

**TORSION-FREE ABELIAN GROUPS TORSION OVER
THEIR ENDOMORPHISM RINGS**

THEODORE G. FATICONI

We use a variation on a construction due to Corner 1965 to construct (Abelian) groups A that are torsion as modules over the ring $\text{End}(A)$ of group endomorphisms of A . Some applications include the failure of the Baer-Kaplansky Theorem for $\mathbf{Z}[X]$. There is a countable reduced torsion-free group A such that $IA = A$ for each maximal ideal I in the countable commutative Noetherian integral domain, $\text{End}(A)$. Also, there is a countable integral domain R and a countable R -module A such that (1) $R = \text{End}(A)$, (2) $T_0 \otimes_R A \neq 0$ for each nonzero finitely generated (respectively finitely presented) R -module T_0 , but (3) $T \otimes_R A = 0$ for some nonzero (respectively nonzero finitely generated) R -module T .

1. INTRODUCTION

All groups considered in this paper are Abelian. The construction of groups with prescribed endomorphism ring originates with Corner's work [6] where he shows that each countable reduced torsion-free ring R is the ring of group endomorphisms of a torsion-free group A . Inspection of the proof reveals that the group A is an extension of R by a free $\mathbf{Q}R$ -module. In [7], the free module is replaced by a direct sum of cyclic modules that are discrete in a complete Hausdorff linear topology on R . Other realisation Theorems show that each cotorsion-free ring R is the endomorphism ring of a torsion-free group A , [8], and again A is an extension of a free R -module by a free $\mathbf{Q}R$ -module. These constructions do not allow us to vary some of the subtler $\text{End}(A)$ -module structure of A .

In [14, Theorem 3.1] we prove that if R is a torsion-free ring of finite rank and if M is a left R -module whose additive structure is a torsion-free group of finite rank then under mild hypotheses on M there is an exact sequence

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}C \longrightarrow 0$$

such that C is a doubly generated free left R -module and $R = \text{End}(A)$. While this construction allows us some flexibility in the $\text{End}(A)$ -structure of A , it still depends upon the existence of a copy of R in M .

Received 31 May 1993

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/94 \$A2.00+0.00.

To describe our results, we require some terminology. If M is a left R -module whose additive structure is a countable reduced torsion-free group then M is called a *Corner R -module*. Given the left R -module M such that $\text{ann}_R(M) = 0$, let $\Gamma(\mathbf{Q}M)$ be the set of annihilators of finite subsets of $\mathbf{Q}M$. Then $\Gamma(\mathbf{Q}M)$ generates a Hausdorff linear topology on $\mathbf{Q}R$. Let $\widehat{\mathbf{Q}R}$ denote the completion of $\mathbf{Q}R$ under this topology, and observe that $\mathbf{Q}M$ is a left $\widehat{\mathbf{Q}R}$ -module. Finally, let

$$\widehat{O}(M) = \{q \in \widehat{\mathbf{Q}R} \mid qM \subset M\}.$$

Then M is a left $\widehat{O}(M)$ -module, and we let $\widehat{\Gamma}(M)$ denote the set of annihilators of finite subsets of M in $\widehat{O}(M)$. Our main result is

THEOREM 1.2. *Let R be a ring and let M be a Corner R -module such that $\text{ann}_F(M) = 0$. There is an exact sequence (1.1) of left R -modules such that*

1. C is a direct sum of cyclic R -submodules of $M^{(\aleph_0)}$, the direct sum of countably many copies of M ;
2. There is a topological isomorphism $\widehat{O}(M) \cong \text{End}(A)$ where $\widehat{O}(M)$ is endowed with the topology generated by $\widehat{\Gamma}(M)$ and where $\text{End}(A)$ is endowed with the finite topology.

When $\Gamma(\mathbf{Q}M)$ is discrete, (that is when 0 is the annihilator of a finite subset of $\mathbf{Q}M$), then A is a self-small group and the construction is a generalisation of [14], which borrowed heavily from [15]. The present constructions will clone the elegant technique used in [7] to construct the short exact sequence (1.1).

Specific constructions contrast the theory of Abelian groups with the theory of modules over arbitrary integral domains. For example, let $R = \mathbf{Z}[X]$ and let L be a torsion (as a $\mathbf{Z}[X]$ -module) Corner R -module. Then L is the torsion R -submodule of a (strongly) indecomposable *mixed* Corner R -module A . (A is mixed if A is not a torsion module and A contains a nonzero torsion R -submodule.) This is in contrast to the fact that no mixed group is indecomposable. Furthermore, each X -torsion Corner module L imbeds in a (strongly) indecomposable X -torsion Corner module. As a consequence of these examples, the Baer-Kaplansky Theorem is false for mixed $\mathbf{Z}[X]$ -modules and for torsion $\mathbf{Z}[X]$ -modules.

Our constructions also illustrate the limits of some results from the literature. For example, it is well known that if T is a right R -module and if $T_0 \otimes_R A = 0$ for each finitely generated R -submodule $T_0 \subset T$ then $T \otimes_R A = 0$. In 3.16 and 3.17 we construct a countable local commutative integral domain R and a countable R -module A such that (1) $R = \text{End}(A)$, (2) $T_0 \otimes_R A \neq 0$ for each nonzero finitely generated (respectively finitely presented) R -module T_0 , and (3) $T \otimes_R A = 0$ for some nonzero (respectively nonzero finitely generated) R -module T .

Lastly, if A is a reduced torsion-free group of finite rank and if $\text{End}(A)$ is either local or commutative then $IA \neq A$ for each proper right ideal $I \subset \text{End}(A)$. However, we construct a reduced self-small torsion-free group A such that $IA = A$ for each maximal ideal $I \subset \text{End}(A)$ and such that $\text{End}(A)$ is a local commutative Noetherian integral domain.

