

Studies on digestion and absorption in the intestines of growing pigs. Measurements of the flow of digesta and pH

BY R. BRAUDE, ROSEMARY J. FULFORD AND A. G. LOW

*National Institute for Research in Dairying,
Shinfield, Reading RG2 9AT, Berks.*

(Received 6 February 1976 – Accepted 15 March 1976)

1. Thirty-five pigs were fitted with single re-entrant cannulas in either the duodenum, jejunum or ileum. A further twenty-four pigs were used in a conventional digestibility trial.
2. Methods for collecting, sampling and returning digesta were developed.
3. A 'practical-type' diet and two purified diets were used, fed twice daily.
4. Flow and pH of digesta were measured hourly in the duodenum and jejunum, and every 6 h in the ileum.
5. In the duodenum and jejunum there were clear flow responses to feeding, while such an effect was not found in the ileum where the flow-rate was much lower and more uniform than in the former sites.
6. In the duodenum and jejunum, and within 6 h periods in the ileum, there was considerable variation in the flow-rate between different pigs within each hour but there was less variation in pH.
7. The pattern of flow in the duodenum and jejunum was similar for each of the diets but the total flow and the average pH in 24 h differed significantly between diets. There were more digesta of a lower pH from the 'practical-type' diet than the purified diets.
8. The pH in the duodenum was highest after feeding and decreased with increasing time after feeding. In the jejunum and ileum the pH varied over a much smaller range than in the duodenum.
9. Collections for 6 h periods appeared to be insufficiently long to predict the values obtained in 24 h collections with reasonable accuracy.

Interest in improving the utilization of feeding-stuffs by farm livestock has led to a wide range of studies on the function of the gut, particularly in ruminants and, in recent years, in pigs, using animals surgically fitted with simple or re-entrant cannulas. The methods used in such work on simple-stomached species have been reviewed by Laplace (1972) and the results of trials with pigs have been discussed by Low (1974, 1976).

The re-entrant cannula method was used to study the general characteristics of the digestion of a variety of diets in the intestines of growing pigs. Particular attention was paid to developing methods of surgery, housing and digesta collection. A sufficient number of animals was used to assess the variability of the processes. An estimate of the extent of digestion and absorption in the large intestine was made by comparing results from pigs with re-entrant cannulas sited in the terminal ileum with results from pigs without cannulas participating in a conventional digestibility trial. This paper describes the flow of digesta and their pH; studies on the contents of dry matter, ash, water, nitrogen, amino acids and enzymes in the same digesta will be described in subsequent papers.

METHODS

Experimental procedures

Animals and housing. Castrated male pigs from the Large White herd of the National Institute for Research in Dairying were used. The initial live weight of pigs fitted with re-entrant cannulas was 30 kg, and for those without cannulas was 17–19 kg. The pigs were housed at $18 \pm 3^\circ$. The cannulated pigs were kept in modified Shinfield metabolism cages (Plate 1) based on the design of Braude & Mitchell (1964). The modified cages measured $1.35 \times 1.35 \times 0.60$ m and were made from 25 mm o.d. galvanized tubing. Two Perspex sheets were attached to the framework adjacent to the right-hand side of the pig; these sheets could be opened to allow free access to the cannulas. The floor sections were made of fine mesh stainless-steel. The pigs in the digestibility trial were kept in standard Shinfield metabolism cages (Braude & Mitchell, 1964).

Diets. Batches of diets BWF, SSG and SSC (for details, see Table 1) contained (g/kg) respectively: dry matter 868.2, 925.2 and 911.8; ash 53.1, 52.0 and 34.0; N 22.8, 24.7 and 25.6. Because of frequent blockages of ileal re-entrant cannulas after feeding the normally-milled diet BWF, this diet was milled to pass through a 1 mm mesh to give a finely-milled diet (diet BWF₁). Diet SSG contained free lysine and methionine (the diet was originally formulated for studies of amino acid requirements). The dry diet was mixed with water (1:2.5, w/w) and offered twice daily at 09.00 and 15.00 hours. The animals were weighed weekly and fed on a scale based on their live weight (Barber, Braude, Mitchell & Pittman, 1972).

