefly state the results which are dual to the previous sections. With a cotriad

$$
A \stackrel{f}{\leftarrow} X \xrightarrow{g} B
$$

we associate in [6] the following homotopy commutative diagram

in which $\eta$, a generalization of the Freudenthal suspension, is defined in §4 of [6], the Hopf invariant $H$ is defined in $\S 6$ of [6], $F^{\prime}$ is the map defined in $\S 6$ of [6], and $I_{1}, I_{2}, k$ are the appropriate injections.

Lemma 4.1. For $\alpha \in \pi(V, \Omega A)$ and $\beta \in \pi(V, \Omega B)$ we denote the result of the action of $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in \pi(V, \Omega(A \times B))$ on $v \in \pi\left(V, E_{f \Delta g}\right)$ by $\{\alpha, \beta\} \boldsymbol{\top} v$. Then we have

$$
\eta_{*}(\{\alpha, \beta\}-v)=\left(\Omega I_{1}\right)_{*} \alpha+\eta^{*}(v)-\left(\Omega I_{2}\right)_{* \beta} .
$$

Now, given $v \in \pi\left(V, E_{f \Delta g}\right)$, we denote the composite $V \xrightarrow{v} E_{f \Delta g} \longrightarrow X$ by $u$; then $v$ determines the extensions

$$
\tilde{f}: C_{u} \rightarrow A, \tilde{g}: C_{u} \rightarrow B
$$

of $f, g$. Let $\theta \in \pi\left(S V, C_{f, g}\right)$ denote the adjoint of $\eta_{*}(v)$, and let $n: C_{u} \rightarrow S V \vee C_{u}$ be the cooperation. Then we have

Lemma 4.2. $n^{*}\left\{\theta, I_{2} \tilde{g}\right\}=I_{1} \tilde{f}$.
Lemma 4.3. The following diagram is homotopy-commutative:

in which $p_{1}: C_{f, g} \rightarrow C_{f}, p_{2}: C_{f, g} \rightarrow C_{g}$ are the quotient maps which identify $B, A$ with basepoint respectively.

In the sequel we assume that $f, g$ and $X$ are $p$-, $q$ - and $r$-connected respectively, and that $V$ is a $C W$-complex. Assume further $A$ and $B$ are $a$-, $b$ connected respectively.

Lemma 4.4. The sequence

$$
\pi(V, X) \xrightarrow{\xi_{*}} \pi\left(V, E_{L_{1}, l_{2}}\right) \xrightarrow{k_{*}} \pi(V, C \xi)
$$

is exact for $V$ such that $\operatorname{dim} V \leqq p+q+r-1$ (cf. Theorem 4.3 or Corollary 4.5
in [6]).
The proof of the following theorem is similar to that of Theorem 1.3, except that we use the fact that $F^{\prime}$ is $[p+q+\min (r+1, p, q, \max (a, b))-1]$. connected by Lemma 6.6 in [6].

Theorem 4.5. The sequence

$$
\pi\left(V, E_{f \Delta g}\right) \xrightarrow{\eta_{*}} \pi\left(V, \Omega C_{f, g}\right) \xrightarrow{H_{*}} \pi\left(V, C_{f} \widehat{*} C_{g}\right)
$$

is exact for $V$ with $\operatorname{dim} V \leqq p+q+\min (r+1, p, q, \max (a, b))-2$.
Corollary 4.6 (Theorem 5.2 in [2]). If $X$ is $r$-connected, then the sequence

$$
\pi(V, X) \xrightarrow{\eta_{*}} \pi(V, \Omega S X) \xrightarrow{H_{*}} \pi(V, S X \widehat{*} S X)
$$

is exact for $V$ with $\operatorname{dim} V \leqq 3 r+1$.
Corollary 4.7. Assume $f$ and $g$ are cofibrations. Then the sequence

$$
\pi\left(V, E_{f \Delta g}\right) \xrightarrow{\bar{E}_{*}} \pi(V, \Omega \operatorname{Coker}\langle f: g\rangle) \xrightarrow{\bar{H}_{*}} \pi(V, C \widehat{*} D)
$$

is exact for $V$ with $\operatorname{dim} V \leqq p+q+\min (r+1, p, q, \max (a, b))-2$, where $C, D$ are cofibres of $f, g$ respectively, $\operatorname{Coker}\langle f: g\rangle$ is the quotient space obtained from $A \vee B$ by the identifications $f(x)=g(x), x \in X$ and $\bar{E}, \bar{H}$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{E}(x, \alpha \times \beta)(t)= \begin{cases}\alpha(2 t) & 0 \leqq 2 t \leqq 1, \\
\beta(2-2 t) & 1 \leqq 2 t \leqq 2,\end{cases} \\
& \bar{H}=i(\Omega q), q: \text { Coker}\langle f: g\rangle \rightarrow \text { Coker }\langle f: g\rangle / X=C \vee D, i: \Omega(C \vee D) \rightarrow C \hat{x} D .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.5 that
Theorem of ganea. Let $g: X \rightarrow B$ be a cofibration with $m$-connected cofibre $D$ and let $X$ be $(n-1)$-connected. If there is a homotopy equivalence $\theta: S V \rightarrow D$ such that the composite

$$
V \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}} \Omega D \equiv \Omega C_{g} \xrightarrow{\bar{H}} S X \hat{*} D
$$

is null-homotopic, where $\bar{\theta}$ is adjoint to $\theta$, and if $\operatorname{dim} V \leqq n+m+\min (m, n)-2$, then $g$ is induced by some map $u: V \rightarrow X$.