Our notation and terminology follow [3] and [15], and information on the finite topology can be found in [15]. As usual, \mathbb{Z} is the ring of rational integers, \mathbb{Q} is the field of rational numbers, and given a torsion-free group G , $\mathbb{Q}G$ is the divisible hull of G . We identify $\mathbb{Q}G = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G$. The notations Hom (and End) without subscripts denote the group (ring) of group homomorphisms. Also $\text{ann}_R(X) = \{r \in R \mid rx = 0 \text{ for each } x \in X\}$. See [1] for the elementary properties of ann_R . We mention that if $x = \sum_i x_i$ is an independent sum, (that is if $rx = 0$ implies $rx_i = 0$ for each i), then $\text{ann}_R(x) = \text{ann}_R(\{x_i \mid i\})$. Also, because M is a torsion-free group $\text{ann}_R(kF) = \text{ann}_R(F) = \bigcap_{x \in F} \text{ann}_R(x)$ for each $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and subset $F \subset M$.

2. CORNER'S CONSTRUCTION

Throughout this paper, R denotes an associative ring with identity, the term *module* means *left R-module*, and M denotes a *Corner module*, (that is M^+ is a countable reduced torsion-free Abelian group), such that $\text{ann}_R(M) = 0$. Similarly define *Corner ring* and *Corner group*.

RELATIVISED FINITE TOPOLOGIES. Given a ring S and a left S -module L

$$\Gamma(S, L) = \Gamma(L) = \{\text{ann}_S(F) \mid F \subset L \text{ is finite}\}$$

is the base of open neighbourhoods of zero for a linear topology on S called *the L-topology on S*. We shall identify the L -topology on S with $\Gamma(L)$. Observe that $\Gamma(L)$ is Hausdorff if and only if $\text{ann}_S(L) = 0$, and $\Gamma(L)$ is discrete if and only if $0 \in \Gamma(L)$ if and only if there is a finite set $F \subset L$ such that $\text{ann}_S(F) = 0$.

Given a set Γ of right ideals of S , the left S -module N is called Γ -torsion if N is a homomorphic image of a direct sum of cyclic modules of the form S/I for some $I \in \Gamma$. Clearly L is a $\Gamma(L)$ -torsion left S -module.

If $\Gamma(L)$ is Hausdorff then we let \widehat{S}_L denote the completion of S in $\Gamma(L)$. Furthermore, L is a left \widehat{S}_L -module as follows:

2.1. Let $\widehat{r} \in \widehat{S}_L$ and $x \in L$. There is a Cauchy net $\{r_F\} \subset S$, (indexed by the finite subsets of L), that converges to \widehat{r} . Choose a finite set $F \subset L$ such that $x \in F$ and $r_E - r_F \in \text{ann}_S(x)$ for each finite set $F \subset E \subset L$. Then $(r_F - r_E)x = 0$ so that $r_F x = r_E x$. Define $\widehat{r}x = r_F x$. This makes L a unital left \widehat{S} -module. Observe that $\text{ann}_{\widehat{S}}(L) = 0$.

From the above discussion we have a linear topology $\Gamma(\mathbf{QM}) = \Gamma(\mathbf{QR}, \mathbf{QM})$ on \mathbf{QR} called the \mathbf{QM} -topology. Because $\Gamma(\mathbf{QM})$ is Hausdorff and because \mathbf{QR} is a dense subring of the completion $\widehat{\mathbf{QR}}_{\mathbf{QM}} = \widehat{\mathbf{QR}}$, $\text{ann}_{\widehat{\mathbf{QR}}}(\mathbf{QM}) = 0$.

Let

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M) = \text{End}(M) \cap \widehat{\mathbf{QR}} = \{q \in \widehat{\mathbf{QR}} \mid qM \subset M\}.$$

Then M is left $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ -module, and $\text{ann}_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)}(M) = 0$. The M -topology on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ is generated by $\widehat{\Gamma}(M) = \Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M), M)$. Observe that

$$\text{ann}_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)}(F) = \text{ann}_{\widehat{\mathbf{QR}}}(F) \cap \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)$$

for each subset $F \subset \mathbf{QM}$. Thus, $\widehat{\Gamma}(M)$ is the relativised topology in $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ as a subring of $\widehat{\mathbf{QR}}$. We shall use this last observation without fanfare.

LEMMA 2.2. *$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ is complete in the M -topology.*

PROOF: By the observations preceeding the Lemma, a Cauchy net $\{r_F\} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ is also a Cauchy net in $\widehat{\mathbf{QR}}$, so $\{r_F\}$ converges to an element $\widehat{r} \in \widehat{\mathbf{QR}}$, which clearly satisfies $\widehat{r}M \subset M$. □

THE CONSTRUCTION OF A . To construct the exact sequence (1.1) we clone the process used in [7, p.66]. Unlike the proofs in [14, p.10] and [15, p.233], the process in [7] does not require a local/global argument.

There is an uncountable domain $\mathbf{P} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}$ such that each element of \mathbf{P} is a rational multiple of a unit in \mathbf{P} , [6, Section 2], and there is a countable subring $\mathbf{\Pi} \subset \mathbf{P}$ such that the following Lemma is true.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbf{P}$ be a set that is linearly independent over $\mathbf{\Pi}$, and let $\{x_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{L}\} \subset \mathbf{QM}$.*

1. *If $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{L}} \lambda x_\lambda = 0$ then $x_\lambda = 0$ for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$.*
2. *$\text{ann}_R\left(\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{L}} \lambda x_\lambda\right) = \text{ann}_R(\{x_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{L}\})$.*

The next Lemma constructs a direct sum of cyclic $\Gamma(M)$ -discrete modules.