Cannulas. Polyvinyl chloride (PVC) re-entrant cannulas (Ash, 1962) of 9 mm i.d. (for duodenum and jejunum) and 15 mm i.d. (for ileum) were used. Certain batches of PVC paste resulted in soft cannulas: in these instances the gutters of the cannulas were strengthened with 0.5 mm thick stainless-steel plates, and these were very effective in preventing loss of cannulas during collections. The cannulas were connected externally with a slightly curved 30 mm length of stainless-steel tubing (wall thickness 1 mm) which fitted inside the barrel and which was securely fixed to the cannulas by two clips (Collier 062P or 087P; Collier and Collier Ltd, 2–6 Marian Rd, London SW16 5JW). Some pigs were fitted with cannulas with gutters strengthened by immersion in light petroleum (b.p. 40–60°); these cannulas were rarely lost during collections.

Surgical procedures. Pigs were kept continuously in metabolism cages on diet BWF for at least 7 d before surgery. An antibiotic preparation was given with the feed during the last 3 d before surgery (Stromez; ICI Ltd, Pharmaceuticals Division, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire) in order to reduce the possibility of enteric infection developing. On the day of surgery, pigs were given water only. Anaesthesia was induced with cyclopropane and oxygen through a cuffed endotracheal tube (McGill no. 8 or 9) from a closed-circuit Boyle apparatus. The gut was exposed through an incision *c.* 250 mm from the xiphisternum to the prepuce. The method of Markowitz, Archibald & Downie (1954) was used for the rest of the surgical procedure, except that the jejunum cannulation site was found by following a 2.50 m

Table 1. *Composition of experimental diets (g/kg diet)*

Ingredients	Diets		Diet SSG	Diet SSC
	BWF and BWF ₁ *	Ingredients		
Barley meal	712.5	Maize starch	277.0	612.7
Fine wheat offal	200.0	Sucrose	276.9	100.0
White fish meal	70.0	Maize oil	30.0	30.0
NaCl	2.7	Solka Floc	20.0	30.0
CaHPO ₄ .2H ₂ O	5.6	Groundnut meal	350.0	—
CaCO ₃	6.2	Casein	—	184.0
Vitamin mix no. 1†	2.0	Trace mineral mix‡	10.0	10.0
CuSO ₄ .5H ₂ O	1.0	CaHPO ₄ .2H ₂ O	17.9	20.6
		CaCO ₃	4.6	4.6
		Vitamin mix no. 2§	2.0	2.0
		Choline HCl	1.1	1.1
		NaCl	5.0	5.0
		L-lysine HCl	2.5	—
		DL-methionine HCl	3.0	—

* Diet BWF after milling through a 1 mm mesh; this diet was given to pigs with ileal re-entrant cannulas, and to some pigs in the digestibility trial.

† Supplied (/kg diet): 0.75 mg retinol, 7.50 µg cholecalciferol, 3.25 mg riboflavin, 30.00 µg cyanocobalamin, 15.75 mg nicotinic acid, 13.00 mg pantothenic acid, 3.25 mg pyridoxine, 200.00 mg choline chloride, 2.00 mg DL- α -tocopheryl acetate.

‡ Supplied (/kg diet): 4.47 g K₂CO₃, 1.73 g MgCO₃.H₂O, 0.33 g FeSO₄.7H₂O, 60 mg MnSO₄.H₂O, 0.10 g ZnCO₃, 8.00 mg NaF, 17.50 mg CuSO₄.5H₂O, 6.00 mg CoCl₂.

§ Supplied (/kg diet): as vitamin mix no. 1 (omitting choline chloride) and in addition 2.00 mg thiamin, 50.00 µg biotin, 0.50 mg pteroylmonoglutamic acid, 20.00 mg *p*-aminobenzoic acid, 195.00 mg *myo*-inositol, 30.00 mg ascorbic acid, 2.00 mg menaphthone.

length of thread as carefully as possible from the pylorus along the outside wall of the intestine.

Water alone was given to the animals for 36 h after surgery. From then feed was given in amounts which increased, according to appetite, up to the normal level, which was usually reached in 5–7 d. Stomez was given daily for 5 d after surgery. The cannulas were checked twice daily for freedom from blockage. The cannulas and the surrounding skin were washed daily with Cetavlon (ICI Ltd).

