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#### Abstract

There are several kinds of classification problems for real hypersurfaces in complex twoplane Grassmannians $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$. Among them, Suh classified Hopf hypersurfaces in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ with Reeb parallel Ricci tensor in Levi-Civita connection. In this paper, we introduce the notion of generalized Tanaka-Webster (GTW) Reeb parallel Ricci tensor for Hopf hypersurfaces in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$. Next, we give a complete classification of Hopf hypersurfaces in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ with GTW Reeb parallel Ricci tensor.


## Introduction

The classification of real hypersurfaces in Hermitian symmetric spaces is one of interesting parts in the field of differential geometry. Among them, we introduce a complex two-plane Grassmannian $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ defined by the set of all complex twodimensional linear subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^{m+2}$. It is a kind of Hermitian symmetric space of compact irreducible type with rank 2. Remarkably, the manifolds are equipped with both a Kähler structure $J$ and a quaternionic Kähler structure $\mathfrak{J}$ satisfying $J J_{v}=J_{v} J$ $(v=1,2,3)$, where $\left\{J_{v}\right\}_{v=1,2,3}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{J}$. When $m=1, G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}\right)$ is isometric to the two-dimensional complex projective space $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ with constant holomorphic sectional curvature eight. When $m=2$, we note that the isomorphism $\operatorname{Spin}(6) \simeq \operatorname{SU}(4)$ yields an isometry between $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{4}\right)$ and the real Grassmann Manifold $G_{2}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{6}\right)$ of oriented two-dimensional linear subspaces in $\mathbb{R}^{6}$. In this paper we assume that $m$ is not less than 3 .

Let $N$ be a local unit normal vector field of a real hypersurface $M$ in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$. Since $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ has the Kähler structure $J$, we can define a Reeb vector field $\xi=-J N$ and a 1-dimensional distribution $[\xi]=\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$, where $\mathcal{C}$ denotes the orthogonal complement in $T_{x} M, x \in M$, of the Reeb vector field $\xi$. The Reeb vector field $\xi$ is said to be Hopf if $\mathcal{C}$ (or $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ ) is invariant under the shape operator $A$ of $M$. The 1-dimensional foliation of $M$ by the integral curves of $\xi$ is said to be a Hopf foliation of $M$. We say that $M$ is a Hopf hypersurface if and only if the Hopf foliation of $M$ is totally geodesic.

[^0]By the formulas in [11, Section 2], it can easily be seen that $\xi$ is Hopf if and only if $M$ is Hopf.

From the quaternionic Kähler structure $\mathfrak{J}$ of $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$, there naturally exist almost contact 3 -structure vector fields $\xi_{v}=-J_{v} N, v=1,2,3$. Let $Q^{\perp}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right\}$. It is a 3-dimensional distribution in the tangent space $T_{x} M$ of $M$ at $x \in M$. In addition, $Q$ stands for the orthogonal complement of $Q^{\perp}$ in $T_{x} M$. It is the quaternionic maximal subbundle of $T_{x} M$. Thus the tangent space of $M$ consists of the direct sum of $\mathcal{Q}$ and $Q^{\perp}$ as follows: $T_{x} M=Q \oplus Q^{\perp}$.

For two distributions $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ and $Q^{\perp}$ defined above, we consider two natural invariant geometric properties under the shape operator $A$ of $M$, that is, $A \mathcal{C}^{\perp} \subset \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ and $A Q^{\perp} \subset$ $Q^{\perp}$. In a paper due to Suh [17, Theorem 1.1] we introduce the following theorem.

Theorem $A$ Let $M$ be a real hypersurface in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right), m \geq 3$. Then both $[\xi]$ and $Q^{\perp}$ are invariant under the shape operator of $M$ if and only if either
(A) $M$ is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+1}\right)$ in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$, or
(B) $m$ is even, say $m=2 n$, and $M$ is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{H} P^{n}$ in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$.

In case ( $A$ ), we say $M$ is of Type ( $A$ ). Similarly, in case $(B)$ we say $M$ is of Type ( $B$ ). Until now, by using Theorem A, many geometers have investigated some characterizations for Hopf hypersurfaces in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ with geometric quantities, shape operator, normal (or structure) Jacobi operator, Ricci tensor, and so on. Actually, Lee and Suh [11] gave a characterization for a real hypersurface of Type (B) as follows.

Theorem B Let M be a Hopf hypersurface in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right), m \geq 3$. Then the Reeb vector field $\xi$ belongs to the distribution $Q$ if and only if $M$ is locally congruent to an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{H} P^{n}$ in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right), m=2 n$. In other words, $M$ is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of Type (B).

In particular, there are various well-known results with respect to the Ricci tensor $S$ on Hopf hypersurfaces in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$. From such a point of view, Suh [16] gave a characterization of a model space of Type $(A)$ in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ under the condition $S \phi=\phi S$, where $\phi$ denotes the structure tensor field of $M$. In [17] and [18], he also considered the parallelism of Ricci tensor with respect to the Levi-Civita connection and gave the following theorem.