LEMMA 2.4. *There is a Corner submodule $N \subset \widehat{M}$ such that*

1. *$M \cap N = 0$;*
2. *For each finite set $E \subset M$ there is $u_E \in N$ such that $\text{ann}_R(u_E) = \text{ann}_R(E)$;*
3. *N is a direct sum of cyclic submodules of $M^{(\aleph_0)}$.*

PROOF: Because M is countable the set $\mathcal{P}_0(M)$ of finite subsets of M is countable, and because \mathbf{P} is uncountable, there is a countable set

$$\mathcal{L} = \{1, \lambda_{Ez} \mid E \in \mathcal{P}_0(M) \text{ and } z \in E\} \subset \mathbf{P}$$

that is linearly independent over Π . Given $E \in \mathcal{P}_0(M)$ let

$$2.5 \quad u_E = \sum_{z \in E} \lambda_{Ez} z,$$

and let

$$N = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{P}_0(M)} Ru_E.$$

Because \mathcal{L} is linearly independent over Π 2.3.1 implies that the sum $\sum_{E \in \mathcal{P}_0(M)} Ru_E$ is direct, and that $M \cap N = 0$. Thus N satisfies 2.4.1.

Fix $E \in \mathcal{P}_0(M)$, and consider the element $u_E \in N$ given in 2.5. Because \mathcal{L} is linearly independent over Π , $\text{ann}_R(u_E) = \text{ann}_R(E)$, 2.3.2, so that N satisfies 2.4.2.

Finally, given $E \in \mathcal{P}_0(M)$, 2.4.2 shows that there is an imbedding $Ru_E \rightarrow M^{(E)}$ such that $u_E \mapsto \bigoplus_{z \in E} z$. Then N can be imbedded in $M^{(\aleph_0)}$ as required by 2.4.3. \square

Throughout the sequel, N denotes the module constructed in 2.4.

2.6. In as much as $M \otimes N$ is countable there exists a set of units

$$A = \{\epsilon_{mn} \mid m \in M, n \in N\} \subset \mathbf{P}$$

that is algebraically independent over Π . Let A be the pure subgroup of \widehat{M} generated by M, N , and the $R\epsilon_{mn}$,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \langle M, N, R(m \oplus n)\epsilon_{mn} \mid m \in M, n \in N \rangle_* \\ &= \widehat{M} \cap \mathbf{Q} \left(M + N + \sum_{m \in M, n \in N} R(m \oplus n)\epsilon_{mn} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that A is a Corner submodule of \widehat{M} .

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let ϵ_{mn} be as in 2.6.*

1. $\sum_{m \in M, n \in N} R(m \oplus n)\epsilon_{mn}$ is a direct sum of cyclic submodules of $M^{(\aleph_0)}$.
2. A is a left $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ -module.

PROOF: (1) The independence of the sum follows from 2.3.1. Because ϵ_{mn} is a unit in \mathbf{P} , $R(m \oplus n)\epsilon_{mn} \cong R(m \oplus n) \subset M \oplus N$, and by 2.4.3 there is an imbedding $M \oplus N \rightarrow M \oplus M^{(\aleph_0)} \cong M^{(\aleph_0)}$.

(2) Observe that $\mathbf{Q}A$ is a left $\mathbf{Q}R$ -module, so by 2.1 $\mathbf{Q}A$ is a left $\widehat{\mathbf{Q}R}$ -module. Because M is left $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ -module, M is a left $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ -module, and hence $\widehat{M} \cap \mathbf{Q}A = A$ is a left $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ -module. □

ENDOMORPHISMS OF A . The proof of the next Lemma is close to that in [7, p.67], so the process of comparing coefficients has been left to the reader.

LEMMA 2.8. *Let $\eta \in \text{End}(A)$. For each $m \in M$, $n \in N$ there is an $r_{mn} \in \mathbf{Q}R$ such that $\eta(m) = r_{mn}m$ and $\eta(n) = r_{mn}n$.*

PROOF: Let $\eta \in \text{End}(A)$. Because A is a pure subgroup of \widehat{M} , η lifts to a \widehat{Z} -module homomorphism $\eta: \widehat{M} \rightarrow \widehat{M}$, and because A is torsion-free η lifts to a \mathbf{Q} -vector space homomorphism $\eta: \mathbf{Q}A \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}A$.

Let $m \in M, n \in N$. By 2.6 there is a finite subset $E \subset M \oplus N$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \eta((m \oplus n)\epsilon_{mn}) = \eta(m \oplus n)\epsilon_{mn} \\ (2) \quad & = x + \sum_{m' \oplus n' \in E} r_{m'n'}(m' \oplus n')\epsilon_{m'n'} \\ (3) \quad & \eta(m \oplus n) = y + \sum_{m' \oplus n' \in E} s_{m'n'}(m' \oplus n')\epsilon_{m'n'} \end{aligned}$$

where $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}(M \oplus N)$ and $r_{m'n'}, s_{m'n'} \in \mathbf{Q}R$. Assume without loss of generality that $m \oplus n \in E$. As in [7], substitute (2) and (3) into (1) and then use 2.3.1 to compare coefficients in (1) and (2) to prove that $x = r_{m'n'}(m' \oplus n') = 0$ for each $m' \oplus n' \in E$, $s_{m'm'}(m' \oplus n') = 0$ for each $m \oplus n \neq m' \oplus n' \in E$, and $r_{mn}(m \oplus n) = y = \eta(m \oplus n)$.

Finally, because $m \oplus 0, 0 \oplus n \in M \oplus N$ the above argument produces $r_m, r_n \in R$ such that $\eta(m) = r_m m, \eta(n) = r_n n$, and $\eta(m \oplus n) = r_{mn}(m \oplus n) = r_m m \oplus r_n n$. Because $M \oplus N$ is a direct sum of modules, $r_{mn}m = r_m m$ and $r_{mn}n = r_n n$. This completes the proof. □

The rest of the proof is different enough from [7, p.67–68] that we give a more detailed account. However, the idea is the same: realise a given endomorphism $\eta: A \rightarrow A$ as left multiplication by the limit of a Cauchy net (sequence) in R .

LEMMA 2.9. *There is an isomorphism of rings $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M) \cong \text{End}(A)$.*

PROOF: By 2.7.2 A is an $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ -submodule of \widehat{M} . Inasmuch as $rA = 0$ implies $r\widehat{M} = 0$ implies $r = 0$ for $r \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)$, there is an imbedding of rings $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M) \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$. We claim this imbedding is an isomorphism.

