

INVERSE SEMIGROUP HOMOMORPHISMS VIA PARTIAL GROUP ACTIONS

BENJAMIN STEINBERG

This paper constructs all homomorphisms of inverse semigroups which factor through an E -unitary inverse semigroup; the construction is in terms of a semilattice component and a group component. It is shown that such homomorphisms have a unique factorisation $\beta\alpha$ with α preserving the maximal group image, β idempotent separating, and the domain I of β E -unitary; moreover, the P -representation of I is explicitly constructed. This theory, in particular, applies whenever the domain or codomain of a homomorphism is E -unitary. Stronger results are obtained for the case of F -inverse monoids.

Special cases of our results include the P -theorem and the factorisation theorem for homomorphisms from E -unitary inverse semigroups (via idempotent pure followed by idempotent separating). We also deduce a criterion of McAlister–Reilly for the existence of E -unitary covers over a group, as well as a generalisation to F -inverse covers, allowing a quick proof that every inverse monoid has an F -inverse cover.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

The class of E -unitary inverse semigroups has received special attention in the semigroup theory literature. These are inverse semigroups with an idempotent pure homomorphism to a group. McAlister’s P -theorem [5], under a reformulation below, states that all E -unitary inverse semigroups can be constructed as the “semidirect product” of a group and a semilattice where the group acts partially on the semilattice. A result of Munn and Reilly [8] shows that every homomorphism from an E -unitary inverse semigroup factors as an idempotent pure homomorphism followed by an idempotent separating homomorphism.

In this paper, we generalise both these results simultaneously. If S is an inverse semigroup, σ_S will denote its minimal group congruence, $G(S)$ its maximal group image and $E(S)$ its set of idempotents. We give an explicit construction of all homomorphisms from S which factor through an E -unitary inverse semigroup. The construction builds

Received 5th December, 2000

The author was supported in part by Praxis XXI scholarship BPD 16306 98 and by FCT through *Centro de Matemática da Universidade do Porto*

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/01 \$A2.00+0.00.

the homomorphism out of pieces defined on $E(S)$ and on $G(S)$. More precisely (undefined terms will be defined in the text):

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $\varphi : S \rightarrow T$ be a homomorphism of inverse semigroups. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *The image under φ of each σ_S -class of S is compatible;*
2. *$\varphi = \beta_0\alpha_0$ with $\alpha_0 : S \rightarrow I_0$, $\beta_0 : I_0 \rightarrow T$ homomorphisms and I_0 E -unitary;*
3. *$\varphi = \beta\alpha$ with $\alpha : S \rightarrow I$ a surjective, maximal group image preserving homomorphism, $\beta : I \rightarrow T$ idempotent separating, and I E -unitary;*
4. *There is a dual prehomomorphism $\rho : G(S) \rightarrow C(T)$ such that $\varphi(s) \leq \rho(\sigma(s))$ for all $s \in S$;*
5. *There is a compatible pair $\psi : E(S) \rightarrow E(T)$, $\rho : G(S) \rightarrow C(T)$ such that $\varphi(s) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho(\sigma(s))$.*

Moreover, α and β are unique, there is a unique minimal choice of (ψ, ρ) , and I is the P -semigroup $P_{(\psi, \rho)}$ associated to (ψ, ρ) .

Note that by considering the case when S is E -unitary, we obtain the aforementioned result of Munn and Reilly [8]. Theorem 1.1 allows the construction of a P -representation of an E -unitary inverse semigroup from any idempotent separating image.

Roughly speaking, a compatible pair is a way of coordinatising a homomorphism into two components: one component is a semilattice homomorphism; the other is a dual prehomomorphism from a group. In the case of an E -unitary inverse semigroup acting on a set X , the theorem (or rather a variant thereof proved below) states that the action is obtained from a partial group action of the maximal group image (see [2, 4, 11] for the importance of partial group actions) by restricting the domains of the various group elements. More specifically, we have

THEOREM 1.2. *Let S be an inverse semigroup and X a set. Suppose S acts on X with the property: $s \sigma_S t$ implies $s \cdot$ and $t \cdot$ agree on the overlap of their domains. Then there is a partial action of $G(S)$ on X and an action of $E(S)$ on S such that, for all $s \in S$, the action of $\sigma(s)(s^{-1}s)\sigma(s)$ is the action of ss^{-1} , and $sx = (ss^{-1})\sigma(s)x$; the converse holds as well. In particular, if S is E -unitary, this is always the case.*

The action of $E(S)$ in the above theorem is unique, while there is a unique minimal choice of the partial group action (where minimality is in terms of the size of the domain of the action of the elements).

