

Index

- adaptability
 - of Altmark farming systems, 148–149
 - of arable farming in East of England, 269–271, 276
 - of arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 133–135
 - of Bourbonnais farming system, 176–179
 - CAP effects on, 66–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354
 - CAP revision to promote, 360–361
 - of dairy farming in Flanders, 119–121
 - of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 256–259
 - of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 222–223
 - of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 188, 196–198
 - policy effects on, 65–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354
 - as resilience capacity, 5, 65
 - risk impact on, 18
 - risk management and, 26, 29–31
 - in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246
 - of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166
 - of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209
- adaptability-enabling policies, 65–66, 77–80
- adaptive cycles, 9, 343–344, 365
 - farm demographics impact of, 42–43
 - in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221
- agility, as resilience attribute, 346, 369–370
- agricultural policy. *See* policies
- agricultural practices, environmental
 - sustainability of, 88–90, 100–105, 352–353
- agricultural production
 - in Altmark farming systems, 150–152
 - of arable farming in East of England, 263–264, 277
 - of arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 125–128, 133–135, 138
 - of Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 173–174, 182
 - of dairy farming in Flanders, 112–116, 122
 - in egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 254–255, 260
 - for food safety, 88–90
 - of fruit and vegetables in Poland, 222, 231
 - of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 185–188, 199
 - resilience-enabling strategies for, 356–357
 - in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 237–238, 247
 - of sheep farming in Huesca, 156–157, 167
 - of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 201–205, 212
- agricultural systems
 - biodiversity input-based, 88–90
 - environmental sustainability of, 88–90, 100–105, 352–353
 - lock-in to, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353
- agri-environmental programmes
 - adaptability effects of, 77–80
 - co-creation of, 336
 - failure of, 100
- agri-tourism, in Bourbonnais farming system, 181

- agroforestry, Bourbonnais farming system and, 130
- alternative systems
 - assessment of, 292
 - food, 88–90
 - for fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 223–226
 - for hazelnut production in Viterbo, 192–198
 - for Romania small, mixed, family farms, 242–245
 - for sheep farming in Huesca, 163–164
- Altmark farming systems, 130, 140–141, 152
 - challenges impacting essential system functions of, 146–147
 - ecological characteristics and challenges of, 143–144
 - economical characteristics and challenges of, 144–145
 - future strategies enhancing resilience of, 149–151
 - historical circumstances shaping, 142–143
 - institutional embedding in, 145–146
 - resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149
 - simulation of structural changes in, 51–55
 - social environment and challenges of, 146
 - structural features of, 140–142
- animal welfare
 - in egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 249, 254
 - Huesca sheep farming provision of, 157–158
 - Romanian small, mixed, family farm provision of, 238
- anticipation, as resilience capacity, 344
- arable farming/crops/sector
 - in East of England, 263–265, 277
 - challenges faced by, 97–105, 265–271
 - environmental sustainability and resilience of, 91–93, 95–97, 100–105
 - knowledge networks and learning in, 272–276
 - lock-ins to, 97–105
 - policy effects on, 70–82, 265–266, 275–276
 - resilience of, 269–271, 276
 - risk management in, 265–271
 - in Northeast Bulgaria, 125–128, 137–138
 - challenges faced by, 128–132
 - during and after communism, 126–127
 - current resilience of, 133–135
 - future resilience of, 135–137
 - private and public goods provided by, 127–128
 - in Veenkoloniën, 201–204, 211–212
 - future resilience of, 206–211
 - long-term challenges and risk management in, 207–208
 - past resilience of, 204–206
 - policy effects on, 70–82, 203
 - social self-organisation and infrastructure for innovation in, 208–209
 - transformative strategies for, 209–211
- Aragón. *See* Huesca
- attributes. *See* resilience attributes
- Basic Farm Payment (BPS), 265–266
- beef production, in Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182
 - challenges faced by, 175–177
 - economic viability and quality of life in, 135
 - food production and natural resources of, 173–174
 - perceived performance of functions of, 127, 173
 - quality of beef in, 174–175
 - resilience of, 180–181
 - social expectations on, 177–179
 - transformability of, 179–180
 - unimportant functions of, 175
- Belgium
 - agriculture in, 112
 - dairy farming in, 112–114
 - dynamics and growth in, 114–116
 - policy effects on, 70–82, 119–121
 - public and private functions of, 117–119

- resilience of, 114–116, 119–122
- robustness of, 116–117, 119–121
- social capital of, 116–117
- structural change in, 51–55, 112, 114–116
- biodiversity, Huesca sheep farming provision of, 157–158
- biodiversity input-based practices, 88–90
- Biodiversity Strategy, 356–357, 360–361
- biographical narratives, 24–25
- biological-input based practices, 88–90, 92–94, 96–97, 352–353
- biotechnical systems, biodiversity input-based practices, 88–90
- bocage landscape, of Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173
- Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173, 182
 - challenges faced by, 175–177
 - perceived performance of functions of, 127, 173
 - economic viability and quality of life, 135
 - food production and natural resources, 173–174
 - quality of beef, 174–175
 - unimportant functions, 175
 - resilience of, 180–181
 - social expectations on, 177–179
 - transformability of, 179–180
- bovine sector. *See* beef production
- BPS. *See* Basic Farm Payment
- Brexit, 265–266, 269, 275–276
- buffer resources, 346
- Bulgaria, arable farming in, 125–128, 137–138
 - challenges faced by, 128–132
 - during and after communism, 126–127
 - current resilience of, 133–135
 - future resilience of, 135–137
 - private and public goods provided by, 127–128
- burden shifting archetype, 307–310
- CAP. *See* Common Agricultural Policy
- capacities. *See* resilience capacities
- cattle farming. *See* beef production
- causal loop
 - burden shifting archetype, 307–310
 - eroding-goals archetype, 310–311
 - fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 223–226
 - hazelnut production in Viterbo, 127, 190–191
 - limits to growth archetype, 312
 - resilience assessment and, 294–295
 - Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 242–245
 - success-to-the-successful archetype, 312–313
- challenges of farming systems, 3–4, 72–73, 348–355. *See also specific challenges*
 - assessment of, 281–282, 284–289
 - co-creation of strategies dealing with, 333–336
 - farmer perceptions of, 23–27
 - farming system resilience and, 1–2
 - stakeholder perceptions of, 329–333
- chemical input-based practices, 88–90, 93–97, 352–353
- circular economies, 88–90
- climate change, 349. *See also* extreme weather events
 - arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria and, 130
 - co-creation of resilience enabling policies for, 336
 - East of England arable farms and, 267, 269
 - hazelnut production in Viterbo and, 127, 133–134
 - insurance for weather-related risks due to, 76–77
 - Polish fruit and vegetable production and, 220, 226
 - risk management strategies for, 29
 - risks associated with, 18–19
 - Romanian small, mixed, family farms and, 237, 240
 - Swedish egg and broiler farms and, 250–262
- CMO. *See* Common Market Organization
- co-creation, 321–322
 - lessons learned from, 336–337
 - multi-scale methodology of, 322–324
 - digital platform, 326–328
 - physical meetings, 324–325
 - policy implications from, 338