Theorem $C$ ([18]) Let $M$ be a real hypersurface in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right), m \geq 3$ with nonvanishing geodesic Reeb flow. If the Ricci tensor is Reeb parallel, $\nabla_{\xi} S=0$. Then $M$ is locally congruent to one of the following:
(i) a tube over a totally geodesic $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+1}\right)$ in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ with radius $r \neq \frac{\pi}{4 \sqrt{2}}$,
(ii) a tube over a totally geodesic $\mathbb{H} P^{n}, m=2 n$, in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ with radius $r$ such that

$$
\cot ^{2}(2 r)=\frac{1}{2 m-1}
$$

and $\xi$-parallel eigenspaces $T_{\cot r}$ and $T_{\tan r}$.

Now we introduce another connection different from the Levi-Civita one, called the generalized Tanaka-Webster (GTW) connection $\widehat{\nabla}^{(k)}$ on $M$ given by

$$
\widehat{\nabla}_{X}^{(k)} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+\widehat{F}_{X}^{(k)} Y,
$$

where $k$ is a non-zero real number (see $[1,2,5]$ ) and

$$
\widehat{F}_{X}^{(k)} Y=g(\phi A X, Y) \xi-\eta(Y) \phi A X-k \eta(X) \phi Y
$$

The operator $\widehat{F}^{(k)}$ is a skew-symmetric $(1,1)$ type tensor, that is,

$$
g\left(\widehat{F}_{X}^{(k)} Y, Z\right)=-g\left(Y, \widehat{F}_{X}^{(k)} Z\right)
$$

for all tangent vector fields $X, Y$, and $Z$ on $M$ and is said to be a Tanaka-Webster (or $k$-th-Cho) operator with respect to $X$. Recently, in [5] Jeong, Kimura, Lee, and Suh defined the notion of Reeb parallel shape operator with GTW connection, $\widehat{\nabla}_{\xi}^{(k)} A=0$, for Hopf hypersurfaces in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ and gave the following theorem.

Theorem $D \quad$ Let $M$ be a connected orientable Hopfhypersurface, $\alpha \neq 2 k$, in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$, $m \geq 3$. If the shape operator $A$ is generalized Tanaka-Webster Reeb parallel, then $M$ is locally congruent to an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+1}\right)$ in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$.

Motivated by these works, in this paper we consider the notion of Reeb parallelism for the Ricci tensor $S$ with respect to the GTW connection on a real hypersurface $M$ in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$. The Ricci tensor $S$ is said to be generalized Tanaka-Webster Reeb parallel (GTW Reeb parallel) if the covariant derivative in GTW connection $\widehat{\nabla}^{(k)}$ of $S$ along the Reeb direction vanishes, that is, $\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\xi}^{(k)} S\right) Y=0$. In terms of this condition, we assert the following theorem.

Theorem 1 Let $M$ be a Hopf hypersurface in complex two-plane Grassmannians $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right), m \geq 3$, with $\alpha \neq 2 k$. The Ricci tensor $S$ on $M$ is GTW Reeb parallel if and only if $M$ is locally congruent to one of the following:
(i) a tube over a totally geodesic $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+1}\right)$ in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ with radius $r$ such that

$$
r \neq \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} \cot ^{-1}\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{2}}\right),
$$

or
(ii) a tube over a totally geodesic $\mathbb{H} P^{n}, m=2 n$, in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ with radius $r$ such that

$$
r=\frac{1}{2} \cot ^{-1}\left(\frac{-k}{4(2 n-1)}\right) .
$$

When we consider the notion of GTW parallel Ricci tensor, that is, $\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{X}^{(k)} S\right)=0$ for arbitrary tangent vector field $X$ on $M$, by Theorem 1 we can assert the following theorem.

Theorem 2 There does not exist any Hopf hypersurface in complex two-plane Grassmannians $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$, $m \geq 3$ with $\alpha \neq 2 k$, satisfying $\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{X}^{(k)} S\right) Y=0$ for any tangent vector fields $X$ and $Y$ on $M$.

On the other hand, in [6] Jeong, Lee, and Suh gave a characterization of Hopf hypersurfaces in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ with $\nabla A=\widehat{\nabla}^{(k)} A$. So naturally we consider that $\nabla S=$ $\widehat{\nabla}^{(k)} S$, that is, the covariant derivative of the Ricci tensor $S$ coincides with the derivative of $S$ in the GTW connection. This is equivalent to the fact that the Ricci tensor $S$ commutes with the Tanaka-Webster operator $\widehat{F}_{X}^{(k)}$, that is, $S \cdot \widehat{F}_{X}^{(k)}=\widehat{F}_{X}^{(k)} \cdot S$. It means that any eigenspace of the Ricci tensor $S$ is invariant under the Tanaka-Webster operator $\widehat{F}_{X}^{(k)}$. In terms of this condition, we assert the following theorem.

Theorem 3 There does not exist any Hopf hypersurface in complex two-plane Grassmannians $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$, $m \geq 3$, satisfying $\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{X}^{(k)} S\right) Y=\left(\nabla_{X} S\right) Y$ for any tangent vector fields $X$ and $Y$ on $M$.

In order to get our results, in Section 1 we will give the fundamental formulas related to the Reeb parallel Ricci tensor. In Section 2, we want to give a complete proof of Theorem 1 for $\alpha=g(A \xi, \xi) \neq 2 k$. In Sections 3 and 4 we give complete proofs of Theorem 2 and 3 , respectively.

