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#### Abstract

MacMahon devoted a significant portion of Volume II of his famous book Combinatory Analysis to the introduction of Partition Analysis as a computational method for solving combinatorial problems in connection with systems of linear diophantine inequalities and equations. In a series of papers we have shown that MacMahon's method turns into an extremely powerful tool when implemented in computer algebra. In this note we explain how the use of the package Omega developed by the authors has led to a generalisation of a classical counting problem related to triangles with sides of integer length.


## 1. Introduction

In his famous book Combinatory Analysis [12, Volume II, Section VIII, pp. 91170] MacMahon introduced Partition Analysis as a computational method for solving combinatorial problems in connection with systems of linear diophantine inequalities and equations.

We shall use MacMahon's method and the Omega package for a study of a classical combinatorial problem related to triangles with sides of integer size. We start out by stating the well-known base case which has been discussed at various places; see for example [11, 9, 1, 10, 8], and [13, Chapter 4, Exercise 16].

Problem 1. Let $t_{3}(n)$ be the number of non-congruent triangles whose sides have integer length and whose perimeter is $n$. For instance, $t_{3}(9)=3$, corresponding to $3+3+3,2+3+4,1+4+4$. Find $\sum_{n \geqslant 3} t_{3}(n) q^{n}$.

Obviously the corresponding generating function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{3}(q):=\sum_{n \geqslant 3} t_{3}(n) q^{n}=\sum^{*} q^{a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Received 5th March, 2001
The first author was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-9206993. The third author was supported by SFB Grant F1305 of the Austrian FWF.
where $\sum^{*}$ is the restricted summation over all positive integer triples ( $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ ) satisfying $a_{1} \leqslant a_{2} \leqslant a_{3}$ and $a_{1}+a_{2}>a_{3}$.

In order to see how Partition Analysis can be used to compute a closed form representation for $\sum_{n \geqslant 3} t_{3}(n) q^{n}$, we need to recall the key ingredient of MacMahon's method, the Omega operator $\Omega_{\geqq}$.

Definition 1: The operator $\Omega_{\geqq}$is given by

$$
\underset{\geqq}{\Omega} \sum_{s_{1}=-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{s_{r}=-\infty}^{\infty} A_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}} \lambda_{1}^{s_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{r}^{s_{r}}:=\sum_{s_{1}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{s_{r}=0}^{\infty} A_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}},
$$

where the domain of the $A_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}$ is the field of rational functions over $\mathbb{C}$ in several complex variables and the $\lambda_{i}$ are restricted to a neighbourhood of the circle $\left|\lambda_{i}\right|=1$. In addition, the $A_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}$ are required to be such that any of the series involved is absolutely convergent within the domain of the definition of $A_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}$.

We emphasize that it is essential to treat everything analytically rather than formally, because the method relies on unique Laurent series representations of rational functions.

Another fundamental aspect of Partition Analysis is the use of elimination rules which describe the action of the Omega operator on certain base cases. MacMahon begins the discussion of his method by presenting a catalog [12, Volume II, pp. 102-106] of fundamental evaluations. Subsequently he extends this table by new rules whenever he is forced to do so. Once found, most of these fundamental rules are easy to prove. This is illustrated by the following examples which are taken from MacMahon [12, Volume II, Article 354, p.106].

Proposition 1. For integer $s \geqslant 0$ and variables $A, B$ being free of $\lambda$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{\geqq}{\Omega} \frac{\lambda^{-s}}{(1-\lambda A)\left(1-\frac{B}{\lambda}\right)}=\frac{A^{s}}{(1-A)(1-A B)} ;  \tag{2}\\
& \underset{\geqq}{\Omega} \frac{\lambda^{s}}{(1-\lambda A)\left(1-\frac{B}{\lambda}\right)}=\frac{1-A B-B^{s+1}+A B^{s+1}}{(1-A)(1-B)(1-A B)} . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: Rule (3) is a special case of the more general rule (13); see Lemma 2. Rule (2) is proved as follows. By geometric series expansion the left-hand side equals

$$
\underset{\geqq}{\Omega} \sum_{i, j \geqslant 0} \lambda^{i-j-s} A^{i} B^{j}=\underset{\geqq}{\Omega} \sum_{j, k \geqslant 0} \lambda^{k} A^{k+j+s} B^{j},
$$

where the summation parameter $i$ has then been replaced by $k+j+s$. But now $\Omega_{\geqq}$sets $\lambda$ to 1 , which completes the proof.