Let $\eta \in \text{End}(A)$ and let $E \subset M$ be finite. By 2.4.2 there is an element $u_E \in N$ such that $\text{ann}_R(u_E) = \text{ann}_R(E)$.

Next, 2.8 states that for each $m \in E$ there exists $r_{mE} \in \mathbb{Q}R$ such that $\eta(m) = r_{mE}m$ and $\eta(u_E) = r_{mE}u_E$. Let r_E be any one of the r_{mE} . Then $r_{mE}u_E = \eta(u_E) = r_Eu_E$ for each $m \in E$, so that

$$r_E - r_{mE} \in \text{ann}_R(u_E) = \text{ann}_R(E) \subset \text{ann}_R(m).$$

Then $(r_E - r_{mE})m = 0$ shows that $r_E m = r_{mE}m = \eta(m)$ for each $m \in E$.

Subsequently, the set $\{r_E \mid E \subset M \text{ is finite}\}$ is a Cauchy net in $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}R}$ under the $\mathbb{Q}M$ -topology. Let \hat{r} denote the limit in $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}R}$ of this Cauchy net. By 2.1 $\hat{r}m = r_E m = \eta(m)$ for each finite set $E \subset \mathbb{Q}M$ and each $m \in E$. Thus $[\eta - \hat{r}](M) = 0$. Inasmuch as $A \subset \widehat{M}$ is a reduced group, we can proceed as usual (see for example [6, 7, 8, 13, 14, 15]), to show that $\eta = \hat{r} \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)$. Therefore, the imbedding $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M) \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$ is an isomorphism. \square

The proof of the next lemma is identical to that given in [7, p.68–69], and is thus left to the reader.

LEMMA 2.10. *The M -topology on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ is equivalent to the relativised finite topology on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ as a subring of $\text{End}(A)$.*

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2: Given the Corner module M construct $N \subset \widehat{M}$ and A as in 2.6. Let

$$C = N \oplus \sum_{m \in M, n \in N} R(m \oplus n)\epsilon_{mn}.$$

Because $M \subset A \subset \widehat{M}$, A/M is a torsion-free divisible group, and thus $A/M \cong \mathbb{Q}C$ as modules. By 2.4.3 and 2.7.1 C is a direct sum of cyclic submodules of $M^{(\aleph_0)}$. Thus 1.2.1 is satisfied.

Furthermore, by 2.9 and 2.10 there is a topological isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M) \cong \text{End}(A)$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ is endowed with the M -topology and where $\text{End}(A)$ is endowed with the finite topology. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

The proof of the Corollary follows immediately from 2.6.

COROLLARY 2.11. *Let R be a ring, let M be a Corner module such that $\text{ann}_R(M) = 0$, and let (1.1) be the sequence constructed by Theorem 1.2. Then the exact sequence of left modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}M \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}C \longrightarrow 0$$

formed by applying $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \cdot$ to (1.1) is split exact.

SELF-SMALL GROUPS. A variation on the above construction produces modules A such that $\text{End}(A)$ is discrete in the finite topology. We begin by constructing a unimodular element.

LEMMA 2.12. *Let M be a Corner module. There is a $u \in \widehat{M}$ such that $\text{ann}_R(u) = \text{ann}_R(M)$.*

PROOF: Because M is countable we can write $M = \{m_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Choose a set $\{\lambda_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\} \subset \mathbb{P}$ that is linearly independent over Π , (see the remarks preceeding 2.3). Then $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p^i \lambda_i m_i$ is a convergent sum in \widehat{M} . Let $u_k = \sum_{i=1}^k p^i \lambda_i m_i$.

Given $r \in \text{ann}_R(u)$ and a positive $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $ru_k = ru_k - ru \in p^k \widehat{M}$. That is, the p -height of ru_k is at least k . However, because the finite sum $\sum_{i=1}^k p^i \lambda_i m_i$ is independent, 2.3.1, the p -height of ru_k is at most the p -height of $r(p\lambda_1 m_1)$. We arrive at a contradiction unless $ru_k = 0$. But then by 2.3.2

$$r \in \bigcap_{k>0} \text{ann}_R(m_1, \dots, m_k) = \text{ann}_R(m_1, m_2, \dots) = \text{ann}_R(M) = 0$$

as required by the Lemma. □

A group A is *self-small* if the natural imbedding $\text{Hom}(A, A)^{(c)} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, A^{(c)})$ is an isomorphism for each cardinal c . Let

$$\mathcal{O}(M) = \{q \in \mathbb{Q}R \mid qM \subset M\}.$$

The next Theorem extends [14, Theorem 3.1].

THEOREM 2.13. *Let R be a countable ring and let M be a Corner module such that $\text{ann}_R(M) = 0$. There is an exact sequence (1.1) of modules such that*

1. C is a free module;
2. $\mathcal{O}(M) \cong \text{End}(A)$; and
3. A is self-small Corner group.

PROOF: By 2.12 there exists a $u \in \widehat{M}$ such that $\text{ann}_{+R}(u) = \text{ann}_R(M) = 0$, and it follows from 2.3.1 that $M \cap Ru = 0$. Then $M \oplus Ru \subset \widehat{M}$. Because R and M are countable, there is a set

$$A = \{\epsilon_m \mid m \in M\} \subset \mathbb{P}$$

that is algebraically independent over Π . Let

$$C = Ru + \sum_{m \in M} R(m \oplus u)\epsilon_m$$

and let A be the purification of M and C in \widehat{M} , $A = \langle M, C \rangle_*$. As in 2.6 A is a left R -submodule of \widehat{M} , and by 2.3.2 $R \cong Ru \cong R(m \oplus u)\epsilon_m$. Furthermore, because $A \cup \{1\}$ is linearly independent over Π , 2.3.1, C is a free module. Inasmuch as $M \subset A \subset \widehat{M}$, $A/M \cong \mathbf{Q}C$, and hence A is the middle term of an exact sequence (1.1). Thus 2.13.1 is satisfied.