## 1 Basic Formulas for Ricci Tensor in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$

In this paper, we refer the reader to $[3,4,7-9,12,13,15,16,19-22]$ for Riemannian geometric structures of Hermitian symmetric spaces and its geometric quantities. Hereafter, let us denote by $M$ a real hypersurface in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right), m \geq 3$, and let $S$ denote the Ricci tensor of $M$. From [14], the Ricci tensor $S$ of a real hypersurface $M$ in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$, $m \geq 3$, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
S X= & (4 m+7) X-3 \eta(X) \xi+h A X-A^{2} X  \tag{1.1}\\
& +\sum_{v=1}^{3}\left\{-3 \eta_{v}(X) \xi_{v}+\eta_{v}(\xi) \phi_{v} \phi X-\eta_{v}(\phi X) \phi_{v} \xi-\eta(X) \eta_{v}(\xi) \xi_{v}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h$ denotes the trace of the shape operator $A$, that is, $h=\operatorname{Tr} A$. Then the derivative of the Ricci tensor $S$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{X} S\right) Y= & -3 g(\phi A X, Y) \xi-3 \eta(Y) \phi A X  \tag{1.2}\\
& +(X h) A Y+h\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) Y-\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) A Y-A\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) Y \\
& -3 \sum_{v=1}^{3}\left\{g\left(\phi_{v} A X, Y\right) \xi_{v}+\eta_{v}(Y) \phi_{v} A X\right\} \\
+ & \sum_{v=1}^{3}\left\{2 \eta_{v}(\phi A X) \phi_{v} \phi Y+g\left(A X, \phi_{v} \phi Y\right) \phi_{v} \xi-\eta(Y) \eta_{v}(A X) \phi_{v} \xi\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\eta_{v}(\phi Y) \eta(A X) \xi_{v}-\eta_{v}(\phi Y) \phi_{v} \phi A X-2 \eta(Y) \eta_{v}(\phi A X) \xi_{v}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

for any tangent vector fields $X$ and $Y$ on $M$.

In particular, substituting $X=\xi$ into (1.2) and using the condition that $M$ is Hopf, that is, $A \xi=\alpha \xi$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{\xi} S\right) Y= & 4 \alpha \sum_{v=1}^{3}\left\{\eta_{v}(\phi Y) \xi_{v}-\eta_{v}(Y) \phi_{v} \xi\right\}+(\xi h) A Y \\
& +h\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) Y-\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) A Y-A\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) Y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by the definition of GTW connection $\widehat{\nabla}^{(k)}$ the covariant derivative of $S$ with respect to the GTW connection becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{X}^{(k)} S\right) Y= & \widehat{\nabla}_{X}^{(k)}(S Y)-S\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{X}^{(k)} Y\right)  \tag{1.3}\\
= & \nabla_{X}(S Y)+g(\phi A X, S Y) \xi-\eta(S Y) \phi A X-k \eta(X) \phi S Y \\
& -S \nabla_{X} Y-g(\phi A X, Y) S \xi+\eta(Y) S \phi A X+k \eta(X) S \phi Y .
\end{align*}
$$

It yields
(1.4) $\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{X}^{(k)} S\right) Y=-3 g(\phi A X, Y) \xi-3 \eta(Y) \phi A X$

$$
+(X h) A Y+h\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) Y-\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) A Y-A\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) Y
$$

$$
+g(\phi A X, S Y) \xi-\eta(S Y) \phi A X-k \eta(X) \phi S Y
$$

$$
-g(\phi A X, Y) S \xi+\eta(Y) S \phi A X+k \eta(X) S \phi Y
$$

$$
-3 \sum_{v=1}^{3}\left\{g\left(\phi_{v} A X, Y\right) \xi_{v}+\eta_{v}(Y) \phi_{v} A X\right\}
$$

$$
+\sum_{v=1}^{3}\left\{2 \eta_{v}(\phi A X) \phi_{v} \phi Y+g\left(A X, \phi_{v} \phi Y\right) \phi_{\nu} \xi-\eta(Y) \eta_{v}(A X) \phi_{\nu} \xi\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\eta_{v}(\phi Y) \eta(A X) \xi_{v}-\eta_{v}(\phi Y) \phi_{v} \phi A X-2 \eta(Y) \eta_{v}(\phi A X) \xi_{v}\right\} .
$$

From now on, we assume that $M$ is a Hopf hypersurface in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ with GTW Reeb parallel Ricci tensor, that is, $S$ satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\xi}^{(k)} S\right) X=0 \tag{C-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.3), it becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\xi}^{(k)} S\right) X= & \widehat{\nabla}_{\xi}^{(k)}(S X)-S\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\xi}^{(k)} X\right)  \tag{1.5}\\
= & \nabla_{\xi}(S X)+g(\phi A \xi, S X) \xi-\eta(S X) \phi A \xi-k \eta(\xi) \phi S X \\
& \quad-S\left(\nabla_{\xi} X\right)-g(\phi A \xi, X) S \xi+\eta(X) S \phi A \xi+k \eta(\xi) S \phi X \\
= & \left(\nabla_{\xi} S\right) X-k \phi S X+k S \phi X .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, condition (C-1) is equivalent to $\left(\nabla_{\xi} S\right) X=k \phi S X-k S \phi X$, which yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4(k-\alpha) \sum_{v=1}^{3}\left\{\eta_{v}(\phi X) \xi_{v}-\eta_{v}(X) \phi_{v} \xi\right\}  \tag{1.6}\\
& =(\xi h) A X+h\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) X-\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) A X-A\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) X-k h \phi A X \\
& \quad+k \phi A^{2} X+k h A \phi X-k A^{2} \phi X
\end{align*}
$$

from (1.1), (1.2), and [10, Section 2].