It is shown in [3], based on earlier work of Exel [2], that dual prehomomorphisms $\varphi : G \rightarrow T$, where G is a group, correspond bijectively to homomorphisms $\psi : G^{\text{Pr}} \rightarrow T$ where G^{Pr} is the prefix expansion of Birget-Rhodes [1]. This is an F -inverse monoid with maximal group image G , finite \mathcal{D} -classes, and a transparent structure. Thus, in some sense, homomorphisms from arbitrary E -unitary inverse semigroups can be reduced to homomorphisms from semilattices and from F -inverse monoids with finite \mathcal{D} -classes.

As a corollary of Theorem 1.1 we shall be able to deduce the following result of McAlister and Reilly [6].

COROLLARY 1.3. *An inverse semigroup S has an E -unitary cover over a group G if and only if there is a dual prehomomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow C(S)$ such that, for $s \in S$, $s \leq \rho(g)$ for some $g \in G$.*

We note that the equivalence of 1, 2, and 3 can be deduced in a straightforward manner from the usual factorisation theorem [4, Theorem 7.5.3]. But we prefer the constructive approach afforded by compatible pairs since it both builds explicitly the P -representation of I , and provides a simple coordinatisation into semilattice and group components.

We also extend Theorem 1.1 to F -inverse monoids (where things simplify because $C(T)$ is not needed). In particular we shall be able to deduce the following generalisation of Corollary 1.3.

COROLLARY 1.4. *An inverse monoid S has an F -inverse cover over a group G if and only if there is a dual prehomomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow S$ such that, for $s \in S$, $s \leq \rho(g)$ for some $g \in G$.*

We shall then easily obtain the following result of [8] which clearly implies the McAlister-Tilson covering theorem.

THEOREM 1.5. *Let S be an inverse monoid, then S has an F -inverse cover. As a consequence, every inverse semigroup has an E -unitary cover.*

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

Fix an inverse semigroup S . Recall that the *natural partial order* on S is given by $s \leq t$ if $s = ss^{-1}t$. We let $\sigma : S \rightarrow G(S)$ denote the canonical projection.

One says that $s, t \in S$ are *compatible*, written $s \sim t$, if $st^{-1}, s^{-1}t \in E(S)$. Intuitively, if S acts faithfully by partial bijections on the left of a set X , then s and t are compatible precisely when their union (as a relation) is a partial bijection. The relation \sim is not in general transitive; in fact, S is E -unitary if and only if \sim is transitive, in which case $\sim = \sigma_S$ [4, Theorems 2.4.4 and 2.4.6].

A subset A of S is said to be *compatible* if each pair of its elements is compatible. Following Schein [9], a subset which is a compatible order ideal is called *permissible*. For example, for $s \in S$, $[s] = \{t \in S \mid t \leq s\}$ is easily seen to be permissible. If S is E -unitary, $\sigma^{-1}(g)$ is permissible for all $g \in G(S)$. One says that S is *complete* if each compatible subset has a join. For instance, the symmetric inverse monoid on X , $I(X)$, is complete. Observe that if A is any subset of $I(X)$ having a join, A must be compatible.

An inverse semigroup is called *infinitely distributive* if multiplication distributes over arbitrary joins (whenever they exist). One can show S is infinitely distributive if and only if $E(S)$ is. Thus $I(X)$ is infinitely distributive. Let $C(S)$ be the set of permissible

subsets of S . Then $C(S)$ is an inverse semigroup under setwise multiplication. There is a natural embedding of S in $C(S)$ by $s \mapsto [s]$. One can show [4, Theorems 1.4.24 and 1.4.25] that $C(S)$ is complete and infinitely distributive, and that the above embedding is universal. The Preston–Wagner representation affords another embedding of S into a complete, infinitely distributive inverse semigroup.

A map $\varphi : S \rightarrow T$ is called a *prehomomorphism* if $\varphi(st) \leq \varphi(s)\varphi(t)$. This is equivalent to asking that φ preserve order and that if $s^{-1}s = tt^{-1}$, then $\varphi(st) = \varphi(s)\varphi(t)$ [4]. Also φ is a homomorphism if and only if it preserves products of idempotents.

One says that a map $\varphi : S \rightarrow T$ of inverse semigroups is a *dual prehomomorphism* if $\varphi(s)\varphi(t) \leq \varphi(st)$ and $\varphi(s^{-1}) = \varphi(s)^{-1}$. Dual prehomomorphisms take idempotents to idempotents. Indeed

$$\varphi(e) = \varphi(e)\varphi(e)^{-1}\varphi(e) = \varphi(e)^3 \leq \varphi(e^3) = \varphi(e).$$

If $\varphi : S \rightarrow T$ is a homomorphism, we set $\ker \varphi = \varphi^{-1}(E(T))$ and $\text{tr}(\varphi) = \varphi|_{E(S)}$. We use a similar notation for congruences. Any congruence is uniquely determined by its kernel and its trace [4]. A surjective, idempotent separating homomorphism is called a *cover*.