- co-creation (cont.)
 - stakeholder perceptions in
 - of current resilience, 328–333
 - of future resilience, 328–329, 333–336
- cognitive learning, 27
- Cohesion Policy, 68–69
- commodity-based systems, 88–90, 92–97, 352–353
- Common Agricultural Policy (CAP)
 - adaptability effects of, 66–68, 77–80, 82–85
 - Altmark farming systems and, 145–146
 - bottom-up approach to analysis of, 68–70
 - co-creation for improvement of, 336
 - demographic and structural change impact of, 55–56
 - development of proper resilience orientation for, 359–361
 - hazelnut production in Viterbo and, 187–188, 198
 - Huesca sheep farming and, 161–162
 - Polish fruit and vegetable production and, 220–221
 - reflections on effects of, 82–85
 - research methods and data in analysis of, 70–74
 - resilience effects of, 63, 66–68, 353–354
 - risk management support by, 20
 - robustness effects of, 66–68, 74–77, 82–85, 353–354
 - robustness orientation of, 347–348, 353–354
 - transformability effects of, 66–68, 80–85
- Common Market Organization (CMO), in Viterbo hazelnut production, 187–188
- complex systems, resilience of, 64
- complexity, of resilience of farming systems, 343, 366
- conflict, family, 50–51
- consumers
 - Bourbonnais farming system practices and, 177–179, 181
 - environmental sustainability driven through, 100–105
 - Huesca sheep farming challenges and, 159–161
 - Polish fruit and vegetable production and, 223–225
 - Romanian small, mixed, family farms and, 240–241
 - Swedish egg and broiler farms and, 249, 255–256
- contract farming, 22
- cooperation
 - among arable farmers in Northeast Bulgaria, 132, 137
 - among egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 255–256
 - environmental sustainability driven through, 103–104
 - in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 226
 - as resilience-enabling strategy, 361
 - risk management through, 22, 25
 - among Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 238–241
 - in sheep farming in Huesca, 165–166
- cooperatives
 - in Bourbonnais farming system, 177
 - dairy, 116–117
 - relational learning through, 27
 - risk management through, 22, 25
- corporate farms
 - lack of successors for, 51–55
 - private life overlap with, 47
- Corylus avellana*. *See* hazelnut production
- Covid-19 pandemic
 - Bourbonnais beef production during, 178–179
 - East of England arable farming during, 269–271
 - farming system impact of, 3–4
 - resilience attributes in response to, 346, 369–370
 - resilience urgency generated by, 355
- critical thresholds
 - challenges pushing farms towards, 348–355
 - as predictors of resilience, 344–345
- crop insurance. *See* insurance
- cross-scale effects, 347–348
- cultural lock-ins, 88–90, 97–100

- dairy farming
 - in Altmark, 143–144
 - in Flanders, 112–114
 - dynamics and growth in, 114–116
 - policy effects on, 70–82, 119–121
 - public and private functions of, 117–119
 - resilience of, 114–116, 119–122
 - robustness of, 116–117, 119–121
 - social capital of, 116–117
- demographics
 - of Altmark farming systems, 147–148, 150
 - of arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 131–132
 - of Bourbonnais farming system, 176
 - EU changes in, 38–42
 - farm structural change link to, 39–41
 - farm system challenges involving, 351–352
 - of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 217–218, 222–228
 - policy approaches to, 55–58
 - resilience and, 41–44, 55–58
 - resilience-enabling strategies for, 358–359
 - of Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 236
 - of sheep farming in Huesca, 159–160
 - structural change as resilience capacity, 51–55
- digital platform, for co-creation, 321–322, 326–328
- direct payments
 - adaptability effects of, 77–80
 - to dairy farms in Flanders, 119–120
 - demographic and structural change impact of, 55–56
 - to East of England arable farms, 265–266
 - to Huesca sheep farmers, 161–162
 - recommendations for reduction of, 360–361
 - robustness effects of, 74–77, 353–354
- diversification
 - of Altmark farming systems, 147–148
 - in arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 136
 - of Bourbonnais farming system, 177
 - of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 225–226
 - of Viterbo farming systems, 133–134
- diversity, as resilience attribute, 331–333, 344–345, 369–370
- downstream market concentration, in Viterbo hazelnut production, 133–134
- drought
 - Altmark farming systems and, 143–144, 146–147
 - arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria and, 130
 - Bourbonnais farming system and, 130, 175–176
 - risk management strategies for, 29
 - Romanian small, mixed, family farms and, 237, 240
- eco-friendly requirements, Viterbo hazelnut production and, 192–198
- ecological connectedness, as resilience-enabling strategy, 356
- ecological farms, in Polish fruit and vegetable production, 223–225
- economic challenges, 349–350
 - of Altmark farming systems, 144–147
 - of arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 129–130
 - of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 250–262
 - of sheep farming in Huesca, 157–161
- economic lock-ins, 88–90, 97–100
- economic viability, 344–345, 349
 - of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 219
 - of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 125, 133–134, 188–189, 192
 - as resilience-enabling strategy, 356
- eco-schemes, 360–361
 - for extensive grazing systems, 165
- ecosystem, adaptive cycles of, 9
- ecosystem services, of cattle farming, 171
- egg and broiler farms, Swedish, 249–250
 - adaptability of, 256–259
 - challenges faced by, 250–262
 - current resilience of, 259–260