Using these equations, we prove that $\xi$ belongs to either $\mathcal{Q}$ or $Q^{\perp}$, as follows.
Lemma 1.1 Let $M$ be a Hopf hypersurface in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right), m \geq 3$. If $M$ has a $G T W$ Reeb parallel Ricci tensor, then $\xi$ belongs to either the distribution $Q$ or the distribution $Q^{\perp}$.

Proof In order to prove this lemma, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\eta\left(X_{0}\right) X_{0}+\eta\left(\xi_{1}\right) \xi_{1} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some unit vectors $X_{0} \in Q$ and $\xi_{1} \in Q^{\perp}$. Putting $X=\xi$ in (1.6), by (1.7) and basic formulas in [10, Section 2], it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
4(\alpha-k) \eta_{1}(\xi) \phi_{1} \xi=\alpha(\xi h) \xi-h(\xi \alpha) \xi-2 \alpha(\xi \alpha) \xi \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used $\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) \xi=(\xi \alpha) \xi$ and $\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) A \xi=\alpha(\xi \alpha) \xi$.
Taking the inner product of (1.8) with $\phi_{1} \xi$, we have

$$
4(\alpha-k) \eta_{1}(\xi) \eta^{2}\left(X_{0}\right)=0
$$

because of $\eta^{2}\left(X_{0}\right)+\eta^{2}\left(\xi_{1}\right)=1$. From this, we have the following three cases.
Case 1: $\alpha=k$. From the definition of GTW connection we see that $\alpha$ must be a non-zero real number. By virtue of $Y \alpha=(\xi \alpha) \eta(Y)-4 \sum_{v=1}^{3} \eta_{v}(\xi) \eta_{v}(\phi Y)$ in [10, Lemma A], the Reeb vector field $\xi$ belongs to either $\mathcal{Q}$ or $Q^{\perp}$.
Case 2: $\eta\left(\xi_{1}\right)=0$. By the notation (1.7), we see that $\xi$ belongs to $Q$.
Case 3: $\eta\left(X_{0}\right)=0$. This case implies that $\xi$ belongs to $Q^{\perp}$ from (1.7).
Accordingly, summing up these cases, the proof of our Lemma is completed.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Hereafter, let $M$ be a Hopf hypersurface, $\alpha \neq 2 k$, in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ with GTW Reeb parallel Ricci tensor. Then by Lemma 1.1 we divide our consideration in two cases depending on whether $\xi$ belongs to $Q^{\perp}$ or $Q$.

First of all, if we assume $\xi \in \mathcal{Q}$, then a Hopf hypersurface in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right), m \geq 3$, with GTW Reeb parallel Ricci tensor, and $\alpha=g(A \xi, \xi) \neq 2 k$ is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of Type ( $B$ ) by virtue of Theorem $B$ given in the introduction.

Next let us consider the case, $\xi \in \mathbb{Q}^{\perp}$. Accordingly, we can put $\xi=\xi_{1}$. Since $M$ is a Hopf hypersurface with GTW Reeb parallel Ricci tensor, equation (1.6) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\xi h) A X+h\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) X-\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) A X-A\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) X=  \tag{2.1}\\
& \quad k\left(h \phi A X-\phi A^{2} X-h A \phi X+A^{2} \phi X\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

From the Codazzi equation [10, Section 2] and differentiating $A \xi=\alpha \xi$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) X & =\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) \xi+\phi X+\phi_{1} X+2 \eta_{3}(X) \xi_{2}-2 \eta_{2}(X) \xi_{3} \\
& =(X \alpha) \xi+\alpha \phi A X-A \phi A X+\phi X+\phi_{1} X+2 \eta_{3}(X) \xi_{2}-2 \eta_{2}(X) \xi_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the equation from [10, Lemma 2.1] and the previous one, we get

$$
\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) X=\frac{\alpha}{2} \phi A X-\frac{\alpha}{2} A \phi X+(\xi \alpha) \eta(X) \xi
$$

Therefore, (2.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\xi h) A X+\widetilde{\kappa} h \phi A X-\widetilde{\kappa} h A \phi X+(h-2 \alpha)(\xi \alpha) \eta(X) \xi-\widetilde{\kappa} \phi A^{2} X+\widetilde{\kappa} A^{2} \phi X=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\kappa}=\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}-k\right)$.
Since $\widetilde{\kappa} \neq 0$ is equivalent to the given condition $\alpha \neq 2 k$, (2.2) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(\xi h)}{\widetilde{\kappa}} A X+h \phi A X-h A \phi X+\frac{(h-2 \alpha)}{\widetilde{\kappa}}(\xi \alpha) \eta(X) \xi-\phi A^{2} X+A^{2} \phi X=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we consider the case $\xi h=0$. Then (2.3) can be reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \phi A X-h A \phi X+\frac{(h-2 \alpha)}{\widetilde{\kappa}}(\xi \alpha) \eta(X) \xi-\phi A^{2} X+A^{2} \phi X=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the inner product of (2.4) with $\xi$, we have $\frac{(h-2 \alpha)}{\widetilde{\kappa}}(\xi \alpha) \eta(X)=0$. Thus, (2.4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \phi A X-\phi A^{2} X-h A \phi X+A^{2} \phi X=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from equation (1.1) we calculate

$$
S \phi X-\phi S X=h A \phi X-A^{2} \phi X-h \phi A X+\phi A^{2} X
$$

Then by (2.5) it follows that $S \phi X=\phi S X$ for any tangent vector field $X$ on $M$. Hence, by Suh [16] we assert that $M$ satisfying our assumptions must be a model space of Type (A).