If (X, \leq) is a partially ordered set, we use $I(X, \leq)$ for the inverse monoid of partial order isomorphisms of X whose domains are order ideals. If G is a group, a partial action of G on X consists of a dual prehomomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow I(X, \leq)$ with $\rho(1) = 1$. One usually writes gx for $\rho(g)(x)$. If X is set ordered by equality, this is the usual notion (see [2, 4]). If X is a semilattice, then the partial action automatically preserves meets.

If Y is a semilattice and G is a group acting partially on Y with the property that, for each $g \in G$, there exists $y \in Y$ with $g^{-1}y$ defined, then one can define an inverse semigroup

$$P(Y, G) = \{(y, g) \in Y \times G \mid \exists g^{-1}y\}.$$

One defines a product by

$$(y, g)(x, h) = (g(g^{-1}y \wedge x), gh).$$

It is easy to check this is well defined and that $(y, g)^{-1} = (g^{-1}y, g^{-1})$. Furthermore, the projection to G is an idempotent pure, surjective homomorphism whence $P(Y, G)$ is E -unitary. The P -theorem states that all E -unitary inverse semigroups arise in this manner. Note: the usual statement of the P -theorem differs slightly from, but is equivalent to, ours; see [3].

3. CONSTRUCTING HOMOMORPHISMS

We begin with a general method of constructing homomorphisms sending σ_S -classes to compatible subsets; of course, we aim to show that all such homomorphisms so arise.

3.1. COMPATIBLE PAIRS Let S, T be inverse semigroups. Suppose $\psi : E(S) \rightarrow E(T)$ is a homomorphism and $\rho : G(S) \rightarrow T$ is a dual prehomomorphism. We say (ψ, ρ) is a *compatible pair* if

$$(3.1) \quad \rho(g)\psi(s^{-1}s)\rho(g)^{-1} = \psi(ss^{-1}) \text{ for all } s \in \sigma^{-1}(g).$$

The intuition behind this is to axiomatise what is necessary to be able to define a homomorphism from $P(Y, G)$ to T by taking the product of a map defined on Y and a map defined on G .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let (ψ, ρ) be a compatible pair.*

1. $\psi(s^{-1}s) \leq \rho(\sigma(s))^{-1}\rho(\sigma(s))$ whence $e \leq s^{-1}s$ for some $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$ implies $\psi(e) \leq \rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g)$.
2. $e \leq s^{-1}s \implies \rho(\sigma(s))\psi(e)\rho(\sigma(s))^{-1} = \psi(ses^{-1})$.
3. $G(S)$ acts partially on $\psi(E(S))$ by $gf = \rho(g)f\rho(g)^{-1}$ if $f = \psi(e)$ with $e \leq s^{-1}s$ for some $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$. Also, if $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$, then $g^{-1}\psi(ss^{-1})$ is defined.

PROOF: For 1, observe that

$$\psi(s^{-1}s)\rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g) = \rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g)\psi(s^{-1}s)\rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g) = \psi(s^{-1}s)$$

by two applications of (3.1). The second statement is clear.

For 2,

$$\rho(g)\psi(e)\rho(g)^{-1} = \rho(g)\psi((se)^{-1}(se))\rho(g)^{-1} = \psi((se)(se)^{-1})$$

by (3.1).

For 3, observe first that $g\psi(e) \in \psi(E(S))$ by 2. To see that $g \cdot$ has domain an order ideal and that it preserves order, let $e \leq s^{-1}s$ with $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$ and $\psi(f) \leq \psi(e)$. Then $\psi(ef) = \psi(f)$ and $ef \leq s^{-1}s$ whence $g\psi(f)$ is defined. Moreover, $sefs^{-1} \leq ses^{-1}$ so $g\psi(f) \leq g\psi(e)$ by 2. Note that $1\psi(e) = \psi(e)$ by (3.1) with $s = e$.

Observe that if $e \leq s^{-1}s$, then $ses^{-1} \leq ss^{-1}$ and $s^{-1}ses^{-1}s = e$. It immediately follows that, for $f \in \psi(E(S))$, $g^{-1}(gf) = f$ whenever gf is defined whence $g \cdot$ and $g^{-1} \cdot$ are inverses.