- egg and broiler farms, Swedish (cont.)
 functions of, 254–255
 risk management and cooperation in, 255–256
 robustness of, 258
 transformability of, 258–259
- ELMS. *See* Environment Land Management Scheme
- enabling environment. *See also* resilience-enabling environment
 context-specific nature of, 347
 of dairy farming in Flanders, 115–119
 for environmental sustainability and resilience, 105
 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 187–188
 needs and strategies at level of, 347–348
 resilience attributes and, 5–8
 risk management and, 20
- England, arable farming in, 263–265, 277
 challenges faced by, 97–105, 265–271
 environmental sustainability and resilience of, 91–93, 95–97, 100–105
 knowledge networks and learning in, 272–276
 lock-ins to, 97–105
 policy effects on, 70–82, 265–266, 275–276
 resilience of, 269–271, 276
 risk management in, 265–271
- environment. *See also* enabling environment
 Altmark farming system impact on, 143–144
 Flanders dairy farming impact on, 117–119
 Northeast Bulgaria arable farming impact on, 127–128, 130
 Veenkoloniën arable farming and, 203
 Viterbo hazelnut production impact on, 185–187, 190, 192–198
- Environment Land Management Scheme (ELMS), 102, 265–266
- environmental challenges, 349–350. *See also specific challenges*
 of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 250–262
 environmental sustainability.
See sustainability
 eroding-goals archetype, 310–311
 European Union (EU). *See also* Common Agricultural Policy
 agricultural resilience policies in, 63
 farm demographic and structural change in, 38–42
 resilience-enabling strategies for, 355–363, 370
- experiential learning, 27
- extensive grazing systems, of Huesca sheep farming, 127, 156–157, 167
 alternative systems for, 163–164
 business and policy enabling actions for, 164–166
 challenges and lock-ins to, 97–105
 challenges threatening, 97–105, 127, 158–161
 environmental sustainability and resilience of, 91–94, 96–97, 100–105
 functions provided by, 157–158
 future resilience of, 166–167
 lock-ins to, 97–105
 past resilience of, 160–163
 policy effects on, 70–80, 160–162, 164–166
 weakened resilience attributes of, 162–163
- extreme weather events. *See also* drought
 Altmark farming systems and, 143–144, 146–147
 arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria and, 130
 insurance against, 76–77
 Polish fruit and vegetable production and, 220, 226
 risk management and, 21–22, 29
 risks associated with, 18–19
- family conflict, 50–51
- family farming
 in East of England arable farming, 272–273
 intergenerational transfer in, 28, 40–41, 49, 57
 lack of successors for, 51–55

- in Nord-Est region of Romania, 234–235
 - alternative systems to, 242–245
 - challenges faced by, 238–240
 - current resilience of, 237–241, 245–247
 - functions and performance of, 237–238
 - future challenges to, 242
 - future resilience of, 242–247
 - historical context and background of, 234–237
 - risk management strategies of, 240–241
- policy bias toward, 57
- in Polish fruit and vegetable production, 215–221
 - adaptive cycles of, 218–221
 - alternative farming systems for, 223–226
 - future resilience of, 223–231
 - past and current resilience of, 218–221, 229–231
 - policy effects on, 70–82, 220–222
 - private life overlap with, 47
 - risk management in, 21–22
 - in Sweden, 249
- farm
 - needs and strategies at level of, 347–348
 - risk management at level of, 21–22
- farm demographics. *See* demographics
- farm household, risk management impact on, 21–22
- farm interest groups, in Swedish egg and broiler production, 257
- farm succession
 - adaptive capacities of structural change and, 51–55
 - in East of England, 267, 269
 - EU support for, 40–41
 - in family farming, 28, 40–41, 49, 57
 - farm demographics impact of, 42–43
 - farm system challenges involving, 351–352
 - lack of successors for, 51–55
 - in Northeast Bulgaria, 137
 - policy approaches to, 55–58
 - in Polish fruit and vegetable production, 218–219, 222–228
 - resilience and, 41, 44–51
 - resilience-enabling strategies for, 358–359
 - stages of, 46–51
- Farm to Fork Strategy, 90, 360–361
 - agricultural production vision of, 356–357
 - agricultural resilience promotion by, 63
 - as resilience-enabling, 355
- farmer populations, 39–41
- farmers
 - cooperation among, 103–104
 - in farming systems, 3
 - limited networks of, 354
 - open-mindedness of, 179–180
 - risk perception by, 19, 23–27
 - self-identity of, 47
 - social network of, 50
 - technology development by, 102–103
 - well-being of, 49–50
- farmers' unions, in East of England
 - arable farming, 273
- farming lifestyle, 46
- farming systems. *See also specific case studies*
 - adaptability of, 5, 18, 65
 - balance between public and private functions of, 117–119
 - biodiversity input-based, 88–90
 - biological input-based global commodity, 88–90, 92–94, 96–97, 352–353
 - challenges of, 3–4, 72–73, 348–355
 - assessment of, 281–282, 284–289
 - co-creation of strategies dealing with, 333–336
 - farmer perceptions of, 23–27
 - farming system resilience and, 1–2
 - stakeholder perceptions of, 329–333
 - chemical input-based global commodity, 88–90, 93–97, 352–353
 - classification of, 88–90
 - context-specific nature of, 347
 - definition of resilience of, 2–14
 - environmental sustainability of, 88–90, 100–105, 352–353
 - in food system context, 363