Now we are ready for deriving the closed form expression for $T_{3}(q)$ with Partition Analysis.

First, in order to get rid of the diophantine constraints, one rewrites the restricted sum expression in (1) into what MacMahon has called the "crude form" of the generating function,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{3}(q) & =\underset{\geqq}{\Omega} \sum_{a_{1} \geqslant 1, a_{2}, a_{3} \geqslant 0} \lambda_{1}^{a_{2}-a_{1}} \lambda_{2}^{a_{3}-a_{2}} \lambda_{3}^{a_{1}+a_{2}-a_{3}-1} q^{a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}} \\
& =\underset{\geqq}{\Omega} \frac{q \lambda_{1}^{-1}}{\left(1-q \frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left(1-q \frac{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)\left(1-q \frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{3}}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last line is by geometric series summation.
Next by applying again rule (2) we eliminate successively $\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}$, and $\lambda_{3}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{3}(q) & =\underset{\Omega}{\Omega} \frac{q \lambda_{1}^{-1}}{\left(1-q \frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left(1-\frac{q}{\lambda_{3}}\right)\left(1-q^{2} \lambda_{1}\right)} \\
& =\underset{\geqq}{\Omega} \frac{q^{3}}{\left(1-q^{2}\right)\left(1-q^{3} \lambda_{3}\right)\left(1-\frac{q}{\lambda_{3}}\right)} \\
& =\frac{q^{3}}{\left(1-q^{2}\right)\left(1-q^{3}\right)\left(1-q^{4}\right)} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

This completes the generating function computation and Problem 1 is solved.
With our package Omega the whole computation can be done automatically and in one stroke. (Omega is available at
http://www.risc.uni-linz.ac.at/research/combinat/risc/software/Omega .)
Note that setting-up the crude generating function is done also by the package:

```
\(\ln [1]:=\) <<Omega2.m
Out[1]= Axel Riese's Omega implementation version 2.33 loaded
\(\ln [2]:=\) OSum \(\left[q^{a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}},\left\{a_{2} \geq a_{1}, a_{3} \geq a_{2}, a_{1}+a_{2}>a_{3}, a_{1} \geq 1\right\}, \lambda\right]\)
    Assuming \(a_{2} \geq 0\)
    Assuming \(a_{3} \geq 0\)
Out[2]= \(\underset{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}}{\Omega} \frac{q}{\lambda_{1}\left(1-\frac{q \lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{3}}\right)\left(1-\frac{q \lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left(1-\frac{q \lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)}\)
\(\ln [3]:=\operatorname{OR}[\%]\)
    Eliminating \(\lambda_{3} .\).
    Eliminating \(\lambda_{2} \ldots\)
    Eliminating \(\lambda_{1} \ldots\)
Out[4]=
                        \(\frac{q^{3}}{\left(1-q^{2}\right)\left(1-q^{3}\right)\left(1-q^{4}\right)}\)
```