Finally, suppose $h(gf)$ is defined with $f \in \psi(E(S))$. Since $h(gf)$ and $g^{-1}(gf)$ are defined, there exist $e, e' \in E(S)$ with $\psi(e) = gf = \psi(e')$, $e \leq t^{-1}t$ some $t \in \sigma^{-1}(h)$, and $e' \leq ss^{-1}$ some $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$. Then $ee' \leq ss^{-1}, t^{-1}t$ and $\psi(ee') = gf$ whence $h(gf) = \psi(tee't^{-1})$. Also $f = g^{-1}\psi(ee') = \psi(s^{-1}ee's)$. But

$$\begin{aligned} s^{-1}ee's &\leq s^{-1}(ss^{-1}t^{-1}t)s = (ts)^{-1}ts \text{ and } ts \in \sigma^{-1}(hg), \text{ so} \\ (hg)f &= \psi((ts)s^{-1}ee's(ts)^{-1}) = \psi(tee't^{-1}) = h(gf). \end{aligned}$$

The last statement is clear. □

Thus, given a compatible pair (ψ, ρ) , we can define the associated E -unitary inverse semigroup $P_{(\psi, \rho)} = P(\psi(E(S)), G(S))$. Observe that Proposition 3.1 (1) implies that if $g\psi(e)$ is defined, $\psi(e) \leq \rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g)$. We shall use this repeatedly in the sequel.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let (ψ, ρ) be a compatible pair. Then:*

1. $\alpha : S \rightarrow P_{(\psi, \rho)}$ defined by $\alpha(s) = (\psi(ss^{-1}), \sigma(s))$ is a surjective homomorphism, preserving maximal group images. Moreover, if S is E -unitary, α is injective if and only if ψ is;
2. $\beta : P_{(\psi, \rho)} \rightarrow T$ given by $\beta(f, g) = f\rho(g)$ is an idempotent separating homomorphism;
3. $\beta\alpha(e) = \psi(e)$ for $e \in E(S)$.

PROOF: By Proposition 3.1 (3), α is well defined. Since ψ is a homomorphism, α induces a homomorphism on the idempotents. So it suffices to show α is a prehomomorphism. We first observe that (3.1) implies $\sigma(s)^{-1}\psi(ss^{-1}) = \psi(s^{-1}s)$. Suppose $s^{-1}s = tt^{-1}$. Then

$$(3.2) \quad (\psi(ss^{-1}), \sigma(s))(\psi(tt^{-1}), \sigma(t)) = \left(\sigma(s)\left((\sigma(s)^{-1}\psi(ss^{-1}))\psi(tt^{-1})\right), \sigma(st)\right).$$

The first coordinate of the righthand side of (3.2) is then

$$\sigma(s)(\psi(s^{-1}s)\psi(tt^{-1})) = \sigma(s)\psi(s^{-1}s) = \psi(ss^{-1}).$$

Since $(st)(st)^{-1} = ss^{-1}$, it follows that α is a prehomomorphism. To see that α is surjective, note that if $(f, g) \in P_{(\psi, \rho)}$, then, since $g^{-1}f$ is defined, $f = \psi(e)$ with $e \leq ss^{-1}$ for some $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$. But

$$\alpha(es) = (\psi(ess^{-1}e), \sigma(es)) = (f, g)$$

so α is surjective. If α is injective, ψ must be. Suppose S is E -unitary and ψ is injective. Then α is idempotent separating, so it suffices to show α is idempotent pure. But if $\alpha(s) = (\psi(ss^{-1}), \sigma(s))$ is an idempotent, then $\sigma(s) = 1$ and hence $s \in E(S)$.

To prove 2, consider

$$(3.3) \quad \beta(e, g)\beta(f, h) = e\rho(g)f\rho(h).$$

Since $g^{-1}e$ is defined $e \leq \rho(g)\rho(g)^{-1}$, whence the righthand side of (3.3) is

$$(3.4) \quad \rho(g)\rho(g)^{-1}e\rho(g)f\rho(h) = \rho(g)(g^{-1}e)f\rho(h).$$

But since $g(g^{-1}e)$ is defined and the domain of $g \cdot$ is an order ideal, $g((g^{-1}e)f)$ is defined whence $(g^{-1}e)f \leq \rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g)$. This lets us transform the righthand side of (3.4) into

$$\rho(g)(g^{-1}e)f\rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g)\rho(h) = g((g^{-1}e)f)\rho(g)\rho(h).$$

Observe that $g^{-1}e \leq \rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g)$ and $f \leq \rho(h)\rho(h)^{-1}$ (recall: $h^{-1}e$ is defined) imply

$$(3.5) \quad q((g^{-1}e)f) \leq \rho(g)(\rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g)\rho(h)\rho(h)^{-1})\rho(g)^{-1} = \rho(g)\rho(h)(\rho(g)\rho(h))^{-1}.$$