We now assume $\xi h \neq 0$. Putting $\sigma=\frac{(\xi h)}{\widetilde{\kappa}}(\neq 0)$ and $\tau=\frac{(h-2 \alpha)}{\widetilde{\kappa}}(\xi \alpha)$, equation (2.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma A X+h \phi A X-h A \phi X+\tau \eta(X) \xi-\phi A^{2} X+A^{2} \phi X=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $\phi$ to (2.6) and replacing $X$ by $\phi X$ in (2.6), respectively, we get the following two equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma \phi A X-h A X+h \alpha \eta(X) \xi-h \phi A \phi X+A^{2} X-\alpha^{2} \eta(X) \xi+\phi A^{2} \phi X=0 \\
& \sigma A \phi X+h \phi A \phi X+h A X-h \alpha \eta(X) \xi-\phi A^{2} \phi X-A^{2} X+\alpha^{2} \eta(X) \xi=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing up the above two equations, we obtain $\phi A+A \phi=0$. Thus, equation (2.6) implies

$$
\sigma A X+2 h \phi A X+\tau \eta(X) \xi=0
$$

Let the orthogonal projection of $X$ onto the distribution $\mathcal{C}=\{X \in T M \mid X \perp \xi\}$ be denoted $X_{\mathcal{C}}$. Inserting this into the previous equation yields $\sigma A X_{\mathcal{C}}+2 h \phi A X_{\mathcal{C}}=0$. In addition, applying $\phi$ to this equation, it follows that $\sigma \phi A X_{\mathcal{C}}-2 h A X_{\mathcal{C}}=0$. Thus, we obtain

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma & 2 h \\
-2 h & \sigma
\end{array}\right)\binom{A X_{\mathcal{C}}}{\phi A X_{\mathcal{C}}}=\binom{0}{0}
$$

The determinant of the square matrix of order 2 , that is, $\sigma^{2}+4 h^{2} \geq \sigma^{2} \neq 0$, so we get $A X_{\mathcal{C}}=0$ for any $X_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{C}$. Substituting $X_{\mathcal{C}}$ as $\xi_{2}$ and $\xi_{3}$ implies that $A \xi_{2}=0$ and $A \xi_{3}=0$, respectively. Hence, we can assert that the distribution $Q^{\perp}$ is invariant under the shape operator, that is, $M$ is a $Q^{\perp}$-invariant real hypersurface. Thus, by virtue of Theorem A, we conclude that with our assumptions $M$ must be a model space of Type ( $A$ ).

Summing up these discussions, we conclude that if a Hopf hypersurface $M$ in complex two-plane Grassmannians $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right), m \geq 3$, satisfies (C-1), and $\alpha \neq 2 k$, then $M$ is of Type $(A)$ or $(B)$.

Now, let us check whether the Ricci tensor $S$ of a model space of Type (A) (or of Type $(B))$ satisfies the Reeb parallelism with respect to $\widehat{\nabla}^{(k)}$ by using the principal curvature vectors and their corresponding principal curvature values for each eigenspace with respect to the shape operator $A$ given in [10, Proposition A] (resp. [10, Proposition B]).

Let us denote by $M_{A}$ a model space of Type ( $A$ ). From now on, using the equations (1.1), (1.2), and [10, Proposition A], let us check whether or not the Ricci tensor $S$ satisfies (1.6), which is equivalent to our condition (C-1) for each eigenspace $T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}$, $T_{\lambda}$, and $T_{\mu}$ on $T_{x} M_{A}, x \in M_{A}$. In order to do this, we find one equation related to $S$ from (1.6) using the property of $M_{A}, \xi=\xi_{1}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\xi}^{(k)} S\right) X= & -h\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) X+\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) A X+A\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) X+k h \phi A X  \tag{2.7}\\
& -k \phi A^{2} X-k h A \phi X+k A^{2} \phi X
\end{align*}
$$

since $h=\alpha+2 \beta+2(m-2) \lambda$ is a constant.
Case A-1: $X=\xi\left(=\xi_{1}\right) \in T_{\alpha}$. Since $\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) \xi=0$, we see that $\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\xi}^{(k)} S\right) \xi=0$ from the equation (2.7). It means that the Ricci tensor $S$ becomes GTW Reeb parallel on $T_{\alpha}$.
Case A-2: $X \in T_{\beta}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right\}$. For $\xi_{\mu} \in T_{\beta}, \mu=2$, 3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) \xi_{\mu}= & \beta\left(\nabla_{\xi} \xi_{\mu}\right)-A\left(\nabla_{\xi} \xi_{\mu}\right) \\
= & \beta q_{\mu+2}(\xi) \xi_{\mu+1}-\beta q_{\mu+1}(\xi) \xi_{\mu+2}+\alpha \beta \phi_{\mu} \xi \\
& -q_{\mu+2}(\xi) A \xi_{\mu+1}+q_{\mu+1}(\xi) A \xi_{\mu+2}-\alpha A \phi_{\mu} \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields that $\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) \xi_{2}=0$ and $\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) \xi_{3}=0$. Therefore, from equation (2.7) we obtain, respectively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\xi}^{(k)} S\right) \xi_{2} & =k h \phi A \xi_{2}-k \phi A^{2} \xi_{2}-k h A \phi \xi_{2}+k A^{2} \phi \xi_{2} \\
& =\left(-k h \beta+k \beta^{2}+k h \beta-k \beta^{2}\right) \xi_{3}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\xi}^{(k)} S\right) \xi_{3}=0$ by similar methods. So, we assert that the Ricci tensor $S$ of $M_{A}$ is Reeb parallel on $T_{\beta}$.

