Moisture source of precipitation in Western Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica
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Abstract: Moisture sources for snow falling in Dronning Maud Land (DML), Antarctica, are calculated for 1998 using three dimensional 5-days backward air parcel trajectories. The drilling site of the European Project on Ice Coring in Antarctica (EPICA) in DML is chosen as the main arrival point (75.0°S, 001°E). A distinction is made between trajectories with and without snowfall at arrival. Of the snowfall trajectories, 40–80% are located in the Atlantic Ocean within four days before arrival. Evaporation along these trajectories is largest three to four days before arrival. The air parcels are then located between 40° and 60°S in the Atlantic Ocean where surface temperatures range between 0° and 20°C. A case study for May 1998 shows that when snow falls exceptionally high temperatures and wind speeds prevail in the atmospheric boundary layer. The position of the trajectories in the boundary layer suggests a source region for this event between 40° and 50°S and 20° and 60°W in the Atlantic Ocean, where sea surface temperatures vary between 5° and 15°C.
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Introduction

Many deep ice cores have been drilled in the Antarctic and Greenland ice sheet, e.g. Vostok and Byrd (Antarctica), Greenland Ice Core Project (GRIP and North GRIP) and Greenland Ice Sheet Project (GISPII) (see e.g. Greenland Ice-core Project (GRIP) Members (1993) and Petit et al. (1999)). The European Project on Ice Coring in Antarctica (EPICA) aims to drill a further two cores. The main objective of EPICA is to construct a high resolution climate record for the Antarctic and compare the results with other Antarctic and Greenland records.

The Atlantic Ocean is considered to be an important link between Antarctic and Greenland climate records (Stocker 1999). One of the EPICA cores will therefore be drilled in Dronning Maud Land (DML), an area of Antarctica bordering the Atlantic Ocean (Fig. 1). The relatively high accumulation rate at the selected drilling site, about 62 mm water equivalent per year (w.e. yr−1) (Oerter et al. 2000), makes it possible to obtain a detailed record of the last glacial-interglacial cycle.

The climate recorded in ice cores is mainly determined by the conditions in which snowfall occurs. This need not be representative for the mean conditions at that point (Noone & Simmonds 1998, Noone et al. 1999). Several factors such as seasonality of snowfall and changes in moisture source regions (Jouzel et al. 1997) may bias the ice core record. The ratio of oxygen isotopes (δ18O) in the snow is often used to reconstruct temperature records from ice cores (Petit et al. 1999). However, δ18O in Antarctic snow represents a complex history of the moist parcel. It depends on the temperature of the ocean from which the moisture evaporated, the subsequent cycles of condensation and evaporation and the temperature at which the moisture finally condenses to form precipitation. This hampers the interpretation of the δ18O record. A change in δ18O could indeed reflect a realistic temperature change but could also be caused by a change in moisture source region or seasonality of the precipitation.

Several techniques have been used to trace moisture source regions of Antarctic snow. Petit et al. (1991) and Clais et al. (1995) use deuterium excess as tracer in combination with idealized isotope models. They conclude that the Antarctic moisture has a subtropical origin, 30–40°S and 20–40°E, respectively. Peixoto & Oort (1992) came to the same conclusion on the basis of atmospheric water balance studies (8–40°S). Other studies including δ18O (Bromwich & Weaver 1983) and General Circulation Model (GCM) studies (Delaygue et al. 2000, Delmotte et al. 2000) suggest a more southern origin, 55–60°South and 30–60°S, respectively. However, differences in source regions could be due to the differences in methods used, and do not necessarily contradict each other.

In this paper we use a combination of techniques to assess moisture sources for DML. To identify accumulation events we use data from nine Automatic Weather Stations (AWS) and we use a trajectory model to trace the moisture sources of the accumulation events. Using the trajectory method enables us to describe specific transport pathways in contrast with the GCM approach (e.g. Delaygue et al. 2000, Delmotte et al. 2000), which only gives the location of the source region without an indication of the transport path or the air mass age. The approach in this paper is similar to the study of Noone et al. (1999), who use European Centre for Medium Range
Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) reanalysis to describe the seasonal patterns and trajectories of significant snowfall in the Dronning Maud Land region. However, Noone et al. (1999) concentrate on the general characteristics of major events while the focus in this paper is on the source regions of the moisture.