- farming systems. (cont.)
- functions of, 4–5, 349, 363–364
 - assessment of, 282–283, 289–293
 - resilience attributes link to, 294–295
 - stakeholder perceptions of, 330–331
 - indicators for measuring resilience of, 365
 - joined-up vision on, 356–357
 - lock-in to, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353
 - needs and strategies at level of, 347–348
 - non-resilience of, 346–347
 - policy effects on resilience of, 63–65
 - adaptability findings, 77–80, 353–354
 - bottom-up approach to analysis of, 68–70
 - reflections on, 82–85
 - research methods and data in analysis of, 70–74
 - on resilience capacities, 65–68, 74–82
 - robustness findings, 74–77, 353–354
 - transformability findings, 80–82, 353–354
 - policy impacts at level of, 64
 - resilience attributes of, 2–14, 344–347, 369–370
 - assessment of, 283–284, 293–294
 - resilience capacities relationship to, 365
 - stakeholder perceptions of, 331–333
 - system functions link to, 294–295
 - resilience capacities of, 5, 65, 347–348
 - anticipation as, 344
 - assessment of, 283–284, 293–294
 - critical thresholds of, 344–345
 - policy effects on, 65–68, 74–82, 353–354
 - resilience attributes relationship to, 365
 - risk impact on, 18
 - risk management contribution to, 29–31
 - structural change as, 51–55
 - resilience challenges of, 1–2, 348–355
 - resilience crisis of, 348–355
 - resilience-enabling strategies for, 355–363, 370
 - risk management at level of, 21–22
 - risks faced by, 18–19
 - robustness of, 5, 18, 65
 - social capital as robustness-increasing asset of, 116–117
 - stakeholders of, 3
 - transformability of, 5, 18, 65
 - farming systems assessment. *See* resilience assessment; SURE-Farm approach
 - feedbacks, as resilience attribute, 344–345, 369–370
 - financial resources, 346
 - CAP, 74–77, 353–354
 - for Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 237
 - for sheep farming in Huesca, 132
 - fire prevention, Huesca sheep farming provision of, 157–158
 - Flanders
 - agriculture in, 112
 - dairy farming in, 112–114
 - dynamics and growth in, 114–116
 - policy effects on, 70–82, 119–121
 - public and private functions of, 117–119
 - resilience of, 114–116, 119–122
 - robustness of, 116–117, 119–121
 - social capital of, 116–117
 - structural change in, 51–55, 112, 114–116
 - focus groups, for co-creation, 321–322, 324–325
 - food policy. *See* policies
 - food production. *See* agricultural production
 - food safety
 - chemical and industrial agriculture production for, 88–90
 - in egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 251, 255–256
 - in Flanders dairy farming, 116–117
 - in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 220–221

- food security
 - CAP provisions for, 66–67
 - East of England contribution to, 263–264
 - in Romania, 237–238
 - threats to, 342–343
- food system, farming system in context of, 363
- FOPIA-SURE-Farm workshops, 281, 324–325
- framework, of SURE-Farm approach, 2–8, 343–348
- France, Bourbonnais beef production
 - in, 127, 171–173, 182
 - challenges faced by, 175–177
 - economic viability and quality of life in, 135
 - food production and natural resources of, 173–174
 - perceived performance of functions of, 127, 173
 - quality of beef in, 174–175
 - resilience of, 180–181
 - social expectations on, 177–179
 - transformability of, 179–180
 - unimportant functions of, 175
- fruit and vegetable farming/production/sector, in Poland, 215–221
 - adaptive cycles of, 218–221
 - alternative farming systems for, 223–226
 - future resilience of, 223–231
 - past and current resilience of, 218–221, 229–231
 - policy effects on, 70–82, 220–222
- functional diversity
 - of Altmark farming systems, 147–148
 - as resilience attribute, 331–333
- general resilience, 2–14
- generational renewal
 - in East of England, 267, 269
 - EU support for, 40–41
 - in family farming, 28, 40–41, 49, 57
 - farm demographics impact of, 42–43
 - lack of successors for, 51–55
 - in Northeast Bulgaria, 137
 - policy approaches to, 55–58
 - in Polish fruit and vegetable production, 218–219, 222–228
 - resilience and, 41, 44–51
 - stages of, 46–51
- Germany, Altmark farming systems of, 130, 140–141, 152
 - challenges impacting essential system functions of, 146–147
 - ecological characteristics and challenges of, 143–144
 - economical characteristics and challenges of, 144–145
 - future strategies enhancing resilience of, 149–151
 - historical circumstances shaping, 142–143
 - institutional embedding in, 145–146
 - resilience capacities and attributes of, 147–149
 - simulation of structural changes in, 51–55
 - social environment and challenges of, 146
 - structural features of, 140–142
- global commodity-based systems, 88–90, 92–97, 352–353
- governance. *See also* policies; regulations
 - of Altmark farming systems, 149–150
 - of arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 130–131
 - of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 222, 226
 - hybrid, 361–362
 - resilience-oriented, 366–367
- grain production. *See* arable farming
- grassland-based cattle system, Bourbonnais, 127, 171–173, 182
 - challenges faced by, 175–177
 - economic viability and quality of life in, 135
 - food production and natural resources of, 173–174
 - perceived performance of functions of, 127, 173
 - quality of beef in, 174–175
 - resilience of, 180–181
 - social expectations on, 177–179
 - transformability of, 179–180
 - unimportant functions of, 175