By the structure of a tangent vector space $T_{x} M_{A}$ at $x \in M_{A}$, we see that the distribution $\mathcal{Q}$ is composed of two eigenspaces $T_{\lambda}$ and $T_{\mu}$. On the distribution $\mathcal{Q}=T_{\lambda} \oplus T_{\mu}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) X=\alpha \phi A X-A \phi A X+\phi X+\phi_{1} X \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

by virtue of the Codazzi equation [10, Section 2]. Using this equation we consider the following two cases.
Case A-3: $X \in T_{\lambda}=\left\{X \mid X \in Q, J X=J_{1} X\right\}$. We naturally see that if $X \in T_{\lambda}$, then $\phi X=\phi_{1} X$. Moreover, the vector $\phi X$ also belongs to the eigenspace $T_{\lambda}$ for any $X \in T_{\lambda}$, that is, $\phi T_{\lambda} \subset T_{\lambda}$. From these and (2.8), we obtain

$$
\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) X=\left(\alpha \lambda-\lambda^{2}+2\right) \phi X, \quad \text { for } X \in T_{\lambda}
$$

From these facts and (2.7), we obtain

$$
\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\xi}^{(k)} S\right) X=\left(\alpha \lambda-\lambda^{2}+2\right)(2 \alpha-h) \phi X
$$

which implies that the Ricci tensor $S$ must be Reeb parallel for $\widehat{\nabla}^{(k)}$ on $T_{\lambda}$, since $\alpha \lambda-\lambda^{2}+2=0$.

Case A-4: $X \in T_{\mu}=\left\{X \mid X \in \mathcal{Q}, J X=-J_{1} X\right\}$. If $X \in T_{\mu}$, then $\phi X=-\phi_{1} X, \phi T_{\mu} \subset T_{\mu}$ and $\mu=0$. So, from (2.8), we obtain $\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) X=0$, moreover $\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\xi}^{(k)} S\right) X=0$ for any $X \in T_{\mu}$.

Summing up all of the cases mentioned above, we can assert that the Ricci tensor $S$ of a real hypersurface $M_{A}$ in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ is GTW Reeb parallel.

Now let us consider our problem for a model space of Type ( $B$ ), which will be denoted by $M_{B}$. In order to do this, let us calculate the fundamental equation related to the covariant derivative of the Ricci tensor $S$ of $M_{B}$ along the direction of $\xi$ in GTW connection. On $T_{x} M_{B}, x \in M_{B}$, since $\xi \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $h=\operatorname{Tr}(A)=\alpha+(4 n-1) \beta$ is a constant, equation (1.6) is reduced to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\xi}^{(k)} S\right) X= & 4(k-\alpha) \sum_{v=1}^{3}\left\{\eta_{v}(\phi X) \xi_{v}-\eta_{v}(X) \phi_{v} \xi\right\} \\
& -h\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) X+\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) A X+A\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) X \\
& +k h \phi A X-k \phi A^{2} X-k h A \phi X+k A^{2} \phi X .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by the equation of Codazzi and [10, Proposition B] we obtain that for any $X \in T_{x} M_{B}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{\xi} A\right) X & =\alpha \phi A X-A \phi A X+\phi X-\sum_{v=1}^{3}\left\{\eta_{v}(X) \phi_{\nu} \xi+3 g\left(\phi_{\nu} \xi, X\right) \xi_{\nu}\right\} \\
& = \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } X \in T_{\alpha}, \\
\alpha \beta \phi \xi_{\ell} & \text { if } X \in T_{\beta}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\xi_{\ell} \mid \ell=1,2,3\right\}, \\
-4 \xi_{\ell} & \text { if } X \in T_{\gamma}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\phi \xi_{\ell} \mid \ell=1,2,3\right\}, \\
(\alpha \lambda+2) \phi X & \text { if } X \in T_{\lambda}, \\
(\alpha \mu+2) \phi X & \text { if } X \in T_{\mu} .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

From these two equations, it follows that

$$
\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\xi}^{(k)} S\right) X= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } X=\xi \in T_{\alpha}  \tag{2.9}\\ (\alpha-k)\left(4-h \beta+\beta^{2}\right) \phi \xi_{\ell} & \text { if } X=\xi_{\ell} \in T_{\beta} \\ (4(\alpha-k)+(h-\beta)(4+k \beta)) \xi_{\ell} & \text { if } X=\phi \xi_{\ell} \in T_{\gamma}, \\ (h-\beta)(k \lambda-k \mu-\alpha \lambda-2) \phi X & \text { if } X \in T_{\lambda}, \\ (h-\beta)(k \mu-k \lambda-\alpha \mu-2) \phi X & \text { if } X \in T_{\mu}\end{cases}
$$

So, we see that $M_{B}$ has Reeb parallel GTW Ricci tensor, when $\alpha$ and $h$ satisfy the conditions $\alpha=k$ and $h-\beta=0$, which means $r=1 / 2 \cot ^{-1}(-k / 4(2 n-1))$. Moreover, this radius $r$ satisfies our condition $\alpha \neq 2 k$.