Because A is a left R -module and because $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{O}(M)}(A) = \text{ann}_{\mathcal{O}(M)}(M) = 0$ there is an imbedding $\mathcal{O}(M) \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$ that sends each $r \in \mathcal{O}(M)$ to left multiplication by r . We claim that this imbedding is an isomorphism.

As in 2.9 one proves that for each $m \in M$ there is a scalar $r_m \in \mathbf{Q}R$ such that $\eta(m) = r_m m$ and $\eta(u) = r_m u$. Let r_0 be one of the r_m . Then for each $m \in M$, $r_0 u = \eta(u) = r_m u$, so that $r_0 - r_m \in \text{ann}_{\mathbf{Q}R}(u) = 0$. Hence $r_m = r_0$ for each $m \in M$ and therefore $\eta(m) = r_0 m$ for each $m \in M$. As in 2.9, $\eta = r_0 \in \text{End}(A)$, and hence $R \cong \text{End}(A)$.

Lastly, A is a countable group because M is countable, and because $u \in A$ the finite topology on $\text{End}(A)$ is discrete. Then by [5, Proposition 2.1] A is a self-small group. This completes the proof. □

3. EXAMPLES

We use 1.2 and 2.13 to construct examples of modules with various properties.

ENDOMORPHISM RINGS OF FAITHFULLY FLAT MODULES. In [14, Corollary 3.10] it is shown that each finite rank Corner ring is the group endomorphism ring of a faithfully flat Corner module of finite rank. This is extended in [9] to include all cotorsion-free rings, but the cardinality of the faithfully flat Corner module is quite large. The next few results verify that such large cardinalities can be avoided when R is a Corner ring.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let R be a ring, let M be a Corner module such that $\text{ann}_R(M) = 0$, and let (1.1) be the exact sequence constructed in 1.2. Assume C is a free left R -module.*

1. *Given a right $\text{End}(A)$ -module N and if $N \otimes_{\text{End}(A)} M \neq 0$ then $N \otimes_{\text{End}(A)} A \neq 0$.*
2. *If $\mathbf{Q}C$ is a flat $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ -module then A has flat dimension $\leq k$ as a left $\text{End}(A)$ -module if and only if M has flat dimension $\leq k$ as a left $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ -module.*

PROOF: (1) Let $E = \text{End}(A)$. If $N \otimes_E A = 0$ then there is a short exact sequence

$$\text{Tor}_E^1(N, \mathbf{Q}C) \longrightarrow N \otimes_E M \longrightarrow N \otimes_E A = 0.$$

Because C is free, $\mathbf{Q}C$ is a flat left E -module, and so $\text{Tor}_E^1(N, \mathbf{Q}C) = 0$. Hence $N \otimes_E M = 0$. This proves part 1.

(2) Recall from 1.2 that $E = \widehat{O}(M)$. Because QC is a flat left $\widehat{O}(M)$ -module, an application of $X \otimes_E \cdot$ to (1.1) produces the long exact sequence

$$\text{Tor}_E^{k+2}(X, QC) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_E^{k+1}(X, M) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_E^{k+1}(X, A) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_E^{k+1}(X, QC)$$

in which the first and last terms are 0. Hence A has flat dimension $\leq k$ as a left E -module if and only if M has flat dimension $\leq k$ as a left $\widehat{O}(M)$ -module. \square

The next result extends [14, Corollary 3.10] to Corner groups.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let R be a Corner ring. There is a self-small faithfully flat Corner group A such that $R = \text{End}(A)$.*

PROOF: Let M be a nonzero free left R -module on at most countably many generators to construct A as in 2.13, and then apply 3.1. \square

DIMENSIONS OVER ENDOMORPHISM RINGS. Several papers on Abelian groups investigate the existence of left R -modules A such that $R = \text{End}(A)$ and such that A has specified homological dimension. (See the references in [9].) The results in [9, Section 3] construct cotorsion-free groups of large cardinality with prespecified flat or projective dimension over their endomorphism ring. If the techniques from [9] are combined with our 1.2, then we can construct groups of small cardinality having prescribed dimension.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let R be a Corner ring, and let $n = \text{wgd}(R)$ denote the weak global dimension of R .*

1. *If R possesses a left module of flat dimension $k + 1$ then there is a self-small Corner group A_k such that $R = \text{End}(A_k)$ and such that A_k has projective dimension k over R .*
2. *If $n < \infty$ then for each $0 \leq k < n$ there is a self-small Corner group A_k such that $R = \text{End}(A_k)$ and such that A_k has flat dimension k over R .*
3. *If $n = \infty$ then there is a self-small Corner group A_∞ such that $R = \text{End}(A_\infty)$ and such that A_k has flat dimension ∞ over R .*

THEOREM 3.4. *Let R be a Corner ring, and let $n = \text{wgd}(R)$ denote the left global dimension of R .*

1. *If R possesses a left module of projective dimension $k + 1$ then there is a self-small Corner group A_k such that $R = \text{End}(A_k)$ and such that A_k has projective dimension k over R .*
2. *If $n < \infty$ then for each $1 \leq k < n$ there is self-small Corner group A_k such that $R = \text{End}(A_k)$ and such that A_k has projective dimension k over R .*
3. *If $n = \infty$ then there is a self-small Corner group A_∞ such that $R = \text{End}(A_\infty)$ and such that A_k has projective dimension ∞ over R .*

PROOF: To prove either of the above Theorems, proceed exactly as in the proofs of [9, Theorems 3.1 and 3.8], but appeal to 2.13 instead of [9, Theorem 2.14]. The rest carries over exactly. \square

COMMUTATIVE ENDOMORPHISM RINGS. Throughout the rest of this Section R denotes a commutative ring, the term *module* means R -module, and M denotes a Corner module that satisfies (i) and (ii) of 1.2. Moreover, S denotes a Corner integral domain, and X is indeterminant over S .

We list the following terminology for the sake of clarity.