Collection procedures. Digesta were collected from about 14 d after surgery, provided that the pig ate its feed within 20 min. PVC tubing (Silastic; Dow Corning Ltd, obtained from Lepetit Pharmaceuticals, Heath Row House, Bath Road, Hounslow, Middlesex) was used to connect the cannulas to a sealed 'collection-and-return' system made in the workshop at this Institute (Fig. 1). Digesta were collected in a 1 l PVC bottle surrounded by an ice-water mixture to minimize digestion after collection from the pig. The bottle was replaced every hour (or more frequently if the flow-rate was high) during collection from the duodenum and jejunum, and every 6 h during ileal collection because of the low rate of flow at this site. After sampling, the digesta were poured into the heated return vessel. As digesta passed into the collection bottle, digesta were returned to the pig at approximately the same rate, temperature and pressure. At the beginning of a collection, digesta collected after feeding on the previous day were used to prime the system. Between 5 and 10% of the digesta (depending on the size of the pig) were retained for




Fig. 1. System used for collection and return of digesta in pigs with re-entrant cannulas. For details of procedures, see p. 499. *a*, Stirrer motor; *b*, water outlet; *c*, water input at 39°; *d*, air line; *e*, sealed bearing; *f*, tube for return of digesta; *g*, sealed return vessel; *h*, re-entrant Ash cannulas; *i*, stirrer paddle; *j*, insulated container; *k*, sealed collection vessel; *l*, ice-water mixture.

analysis. Donor animals were not used to make up this deficit because of the large differences between individuals in the composition of digesta flowing at any given time. The digesta were weighed to the nearest gram and then stirred vigorously while samples were taken on a weight basis, using 10 or 25 ml pipettes with tips cut to provide an aperture of about 4 mm diameter.

pH measurement. pH was measured with a Model 78 pH meter (W. & G. Pye Ltd, Cambridge).

Experimental design

1. *Cannulated pigs.* It was originally intended to collect digesta from eighteen pigs, in three groups of six pigs, with a single re-entrant cannula in: (a) the duodenum, about 150 mm from the pylorus and posterior to the bile and pancreatic ducts, (b) the jejunum, 2.0–2.5 m from the pylorus, i.e. 13–17% of the total length of the small intestine, or (c) the ileum, 300 mm from the ileo-caecal junction, i.e. over 98% of the total length of the small intestine.

The first six pigs with duodenal cannulas only received diet BWF and diet SSG. However, experience with these pigs suggested that the pigs were in sufficiently good condition after all planned collections to introduce a third diet (diet SSC). For this reason, and in order to provide samples for a more detailed study of the digesta than possible at the outset of the work, it was planned to collect digesta from a second set of six pigs with duodenal re-entrant cannulas given all three diets.

For pigs with duodenal and jejunal cannulas, six collections per diet were planned; four collections of 6 h, from 09.00 to 15.00 hours, and two collections of 24 h,

starting at 09.00 hours. For pigs with ileal re-entrant cannulas four 24 h collections per diet were planned. Each diet was fed to each pig for approximately 2 weeks, including a 5 d changeover period between diets.

2. *Digestibility trial in pigs without cannulas.* Six replicates of four litter-mate pigs, which were randomly assigned to the four diets, were used. Each pig received the same diet throughout the trial. The pigs were kept in a metabolism cage for four 7 d periods evenly spaced through the growing period (20–60 kg live weight): the periods began immediately after the weekly weighing, and the first 2 d were for adaptation to the higher level of feeding and to the cage. Faeces and urine were collected daily for the next 5 d.

Method of presentation of results

pH is given as a direct measurement and amounts of digesta or faeces as the ratio, weight collected in a specified time:weight of feed and water consumed in 24 h (output:intake).

The hourly mean values for digesta flow and pH values for each hour within the 24 h collection periods (for pigs with duodenal or jejunal cannulas) were calculated from the average values for all 24 h collection periods completed by each pig on a diet, and are shown as a histogram or graph. The mean values for pigs with ileal cannulas are for 6 h periods. The areas of the histograms in Fig. 2 are in proportion to the amounts of digesta at all sites.

The mean value for output:intake of digesta in 24 h collection periods was calculated from the average values for the 24 h collections for each pig on a diet. The mean values for the digestibility trial were based on the average values for the four collection periods for each pig. These are given in tables of determined mean values and standard deviations (Tables 3 and 5). In several instances these means differ slightly from those given in the statistical analysis where missing values were estimated and incorporated in the means. Details of the statistical analysis are given in Tables 2 and 4. The comparison between ileal digesta and faeces has been included to indicate the role of the large intestine.

RESULTS

1. *Pigs fitted with cannulas*

In the course of this study thirty-five pigs were fitted with re-entrant cannulas in the duodenum (seventeen), jejunum (eight) or ileum (ten). Results are given for twelve, five and six pigs at the three sites respectively, which completed collections on two or three diets, and for twenty-four pigs used in the digestibility trial.