Hence summing up these considerations, we give a complete proof of Theorem 1 in the introduction.

For the case $\alpha=2 k$, the Reeb vector field $\xi$ of a Hopf hypersurface $M$ with GTW Reeb parallel Ricci tensor belongs to either $Q$ or $Q^{\perp}$. So, for the case $\xi \in Q^{\perp}$, equation (2.2) becomes $\xi h=0$; that is, the trace $h$ of the shape operator $A$ is constant along $\xi$.

For the case $\xi \in \mathcal{Q}$, it is a well-known fact that a Hopf hypersurface in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$, $m \geq 3$ must be a model space $M_{B}$ of Type (B) (see [11]). On the other hand, from (2.9) and $\alpha=2 k$, the GTW covariant derivative of the Ricci tensor $S$ of $M_{B}$ along the direction of $\xi$ is given by

$$
\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\xi}^{(k)} S\right) X= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } X=\xi \in T_{\alpha} \\ k\left(4-h \beta+\beta^{2}\right) \phi \xi_{\ell} & \text { if } X=\xi_{\ell} \in T_{\beta} \\ (4 k+(h-\beta)(4+k \beta)) \xi_{\ell} & \text { if } X=\phi \xi_{\ell} \in T_{\gamma} \\ -(h-\beta)(k \beta+2) \phi X & \text { if } X \in T_{\lambda} \\ -(h-\beta)(k \beta+2) \phi X & \text { if } X \in T_{\mu}\end{cases}
$$

Actually, since $\alpha=2 k$, we naturally have $k \beta+2=0$. It follows that the Ricci tensor $S$ is GTW Reeb parallel on $T_{\lambda}$ and $T_{\mu}$. In order to be the GTW Reeb parallel Ricci tensor on the other eigenspaces $T_{\beta}$ and $T_{\gamma}$, we should have the following two equations:

$$
4-h \beta+\beta^{2}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad 4 k+(h-\beta)(4+k \beta)=0
$$

Combining these two equations, we have $2 k+h-\beta=0$. Since

$$
h=\alpha+3 \beta+(4 n-4)(\lambda+\mu)=\alpha+(4 n-1) \beta \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha=2 k,
$$

it follows that $\alpha=-(2 n-1) \beta$. By virtue of [10, Proposition B], $\alpha=-2 \tan (2 r)$ and $\beta=2 \cot (2 r)$, where $r \in(0, \pi / 4)$, we obtain $\tan (2 r)=\sqrt{2 n-1}$. From such assertions, we conclude that a model space of Type $(B)$ has GTW Reeb parallel Ricci tensor for special radius $r$ such that $r=\frac{1}{2} \tan ^{-1}(\sqrt{2 n-1})$.

From the above, we have the following. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface in complex two-plane Grassmannians $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right), m \geq 3$, with GTW Reeb parallel Ricci tensor for $\alpha=2 k$. If the Reeb vector field $\xi$ belongs to the distribution $Q$, then $M$ is locally congruent to an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{H} P^{n}, m=2 n$, in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ with radius $r$ such that $r=\frac{1}{2} \tan ^{-1} \sqrt{2 n-1}$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 2

Bear in mind that the notion of GTW parallel Ricci tensor is stronger than GTW Reeb parallel Ricci tensor, and in the previous section, we got that a Hopf hypersurface $M$ in complex two-plane Grassmannians $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right), m \geq 3,(\alpha \neq 2 k)$ satisfying GTW Reeb parallel Ricci tensor, then $M$ is locally congruent to of Type $M_{A}$ or Type $M_{B}$.

Hereafter, let us check whether the Ricci tensor $S$ of a model space $M_{A}$ (or $M_{B}$ ) satisfies the parallelism with respect to $\widehat{\nabla}^{(k)}$ by using the principal curvature vectors and their corresponding principal curvature values for each eigenspace with respect to the shape operator $A$ given in [10, Proposition A] (or [10, Proposition B], respectively).

Suppose that $M$ is of Type ( $A$ ). Remember that $A \xi=\alpha \xi, A \xi_{2}=\beta \xi_{2}, A \xi_{3}=\beta \xi_{3}$, with $\alpha=\sqrt{8} \cot (\sqrt{8} r)$ and $\beta=\sqrt{2} \cot (\sqrt{2} r)$. Take $Y=\xi, X=\xi_{2}$ in (1.4). We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \beta \xi_{3}+h \nabla_{\xi_{2}} \alpha \xi-h A \phi A \xi_{2}-\nabla_{\xi_{2}} \alpha^{2} \xi+A^{2} \phi A \xi_{2}= \\
& \beta\left\{g\left(\xi_{3}, S \xi\right) \xi-\eta(S \xi) \xi_{3}+S \xi_{3}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the Reeb function $\alpha$ is constant, $S \xi=\left(4 m+h \alpha-\alpha^{2}\right) \xi$, and

$$
S \xi_{3}=\left(4 m+6+h \beta-\beta^{2}\right) \xi_{3},
$$

from (1.4) we arrive at $\beta \xi_{3}=0$, which is impossible. Thus, $M_{A}$ does not have GTW parallel Ricci tensor.