Now we strengthen the notion of principal cofibrations introduced in [10] as follows. Let $A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{q} C$ be a cofibration with cofibre $C=B / A$ and let $C$
be an $H^{\prime}$-space which is homotopy associative. We say that $i$ is a principal cofibration in the restricted sense, if there exist maps

$$
\mu^{\prime}: B \rightarrow C \vee B, \quad h: C \rightarrow \operatorname{Coker}\langle i ; i\rangle
$$

subject to the following conditions:
(i) $\left(1_{c} \vee q\right) \mu^{\prime}=\mu_{0}^{\prime} q$, where $\mu_{0}^{\prime}: C \rightarrow C \vee C$ is the comultiplication,
(ii) $\mu^{\prime} i=i_{2} i_{,}\left\{i_{2}, \mu^{\prime}\right\} h \simeq i_{1}$ where $i_{1}: C \rightarrow C \vee B, i_{2}: B \rightarrow C \vee B$ are the injections and $\left\{i_{2}, \mu^{\prime}\right\}: \operatorname{Coker}\langle i: i\rangle \rightarrow C \vee B$ is the map determined by $i_{2}$ and $\mu^{\prime}$,
(iii) $\left(\mu_{0}^{\prime} \vee 1_{B}\right) \mu^{\prime} \simeq{ }^{A}\left(1_{c} \vee \mu^{\prime}\right) \mu^{\prime}$ where $\simeq^{A}$ indicates "is homotopic rel. $A$ to",
(iv) $\left\{h, j_{1}\right\} \mu^{\prime} \simeq{ }^{4} j_{2}$ where $j_{1}, j_{2}: B \rightarrow$ Coker $\langle i: i\rangle$ denote the injections,
(v) $\left\{0,1_{B}\right\} \mu^{\prime}={ }^{A} 1_{B}$.

Then we can readily verify the following properties:
(vi) $\left\{h, j_{1}\right\}: C \vee B \rightarrow \operatorname{Coker}\langle i: i\rangle$ and $\left\{i_{2}, \mu^{\prime}\right\}: \operatorname{Coker}\langle i ; i\rangle \rightarrow C \vee B$ are mutually inverse homotopy equivalences.
(vii) $C \xrightarrow{h}$ Coker $\langle i: i\rangle \xrightarrow{\left\{1_{B}, 1_{R}\right\}} B$ is null-homotopic.
(viii) The composite $C \xrightarrow{h} \operatorname{Coker}\langle i: i\rangle / A=C \vee C$ is homotopic to $C \xrightarrow{\mu_{0}^{\prime}} C \vee C \xrightarrow{1 c \vee \omega} C \vee C \xrightarrow{\tau} C \vee C$ where $\omega$ is the inversion and $\tau$ is switching map.

With these preliminaries we can prove
Theorem 4.10. Let $A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{q} C$ be a principal cofibration in the restricted sense such that $A$ is $m$-connected and $C$ is an n-connected $C W$-complex with dim $C \leqq 2 n+\min (m, n)-1$. Suppose given an $H^{\prime}$ homotopy equivalence $\theta_{0}: S V \rightarrow C$. If $V$ has the homotopy type of a CW-complex, then there exist a map $u: V \rightarrow A$ and a homotopy equivalence $\hat{i}: C_{u} \rightarrow B$ with induced cofibre equivalence $\theta_{0}$ so that the diagram

is homotopy commutative, where $m^{\prime}$ is the coaction of $S V$ on $C_{u}$.
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Added in proof. There is an error in computing the connectedness of $C_{f, g}$ in §6 of [6]. Theorem 6.2 of [6] should be stated as follows: Let $f, g$ be $p$, $q$-connected respectively and let $X, A, B$ be $r$-, $a$-, b-connected respectively. Then $\rho$ is $[p+q+\min (p, q, \max (a, b))-1]$-connected and $\nu$ is $\lceil p+q+\min (p, q$, $r+1$, $\max (a, b))-2]$-connected. The word $" \min (p, q, r+1)$ " in Lemma 6.6 and Theorem 6.8 of [6] should be replaced by the one $" \min (p, q, r+1, \max (a, b))$ ".
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