The $S[X]$ -module L is an X -torsion $S[X]$ -module if for each $x \in L$ there is an integer $k > 0$ such that $X^k x = 0$, L is an X -divisible $S[X]$ -module if $XL = L$, and L is an X -reduced $S[X]$ -module if L does not contain a nonzero X -divisible $S[X]$ -submodule.

The $S[X]$ -module L is a torsion $S[X]$ -module if for each $x \in L$ there is a nonzero $p(X) \in S[X]$ such that $p(X)x = 0$, and L is a mixed $S[X]$ -module if L is not a torsion $S[X]$ -module and L has nonzero torsion $S[X]$ -submodule.

Given $Y \subset R$ let (Y) denote the ideal generated by Y , and let $\langle Y \rangle$ be the pure ideal of R generated by Y . That is $\langle Y \rangle$ is the ideal of R such that $\langle Y \rangle / (Y)$ is the torsion subgroup of $R / (Y)$.

TORSION SUBMODULES OF MIXED MODULES. In an abuse of terminology, we shall call L a self-small module if L is a module whose additive structure is a self-small Abelian group.

PROPOSITION 3.5. If L is a Torsion Corner $S[X]$ -module then L is the torsion $S[X]$ -submodule of a self-small mixed Corner $S[X]$ -module A such that $S[X] = \text{End}(A)$.

PROOF: Let $R = S[X]$, (so that *module* refers to an $S[X]$ -module.) Given the torsion Corner module L notice that $M = R \oplus L$ is a Corner module such that $\text{ann}_R(M) = 0$. An application of 2.13 to M yields a self-small Corner module A such that $R = \text{End}(A)$ and A/M is a torsion-free module. (See 2.13.1.) Then L is the torsion submodule of A . \square

EXAMPLE 3.6. There is a self-small mixed Corner module A such that $\mathbf{Z}[X] = \text{End}(A)$ and each cyclic Corner $\mathbf{Z}[X]$ -module imbeds as a $\mathbf{Z}[X]$ -submodule of A .

PROOF: Let $R = \mathbf{Z}[X]$ and let

$$M = \bigoplus \{ R / \langle p(X) \rangle \mid p(X) \in R \}.$$

We claim that M is a torsion Corner module.

Clearly M is a torsion module. Let $0 \neq I = \langle p(X) \rangle \subset R$. The usual argument using the Euclidean division algorithm shows that R/I is generated as a group by the finitely many cosets $X^k + I$ where $k = 0, \dots, \deg(p(X)) - 1$. Because these cosets are linearly independent over \mathbf{Z} , R/I is a finitely generated torsion-free group, and hence M is a torsion Corner module, as claimed.

It is an easy matter to show that $\mathcal{O}(M) = R$ and that $\text{ann}_R(M) = 0$. Then use 3.5 to construct a self-small Corner module A such that M is the torsion submodule of A and $R = \text{End}(A)$. Observe from the proof of 3.5 that $R \subset A$. Also, by the definition of M each cyclic torsion Corner module imbeds in $M \subset A$. \square

The next example gives an idea of the different types of endomorphism rings that are realised by Theorem 1.2.

EXAMPLE 3.7. There is a torsion Corner $\mathbf{Z}[X]$ -module A such that $\text{End}(A) = \prod_{p(X)} \mathbf{Z}[X]/\langle p(X) \rangle$ where $p(X)$ ranges over the irreducible polynomials in $\mathbf{Z}[X]$.

PROOF: Let $R = \mathbf{Z}[X]$ and let

$$M = \oplus \{R/\langle p(X) \rangle \mid p(X) \in R \text{ is irreducible}\}.$$

As in 3.6 M is a torsion Corner module such that $\text{ann}_R(M) = 0$.

Now, because $\mathbf{Q}R$ is a *pid* the Chinese Remainder Theorem can be applied to show that $\mathbf{Q}R$ is a dense subring of $\text{End}_{\mathbf{Q}R}(\mathbf{Q}M)$. Furthermore, it is evident that the $\mathbf{Q}M$ -topology on $\mathbf{Q}R$ is equivalent to the relativised topology on $\mathbf{Q}R$ as a subring of $\text{End}_{\mathbf{Q}R}(\mathbf{Q}M)$. Because $\text{End}_{\mathbf{Q}R}(\mathbf{Q}M)$ is a complete Hausdorff space in finite topology we have that $\widehat{\mathbf{Q}R} \cong \text{End}_{\mathbf{Q}R}(\mathbf{Q}M)$.

Lastly, it can be shown that

$$\text{End}_{\mathbf{Q}R}(\mathbf{Q}M) \cong \prod \{\mathbf{Q}R/\langle p(X) \rangle \mid p(X) \in \mathbf{Q}R \text{ is irreducible}\},$$

and thus that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M) = \prod \{R/\langle p(X) \rangle \mid p(X) \in R \text{ is irreducible}\}.$$

Thus, by 1.2 there is an torsion Corner module A such that $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M) = \text{End}(A)$. \square

THE BAER-KAPLANSKY THEOREM. We use the following two X -torsion Corner $S[X]$ -modules to show that the Baer-Kaplansky Theorem is not true for $\mathbf{Z}[X]$ -modules.

3.8. $M = \oplus \{S[X]/\langle X^k \rangle \mid k = 1, 2, \dots\}$.

3.9. M is the $S[X]$ -module whose additive structure is a free S -module with generators $\{b_1, b_2, \dots\}$, and whose relations as an $S[X]$ -module are given by $Xb_{k+1} = b_k$ for $k > 1$ and $Xb_1 = 0$.

The proof of the next Lemma is an exercise.

LEMMA 3.10.