In the case of $M_{B}$, if we take $X=\xi_{1}, Y=\xi$ in (1.4) and bear in mind that $S \xi=$ $\left(4 m+4+h \alpha-\alpha^{2}\right) \xi$ and $S \phi_{1} \xi=(4 m+8) \phi_{1} \xi$, we obtain $\alpha h=0$, where $\alpha=-2 \tan (2 r)$. As $\alpha \neq 0$ we must have $h=0$. With similar computations, we obtain $6 \beta \xi_{3}=0$, for $\beta=2 \cot (2 r)$, when $X=\xi_{1}, Y=\xi_{2}$ in (1.4). As this is impossible, $M_{B}$ does not have a GTW parallel Ricci tensor, and this completes the proof of Theorem 2.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 3

Recently, in [6] Jeong, Lee, and Suh gave a characterization of Hopf hypersurfaces in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ with $\widehat{\nabla}^{(k)} A=\nabla A$. So naturally we consider that $\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{X}^{(k)} S\right) Y=\left(\nabla_{X} S\right) Y$; that is, the parallel Ricci tensor in GTW connection coincides with the parallel Ricci tensor in Levi-Civita connection. As a special case, we restrict $X=\xi$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\xi}^{(k)} S\right) X=\left(\nabla_{\xi} S\right) X \tag{C-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any tangent vector field $X$ on $M$.
By virtue of equation (1.5) and being Hopf, condition (C-2) is equivalent to $S \phi=$ $\phi S$; thus, we have the following remark [16].

Remark 4.1 Let $M$ be a Hopf hypersurface in complex two-plane Grassmannians $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right), m \geq 3$. Then $\widehat{\nabla}_{\xi}^{(k)} S=\nabla{ }_{\xi} S$ if and only if $M$ is locally congruent to an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+1}\right)$ in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$.

By Remark 4.1, if a real hypersurface $M$ in $G_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}\right)$ satisfies $\widehat{\nabla}^{(k)} S=\nabla S$, then naturally (C-2) holds on $M$. So $M$ is of Type (A), that is, $M_{A}$. Now let us check whether a model space $M_{A}$ satisfies our condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{X}^{(k)} S\right) Y=\left(\nabla_{X} S\right) Y \tag{C-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any tangent vector fields $X, Y \in T_{x} M_{A}, x \in M_{A}$. In order to do this, we assume that the Ricci tensor $S$ of $M_{A}$ satisfies (C-3). That is, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \left(\widehat{\nabla}_{X}^{(k)} S\right) Y-\left(\nabla_{X} S\right) Y  \tag{4.1}\\
= & g(\phi A X, S Y) \xi-\eta(S Y) \phi A X-k \eta(X) \phi S Y \\
& -g(\phi A X, Y) S \xi+\eta(Y) S \phi A X+k \eta(X) S \phi Y
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X, Y \in T_{x} M_{A}$.
Since $T_{x} M_{A}=T_{\alpha} \oplus T_{\beta} \oplus T_{\lambda} \oplus T_{\gamma}$, equation (4.1) holds for $X \in T_{\beta}$ and $Y \in T_{\alpha}$. For the sake of convenience we put $X=\xi_{2} \in T_{\beta}$ and $Y=\xi \in T_{\alpha}$. Since $S \xi=\delta \xi$ and
$S \xi_{3}=\sigma \xi_{3}$ where $\delta=\left(4 m+h \alpha-\alpha^{2}\right)$ and $\sigma=\left(4 m+6+h \beta-\beta^{2}\right)$, equation (4.1) reduces to $\beta(\delta-\sigma) \xi_{3}=0$. By [10, Proposition A], since the principal curvature $\beta=\sqrt{2} \cot (\sqrt{2} r)$ for $r \in(0, \pi / \sqrt{8})$ is non-zero, it follows that $\delta-\sigma=0$. In other words, by [10, Proposition B] we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-(\delta-\sigma) & =6-\alpha \beta+\beta^{2}+(2 m-2) \beta \lambda-(2 m-2) \alpha \lambda \\
& =8-4(m-1) \tan ^{2}(\sqrt{2} r)
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan ^{2}(\sqrt{2} r)=\frac{2}{m-1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, since (4.1) holds for $X \in T_{\lambda}$ and $Y=\xi$, we obtain

$$
0=\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{X}^{(k)} S\right) \xi-\left(\nabla_{X} S\right) \xi=\lambda(\tau-\delta) \phi X
$$

where in the second equality we have used $\phi X \in T_{\lambda}$ and $S X=\left(4 m+6+h \lambda-\lambda^{2}\right) X=\tau X$ for any $X \in T_{\lambda}$. As $\lambda=-\sqrt{2} \tan (\sqrt{2} r)$ where $r \in(0, \pi / \sqrt{8})$ is non-zero, we have also $\tau-\delta=0$. By a straightforward calculation, it is

$$
\tau-\delta=6+h \lambda-\lambda^{2}-h \alpha+\alpha^{2}=4 m-4 \cot ^{2}(\sqrt{2} r)=0
$$

From (4.2), it becomes $2 m+2=0$, which gives us a contradiction. Accordingly, it completes the proof of Theorem 3 given in the introduction.
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