In the next section we briefly describe the weather stations and in the following section the trajectory model. Then, a one-year record of AWS accumulation is analysed and compared with ECMWF modelled snowfall. Using the wind fields of the ECMWF model, backward air parcel trajectories are computed and described to assess moisture source regions of the snow in 1998. A high accumulation event in May 1998 is described in more detail in a later section.

The Automatic Weather Stations
At present, the Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research Utrecht (IMAU) operates nine Automatic Weather Stations in Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica (Fig. 1). AWS 1 to 3 were installed in January 1997 along a traverse line connecting the Norwegian research station Troll to the Antarctic plateau (van den Broeke et al. 1999). AWS 4 to 9 were installed in the austral summer of 1997–98 on a transect ranging from the coast along the Swedish research stations Wasa and Svea to the plateau (Holmlund et al. 2000). AWS 9 was placed at the planned location of the EPICA drilling site in DML.

The AWS measure air temperature, wind speed, wind direction, instrument height, air pressure, firn temperature (except AWS 2) and downward directed short-wave radiation. AWS 4 to 9 additionally measure relative humidity, upward directed shortwave radiation and upward and downward directed long wave radiation. The sampling rate is six (AWS 1 to 3) and five (AWS 4 to 9) minutes. Hourly (AWS 1 to 3) and two hourly (AWS 4 to 9) averages are stored and transmitted via Argos satellites. The instrument height is measured using a sonic height sensor. The changes in instrument height are a measure for the amount of accumulation in metres of snow. The initial height of the instruments was about 3 m above the surface. In this study we focus on AWS 8 and 9.

The trajectory model
We use the Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI) trajectory model (Scheele et al. 1996) to calculate air parcel backward trajectories. This model computes the three-dimensional displacement of an air parcel during a time step \( \Delta t \) using an iterative scheme:

\[
X_{n+1} = X_0 + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \left[ v(X_0, t) + v(X_n, t + \Delta t) \right].
\]

In this equation \( \Delta t \) is the iteration time step, \( X_n \) is the position vector of the parcel at time \( t \), \( X_{n+1} \) is the \( n^\text{th} \) iterative approximation of the position vector at time \( t + \Delta t \) and \( v(X, t) \) is the wind vector at position \( X \) and time \( t \). The iteration time step is 10 min. The iteration stops when the horizontal distance between \( X_n \) and \( X_{n+1} \) is less than 300 m and the relative vertical (pressure) difference is less than 0.0001. The model is able to compute different types of trajectories e.g. isentropic, isobaric or three-dimensional. The latter is used
because it most accurately approximates the true three-dimensional transport path (Stohl et al. 1995, Kottmeier & Fay 1998).

We use output from the ECMWF operational Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) model as input for the trajectory model. The wind fields are first guess fields, not analyses, to ensure physical balance in the meteorological fields, which assures accurate representation of the vertical wind speed component. Note that in 1998 the orography in the ECMWF model was improved and the resolution changed from T213 (about 0.8°) to T119 (about 0.6°). For the trajectory model, the resolution of the input data is kept constant at 1.5° in the horizontal plane, 31 levels in the vertical and six hours in time. This makes interpolation in time and space necessary. The spatial interpolation is bilinear in the horizontal and linear with log(pressure) in the vertical. The time interpolation is quadratic.

Uncertainties introduced by the choice of trajectory type and interpolation schemes are of the order of 1000 km after five days calculation (Kahl et al. 1989, Stohl et al. 1995). Errors in the vertical wind component are a major source of uncertainties in the calculated trajectories. This implies that the usefulness of identifying source regions after five days is limited to areas with horizontal dimensions on the order of 1000 km, about nine degrees latitude. In reality, the uncertainty might be larger because of the difference between the first guess wind fields and the real winds, and the presence of convective systems (e.g. fronts, convective storms). In a convective system the air parcel loses its identity making it impossible to trace a single parcel deterministically. This important source of errors in trajectories is difficult to assess and is not quantified in the uncertainty estimate. Fortunately, precipitation in the polar atmosphere is generally stratiform and convective mixing will be small. Kahl et al. (1989) conclude that sensitivity due to differences in gridded meteorological data bases, an indicator for errors in wind fields, can be larger than the sensitivity due to different trajectory calculation methods. So, computed trajectories must be interpreted with care.