The average daily gain of the cannulated pigs was 505 g during the collection periods.

Thirteen of the twenty-three cannulated pigs completed all planned collections. Collections ended prematurely on the remaining ten pigs for the following reasons: cannulas pulled out (four), leakage of digesta from around the cannulas (four), rupture of the intestinal wall caused by a displaced cannula (one) and rectal prolapse (one). Of the other twelve pigs, one pig completed collections on one diet only

and then had a jejunal prolapse, while the others died shortly after surgery as a result of rupture of the intestinal wall, twisted intestine or unspecified infection.

The fact that only two pigs with ileal cannulas completed collections on diet SSG because of palatability problems and scouring must be noted in all comparisons for this diet at this site.

2. *Length of digesta collections*

At the outset of this study it was considered possible that the flow of digesta and their constituents during 6 h collections (between morning and afternoon feeds) might form a constant proportion of the flow in 24 h collection periods. It was found that some between-diet and between-site differences were statistically significant for 6 h collections but not for 24 h collections. It was concluded that while 6 h collections were insufficiently long to predict 24 h values reliably, further analysis of the results for other intermediate periods was merited and this will be published later.

3. *Digesta flow*

The mean hourly flow of digesta (output:intake) is shown in Fig. 2.

The highest flow-rates in both the duodenum and jejunum occurred after feeding, for all diets; it was also found that there was some flow in each hour of a 24 h collection period. The effect of feeding was only evident in the ileum to the extent that the lowest flow-rates were usually found 12–18 h after the afternoon feed. The flow patterns in the duodenum and jejunum were similar for each diet. Within any hour in the duodenum and jejunum (or within any 6 h period in the ileum) the variation between pigs on each diet was large. The corresponding coefficients of variation (%) between pigs were, on average, duodenum 50, jejunum 43, ileum 31.

The 24 h output:intake values for digesta are given in Tables 2 and 3. In almost all instances there was significantly more digesta or faeces from diet BWF than the other diets, and there was less from diet SSC than the other diets. It is notable that there was a smaller amount of faeces with the finely-milled diet BWF₁ than its normally-milled counterpart; particular caution must therefore be taken over comparisons between the ileum and other sites for digesta from this diet.

4. *pH*

The pattern of hourly pH changes is shown in Fig. 3, and the 24 h mean pH values are given in Tables 4 and 5.

It was found that the pH in the duodenum was highest 2–3 h after feeding, and that the pH decreased at night, at times when digesta flow was lower (Fig. 2). The periods when pH was high were longer than the periods of high digesta flow. At other times there were signs that when flow increased, the pH decreased; periods of high flow-rate were also periods when there was high dry-matter flow (A. G. Low, I. G. Patridge & I. E. Sambrook, unpublished results) and therefore periods of high gastric emptying when hydrochloric acid would be an important component of the digesta. At times of low flow the digesta had a low dry-matter content and appeared to be largely composed of bile and pancreatic juice (enzyme activities were high




Fig. 2. Mean hourly flow (6 h flow in ileum) of digesta (digesta output: feed and water intake (output:intake)) in pigs each fitted with a re-entrant cannula at one of three sites (for details, see p. 500) and given successively diets BWF (or BWF_f), SSG and SSC (for details, see Table 1). Pigs were fed at 09.00 and 15.00 hours; *n* is the no. of pigs completing collections.

under such conditions); these secretions are of pH 7.0–7.5. The fact that the pH rarely reached these values indicated that gastric secretions were usually present in the digesta. The pH values for the diets, as fed, were 6.1, 7.5 and 7.7 for diets BWF, SSG and SSC respectively. The pH of the water was 7.6. As with digesta flow,

Table 2. Values for output: feed and water intake for 24 h collection periods for digesta in the small intestine of pigs cannulated at one of three sites, and for faeces in pigs without cannulas during digestibility trials, when the pigs were given diets BWF (BWF₁), SSG and SSC