- grasslands insurance, 132
- grazing. *See* extensive grazing systems
- Green Deal, 63, 198, 240–241, 353–354, 360–361
- hazelnut production
 risk management and, 26
 in Viterbo farming system, 127, 185–188, 199
 alternative configurations of, 192–198
 challenges and lock-ins to, 97–105
 current resilience of, 188–190
 environmental sustainability and resilience of, 91–97, 100–105
 future resilience of, 127, 190–195
 hedges, of Bourbonnais farming system, 127, 171–173
- hired labour
 farm demographics impact of, 42
 policy approaches to, 57
- horticulture. *See* fruit and vegetable farming/production/sector
- household, risk management impact on, 21–22
- Huesca, sheep farming in, 127, 156–157, 167
 alternative systems for, 163–164
 business and policy enabling actions for, 164–166
 challenges threatening, 97–105, 127, 158–161
 environmental sustainability and resilience of, 91–94, 96–97, 100–105
 functions provided by, 157–158
 future resilience of, 166–167
 lock-ins to, 97–105
 past resilience of, 160–163
 policy effects on, 70–80, 160–162, 164–166
 weakened resilience attributes of, 162–163
- hybrid food governance, 361–362
- infrastructure for innovation.
See innovation
- innovation
 in arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 136
 in hazelnut production in Viterbo, 193–198
 as resilience attribute, 331–333, 344–345
 in Veenkoloniën farming systems, 208–209
- institutional challenges, 350. *See also* policy effects
 of arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 136–137
 of arable farming in Veenkoloniën, 203
 of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 250–262
 of sheep farming in Huesca, 160
 institutional lock-ins, 88–90, 97–100
 institutional risks, 18–19
- insurance
 adaptability through, 353–354
 grasslands, 132
 risk management through, 22, 29, 350–351
 for weather-related risks, 76–77
 integrated assessment, 279–281, 298–299
 in SURE-Farm approach, 9–13, 366
 sustainability and resilience insights from, 295–297
 toolbox for resilience assessment, 281
- integrated landscape approaches, 88–90
- intensification
 of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 249
 farm growth through, 351–352
 of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 223–226
 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 185–187, 190, 196–198
 profitability through, 352–353
- interest groups
 for egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 257
 policy dialogues with, 317–318
 for Polish fruit and vegetable production, 226
 for Romania small, mixed, family farms, 238–239
- intergenerational transfer.
See generational renewal

- Italy, hazelnut production in, 127, 185–188, 199
 alternative configurations of, 192–198
 challenges and lock-ins to, 97–105
 current resilience of, 188–190
 environmental sustainability and resilience of, 91–97, 100–105
 future resilience of, 127, 190–195
 risk management and, 26
- knowledge exchange
 in East of England arable farming, 272–276
 environmental sustainability driven through, 100–105
 as resilience-enabling strategy, 361
- labour
 for Altmark farming systems, 147–148
 for arable farming in East of England, 273
 for arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 131–132
 farm demographics and, 42–43
 farm system challenges involving, 352
 for fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 217–218, 220, 223–225
 policy approaches to, 57
 resilience-enabling strategies for, 358–359
 for Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 236, 245
- land access, CAP effects on, 74–77
- landscape
 Bourbonnais farming system and, 127, 171–173, 182
 challenges faced by, 175–177
 economic viability and quality of life in, 135
 food production and natural resources of, 173–174
 perceived performance of functions of, 127, 173
 quality of beef in, 174–175
 resilience of, 180–181
 social expectations on, 177–179
 transformability of, 179–180
 unimportant functions of, 175
- integrated approaches to, 88–90
 resilience-enhancing agricultural, 357–358
- large scale farms, in Northeast Bulgaria, 126–127
- leadership, as resilience attribute, 346, 369–370
- learning
 CAP effects on, 79–80
 in East of England arable farming, 272–276
 environmental sustainability driven through, 100–105
 limited, 354
 as resilience attribute, 346
 resilience based on type of, 28
 as resilience-enabling strategy, 361
 risk management through, 22, 25–27, 350
- lifestyle, farming as, 46
- limits to growth archetype, 312
- local markets/valorisation
 of Bourbonnais farming system, 177–179
 in egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 249–250
 environmental sustainability driven through, 100–105
 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 223–225
 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 125, 192–198
- local resources, utilisation and regeneration of, 90
- lock-in, of agricultural practices, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353
- market challenges
 in East of England arable farming, 266–269
 in Northeast Bulgaria arable farming, 136
- market integration, by Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 239
- market mechanisms, resilience impacts of, 362–363
- market risks, 18–19
- Mazovia, fruit and vegetable farming in, 215–221
 adaptive cycles of, 218–221

- Mazovia, fruit and vegetable farming in (cont.)
- alternative farming systems for, 223–226
 - future resilience of, 223–231
 - past and current resilience of, 218–221, 229–231
 - policy effects on, 70–82, 220–222
- migration
- farm demographics impact of, 43
 - policy approaches to, 57
- mixed farming/farms/agriculture, in Nord-Est region of Romania, 234–235
- alternative systems to, 242–245
 - challenges faced by, 238–240
 - current resilience of, 237–241, 245–247
 - functions and performance of, 237–238
 - future challenges to, 242
 - future resilience of, 242–247
 - historical context and background of, 234–237
 - risk management strategies of, 240–241
- mixed methods, 9–13, 366
- modularity, as resilience attribute, 344–345, 369–370
- Netherlands, arable farming in, 201–204, 211–212
- future resilience of, 206–211
 - long-term challenges and risk management in, 207–208
 - past resilience of, 204–206
 - policy effects on, 70–82, 203
 - social self-organisation and infrastructure for innovation in, 208–209
 - transformative strategies for, 209–211
- networks
- in East of England arable farming, 272–276
 - limited farmer, 354
- non-resilience, 346–347
- on-farm risk management strategies, 21–22
- openness, as resilience attribute, 344–345, 369–370
- organic farming
- in Bourbonnais farming system, 177–179
 - in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 223–225
 - in hazelnut production in Viterbo, 133–134
 - on Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 245
- ovine breeding. *See* sheep farming/production/sector
- perennial crops, hazelnut production in Italy, 91–97, 100–105, 127, 185–188
- pest and disease prevention
- in East of England arable farming, 267
 - in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240
- plant protection products, regulation of, 81–82
- Podlasie, policy effects on fruit and vegetable farming in, 70–82
- Poland, fruit and vegetable farming in, 215–221
- adaptive cycles of, 218–221
 - alternative farming systems for, 223–226
 - future resilience of, 223–231
 - past and current resilience of, 218–221, 229–231
 - policy effects on, 70–82, 220–222
- policies
- co-creation implications for, 338
 - demographic and structural change approach of, 55–58
 - environmental sustainability driven through, 100–105
 - farm demographics impact of, 43
 - resilience-enabling, 356, 359–361
 - adaptability-enabling, 65–66, 77–80
 - co-creation of, 336
 - risk management and, 32
 - robustness-enabling, 65–66, 74–77
 - transformability-enabling, 65–66, 80–82
 - risk management and, 20, 32
 - risks associated with, 18–19
 - robustness orientation of, 347–348
 - unpredictability of, 80–82