Model and measurement comparison

In the following analysis a distinction is made between trajectories with and without snowfall on arrival at the AWS sites. To investigate the ability of the ECMWF model to correctly analyse meteorological parameters at the AWS sites, the ECMWF data at the 75°0'S, 0°0'E grid point are compared with measurements from two stations on the higher plateau, AWS 8 and 9. The other stations are either too close to large orographic gradients and therefore subject to large uncertainties in the first guess fields or suffer from lack of data. As such, they are not included in this analysis. Model snowfall is based on the cumulative snowfall in the first 12 forecast hours and suffers from model spin-up. Snowfall from the 0 to 12 hours forecast is about 9% less than the amount from the 12 to 24 hours forecast (Turner et al. 1999).

In general, the meteorological parameters such as pressure, temperature, wind speed and wind direction are reasonably well reproduced by the ECMWF model, especially after the resolution and orographic improvements. The AWS measurements show that most accumulation occurs in about four major events per year contributing about 80% to the total annual accumulation (Fig. 2). It is difficult to quantitatively verify the snowfall in the ECMWF model using AWS observations as they are very different types of data. The AWS measures instrument height, which includes processes such as snowfall, snowdrift, sublimation, deposition and densification of the snow pack. In case of accumulation, it is difficult to assess how much and when the accumulation is snowfall or snowdrift. Furthermore, the model precipitation represents the mean precipitation rate over the area of a grid cell rather than one location within the cell.

If we assume mean densities of 450 g kg⁻¹ (L. Karlöf, personal communication 1999) and 335 g kg⁻¹ (H. Oerter, personal communication 2000) at AWS 8 and 9, respectively, then an annual accumulation results of about 116 mm w.e. at AWS 8 and about 91 mm w.e. at AWS 9. The ECMWF snowfall in 1998 was about 55 mm w.e. at both sites. The ECMWF accumulation is lower compared to both AWS values. Noone et al. (1999) compared cumulative accumulation measured by a thermistor (77°S, 10°W) with ECMWF model precipitation, and found an overestimation of the accumulation in the model. Precipitation in the coastal

Fig. 2. Accumulation as a function of time at a, AWS 8 and b, AWS 9 for 1998. The left scale shows the cumulative instrument height changes measured at the AWS sites. The right scale shows the ECMWF cumulative snowfall at the AWS grid points in mm w.e. The arrows indicate the snowfall event in May 1998.
areas of Antarctica is often orographically induced (Bromwich 1988). Errors in the model orography could therefore have
great influence on the amount and location of model snowfall.
Genthon & Braun (1995) show that the ECMWF orography
(improved in 1998) was about 1000 m too high in DML
resulting in too little precipitation on the Antarctic plateau in
this area (Turner et al. 1999). This explains part of the found
difference at AWS 8 and 9 but does not explain the
overestimation found by Noone et al. (1999). Differences are
also explained by the difficulty to distinguish snowfall from
snowdrift from the AWS data and the fact that the model
snowfall represents the mean of a grid cell rather than one
location within the cell. The difference suggest that the
precipitation is not uniformly distributed in a model grid cell.

From medium deep ice cores and snow pits the mean
accumulation near AWS 8 was estimated to be about 62 mm
w.e. yr⁻¹ over the last 182 years (Karlöf et al. 2000) and about
62 mm w.e. yr⁻¹ over the last 200 years at AWS 9 (Oerter et al.
2000). The ECMWF snowfall in 1998 (55 mm w.e.) is similar
to these estimates. The model seems to reflect better the mean
conditions at a site rather than actually capturing a high
accumulation year. In 1999 the annual accumulation at AWS
8 and 9 was lower, 62 mm w.e. and 81 mm w.e., respectively.
The high accumulation values in 1998 can be explained by the
fact that in 1997–98 a strong El Niño was observed. Jones &
Niño events that winter cyclones are more intense. This
results in a greater than normal advection of heat and moisture
in DML and possible higher accumulation. Measurements at
Halley, the British research station on the Brunt ice shelf, and
at Neumayer, the German research station on the Ekström ice
shelf, show that the temperature and wind speed in 1998 were
about average. The mean pressure was lower than average,
which indicate larger than average depression activity in
1998.