		(a) Cannulated pigs						
		no. of pigs completing collections in parentheses						
Diet ...	Site of re-entrant cannula†	BWF†	SSG	SSC				
Duodenum		2.85 (12)	2.28 (8)	2.18 (6)				
Jejunum		2.77 (5)	2.26 (5)	1.57 (4)				
Ileum		0.70 (5)	0.64 (2)	0.20 (6)				
Comparisons of sites								
Diet ...		BWF		SSG		SSC		
Duodenum-jejunum		Difference	SE§	Difference	SE§	Difference	SE§	
Duodenum-ileum		0.09	0.211	0.01	0.227	0.60*	0.256	
Jejunum-ileum		2.15***	0.211	1.63***	0.314	1.98***	0.229	
		2.06***	0.251	1.62***	0.332	1.38***	0.256	
Comparisons of diets								
Diet ...		BWF-SSG		BWF-SSC		SSG-SSC		
Duodenum		Difference	SE	Difference	SE	Difference	SE	
Jejunum		0.58***	0.128	0.68***	0.142	0.10	0.181	
Ileum		0.50***	0.110	1.19***	0.120	0.69***	0.120	
		0.06	0.133	0.51**	0.082	0.45*	0.115	
(b) Pigs without cannulas								
Diet ...		BWF		SSG		SSC		
Faeces: mean		0.19 (6)	0.16 (6)	0.10 (6)	0.02 (6)	SE of difference for two diet means§		
Ileum-faeces: difference		0.55***	0.54***	0.54***	0.18***			0.007
SE:††		0.043	0.058	0.058	0.041			

Levels of significance: * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$.

† For details of sites, see p. 500.

‡ Diet BWF was normally ground except when fed to pigs with ileal cannulas when it was finely-milled (diet BWF₁); for details of diets, see p. 498.

§ Based on the pooled error, between-pigs-within-diets-and-sites (44 df).

|| Based on the interaction between pigs and diets at an individual site (since there was some evidence of heterogeneity between sites) and an approximation (Taylor, 1948) when necessary.

¶ Based on the interaction between litters and diets (15 df).

†† Comparison of the mean values for digesta collections for pigs cannulated at the ileal site with the mean value for faecal collections for six pigs without cannulas; SE of difference based on a pool of the variation between-pigs-within-diets for the ileal digesta (10 df) and the variation between-litters-within-diets for faeces (20 df).




Fig. 3. Mean hourly pH values (6 h value in ileum) in pigs each fitted with a re-entrant cannula at one of three sites (for details, see p. 500) and given successively diets BWF (or BWF_f), SSG and SSC (for details, see Table 1). Pigs were fed at 09.00 and 15.00 hours; *n* is the no. of pigs completing collections.

there was a wider range of pH values for diets SSG and SSC than for diet BWF in the duodenum.

The pH varied over a much smaller range in the jejunum than the duodenum, and varied very little in the ileum. As the distance from the stomach increased so the pH increased. Postfeeding effects were much less evident in the jejunum than the duodenum, indicating that the digesta were more effectively buffered by intestinal

Table 3. *Determined values for output:feed and water intake for 24 h collection periods for digesta in the small intestine of pigs cannulated at one of three sites, and for faeces in pigs without cannulas during digestibility trials, when the pigs were given diets BWF (BWF_f), SSG and SSC*

(Mean values and standard deviations; no. of pigs completing collections in parentheses)

		(a) Cannulated pigs					
Diet ...	Site of re-entrant cannula*	BWF†		SSG		SSC	
		Mean	SD‡	Mean	SD‡	Mean	SD‡
	Duodenum	2.85	0.450 (12)	2.25	0.373 (8)	2.28	0.361 (6)
	Jejunum	2.76	0.306 (5)	2.26	0.424 (5)	1.60	0.363 (4)
	Ileum	0.69	0.136 (5)	0.69	0.209 (2)	0.20	0.019 (6)
		(b) Pigs without cannulas (six pigs/diet)					
Diet ...		BWF	BWF _f	SSG	SSC		
	Faeces: Mean	0.19	0.16	0.10	0.02		
	SD‡	0.010	0.015	0.009	0.005		

* For details of sites, see p. 500.

† Diet BWF was normally ground except when fed to pigs with ileal cannulas when it was finely-milled (diet BWF_f); for details of diets, see p. 498.

‡ Based on the variation between pigs for a given diet and site; values are inappropriate for comparisons particularly between diets.

secretions at the former site. The coefficients of variation (%) between pigs on each diet were, on average, duodenum 23, jejunum (within any 1 h period) 10, ileum (within any 6 h period) 4.