- policy design, resilience-oriented, 366–367
- policy effects, 63–65, 350
 - on Altmark farming systems, 145–146, 149–150
 - on arable farming in East of England, 70–82, 265–266, 275–276
 - on arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 130–131, 133–135
 - on arable farming in Veenkoloniën, 70–82, 203
 - bottom-up approach to analysis of, 68–70
 - on Bourbonnais farming system, 180
 - on dairy farming in Flanders, 70–82, 119–121
 - on egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 250–262
 - on fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 70–82, 220–222
 - on hazelnut production in Viterbo, 187–188, 198
 - reflections on, 82–85
 - research methods and data in analysis of, 70–74
 - on resilience capacities
 - adaptability, 65–68, 77–80, 82–85, 353–354
 - robustness, 65–68, 74–77, 82–85, 353–354
 - transformability, 65–68, 80–85, 353–354
 - on sheep farming in Huesca, 70–80, 160–162, 164–166
- policy instrument. *See* Common Agricultural Policy
- private goods
 - Altmark farming system provision of, 146–147
 - farming system provision of, 4–5, 282–283, 349, 363–364
 - Flanders dairy farming provision of, 117–119
 - Northeast Bulgaria arable farming provision of, 127–128
 - Polish fruit and vegetable production provision of, 218
 - Viterbo hazelnut production provision of, 188
- proactive learners, 26, 28, 350
- producer associations, for Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 245
- production risks, 18–19
- productivity, as resilience attribute, 344–345
- profitability, 349
 - of Altmark farming systems, 144–147
 - of arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 129–130
 - of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 250–262
 - of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 219, 225–226
 - of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 125, 133–134, 188–189, 192
 - through intensification, 352–353
 - market mechanisms and, 362–363
 - as resilience attribute, 331–333, 344–345
 - of Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 242–244
 - of sheep farming in Huesca, 157–161
- public goods
 - Altmark farming system provision of, 146–147
 - cattle farming provision of, 171
 - farming system provision of, 4–5, 282–283, 349, 363–364
 - Flanders dairy farming provision of, 117–119
 - Huesca sheep farming provision of, 157–158
 - market mechanisms and, 362–363
 - Northeast Bulgaria arable farming provision of, 127–128
 - Polish fruit and vegetable production provision of, 218
 - Viterbo hazelnut production provision of, 188
- public policy. *See* policies
- qualitative methods
 - for resilience assessment, 281–284
 - SURE-Farm approach use of, 9–13, 366
- quantitative methods
 - for resilience assessment, 281–284
 - SURE-Farm approach use of, 9–13, 366

- RDPs. *See* Rural Development Programs
- reactive learners, 26, 28, 350
- reflexivity, 357–358
- regulations
- on dairy farming in Flanders, 116–117
 - on East of England arable farming, 265–266
 - on egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 250–262
 - on Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240
 - unpredictability of, 80–82
- relational learning, 27
- resilience. *See also specific case studies*
- of Altmark farming systems, 147–151
 - of arable farming in East of England, 269–271, 276
 - of arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 133–137
 - of biological input-based global commodity systems, 92–94, 96–97
 - of Bourbonnais farming system, 180–181
 - of chemical input-based global commodity systems, 93–97
 - of complex systems, 64
 - context-specific nature of, 347
 - of dairy farming in Flanders, 114–116, 119–122
 - definition of, 2–14
 - disciplines involved in assessment of, 9
 - of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 256–259
 - farm demographics and, 41–44, 55–58
 - farming system challenges in, 1–2, 348–355
 - farming system crisis of, 348–355
 - of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 218–221, 223–231
 - generational renewal and, 41, 44–51
 - of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 127, 188–198
 - improvement of, 297–298
 - indicators for measurement of, 365
 - learning and, 28
 - lock-in as barrier to, 88–92, 97–105, 352–353
 - market mechanisms impacting, 362–363
 - policy design oriented to, 366–367
 - policy effects on, 63–65
 - adaptability findings, 77–80
 - bottom-up approach to analysis of, 68–70
 - reflections on, 82–85
 - research methods and data in
 - analysis of, 70–74
 - on resilience capacities, 65–68, 74–82
 - robustness findings, 74–77
 - transformability findings, 80–82
 - regional context in assessment of, 5–8
 - resilience attributes and critical thresholds as predictors of, 344–345
 - resources required for, 346
 - risk management and, 18, 22, 29–31
 - of sheep farming in Huesca, 91–94, 96–97, 100–105, 160–163, 166–167
 - of small, mixed, family farms in Romania, 237–247
 - social capital contribution to, 116–117
 - stakeholder understanding of, 366
 - sustainability interaction with, 88–92, 279–281, 295–299, 368–369
- resilience assessment, 279–281, 298–299
- attributes and capacities, 283–284
 - in current systems, 293–294
 - in future systems, 293–294
 - challenges of farming systems, 281–282, 284–289
 - functions of farming systems, 282–283, 289
 - alternative, 292
 - current, 289–292
 - future, 292–293
 - mixed methods in, 9–13, 366
 - need for systematic, 368
 - qualitative and quantitative methods used in, 281–284