Figure 2 compares AWS instrument height measurements
at AWS 8 and 9 with ECMWF snowfall at those locations for
1998. The individual events are not well correlated. For
example, in May 1998 a major snowfall event was recorded at
AWS 9 and not at AWS 8. The ECMWF model shows
snowfall at both stations for that event. The discrepancies are
due to model limitations. The model gives regional scale
results, not local. Note that there is no apparent seasonality in
the timing of the snowfall events.

Trajectories in 1998
Trajectory calculations are initiated (and air parcels arrive)
every 12 hours (0.00 GMT and 12.00 GMT) at six different
pressure levels above the surface with starting point 75.0°S,
0.01°E (AWS 9). Trajectories that intercept the surface are
omitted from the analysis (about 9% of the trajectories). We
make distinction between cases with and without snowfall at
arrival, based on ECMWF snowfall. To mark a trajectory as
a snowfall trajectory, at least 0.5 mm w.e. of snow must have
accumulated in the 12 hours preceding the parcel arrival. For
1998, this resulted in 23 events at AWS 9, which represents
about 37% of the total ECMWF model accumulation.

Figure 3 a presents the mean of all trajectories and Fig. 4a
the mean of snowfall trajectories, arriving at AWS 9 at
different altitudes. Both show a cyclonic curve reflecting
the substantial influence of cyclones on the air parcel paths in
Antarctic coastal regions. Due to the higher wind speeds at
higher altitudes, the distance travelled by air parcels arriving
at higher altitudes is larger and their origin is further to the
west. There is no clear seasonal shift in curve or direction of
the trajectories due to e.g. the semi-annual oscillation (SAO).
In 1998, the SAO is weakly developed in this area. SAO and
the influence of SAO on the Antarctic climate are more
extensively described in e.g. van Loon (1972) and van den
Broke (2000). Trajectories for AWS 8 (not shown) are
similar but shifted towards the west. Noone et al. (1999)
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examines the mean poleward moisture flux in the sector 10°W to 15°E to study the general approach direction of the moisture. In agreement with our results they find that the moisture approaches from the north-east.

Figures 3b & 4d present the pressure level of the mean trajectories and mean snowfall trajectories, respectively, arriving at 650 hPa at AWS 9, about 20 hPa above the surface within the maximum in the poleward moisture transport found by Noone et al. (1999). On average, snowfall trajectories are situated at higher pressure levels and have higher surface pressures along their path because they travel closer to sea level. The non-snowfall trajectories remain longer over the continent, which is reflected in the lower surface and trajectory pressure. Figures 3 & 4 show that snowfall and non-snowfall trajectories are quite different in character. Because only snowfall trajectories will contribute to the accumulation and therefore be reflected in an ice core, care must be taken only to consider those trajectories that contribute to the accumulation to obtain an unbiased assessment of the recorded climate signal.

The maritime nature of snowfall trajectories is also illustrated in Fig. 5, which shows the percentage of trajectories located in a certain region. To define these regions, the Southern Hemisphere is divided into five regions: the Atlantic Ocean (70°W–20°E), the Indian Ocean (20°E–145°E), the Pacific Ocean (145°E–70°W), the Southern Ocean (between the Antarctic continental boundaries and 65°S) and the Antarctic continent. The trajectories in this figure have their arrival height within the boundary layer at 650 hPa, about 20 hPa above the surface at AWS 9. Figure 5b shows that one day before arrival most snowfall parcels (60%) are in the Southern (Atlantic) Ocean or in the Atlantic Ocean (25%). In days 2 to 4 before arrival, 70 to 80% of the parcels are in the Atlantic Ocean. Five days before arrival, 30% of the parcels are in the Pacific Ocean. Delaygue et al. (2000) suggested a larger contribution from the Indian Ocean, 5–15% compared to 0–5% in our results. This is partly due to the size of their grid box (10° x 2°) and the displacement of their DML grid box towards the south and east of our point (75–77°S, 0–10°E). The contribution of the Southern Ocean calculated by Delaygue et al. (2000) is of the same order of magnitude (about 15%), but the contribution of the Pacific Ocean is much larger, 20–30% compared to 0–5% in Fig. 5. The contribution of the