DISCUSSION

1. Digesta flow

The results of this study confirm the findings of Kvasnitsky (1951), Auffray, Martinet & Rérat (1967), Noakes, Hill, Freeman & Annison (1967), Horszczaruk (1971), Zebrowska & Buraczewska (1972) and Ivan (1974) all of whom found higher flow-rates after feeding than at other times in the duodenum of pigs with re-entrant cannulas, even though the number of animals used by the other authors was often much smaller, and the diet composition and feeding levels varied considerably. Horszczaruk (1971) and Zebrowska & Buraczewska (1972) also noted that flow continued throughout 24 h periods.

The flow pattern found in the ileum was similar to that found by Zebrowska & Buraczewska (1972), who used a diet which was similar to diet SSC and was fed at a similar level, but it was very different to those found by Cunningham, Friend & Nicholson (1963) who fed low levels of diets containing either cereals, dried skim milk, glucose or lactose to two pigs. The latter authors found that the two pigs used had quite different patterns of digestion and absorption; they also found that the cereal diet passed the ileum 3–12 h after feeding, while most of each of the other diets passed the ileum 0–6 h after feeding.

The 2–3-fold dilution of the intake by endogenous secretions in 24 h periods in the anterior small intestine has also been reported by Kvasnitsky (1951), Horszczaruk

Table 4. Values for pH for 24 h collection periods in the small intestine of pigs cannulated at one of three sites, when the pigs were given diets BWF (BWF₁), SSG and SSC

(Mean values, and differences between means with their standard errors for comparisons between sites and diets; no. of pigs completing collections in parentheses)

Diet ...	BWF†	SSG	SSC
Site of re-entrant cannula†			
Duodenum	4.42 (12)	4.86 (8)	4.90 (6)
Jejunum	5.88 (5)	6.24 (5)	5.98 (4)
Ileum	7.32 (5)	7.05 (2)	7.33 (6)

Diet ...	BWF		SSG		SSC	
	Difference	SE§	Difference	SE§	Difference	SE§
Duodenum-jejunum	-1.46***	0.236	-1.39***	0.253	1.08**	0.287
Duodenum-ileum	-2.90***	0.236	-2.19***	0.351	2.43***	0.256
Jejunum-ileum	-1.44***	0.281	-0.81*	0.371	1.35***	0.287

Diet ...	BWF-SSG		BWF-SSC		SSG-SSC		df
	Difference	SE	Difference	SE	Difference	SE	
Duodenum	-0.44**	0.119	-0.48**	0.132	0.04	0.169	12
Jejunum	-0.37**	0.103	-0.10	0.112	0.26	0.112	7
Ileum	0.27	0.307	-0.01	0.190	-0.28	0.266	5

Levels of significance: * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$.

† For details of sites, see p. 500.

‡ Diet BWF was normally ground except when fed to pigs with ileal cannulas when it was finely-milled (diet BWF₁); for details of diets, see p. 498.

§ Based on the pooled error, between-pigs-within-diets-and-sites (44 df).

|| Based on the interaction between pigs and diets at an individual site (since there was some evidence of heterogeneity between sites) and an approximation (Taylor, 1948) when necessary.

Table 5. Determined values for pH for 24 h collection periods in the small intestine of pigs cannulated at one of three sites, when the pigs were given diets BWF (BWF₁), SSG and SSC

(Mean values and standard deviations; no. of pigs completing collections in parentheses)

Diet ...	BWF†		SSG		SSC	
	Mean	SD‡	Mean	SD‡	Mean	SD‡
Site of re-entrant cannula*						
Duodenum	4.42	0.365 (12)	4.85	0.415 (8)	4.92	0.478 (6)
Jejunum	5.88	0.482 (5)	6.24	0.474 (5)	5.92	0.376 (4)
Ileum	7.29	0.306 (5)	7.14	0.113 (2)	7.33	0.302 (6)

* For details of sites, see p. 500.

† Diet BWF was normally ground except when fed to pigs with ileal cannulas when it was finely-milled (diet BWF₁); for details of diets, see p. 498.

‡ Based on the variation between pigs for a given diet and site; values are inappropriate for comparisons particularly between diets.

(1971) and Zebrowska & Buraczewska (1972). While it is impossible to assign the differences in the amount of endogenous secretion to individual factors in the present study, several influences merit attention. The pigs received a mean of about 6 kg feed and water in 24 h resulting in 13–17 kg duodenal digesta. Results of work done at this Institute (R. Braude & I. E. Sambrook, unpublished results) and the results of a single 7 h collection by Auffray *et al.* (1967) on bile secretion suggest that the total flow in 24 h is 2–4 kg in 40–50 kg pigs. Pancreatic juice probably contributes 2–4 kg to duodenal digesta of 40–50 kg pigs (Tkachev & Pakhno, 1970; Corring, Aumaitre & Rérat, 1972), so it seems likely that saliva and gastric juice, the total outputs of which have yet to be satisfactorily measured in the pig, contribute 3–7 kg. To be able to account satisfactorily for the endogenous secretions, and the ways in which they vary with the type of diet and level of feeding, requires much more work on the individual contributions.