1. The $S[X]$ -module given in 3.8 is an X -reduced X -torsion Corner $S[X]$ -module such that $\text{ann}_{S[X]}(M) = 0$, $\mathcal{O}(M) = S[X]$, $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M) = S[[X]]$, and $\widehat{\Gamma}(M)$ is the X -adic topology on $S[[X]]$.
2. The $S[X]$ -module given in 3.9 is an X -divisible X -torsion Corner $S[X]$ -module such that $\text{ann}_{S[X]}(M) = 0$, $\mathcal{O}(M) = S[X]$, $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M) = S[[X]]$, and $\widehat{\Gamma}(M)$ is the X -adic topology on $S[[X]]$.

EXAMPLE 3.11. Let M be an X -torsion Corner $S[X]$ -module such that $\mathcal{O}(M) = S[X]$. (For example, take M to be the $S[X]$ -module given in 3.8 or 3.9.) There is an X -torsion Corner $S[X]$ -module A such that $M \subset A$, $\text{End}(A) = S[[X]]$, and A/M is a divisible group.

PROOF: Let $R = S[X]$ and observe that if M is an X -torsion Corner $S[X]$ -module such that $\text{ann}_R(M) = 0$ then the M -topology equals the X -adic topology on $S[X]$. Thus $\widehat{\mathcal{QR}} = (\widehat{\mathcal{QS}})[[X]]$, the ring of power series over $\widehat{\mathcal{QS}}$. It is readily shown using 2.1 that $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M) = S[[X]]$, so by 1.2 there is an X -torsion Corner $S[X]$ -module A such that $M \subset A$, $\text{End}(A) = S[[X]]$, and A/M is a divisible group. □

The groups constructed in 3.11 are examples of strongly indecomposable countable groups with uncountable endomorphism rings. This refines a construction given in [13] in answer to a question of Reid, [16].

The Baer-Kaplansky Theorem states that if A and B are torsion groups and if $\text{End}(A) \cong \text{End}(B)$ as rings then $A \cong B$. The following shows that the Baer-Kaplansky Theorem is not true for torsion $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ -modules. Recall that if $R = \text{End}(A)$ is a commutative ring then $\text{End}(A) = \text{End}_R(A)$.

PROPOSITION 3.12. *There are X -torsion Corner $S[X]$ -modules A and B such that $\text{End}_{S[X]}(A) \cong \text{End}_{S[X]}(B)$ as rings, but $\text{Hom}_{S[X]}(B, A) = 0$.*

PROOF: Let $R = S[X]$, let K be the module given in 3.8, let M be the module given in 3.9. By 3.11 there are X -torsion Corner modules A and B such that $K \subset A$, $M \subset B$, $\text{End}(A) = \text{End}(B) = S[[X]] = \widehat{R}$, and A/K and B/M are divisible groups.

Because \widehat{R} is commutative $\text{End}(A) = \text{End}_{\widehat{R}}(A)$, and by 2.1 $\text{End}_R(A) = \text{End}_{\widehat{R}}(A) = \text{End}_R(B)$.

However, $\text{Hom}_R(B, A) = 0$ as follows. Let $f \in \text{Hom}_R(B, A)$. Because M is X -divisible and A is X -reduced, $f(M) = 0$. Then $f(B)$, being a quotient of B/M , is a divisible subgroup of A . Inasmuch as A is reduced, $f(B) = 0$. □

By using 2.13 and the modules given in 3.8 and 3.9 we can construct *self-small mized* Corner $S[X]$ -modules A and B such that $\text{End}_{S[X]}(A) \cong \text{End}_{S[X]}(B) = S[X]$, but $\text{Hom}_{S[X]}(B, A) = 0$.

TOTALLY INDECOMPOSABLE MODULES. The module A is *totally indecomposable* if $\text{End}_R(A)$ has local classical ring of quotients. If $\text{End}_R(A)$ is a commutative integral domain then A is a totally indecomposable module. The (totally) indecomposable reduced torsion groups A are the primary cyclic groups. The torsion-free Abelian group A of finite rank is totally indecomposable if and only if given a direct sum $B \oplus D$ and a nonzero integer k such that $kA \subset B \oplus D \subset A$ then either $B = 0$ or $D = 0$.

The modules A constructed in 3.11 show that these results do not extend to $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]$.

EXAMPLE 3.13.

1. There is a self-small totally indecomposable mixed Corner $S[X]$ -module.
2. There is a totally indecomposable X -torsion Corner $S[X]$ -module A such that $XA \subset B \oplus D \subset A$ for some nonzero $S[X]$ -submodules B and D .

PROOF: Let $R = S[X]$.

(1) Use 3.5 and the module M given in 3.8 to construct a self-small mixed Corner module A such that M is the torsion submodule of A and $\text{End}(A) = R$. Then A is totally indecomposable.

(2) Let M be the module in 3.8. By 3.11 there is an X -torsion Corner module A such that $M \subset A$ and $\text{End}(A) = S[[X]]$.

Now by 2.6.2 there is a submodule $C = N + \sum_{mn} R\epsilon_{mn} \subset \overline{M}$ such that $\mathbb{Q}A = \mathbb{Q}M \oplus \mathbb{Q}C$ and by 3.8 $\mathbb{Q}M = \mathbb{Q}B \oplus \mathbb{Q}B'$ where $B \cong R/(X)$. Then

$$(4) \quad \mathbb{Q}A = \mathbb{Q}B \oplus \mathbb{Q}B' \oplus \mathbb{Q}C$$

as modules. An application of X to (4) reveals that $X\mathbb{Q}A \cap \mathbb{Q}B = 0$. Hence $XA \subset B \oplus XA \subset A$, which completes the proof. □

VANISHING TENSOR PRODUCTS. Let R be a (commutative) ring and let A be a module. Because tensor products commute with direct limits, if T is a module such that $T_0 \otimes_R A = 0$ for each finitely generated submodule $T_0 \subset T$ then $T \otimes_R A = 0$. The present examples show that the converse is not true. We require a Lemma.