- sustainability and resilience
 - improvements from, 297–298
- sustainability and resilience insights
 - from, 295–297
- system dynamics, 294–295
- resilience attributes, 2–14, 344–347, 369–370
 - assessment of, 283–284, 293–294
- resilience capacities relationship to, 365
- stakeholder perceptions of, 331–333
- system functions link to, 294–295
- resilience capacities, 5, 65, 347–348.
 - See also* adaptability; robustness; transformability
 - anticipation as, 344
 - assessment of, 283–284, 293–294
 - critical thresholds of, 344–345
 - policy effects on, 65–68, 74–82, 353–354
 - resilience attributes relationship to, 365
 - risk impact on, 18
 - risk management contribution to, 29–31
 - structural change as, 51–55
- resilience framework, of SURE-Farm
 - approach, 2–8, 343–348
- resilience-enabling environment, 302–303, 359–360
 - methodology for studying, 303–306
 - patterns in, 306–307
 - burden shifting archetype, 307–310
 - eroding-goals archetype, 310–311
 - limits to growth archetype, 312
 - success-to-the-successful archetype, 312–313
 - principles for creation of, 313–317
 - recommendations for creation of, 317–319
- resilience-enabling policies, 356, 359–361
 - adaptability-enabling, 65–66, 77–80
 - co-creation of, 336
 - risk management and, 32
 - robustness-enabling, 65–66, 74–77
 - transformability-enabling, 65–66, 80–82
- resilience-enabling strategies, 355–363, 370
 - co-creation of, 333–336
- response diversity, as resilience
 - attribute, 331–333, 344–345
- risk management, 18–21, 31–32, 350–351
 - in Altmark farming systems, 149–150
 - in arable farming in East of England, 265–271
 - in arable farming in Veenkoloniën, 207–208
 - co-creation of strategies for, 333–336
 - of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 255–256
 - at farm-level and farming system level, 21–22
 - in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 221
 - improved strategies for, 28–29
 - resilience and, 18, 22, 29–31
 - resilience-enabling strategies for, 359
 - risk perception role in, 19, 23–27
 - in small, mixed, family farms in Romania, 240–241
- risk perception, 19, 23–27
- risk-sharing strategies, 21–22
 - co-creation of, 333–336
- robustness
 - of Altmark farming systems, 148–149
 - of arable farming in East of England, 269–271, 276
 - of arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 133–135
 - of biological input-based global commodity systems, 92–94
 - of Bourbonnais farming system, 176–177
 - CAP effects on, 66–68, 74–77, 82–85, 353–354
 - CAP orientation to, 347–348, 353–354
 - CAP revision to promote, 360–361
 - of dairy farming in Flanders, 116–117, 119–121
 - of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 258
 - of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 222–223

- robustness (cont.)
 of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 188, 196–198
 policy effects on, 65–68, 74–77, 82–85, 353–354
 as resilience capacity, 5, 65
 risk impact on, 18
 risk management and, 26, 29–31
 in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 247
 of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166
 social capital increase of, 116–117
 of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 204–209
- robustness-enabling policies, 65–66, 74–77
- Romania, small, mixed, family farms in, 234–235
 alternative systems to, 242–245
 challenges faced by, 238–240
 current resilience of, 237–241, 245–247
 functions and performance of, 237–238
 future challenges to, 242
 future resilience of, 242–247
 historical context and background of, 234–237
 risk management strategies of, 240–241
- Rural Development Programs (RDPs)
 adaptability effects of, 77–80, 353–354
 in hazelnut production in Viterbo, 187–188
- seasonal labour
 farm demographics impact of, 43
 in fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 217–218, 220, 223–225
 policy approaches to, 57
- self-organisation. *See* social self-organization
- shared learning, as resilience attribute, 346
- sheep farming/production/sector, in Huesca, 127, 156–157, 167
 alternative systems for, 163–164
 business and policy enabling actions for, 164–166
 challenges and lock-ins to, 97–105
 challenges threatening, 97–105, 127, 158–161
 environmental sustainability and resilience of, 91–94, 96–97, 100–105
 functions provided by, 157–158
 future resilience of, 166–167
 lock-ins to, 97–105
 past resilience of, 160–163
 policy effects on, 70–80, 160–162, 164–166
 weakened resilience attributes of, 162–163
- shelter farming, 227–229
- small farms, in Nord-Est region of Romania, 234–235
 alternative systems to, 242–245
 challenges faced by, 238–240
 current resilience of, 237–241, 245–247
 functions and performance of, 237–238
 future challenges to, 242
 future resilience of, 242–247
 historical context and background of, 234–237
 risk management strategies of, 240–241
- social capital, as robustness-increasing asset, 116–117
- social challenges, 349–350
 of Altmark farming systems, 146
 of Bourbonnais farming system, 176
 of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 250–262
 of sheep farming in Huesca, 159–160
- social connectedness, as resilience-enabling strategy, 356
- social expectations. *See also* consumers of Bourbonnais farming system, 177–179
 economic viability of arable farming and, 129–130
- social learning, CAP effects on, 79–80
- social lock-ins, 88–90, 97–100
- social risks, 18–19
- social self-organization
 of arable farmers in Northeast Bulgaria, 132