Fig. 4. a. As Fig. 3a but only trajectories with snowfall at arrival at AWS 9 are taken into account. b. Mean temperature difference (surface temperature-potential temperature) along the snowfall trajectories. c. Surface latent heat flux (LE) averaged over all snowfall trajectories (closed symbols, left scale) and mean specific humidity at trajectory level (open symbols, right scale). LE is defined negative when directed away from the surface. In b & c, error bars indicate 1 s.d from the mean. d. Mean vertical displacement in pressure of the snowfall trajectories arriving at 650 hPa. P_s is the mean surface pressure, P_e the mean trajectory pressure.
Atlantic Ocean is the most important in both studies, but the amounts differ, 35–60% compared to 40–80% in our results. In this analysis the assumption is made that all moisture in the air parcel originates from somewhere along the 5-day trajectories. Taking the moisture already in the parcel into account, the contribution of the Pacific Ocean will probably increase due to the mean westerly flow, and the contribution from the Atlantic Ocean decrease. The results would then better resemble the results of Delaygue et al. (2000).

When the snowfall criterion is changed to 0.25 mm w.e. of snow in the 12 hours preceding the parcel arrival, 73 events (68.7% of the accumulation) are taken into account. The contribution of the Atlantic Ocean decreases to 40–50%, 2–5 days before arrival (not shown). The contributions of the Southern Ocean and the Continent, trajectories remaining close to or on the continent, increase with about 10% on each day before arrival. Taking all snowfall events into account (223 events, not shown) decreases the contribution of the Atlantic Ocean even further to 30–40% and increases the percentage of trajectories remaining over the continent to about 40%. When all trajectories are taken into account results are completely different (Fig. 5a). The majority of the parcels now originate from the continent, about 20% remains fairly close to the continent in the Southern Ocean, 10 to 20% comes from the Atlantic Ocean and the rest from the Indian Ocean. Almost none originates from the Pacific Ocean.

Figure 6 shows the position of all trajectories four days before arrival at 75.0oS, 0.01°E at 650 hPa, in which the squares represent the positions of trajectories with more than 0.5 mm w.e. snowfall at arrival. The variations in both snowfall and non-snowfall points is significant and becomes larger when going further back in time and when the arrival height is higher. Almost all trajectories remain south of 40°S within the five days of trajectory calculation and none reaches farther north than 30°S.

Assuming the origin of the moisture to be distributed along the five day snowfall trajectories, the source region of the moisture in DML is most likely the Atlantic Ocean (Fig. 5). To be able to specify the source region more precisely the specific humidity along the snowfall trajectories, the surface latent heat flux (LE) and the surface temperature underneath the snowfall trajectories are determined. Figure 4c displays LE and the specific humidity. LE shows a maximum about four days before arrival and increases (less evaporation) when going towards the Antarctic. The specific humidity slightly increases between 5 and 2.5 days before arrival. The mean trajectory height is about 200 hPa above the surface and the mean difference in surface temperature and potential trajectory temperature is negative indicating stable conditions. When the temperature difference is negative and large in magnitude, vertical mixing is less likely and the air parcel is not likely to interact with the surface. It is therefore difficult to assess whether the parcels are in the boundary layer and able to interact with the surface. The temperature difference is smallest about four days before arrival which coincides with

---

**Fig. 5.** Percentage of the backward trajectories, started at AWS 9, with their source region in one of the five regions defined in the text and Fig. 3. A = Atlantic Ocean, I = Indian Ocean, P = Pacific Ocean, S = Southern Ocean and C = Antarctic Continent. The arrival height of the trajectories is 650 hPa. 

a. All trajectories are taken into account. b. Only the trajectories with snowfall at AWS 9 are taken into account.

**Fig. 6.** Locations of all trajectories four days before arrival at AWS 9 at 650 hPa. The asterisk indicates the location of AWS 9, the squares are the positions of trajectories with more than 0.5 mm w.e. snowfall at arrival.
the strongest evaporation (Fig. 4c) and a small increase in specific humidity. The largest snowfall events (with more than 1.0 mm w.e. in the 12 hours preceding arrival) have their 4-day origin between 40° and 50°S.