The amount of endogenous secretion can be influenced by the density (or 'bulk') of a diet. The smaller amount of digesta from diets SSG and SSC than the less dense diet BWF is consistent with the findings of Bakeeva & Utekhin (1958). The particle size of a diet may also influence gastric secretion: Reimann, Maxwell, Kowalczyk, Benevenga, Grummer & Hoekstra (1968) found more pepsin (*EC* 3.4.23.1) secretion with finely-ground than coarsely-ground maize diets in pigs, which is inconsistent with the present findings, suggesting that other factors are of importance.

It has been suggested that the method of cannulation used in the duodenum may influence the amount of digesta collected (Laplace & Tomassone, 1970); these workers obtained nearly 50% more digesta when using a novel cannulation method, than Auffray *et al.* (1967). However, the latter authors used a diet similar to diet SSC, and the former authors a diet similar to diet BWF. There was more than 30% more digesta for diet BWF than for diet SSC in the present study, even though the cannulas were located posterior rather than anterior to the bile duct. Differences similar to those found here between diets in the amounts of digesta in the duodenum have been noted by Zebrowska (1973). Thus the importance of the cannulation method is probably less than Laplace & Tomassone (1970) supposed, but may need to be considered further.

The very limited evidence available on the pattern of endogenous secretions indicates that peak secretion occurs at the times when digesta flow is high. The flow of dry matter also followed the pattern of flow of digesta, so it is reasonable to suppose that the pattern of flow found in the duodenum in the present study provides an indication of the pattern of gastric emptying even though the cannulas were located posterior to the bile and pancreatic ducts. The results presented here indicate that the mathematical descriptions of the characteristics of gastric emptying studied by using small, soluble, simple test meals in man by Hunt & Spurrell (1951) or Hopkins (1966) do not appear to apply when high levels of solid feeding are used in growing pigs. Although a sharp decrease in flow was found after the first hour after feeding in the duodenum, which might, if studied over shorter time intervals, indicate some similarity to the test meal situation, there is clearly an

important difference between emptying of a test meal in 2–3 h and the continuous emptying during 24 h found in the present study. The much slower pattern of emptying in the latter instance probably facilitates intestinal homeostasis and therefore internal homeostasis.

The composition of a diet is known to influence gastric emptying: increasing the free ion concentration delays the process (Hunt & Pathak, 1960). The 'free' salt concentrations (i.e. the salts added to the mineral mixtures) were 15.5, 37.5 and 40.2 g/kg for diets BWF, SSG and SSC respectively; however, the emptying patterns did not vary greatly. The high level of sucrose in diet SSG might also have delayed emptying (Hunt & Pathak, 1960). Although it is impossible to isolate independent diet effects on gastric emptying in this study, it is important to be aware of possible influences. The possible influences of gastric HCl in the duodenum lowering the pH and inhibiting gastric emptying also merit further attention. The level of feeding is also likely to be a factor influencing gastric emptying, but it has only been studied in detail in young pigs given test meals (Ochia, 1973).

A detailed review of the dynamics of digesta flow in simple-stomached species has been prepared by Laplace (1975).

2. pH

While the pH of gastric contents of pigs has been measured under various conditions, little attention has been paid to the pH of duodenal digesta. Kvasnitsky (1951) found a mean pH of 6.0 in the first half and 6.8 in the second half of the small intestine in a slaughter trial. The same method was used by Clemens, Stevens & Southworth (1975) who found pH values of 5.7, 6.4 and 6.8 in the proximal, central and distal thirds of the small intestine. Ivan (1974) studied pH changes during 12 h collections from pigs with re-entrant cannulas in the duodenum and found a similar pattern of changes to those in the present study but with mean values 0.25–0.5 higher. The pH of ileal digesta of pigs with re-entrant cannulas given molasses was found by Ly (1971) to be 7.4–7.8. Even though the age of animals, diets and methods used in the studies mentioned were different, there is general agreement about the pH found in the small intestine.