As already pointed out in [2], with Partition Analysis one is able to derive much more information. Namely, we can consider the full generating function

$$
S_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right):=\sum^{*} x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}} x_{3}^{a_{3}},
$$

where $\sum^{*}$ denotes again the restricted summation over all positive integer triples $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ satisfying $a_{1} \leqslant a_{2} \leqslant a_{3}$ and $a_{1}+a_{2}>a_{3}$. On this expression we can carry out essentially the same Partition Analysis steps as above to obtain a closed form expression. For the crude form one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) & =\underset{\geqq}{\Omega} \sum_{a_{1} \geqslant 1, a_{2}, a_{3} \geqslant 0} \lambda_{1}^{a_{2}-a_{1}} \lambda_{2}^{a_{3}-a_{2}} \lambda_{3}^{a_{1}+a_{2}-a_{3}-1} x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}} x_{3}^{a_{3}} \\
& =\underset{\geqq}{\Omega} \frac{x_{1} \lambda_{1}^{-1}}{\geqq\left(1-x_{1} \frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left(1-x_{2} \frac{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)\left(1-x_{3} \frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{3}}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next by applying again rule (2), we eliminate successively $\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}$, and $\lambda_{3}$ as above and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) & =\underset{\geqq}{\Omega} \frac{x_{1} \lambda_{1}^{-1}}{\left(1-x_{1} \frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left(1-\frac{x_{3}}{\lambda_{3}}\right)\left(1-x_{2} x_{3} \lambda_{1}\right)} \\
& =\underset{\geqq}{\Omega} \frac{x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}}{\geqq\left(1-x_{2} x_{3}\right)\left(1-x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \lambda_{3}\right)\left(1-\frac{x_{3}}{\lambda_{3}}\right)} \\
& =\frac{x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}}{\left(1-x_{2} x_{3}\right)\left(1-x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\right)\left(1-x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}^{2}\right)} . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

This not only generalises the generating function $T_{3}(q)$, that is, $T_{3}(q)=S_{3}(q, q, q)$, but gives rise also to a complete, parameterised solution of the underlying diophantine set of equations

$$
1 \leqslant a_{1}, a_{1} \leqslant a_{2}, a_{2} \leqslant a_{3}, \text { and } a_{1}+a_{2}>a_{3}
$$

This can be seen by a geometric series expansion of (5), namely

$$
S_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\sum_{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3} \geqslant 0} x_{1}^{n_{2}+n_{3}+1} x_{2}^{n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+1} x_{3}^{n_{1}+n_{2}+2 n_{3}+1} .
$$

In other words, by choosing

$$
a_{1}=n_{2}+n_{3}+1, a_{2}=n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+1, \text { and } a_{3}=n_{1}+n_{2}+2 n_{3}+1,
$$

and running through all non-negative integers $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}$, one constructs in a one-to-one fashion all non-degenerate triangles with sides of integer size.

In [3] we considered the following generalisation of the triangle problem to $k$-gons where $k \geqslant 3$.

DEfinition 2: Define the set of non-degenerate $k$-gon partitions into positive parts by

$$
\tau_{k}:=\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{k} \mid 1 \leqslant a_{1} \leqslant a_{2} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant a_{k} \text { and } a_{1}+\cdots+a_{k-1}>a_{k}\right\}
$$

Define the set of non-degenerate $k$-gon partitions of $n$ into positive parts by

$$
\tau_{k}(n):=\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right) \in \tau_{k} \mid a_{1}+\cdots+a_{k}=n\right\}
$$

The corresponding cardinality is denoted by

$$
t_{k}(n):=\left|\tau_{k}(n)\right|
$$

The term "non-degenerate" refers to the restriction to strict inequality, that is, to $a_{1}+\cdots+a_{k-1}>a_{k}$. In the form of (4) we computed a rational expression for $T_{3}(q)=\sum_{n \geqslant 3} t_{3}(n) q^{n}$. With the Omega package in hand, we were able to compute also the next cases in a purely mechanical manner. For instance,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n \geqslant 4} t_{4}(n) q^{n}=\frac{q^{4}\left(1+q+q^{5}\right)}{\left(1-q^{2}\right)\left(1-q^{3}\right)\left(1-q^{4}\right)\left(1-q^{6}\right)}  \tag{6}\\
& \sum_{n \geqslant 5} t_{5}(n) q^{n}=\frac{q^{5}\left(1-q^{11}\right)}{(1-q)\left(1-q^{2}\right)\left(1-q^{4}\right)\left(1-q^{5}\right)\left(1-q^{6}\right)\left(1-q^{8}\right)} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geqslant 6} t_{6}(n) q^{n}=\frac{q^{6}\left(1-q^{4}+q^{5}+q^{7}-q^{8}-q^{13}\right)}{(1-q)\left(1-q^{2}\right)\left(1-q^{3}\right)\left(1-q^{4}\right)\left(1-q^{6}\right)\left(1-q^{8}\right)\left(1-q^{10}\right)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From these results we were able to derive a number of partition theoretical consequences. However, despite the fact that the particular instances of $\sum_{n \geqslant k} t_{k}(n) q^{n}$ can be computed so easily, we were not able to find a common underlying pattern. So we stated as an open problem:

Problem 2. In view of the generating function representations (4), (6), (7), and (8): is it possible to find a common pattern for all possible choices of $k$ ?

In Section 2 we provide an affirmative answer to this problem. More precisely, we give closed form expressions for $T_{k}(q)$ as well as for the corresponding general version $S_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ defined as follows:

Definition 3: For integer $k \geqslant 3$,

$$
T_{k}(q):=\sum_{n \geqslant k} t_{k}(n) q^{n}
$$

and

$$
S_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right):=\sum_{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right) \in \tau_{k}} x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{k}^{a_{k}}
$$

Finally, Section 3 provides some concluding remarks.

## 2. Generating Functions for $k$-Gon Partitions

In this section we prove the following main result for $k$-gon partitions.
Theorem 1. Let $k \geqslant 3$ and $X_{i}=x_{i} \cdots x_{k}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=\frac{X_{1}}{\left(1-X_{1}\right)\left(1-X_{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-X_{k}\right)} \\
& \quad-\frac{X_{1} X_{k}^{k-2}}{1-X_{k}} \frac{1}{\left(1-X_{k-1}\right)\left(1-X_{k-2} X_{k}\right)\left(1-X_{k-3} X_{k}^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-X_{1} X_{k}^{k-2}\right)} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $T_{k}(q)=S_{k}(q, \ldots, q)$, Theorem 1 implies the desired generating function representation.

Corollary 1. For $k \geqslant 3$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k}(q)=\frac{q^{k}}{(1-q)\left(1-q^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-q^{k}\right)}-\frac{q^{2 k-2}}{1-q} \frac{1}{\left(1-q^{2}\right)\left(1-q^{4}\right) \cdots\left(1-q^{2 k-2}\right)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. It is easily verified that (10) brings the representations (4), (6), (7), and (8) for the special cases $k=3,4,5,6$ under one umbrella.

We shall prove Theorem 1 with Partition Analysis. To this end we first need the crude form of $S_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$.

Proposition 2. For $k \geqslant 3$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \\
& \quad=\underset{\geqq}{\Omega} \frac{x_{1} \lambda_{1}^{-1}}{\left(1-x_{1} \frac{\lambda_{k}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left(1-x_{2} \frac{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{k}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)\left(1-x_{3} \frac{\lambda_{2} \lambda_{k}}{\lambda_{3}}\right) \cdots\left(1-x_{k-1} \frac{\lambda_{k-2} \lambda_{k}}{\lambda_{k-1}}\right)\left(1-x_{k} \frac{\lambda_{k-1}}{\lambda_{k}}\right)} . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: For fixed integer $k \geqslant 3$,

$$
S_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=\underset{\substack{\Omega \\ a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k} \geqslant 0}}{\Omega} \sum_{1}^{a_{1} \geqslant 1} x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{k}^{a_{k}} \lambda_{1}^{a_{2}-a_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{k-1}^{a_{k}-a_{k-1}} \lambda_{k}^{a_{1}+\cdots+a_{k-1}-a_{k}-1}
$$

by the definition of $\Omega_{\geqq}$. The rest follows by geometric series summation.
The next step is the successive elimination of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{k-1}$ from the crude form (11). For this it is convenient to introduce a lemma.