Denote the left hand side of (3.5) by q . Using $\rho(g)\rho(h) \leq \rho(gh)$, we see

$$q\rho(g)\rho(h) = q(\rho(g)\rho(h))(\rho(g)\rho(h))^{-1}\rho(gh) = q\rho(gh).$$

On the other hand, $(e, g)(f, h) = (q, gh)$, so β is a homomorphism. To see that β is idempotent separating, first observe that $\rho(1)$ is an idempotent and, for $e \in E(S)$, $\psi(e) \leq \rho(1)$ by Proposition 3.1 (1). Hence $\beta(\psi(e), 1) = \psi(e)\rho(1) = \psi(e)$. We now deduce 3 since $\beta\alpha(e) = \beta(\psi(e), 1)$. □

As an immediate corollary, we have:

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let (ψ, ρ) be a compatible pair. Set $\varphi(s) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho(\sigma(s))$. Then φ is a homomorphism; in fact, $\varphi = \beta\alpha$ where α is a surjective, maximal group image preserving homomorphism and β is idempotent separating with E -unitary domain.*

Note that Theorem 3.2 shows that to prove the P -theorem, we just need to show that given an E -unitary inverse semigroup S , there is a compatible pair (ψ, ρ) with ψ an injective homomorphism. We shall soon see that such a pair can be constructed from any idempotent separating congruence on S .

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $\varphi : S \rightarrow T$ be a homomorphism.*

1. $s_1 \sigma_S s_2 \implies \varphi(s_1) \sigma_T \varphi(s_2)$.
2. $s \sim t \implies \varphi(s) \sim \varphi(t)$.

PROOF: For 1, if $u \leq s_1, s_2$, then $\varphi(u) \leq \varphi(s_1), \varphi(s_2)$ so $\varphi(s_1) \sigma_T \varphi(s_2)$.

For 2, $st^{-1}, s^{-1}t \in E(S)$, implies $\varphi(s)\varphi(t)^{-1} = \varphi(st^{-1}) \in E(T)$ and, dually, $\varphi(s)^{-1}\varphi(t) \in E(T)$. □

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let $\alpha : S \rightarrow I$ and $\beta : I \rightarrow T$ be homomorphisms of inverse semigroups with I E -unitary. Then, for $g \in G(S)$, $\beta\alpha(\sigma^{-1}(g))$ is compatible.*

PROOF: By Lemma 3.4 (1), $\alpha(\sigma^{-1}(g))$ is contained in a single σ_I -class. Since I is E -unitary, it follows $\alpha(\sigma^{-1}(g))$ is compatible whence, by Lemma 3.4 (2), $\beta\alpha(\sigma^{-1}(g))$ is compatible. □

In particular, $\varphi = \beta\alpha$, constructed above, sends σ_S -classes to compatible subsets.

We now state a lemma which is useful in constructing compatible pairs.

LEMMA 3.6. *Suppose $\varphi : S \rightarrow T$ is a homomorphism and $\rho : G(S) \rightarrow T$ is a dual prehomomorphism such that $\varphi(s) \leq \rho(\sigma(s))$ for all $s \in S$. Then (ψ, ρ) is a compatible pair, where $\psi = \varphi|_{E(S)}$, and $\varphi = \beta\alpha$; that is, $\varphi(s) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho(\sigma(s))$ for all $s \in S$.*

PROOF: Since $\varphi(s) \leq \rho(\sigma(s))$, the following equalities hold:

1. $\rho(\sigma(s))\varphi(s)^{-1}\varphi(s) = \varphi(s)$;

- 2. $\varphi(s)^{-1}\varphi(s)\rho(\sigma(s))^{-1} = \varphi(s)^{-1}$;
- 3. $\varphi(s) = \varphi(s)\varphi(s)^{-1}\rho(\sigma(s))$.

By taking products of the corresponding sides of 1 and 2, we obtain

$$\rho(\sigma(s))\psi(s^{-1}s)\rho(\sigma(s))^{-1} = \psi(ss^{-1}),$$

verifying (3.1). To complete the proof, observe that 3 states precisely that $\varphi(s) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho(\sigma(s))$. □

We now define an ordering on compatible pairs; the definition is motivated by Lemma 3.7 below. For compatible pairs $(\psi_1, \rho_1), (\psi_2, \rho_2)$ (where $\psi_i : E(S) \rightarrow E(T), \rho_i : G(S) \rightarrow T, i = 1, 2$), we write $(\psi_1, \rho_1) \leq (\psi_2, \rho_2)$ if $\psi_1 = \psi_2$ and $\rho_1(g) \leq \rho_2(g)$ for all $g \in G(S)$. This is clearly a partial order on the set of compatible pairs.