- of dairy farming in Flanders, 116–117
- as resilience attribute, 331–333, 344–345
- in Veenkoloniën farming systems, 208–209
- socio-economic systems
 - alternative food systems, 88–90
 - circular economies, 88–90
 - global commodity-based systems, 88–90, 92–97, 352–353
- socio-technical regimes, 88–90, 352–353
- Spain, sheep farming in, 127, 156–157, 167
 - alternative systems for, 163–164
 - business and policy enabling actions for, 164–166
 - challenges and lock-ins to, 97–105
 - challenges threatening, 97–105, 127, 158–161
 - environmental sustainability and resilience of, 91–94, 96–97, 100–105
 - functions provided by, 157–158
 - future resilience of, 166–167
 - lock-ins to, 97–105
 - past resilience of, 160–163
 - policy effects on, 70–80, 160–162, 164–166
 - weakened resilience attributes of, 162–163
- specialisation, 351–352
- specified resilience, 2–14
- stakeholders, 3. *See also specific stakeholders*
 - co-creation involvement of, 321–322
 - needs and strategies of different, 347–348
 - resilience concept understanding by, 366
- stakeholders' perception
 - of current resilience, 328–333
 - of future resilience, 328–329, 333–336
- starch potato production, in Veenkoloniën farming systems, 201–204, 211–212
 - future resilience of, 206–211
 - long-term challenges and risk management in, 207–208
 - past resilience of, 204–206
 - policy effects on, 203
 - social self-organisation and infrastructure for innovation in, 208–209
 - transformative strategies for, 209–211
- structural change
 - in EU, 38–42
 - farm demographics link to, 39–41
 - farm system challenges involving, 351–352
 - in Flanders, 51–55, 112, 114–116
 - policy approaches to, 55–58
 - as resilience capacity, 51–55
 - subsistence farms, Romanian, 237
 - success-to-the-successful archetype, 312–313
- supply chain, dairy farming
 - involvement of, 116–117
- SURE-Farm approach. *See also* resilience assessment; *specific case studies*
 - assumptions of, 342–343
 - CAP analysis in, 66–70
 - adaptability findings, 77–80
 - bottom-up approach to, 68–70
 - reflections on, 82–85
 - research methods and data, 70–74
 - robustness findings, 74–77
 - transformability findings, 80–82
 - farm succession insights from, 44–55
 - future research avenues identified by, 368–370
 - integrated assessment in, 9–13, 366
 - limitations of, 363–367
 - mixed methods used in, 9–13, 366
 - multiple disciplines involved in, 9
 - purpose of, 342–343
 - regional context in, 5–8
 - resilience framework of, 2–8, 343–348
 - resilience need identified by, 367–368
 - resilience-enabling strategies
 - formulated from, 355–363, 370
 - risk management insights from, 20, 22–31
 - scope of, 363

- sustainability
 - agricultural practices promoting, 88–90, 100–105, 352–353
 - of biological input-based global commodity systems, 92–94, 96–97, 352–353
 - CAP effects on, 77–80
 - of chemical input-based global commodity systems, 93–97, 352–353
 - farming system classification and, 88–90
 - improvement of, 297–298
 - lock-in as barrier to, 88–92, 97–105
 - resilience interaction with, 88–92, 279–281, 295–299, 368–369
- Sweden, egg and broiler production in, 249–250
 - adaptability of, 256–259
 - challenges faced by, 250–262
 - current resilience of, 259–260
 - functions of, 254–255
 - risk management and cooperation in, 255–256
 - robustness of, 258
 - transformability of, 258–259
- system functions, 4–5, 349, 363–364
 - assessment of, 282–283, 289–293
 - resilience attributes link to, 294–295
 - stakeholder perceptions of, 330–331
- system reserves, as resilience attribute, 344–345, 369–370
- system resilience, policy effects on, 64
- systematic assessment, need for, 368
- systems archetypes, 306–307
 - burden shifting, 307–310
 - eroding-goals, 310–311
 - limits to growth, 312
 - success-to-the-successful, 312–313
- technological innovation
 - environmental sustainability driven through, 102–103
 - in hazelnut production in Viterbo, 193–198
- technological progress, farm demographics impact of, 43
- transformability, 369
 - of Altmark farming systems, 148–149
 - of arable farming in East of England, 269–271, 276
 - of arable farming in Northeast Bulgaria, 133–135
 - of Bourbonnais farming system, 179–180
 - CAP effects on, 66–68, 80–85, 353–354
 - CAP revision to promoter, 360–361
 - of dairy farming in Flanders, 119–121
 - of egg and broiler farms in Sweden, 258–259
 - of fruit and vegetable production in Poland, 222–223
 - of hazelnut production in Viterbo, 188, 196–198
 - policy effects on, 65–68, 80–85, 353–354
 - as resilience capacity, 5, 65
 - risk impact on, 18
 - risk management and, 26, 29–31
 - in Romanian small, mixed, family farms, 240–241, 245–246
 - of sheep farming in Huesca, 161–162, 166
 - structural change as, 55
 - of Veenkoloniën farming systems, 208–211
- transformability-enabling policies, 65–66, 80–82
- United Kingdom, arable farming in, 263–265, 277
 - challenges faced by, 97–105, 265–271
 - environmental sustainability and resilience of, 91–93, 95–97, 100–105
 - knowledge networks and learning in, 272–276
 - lock-ins to, 97–105
 - policy effects on, 70–82, 265–266, 275–276
 - resilience of, 269–271, 276
 - risk management in, 265–271
- unknown
 - resilience as ability to deal with, 344–345
 - risks associated with, 18–19

- value chain, 179–180
- Veenkoloniën, arable farming in,
 - 201–204, 211–212
 - future resilience of, 206–211
 - long-term challenges and risk management in, 207–208
 - past resilience of, 204–206
 - policy effects on, 70–82, 203
 - social self-organisation and infrastructure for innovation in, 208–209
 - transformative strategies for, 209–211
- vegetable farming/production/sector.
 - See* fruit and vegetable farming/production/sector
- vision, as resilience attribute, 346
- Viterbo, hazelnut production in, 127, 185–188, 199
 - alternative configurations of, 192–198
 - challenges and lock-ins to, 97–105
 - current resilience of, 188–190, 196–198
 - environmental sustainability and resilience of, 91–97, 100–105
 - future resilience of, 127, 190–198
- volatility, 102
- water availability. *See* drought
- weather. *See* climate change; extreme weather events
- weather index insurances, 29
- wild fauna attacks, 158–160, 163–164
- workshops
 - for co-creation, 321–322, 324–325
 - for resilience assessment, 281
- young farmer, 47, 55–56