Lemma 1. Let $k \geqslant 3$ and let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}$ be free of $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k-1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{\Omega}{\geqq} \frac{y_{1} \lambda_{1}^{-1}}{\left(1-\frac{y_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left(1-y_{2} \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\right) \cdots\left(1-y_{k-1} \frac{\lambda_{k-2}}{\lambda_{k-1}}\right)\left(1-y_{k} \lambda_{k-1}\right)} \\
&=\frac{y_{1} \cdots y_{k}}{\left(1-y_{k}\right)\left(1-y_{k-1} y_{k}\right) \cdots\left(1-y_{1} \cdots y_{k}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: We proceed by induction on $k$. For $k=3$,

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\stackrel{y_{1} \lambda_{1}^{-1}}{\geqq} \frac{y_{1} \lambda_{1}^{-1}}{\left(1-\frac{y_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left(1-y_{2} \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)\left(1-y_{3} \lambda_{2}\right)} & =\stackrel{\Omega}{\geqq}\left(1-\frac{y_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left(1-y_{3}\right)\left(1-y_{2} y_{3} \lambda_{1}\right) & \text { by (2) with } s=0 \\
& =\frac{y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}}{\left(1-y_{2} y_{3}\right)\left(1-y_{3}\right)\left(1-y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}\right)} & \text { by (2) with } s=1 .
\end{array}
$$

For the induction step we apply again rule (2) with $s=0$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\stackrel{\Omega}{\geqq} \frac{y_{1} \lambda_{1}^{-1}}{\left(1-\frac{y_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left(1-y_{2} \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\right) \cdots\left(1-y_{k-1} \frac{\lambda_{k-2}}{\lambda_{k-1}}\right)\left(1-y_{k} \frac{\lambda_{k-1}}{\lambda_{k}}\right)\left(1-y_{k+1} \lambda_{k}\right)} \\
=\frac{1}{1-y_{k+1}} \Omega \frac{y_{1} \lambda_{1}^{-1}}{\geqq} \frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{y_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left(1-y_{2} \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\right) \cdots\left(1-y_{k-1} \frac{\lambda_{k-2}}{\lambda_{k-1}}\right)\left(1-y_{k} y_{k+1} \lambda_{k-1}\right)} \\
=\frac{1}{1-y_{k+1}} \frac{y_{1} \cdots y_{k+1}}{\left(1-y_{k} y_{k+1}\right)\left(1-y_{k-1} y_{k} y_{k+1}\right) \cdots\left(1-y_{1} \cdots y_{k+1}\right)} ;
\end{array}
$$

for the last line we used the induction hypothesis.
Now we are in the position to state the crude form of $S_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$.
Proposition 3. Let $k \geqslant 3$ and $X_{i}=x_{i} \cdots x_{k}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=\frac{X_{1}}{1-X_{k-1}} \underset{\geqq}{\Omega} \frac{\lambda_{k}^{k-3}}{\left(1-\frac{X_{k}}{\lambda_{k}}\right)\left(1-X_{k-2} \lambda_{k}\right)\left(1-X_{k-3} \lambda_{k}^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-X_{1} \lambda_{k}^{k-2}\right)} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: By Proposition 2,

$$
S_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=\underset{\geqq}{\geqq} \frac{y_{1} \lambda_{1}^{-1} \lambda_{k}}{\left(1-\frac{y_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left(1-y_{2} \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\right) \cdots\left(1-y_{k-1} \frac{\lambda_{k-2}}{\lambda_{k-1}}\right)\left(1-y_{k} \lambda_{k-1}\right)},
$$

where $y_{1}=x_{1} \lambda_{k}, \ldots, y_{k-1}=x_{k-1} \lambda_{k}$ and $y_{k}=x_{k} / \lambda_{k}$. By Lemma 1 this is equal to

$$
\underset{\geqq}{\Omega} \frac{x_{1} \cdots x_{k} \lambda_{k}^{k-3}}{\left(1-\frac{x_{k}}{\lambda_{k}}\right)\left(1-x_{k-1} x_{k}\right)\left(1-x_{k-2} x_{k-1} x_{k} \lambda_{k}\right) \cdots\left(1-x_{1} \cdots x_{k} \lambda_{k}^{k-2}\right)}
$$

which is the right-hand side of (12).
In order to complete the proof of Theorem 1 we need another elementary lemma; namely, the special case $m=1, k=1$, and $j_{i}=i$ of our reduction algorithm described in [4]. However, for the sake of better readability we state and prove it explicitly.