LEMMA 3.7. *Suppose $(\psi_1, \rho_1) \leq (\psi_2, \rho_2)$. Then both pairs induce the same factorisation $\beta\alpha : S \rightarrow T$. Furthermore, for any compatible pairs $(\psi_1, \rho_1), (\psi_2, \rho_2)$ with $\psi_1(ss^{-1})\rho_1(\sigma(s)) = \psi_2(ss^{-1})\rho_2(\sigma(s)), \psi_1 = \psi_2$.*

PROOF: Let us write the associated factorisations as $\beta_1\alpha_1$ and $\beta_2\alpha_2$. Also, let $\psi = \psi_1 = \psi_2$. First we show the actions are the same. Let $e \leq s^{-1}s$ with $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$. Then

$$\rho_1(g)\psi(e)\rho_1(g)^{-1} = \psi(se s^{-1}) = \rho_2(g)\psi(e)\rho_2(g)^{-1}$$

by Proposition 3.1 (2). It follows now that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ so we may drop the subscripts. Since α is onto, to show $\beta_1 = \beta_2$ it suffices to show $\beta_1\alpha = \beta_2\alpha$. Now $\psi(ss^{-1}) \leq \rho_1(\sigma(s))\rho_1(\sigma(s))^{-1}$ by Proposition 3.1 (1). So

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_2\alpha(s) &= \psi(ss^{-1})\rho_2(\sigma(s)) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho_1(\sigma(s))\rho_1(\sigma(s))^{-1}\rho_2(\sigma(s)) \\ &= \psi(ss^{-1})\rho_1(\sigma(s)) = \beta_1\alpha(s), \end{aligned}$$

the penultimate equality following because $\rho_1(\sigma(s)) \leq \rho_2(\sigma(s))$. □

The last statement follow from Proposition 3.1 (3).

3.2. THE COMPLETE, INFINITELY DISTRIBUTIVE CASE We begin with the case T is complete and infinitely distributive. This theorem is inspired by Lawson and Kellendonk's rendition [3] of the P -theorem in terms of partial group actions (which, in turn, was inspired by Schein's and Munn's proofs of the P -theorem [10, 7]). Fix a homomorphism $\varphi : S \rightarrow T$ of inverse semigroups such that each σ_S -class is sent to a compatible subset and such that T is complete and infinitely distributive.

LEMMA 3.8. *Define $\rho : G(S) \rightarrow T$ by*

$$\rho(g) = \bigvee \varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g)).$$

Then ρ is a dual prehomomorphism.

PROOF: Indeed,

$$(3.6) \quad \rho(g_1)\rho(g_2) = \bigvee \varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g_1)) \bigvee \varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g_2)) = \bigvee \varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g_1))\varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g_2))$$

by [4, Proposition 1.4.20]. Since $\sigma^{-1}(g_1)\sigma^{-1}(g_2) \subseteq \sigma^{-1}(g_1g_2)$, it follows that the r.h.s. of (3.6) is less than $\bigvee \sigma^{-1}(g_1g_2) = \rho(g_1g_2)$.

Also, $\rho(g^{-1}) = \bigvee \varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g^{-1})) = \bigvee \varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g))^{-1} = \rho(g)^{-1}$ since inversion preserves joins. □

THEOREM 3.9. *Let $\varphi : S \rightarrow T$ be a homomorphism of inverse semigroups such that each σ_S -class is sent to a compatible subset and T is complete and infinitely distributive. Then there is a unique minimal compatible pair $\psi : E(S) \rightarrow E(T)$, $\rho : G(S) \rightarrow T$ such that $\varphi = \beta\alpha$ (constructed as above). Also $\beta\alpha$ is the unique factorisation as a maximal group image preserving homomorphism followed by an idempotent separating homomorphism with the domain of β being E -unitary.*

PROOF: Define ρ as above and let $\psi = \varphi|_{E(S)}$. Then, since $\varphi(s) \leq \rho(\sigma(s))$, (ψ, ρ) is compatible and $\varphi = \beta\alpha$ by Lemma 3.6.

It remains to prove the uniqueness statements. Suppose (ψ', ρ') is another compatible pair with $\varphi(s) = \psi'(s\sigma^{-1})\rho'(\sigma(s))$; then $\psi = \psi'$ by Lemma 3.7. Now, for $g \in G$, $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$, $\varphi(s) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho'(g) \leq \rho'(g)$. Thus

$$\rho(g) = \bigvee \varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g)) \leq \rho'(g)$$

whence $(\psi, \rho) \leq (\psi', \rho')$ as desired.