The role of specific nutrients, level of feeding and physical form of the diet in determining pH remain largely unknown. It is of interest to note that Lawrence (1970) found that the gastric pH increased as the particle size of the diet decreased, while Reimann *et al.* (1968) did not find such an effect. Whether particle size was a factor in determining the significantly lower mean pH for diet BWF than the others in the duodenum (see Table 4) remains to be established.


The authors gratefully acknowledge the help of Dr H. L. Buttle who performed surgical procedures involved in cannulation, of R. J. Pittman, I. E. Sambrook and I. G. Partridge for their help in digesta collection and the maintenance of the animals, and of P. Austen for construction of the digesta 'collection-and-return' system used.

REFERENCES

- Ash, R. W. (1962). *Anim. Prod.* **4**, 309.
- Auffray, P., Martinet, J. & Rérat, A. (1967). *Annls Biol. anim. Biochim. Biophys.* **7**, 261.
- Bakeeva, E. N. & Utekhin, B. P. (1958). *Sechenov physiol. ž. USSR* **44**, 1077.
- Barber, R. S., Braude, R., Mitchell, K. G. & Pittman, R. J. (1972). *Anim. Prod.* **14**, 199.
- Braude, R. & Mitchell, K. G. (1964). *ž. Anim. Techns Ass.* **15**, 71.
- Clemens, E. T., Stevens, C. E. & Southworth, M. (1975). *ž. Nutr.* **105**, 759.
- Corring, T., Aumaitre, A. & Rérat, A. (1972). *Annls Biol. anim. Biochim. Biophys.* **12**, 109.
- Cunningham, H. M., Friend, D. W. & Nicholson, J. W. G. (1963). *Can. ž. Anim. Sci.* **43**, 215.
- Hopkins, A. (1966). *ž. Physiol., Lond.* **182**, 144.
- Horszczaruk, F. (1971). *Biul. Inst. Genet. Hodow. Zwierz. pol. Akad. Nauk* **21**, 101.
- Hunt, J. & Pathak, J. D. (1960). *ž. Physiol., Lond.* **154**, 254.
- Hunt, J. N. & Spurrell, W. B. (1951). *ž. Physiol., Lond.* **113**, 157.
- Ivan, M. (1974). A nutritional evaluation of wheat for pigs with particular reference to quality and quantity of protein. PhD Thesis, University of New England, Australia.
- Kvasnitsky, A. V. (1951). *Voprosy Fisiologii Pishchevarenija u Svinej*. Moscow: Sel'Khozgiz (translated by D. E. Kidder).
- Laplace, J.-P. (1972). *Annls Zootech.* **21**, 83.
- Laplace, J.-P. (1975). *Annls Zootech.* **24**, 489.
- Laplace, J.-P. & Tomassone, R. (1970). *Annls Zootech.* **19**, 303.
- Lawrence, T. L. J. (1970). *Anim. Prod.* **12**, 151.
- Low, A. G. (1974). Observations on the digestion of proteins by the growing pig. PhD Thesis, University of Reading.
- Low, A. G. (1976). *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* **35**, 57.
- Ly, J. (1971). *Revta cub. Cienc. agric.* **5**, 351.
- Markowitz, J., Archibald, J. & Downie, H. G. (1954). *Experimental Surgery*, 3rd ed. London: Baillière, Tindall and Cox.
- Noakes, D. E., Hill, K. J., Freeman, C. P. & Annison, E. F. (1967). *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* **26**, vi.
- Ochia, B. A. (1973). *ž. Physiol., Lond.* **233**, 467.
- Reimann, E. M., Maxwell, C. V., Kowalczyk, T., Benevenga, N. J., Grummer, R. H. & Hoekstra, W. G. (1968). *ž. Anim. Sci.* **27**, 992.
- Taylor, J. (1948). *Nature, Lond.* **162**, 262.
- Tkachev, E. Z. & Pakhno, V. S. (1970). *Sb. nauch. Rab. vses. nauchno-issled. Inst. Zhivotn.* **20**, 25.
- Zebrowska, T. (1973). *Roczn. Nauk roln.* **95B**, 115.
- Zebrowska, T. & Buraczewska, L. (1972). *Roczn. Nauk roln.* **94B**, 81.

EXPLANATION OF PLATE

A pig with an ileal re-entrant cannula during a collection, standing in a modified Shinfield metabolism cage. For details of cage, see p. 498 and Braude & Mitchell (1964).



R. BRAUDE, ROSEMARY J. FULFORD AND A. G. LOW

(Facing p. 510)