From our results it follows that the most likely source region of snow in DML is the Atlantic Ocean between about 40° and 60°S. This is at the southern edge of source regions defined by Petit et al. (1991) (30–40°S) and Ciais et al. (1995) (20–40°S) using deuterium excess as an indicator and Peixoto & Oort (1992) based on atmospheric balance studies (8–40°S). Our results are more in agreement with GCM studies which define source regions between 30° and 60°S (Delaygue et al. 2000, Delmotte et al. 2000). Our source region is to the north and over warmer seas compared to oxygen isotope studies which define source regions between 55° and 60°S with sea surface temperatures between 0° and 1°C (Bromwich & Weaver 1983). Our source region has a wider meridional extend and sea surface temperatures may vary between 0° and 20°C.

Case study: a major snowfall event in May 1998

In 1998, about four major snowfall events took place (Fig. 2), that contributed about 80% of the total annual accumulation. The event in May 1998 was the most pronounced event, with accumulation at all AWS except 7 and 8, and also in the ECMWF analysis. In general, snowfall in western DML is associated with the development of a cyclone in the Weddell Sea area and a high-pressure ridge over eastern DML. The strong north-easterly flow connected to this ridge in combination with the steep orography of DML forces the air to rise and snowfall is generated. The event described here is

Fig. 8. Hourly mean (AWS) and 6-hourly variation (ECMWF) of a. temperature, b. specific humidity, c. wind speed, d. wind direction, e. pressure and f. accumulation at AWS 9 for the snowfall event in May 1998. Closed circles present AWS data, open circles present ECMWF surface values at the AWS location. The surface height is 0 m and the ECMWF accumulation is 0 mm w.e. at 1 January 1998.
similar to the events described by Noone et al. (1999).

In May 1998, a significant amount of snow accumulated in DML varying from about 20 mm w.e. at AWS 1 and 4 to about 160 mm w.e. at AWS 2. The event started on 11 May when a high pressure ridge extended sufficiently south to force depressions towards the continent and away from their climatological track, parallel to the coastline (see Fig. 7). From 11–14 May the northerly flow advects two depressions with warm and moist air south towards the continent. After 14 May the ridge moves east and breaks down rapidly.

Figure 8 shows the temperature, specific humidity, wind speed, wind direction, pressure and accumulation record measured at AWS 9 for 10 days in May containing the event. The mean temperature in May is about -50°C. The temperature during this event rapidly increases from -60°C on 10 May to -24°C on 13 May, which is exceptionally high. Simultaneously, the specific humidity increases from almost 0 to 0.8 g kg⁻¹. The wind speed is also high, exceeding 15 m s⁻¹ compared to a monthly mean of 6.6 m s⁻¹. The wind direction changes from north-east (50°), the direction of the katabatic flow, to north (0°), in the direction of the large scale geostrophic flow. The pressure increases to a maximum of 685 hPa showing the development of the high pressure ridge. The temporary dips in pressure at 11 and 13 May are caused by depressions. The surface height, a measure for accumulation, increases about 0.10 m at 12 May. Figure 8 additionally shows the ECMWF records at the AWS location. There is a good agreement between the records.

Figure 9 is an example of the ECMWF fields of the snowfall event at 13 May 1998, 12.00 GMT. Panel a shows that the snowfall is concentrated in an area along the coast from 20°W to 20°E, parallel to the orography. All AWS are located in the area with snowfall except AWS 3. Panel b shows the height of the 500 hPa level and the wind vectors at that same level illustrating the large scale flow. Clearly visible is the blocking high pressure ridge with its axis at 30°E and the strong northerly flow over western DML and the strong southerly flow over eastern DML. The warm air mass advected southwards can be identified in Figure 9c as a tongue of high temperatures spreading southwards along the coast. In eastern DML, where the flow is from the south, cold and dry continental air is advected northwards.

From 11–14 May the air at AWS 9 was saturated from the surface up to about 600 hPa suggesting condensation in this area (Fig. 10). Backward trajectories were calculated for this event with the lowest three starting levels located within this layer. Figure 11a shows trajectories arriving at 13 May 12.00 GMT at AWS 9. It shows that, independent of the arrival height at the AWS site, the transport pathway is the Atlantic Ocean. The difference between the surface temperature and potential temperature of the trajectory is smallest 4 days before arrival (9 May at 12.00) for the lowest three trajectories (Fig. 11b). At this day, the boundary layer is about 100 hPa high topped by a saturated layer reaching the 750 hPa level. The air parcels are in the saturated layer and probably able to interact with the surface. Surface evaporation along the lowest three trajectories is strongest 3–4 days before arrival (9–10 May). The specific humidity of the air parcels gradually increases during these days from about 0.5 to 3.5 g kg⁻¹. The last two days before arrival the surface latent heat flux is positive (condensation/deposition) and the specific humidity of the air parcels decreases. This suggests that the moisture source region for this event is likely to be dominated by the area between 40° and 50°S and 20° and 60°W, in the Atlantic Ocean. The sea surface temperature in this area is between 5 and 15°C. The specific humidity record suggests that about 50% of the moisture arriving at AWS 9 originates from this region.