As to the uniqueness of α and β , it suffices to show that the congruence determined by α is unique. We proceed by examining the trace and kernel. Suppose \equiv is a congruence such that the projection to S/\equiv preserves the maximal group image, S/\equiv is E -unitary, and the projection from S/\equiv to T is idempotent separating. Then $\text{tr}(\equiv) = \text{tr}(\varphi)$. Suppose $s \in \ker \equiv$, then $s \sigma_S e \in E(S)$ since $\equiv \subseteq \sigma_S$. Conversely, if $s \sigma_S e \in E(S)$, then $s \sigma_{S/\equiv} e$ whence, since S/\equiv is E -unitary, $s \in \ker \equiv$. Thus $\sigma^{-1}(1) = \ker \equiv$. But $\text{tr}(\alpha) = \text{tr}(\varphi)$ and $\ker \alpha = \sigma^{-1}(1)$, so \equiv is induced by α . □

Theorem 1.2 now follows since $I(X)$ is complete.

3.3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 We are now prepared to prove Theorem 1.1. That 4 implies 5 is Lemma 3.6 (since $\psi = \varphi|_{E(S)}$) while 5 implies 4 follows from the calculation $\varphi(s) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho(\sigma(s)) \leq \rho(\sigma(s))$. That 5 implies 3 is the content of Corollary 3.3 once we show that the range of β is contained in T (viewed as a subsemigroup of $C(T)$). But since α is onto and $\beta\alpha = \varphi$, the image of β is contained in T ; 3 implies 2 is obvious; 2 implies 1 follows from Lemma 3.4. For 1 implies 4, we view $\varphi : S \rightarrow T$ as a homomorphism $\varphi' : S \rightarrow C(T)$. Then, by Theorem 3.9, we can find a compatible pair $\psi : E(S) \rightarrow E(C(T))$ and $\rho : G(S) \rightarrow C(T)$ such that $\varphi(s) = \varphi'(s) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho(\sigma(s)) \leq \rho(\sigma(s))$.

The uniqueness statements follow from Theorem 3.9. □

3.4. PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.3 Suppose $\varphi : I \rightarrow S$ is an E -unitary cover such that $G = G(I)$. Then, by Theorem 1.1 (4), there exists a dual prehomomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow C(S)$ such that $\varphi(t) \leq \rho(\sigma(t))$. Since φ is onto, the result follows.

Suppose now that $\rho : G \rightarrow C(S)$ is a dual prehomomorphism such that, for each $s \in S$, $s \leq \rho(g)$ some $g \in G$. Define

$$(3.7) \quad I = \{(s, g) \in S \times G \mid s \leq \rho(g)\}.$$

Then, since $\rho(1)$ is idempotent, $E(I) = E(S) \times 1$ whence the projection to G is idempotent pure and the projection to S is idempotent separating. □

4. F -INVERSE MONOIDS

We now specialise our results to the case of F -inverse monoids. An inverse semigroup S is an F -inverse monoid if each σ_S -class has a maximum; such a semigroup must be a monoid and E -unitary.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $\varphi : S \rightarrow T$ be a homomorphism of inverse semigroups. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *The image under φ of each σ_S -class of S has a maximum;*
2. *$\varphi = \beta\alpha$ with $\alpha : S \rightarrow I$ a surjective, maximal group image preserving homomorphism, $\beta : I \rightarrow T$ idempotent separating, and I an F -inverse monoid;*
3. *There is a compatible pair $\psi : E(S) \rightarrow E(T)$, $\rho : G(S) \rightarrow T$ such that $\varphi(s) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho(\sigma(s))$, and, for each $g \in G(S)$, there exists $f \in \psi(E(S))$ which is maximum such that $g^{-1}f$ is defined.*
4. *There is a dual prehomomorphism $\rho : G(S) \rightarrow T$ such that $\varphi(s) \leq \rho(\sigma(s))$ all $s \in S$ and $\rho(g) \in \varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g))$.*

Moreover, α and β are unique, there is a unique minimal choice of (ψ, ρ) , and I is the P -semigroup $P_{(\psi, \rho)}$ associated to (ψ, ρ) .