Lemma 2. Let $k \geqslant 1, a \geqslant 0$, and let $y, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}$ be free of $\lambda$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{\Omega}{\geqq} \frac{\lambda^{a}}{\left(1-\frac{y}{\lambda}\right)\left(1-y_{1} \lambda\right)\left(1-y_{2} \lambda^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-y_{k} \lambda^{k}\right)}  \tag{13}\\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\left(1-y_{1}\right) \cdots\left(1-y_{k}\right)(1-y)}-\frac{y^{a+1}}{\left(1-y_{1} y\right)\left(1-y_{2} y^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-y_{k} y^{k}\right)(1-y)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2. Formula (3) of Proposition 1 is the special case $k=1$.
Proof: The left hand-side of (13) equals

$$
\Omega_{\geqq}^{\Omega} \sum_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k} \geqslant 0} \sum_{r \geqslant 0} y_{1}^{s_{1}} \cdots y_{k}^{s_{k}} y^{r} \lambda^{1 \cdot s_{1}+2 \cdot s_{2}+\cdots+k \cdot s_{k}+a-r}=\sum_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k} \geqslant 0} y_{1}^{s_{1}} \cdots y_{k}^{s_{k}} \sum_{r=0}^{1 \cdot s_{1}+\cdots+k \cdot s_{k}+a} y^{r}
$$

and the lemma follows by applying $\sum_{r=0}^{m} y^{r}=\left(1-y^{m+1}\right) /(1-y)$.
Finally we come to the proof of Theorem 1.
Proof: [Proof of Theorem 1] By Proposition 3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \\
& =\frac{X_{1}}{1-X_{k-1}} \Omega \frac{\lambda_{k}^{k-3}}{\geqq} \frac{X_{1}}{\left(1-\frac{X_{k}}{\lambda_{k}}\right)\left(1-X_{k-2} \lambda_{k}\right)\left(1-X_{k-3} \lambda_{k}^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-X_{1} \lambda_{k}^{k-2}\right)} \\
& =
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
1-X_{k-1} & \left(\frac{1}{\left(1-X_{1}\right)\left(1-X_{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-X_{k-2}\right)\left(1-X_{k}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{X_{k}^{k-2}}{\left(1-X_{k-2} X_{k}\right)\left(1-X_{k-3} X_{k}^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-X_{1} X_{k}^{k-2}\right)\left(1-X_{k}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality is by Lemma 2 with $a=k-3$ and $y=X_{k}, y_{1}=X_{k-2}, y_{2}=$ $X_{k-3}, \ldots, y_{k-2}=X_{1}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1 .

## 3. Conclusion

As shown in a series of articles $[\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}]$, Partition Analysis is ideally suited to supplementation by computer algebra methods. In these papers the Mathematica package Omega which had been developed by the authors, was used as an essential tool.

The Omega package played a crucial role also in discovering Theorem 1 above. However, it is important to note that the computations (4), (6), (7), and (8) for $T_{k}(q)$ with $k=3,4,5,6$ have not led us to Theorem 1. Rather, the main point in the study of $k$-gon partitions was the careful Omega investigation of the full generating function $S_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$. Only in this generality was the underlying pattern finally revealed.

Another remark concerns the constructive use of Theorem 1. As a matter of fact, formula (9) can be used to construct $k$-gon partitions in the same way as with the special case (5), which was explained in the introduction.

In [2] refinements of the base case $k=3$ of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 have been considered. We expect that experiments with the Omega package will lead to more general results in this direction.
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