Fig. 9. ECMWF fields for 13 May 1998, 12.00 GMT. a. Forecast snowfall in the previous 6 hours at the surface in mm w.e. b. Height of the 500 hPa level in m plus the wind field in ms⁻¹ at the same height. c. The temperature at 850 hPa, shaded values exceed -12.5°C. The dot indicates the location of AWS 9. (a. is a first guess field, b. & c. are analyses fields.)
Summary and conclusions

In this paper we combined AWS data and trajectories to assess moisture sources for the snow accumulated on the plateau in Western Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica, in 1998. A distinction between snowfall and non-snowfall trajectories was made.

Substantial differences are found between accumulation measured at the AWS sites and ECMWF precipitation estimates. These differences are probably caused by the difficulty to distinguish precipitation from other processes in the measurements, errors in ECMWF model orography and the fact that model snowfall represents the mean of a grid cell rather than one location within the cell. The accumulation measurements did not show any seasonality. The record is at present too short and the number of events with substantial snowfall is too small (about four) to make any firm conclusions. However, the fact that the snowfall is not uniform or continuous over the year has significant implications for identifying annual layers in data from ice cores.

A case study of a snowfall event in May 1998 shows that snow falls during extreme weather conditions, which was also found by Noone et al. (1999). Trajectories calculated for this event show a source region of the moisture dominated by the western part of the Atlantic Ocean between 40° and 50°S and 20° and 60°W.

Considering all the trajectories shows that about 40–80% of the snow that falls at AWS 9 in 1998 originates from the Atlantic Ocean, about 10% from the Pacific Ocean and almost none from the Indian Ocean. Based on trajectory height, temperature difference, the surface latent heat flux and the specific humidity, the origin of the moisture is most likely...
between 40° and 60°S in the Atlantic ocean where sea surface temperatures vary between 0° and 20°C. This is in agreement with results from GCM studies (Delaygue et al. 2000, Delmotte et al. 2000).

Differences in source regions resulting from different studies do not necessarily contradict each other but could be the result of the different methods used. The advantage of GCMs is that moisture parcels are directly traced from evaporation point to precipitation point and simulations of other climates than the present are possible. A disadvantage is the use of climatologies and the coarse resolution (order of 10°) to enable long time integrations and the loss of information about the transport pathways. Deuterium excess and δ18O studies have the advantage to use the actual composition of the snow. However, the idealized models they use to determine the source regions, are not able to account for the complexity of atmospheric processes. Atmospheric water balance studies are the least suitable to determine source regions for Antarctic snow. They merely show large regions with a net evaporation or condensation surplus.

Air parcel trajectories have the advantage of using wind fields forced by observations with a fairly good resolution and air parcels are directly traced. A problem is that not the moisture itself but an air parcel containing moisture is followed: possible replacement of moisture through cycles of condensation and evaporation along the trajectory is not taken into account. The uncertainty in the trajectory calculations contributes significantly to the uncertainty in the identified moisture source region. The error estimates given in literature introduced by choice of trajectory type and interpolation schemes are of the order of 1000 km or 9° (Kahl et al. 1989, Stohl et al. 1995) when calculating five days back. The actual uncertainty is even larger due to errors in the analysed wind fields and the presence of convective systems (e.g. fronts). In a convective system the air parcel loses its identity making it impossible to trace a single parcel deterministically. Calculation of an ensemble of trajectories can give an impression of the sensitivity of the trajectories to convective systems and changes in flow pattern. Because the different atmospheric conditions that lead to precipitation in DML are quite similar, the on year record of snowfall trajectories presented here can be considered to give an estimate of the variability one might expect over a longer period.
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