PROOF: For 4 implies 3, Lemma 3.6 gives that (ψ, ρ) , where $\psi = \varphi|_{E(S)}$, is a compatible pair and $\varphi(s) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho(\sigma(s))$. Suppose $\rho(g) = \varphi(s)$ with $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$; then if $g^{-1}f$ is defined, $f \leq \rho(g)\rho(g)^{-1} = \psi(ss^{-1})$ so the second condition of 3 is satisfied. For 3 implies 2, it suffices to show that $P_{(\psi, \rho)}$ is an F -inverse monoid. But if $f \in \psi(E)$ is maximum with $g^{-1}f$ defined, then (f, g) is maximum in the $\sigma_{P_{(\psi, \rho)}}$ -class of g . For 2 implies 1, let A be a σ_S -class. Then, by Lemma 3.4 (1), $\alpha(A)$ is contained in a σ_I -class. But since α is surjective, maximal group image preserving, $\alpha(A)$ must, in fact, be a σ_I -class. Let $t = \max(\alpha(A))$; we claim $\beta(t) = \max(\varphi(A))$ (note: $\beta(t) \in \varphi(A)$). Indeed, if $r \in \varphi(A)$, then $r = \beta\alpha(s)$ with $s \in A$ and $\alpha(s) \leq t$ whence $r \leq \beta(t)$. For 1 implies 4, define $\rho(g) = \max(\varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g)))$.

The uniqueness statements follow from Theorem 1.1 and observing that the minimal choice of ρ is given by $\rho(g) = \max(\varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g)))$. \square

We note that Theorem 4.1 applies if S is F -inverse since if $\theta : G(S) \rightarrow S$ is defined by $\theta(g) = \max(\sigma^{-1}(g))$, then $\varphi(s) \leq \rho(\sigma(s))$ where $\rho = \varphi\theta$ and $\rho(g) = \varphi(\theta(g))$. Thus every homomorphism from an F -inverse monoid factors as an idempotent pure homomorphism onto an F -inverse monoid followed by an idempotent separating homomorphism, a result of Munn and Reilly [8]. Since free inverse monoids are F -inverse, any homomorphism from a free inverse monoid can be coordinatised in terms of a semilattice homomorphism from the subsemilattice of elements represented by Dyck words and a dual prehomomorphism from a free group.

4.1. PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.4 Suppose $\varphi : I \rightarrow S$ is an F -inverse cover such that $G = G(I)$. Then, by Theorem 4.1, there exists a dual prehomomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow S$ such that $\varphi(t) \leq \rho(\sigma(t))$. Since φ is onto, the result follows.

Suppose now that $\rho : G \rightarrow S$ is a dual prehomomorphism such that, for each $s \in S$, $s \leq \rho(g)$ for some $g \in G$ and let I be as in (3.7). Then, as in the proof of Corollary 1.3, I is an inverse semigroup and the projection to S is idempotent separating. But clearly $(\rho(g), g)$ is the maximum element projection to g , so S is an F -inverse monoid. \square

4.2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5 Suppose S is an X -generated inverse monoid and let $FG(X)$ be a free group on X . Define $\rho : FG(X) \rightarrow S$ by taking a reduced word w to its equivalence class in S . Since deleting subwords of the form xx^{-1} takes you up in the natural partial order, it easily follows that ρ is a dual prehomomorphism and that if $s \in S$ is represented by u , then $s \leq \rho(w)$ where w is the reduction of u . The result follows from Corollary 1.4. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J.C. Birget and J. Rhodes, 'Group theory via global semigroup theory', *J. Algebra* **120** (1989), 284–300.
- [2] R. Exel, 'Partial actions of groups and actions of inverse semigroups', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1998), 3481–3494.
- [3] J. Kellendonk and M.V. Lawson, 'Partial actions of groups', (preprint, 2000).
- [4] M.V. Lawson, *Inverse semigroups: The theory of partial symmetries* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998).
- [5] D.B. McAlister, 'Groups, semilattices and inverse semigroups II', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **196** (1974), 231–251.
- [6] D.B. McAlister and N.R. Reilly, 'E-unitary covers for inverse semigroups', *Pacific J. Math.* **68** (1977), 161–174.
- [7] W.D. Munn, 'A note on E-unitary inverse semigroups', *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **8** (1976), 75–80.
- [8] W.D. Munn and N.R. Reilly, 'E-unitary congruences on inverse semigroups', *Glasgow Math. J.* **17** (1976), 57–75.

- [9] B.M. Schein, 'Completions, translational hulls, and ideal extensions of inverse semi-groups', *Czech. J. Math.* **23** (1973), 575–610.
- [10] B.M. Schein, 'A new proof of the McAlister P -theorem', *Semigroup Forum* **10** (1975), 185–188.
- [11] B. Steinberg, 'Partial actions of groups on cell complexes', (Tech. Rep. University of Porto 1999).

Departamento de Matemática Pura
Faculdade de Ciências
da Universidade do Porto
4099-002 Porto
Portugal
e-mail: bsteinbg@agc0.fc.up.pt