LEMMA 3.14. *If R is a localisation of $S[X]$ at a prime ideal I , if $X \in I$, and if $I \cap S = P$ then R is a subring of $S_P[[X]]$ where S_P is the localisation of S at the prime ideal P . Moreover, $S_P[[X]]/R$ is a torsion-free S -module, and $S_P[[X]]$ is the completion of R in the relativised topology on R .*

PROOF: Let R denote the localisation of S at the prime ideal I , suppose $X \in I$, and let $I \cap S = P$. Then given $q(X) \in S[X] \setminus I$, $q(0) \in S_P$ is a unit in S_P . Thus $q(X)$ is a unit of $S_P[[X]]$, and hence the universal property of localisations lifts the natural imbedding $S[X] \rightarrow S_P[[X]]$ to an imbedding $R \rightarrow S_P[[X]]$. Because $S[X] \subset R$, $S[[X]]$ is the completion of R .

Moreover, assume there are $p(X) \in FS_p[[X]]$ and $s \in S$ such that $sp(X) \in R$. Because $R = S[X]_I$ there are $a(X) \in S[X]$ and $b(X) \in S[X] \setminus I$ such that $sp(X) = a(X)b(X)^{-1}$. Then $p(X)b(X) = s^{-1}a(X) \in Q[X] \cap S_p[[X]] = S_p[X] \subset R$, where Q is the field of quotients of S . Inasmuch as $b(X)$ is a unit of R , $p(X) \in R$ which completes the proof. \square

EXAMPLE 3.15. There is a local commutative Noetherian integral domain R and a self-small Corner module A such that

1. $R = \text{End}(A)$;
2. $T_0 \otimes_R A \neq 0$ for each nonzero finitely generated right module T_0 ; but
3. There is a nonzero right module T such that $T \otimes_R A = 0$.

PROOF: Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a prime, let Z_p denote the localisation of \mathbb{Z} at p , and let R be the localisation of $Z_p[X]$ at the maximal ideal (p, X) . By 3.14 R is a pure subring of $Z_p[[X]]$ and $Q_p[[X]]$ is the completion of R in the relativised X -adic topology on R . Then the X -torsion $Z_p[X]$ -module M given in 3.8 is also a module such that $\text{ann}_R(M) = 0$. (Let $S = Z_p$ in 3.8.) Furthermore, by 3.10 and 3.14

$$\mathcal{O}(M) = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M) \cap QR = Z_p[[X]] \cap QR = R.$$

An application of 2.13 to M shows that M is the torsion submodule of a self-small mixed module A such that $R = \text{End}(A)$ and such that A/M is a divisible group. Then A satisfies 3.15.1.

Let $J \subset R$ be the unique maximal ideal of R . To prove that A satisfies 3.15.2 it is enough to show that $JA \neq A$. (See [10, Corollary 3.8(b)] or [2, Corollary 2.2].) By 3.8 M contains a direct summand isomorphic to the Corner module $R/(X) \cong Z_p$, and because $(X) \subset J$, $J/(X) \neq R/(X)$. Thus $JM \neq M$, which by 3.1.1 implies $JA \neq A$. Thus A satisfies 3.15.2.

Lastly, let T be an X -divisible p -torsion module. (For example, choose T to be the quotient field of R/pR .) Then $T \otimes_R M = 0$ because T is X -divisible and M is X -torsion, while $T \otimes_R A/M = 0$ because T is p -torsion and A/M is divisible. Therefore, $T \otimes_R A = 0$, and the proof is complete. \square

It is natural to ask if $T \otimes_R A = 0$ for each finitely generated module T when $T_0 \otimes_R A = 0$ for each finitely presented module T_0 .

EXAMPLE 3.16. There is a local commutative integral domain R and a self-small Corner module A such that

1. $R = \text{End}(A)$;
2. $T_0 \otimes_R A \neq 0$ for each nonzero finitely presented module T_0 ; but
3. $T \otimes_R A = 0$ for some nonzero finitely generated module T .

PROOF: Let $p \in \mathbf{Z}$ be prime, let \mathbf{Z}_p denote the localisation of \mathbf{Z} at p , let X_1, X_2, \dots be countably many indeterminants over \mathbf{Z}_p , and let R denote the localisation of $\mathbf{Z}[X_1, X_2, \dots]$ at the maximal ideal (p, X_1, X_2, \dots) . Then R is a local commutative integral domain, and as in 3.14 R is a pure subring of the completion of $\mathbf{Z}_p[X_1, X_2, \dots]$ in the (X_1, X_2, \dots) -adic topology. Let J denote the unique maximal ideal of R and notice that $p \in J$.

We shall construct a module M such that $JM = M$ but $IM \neq M$ for each finitely generated proper ideal $I \subset R$.

For each positive integer k let M_k be the X_k -divisible X_k -torsion $\mathbf{Z}_p[X_k]$ -module given in 3.9, and let

$$M = \bigoplus_{k>0} M_k.$$

Inasmuch as M_k is a free \mathbf{Z}_p -module for each $k > 0$, 3.9, we have $pM \neq M$.

Now, M_k is an X_k -torsion $\mathbf{Z}_p[[X_k]]$ -module. Furthermore, as in 3.14 one proves that the ring homomorphism $\mathbf{Z}_p[X_1, X_2, \dots] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p[[X_k]]$ such that $X_k \mapsto X_k$ and $X_j \mapsto 0$ for $j \neq k$ lifts to a ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p[[X_k]]$. Then M_k is a torsion module such that $X_j M_k = 0$ for each $j \neq k$.

3.17. Because $M_k = X_k M_k$ and because $X_k \in J$ we have $JM = M$. However, if $I \subset R$ is a finitely generated ideal then $I \subset I_0 = (p, X_1, \dots, X_s)$ for some integer s . Because $X_j M_{s+1} = 0$ for each $j = 1, \dots, s$ and because $pM_{s+1} \neq M_{s+1}$ we have $I_0 M_{s+1} \neq M_{s+1}$, and so $IM \subset I_0 M \neq M$.

Now, because R is pure subring of $S[[X_1, X_2, \dots]]$ and because $S[[X_1, X_2, \dots]] = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ we have $\mathcal{O}(R, M) = S[[X_1, X_2, \dots]] \cap \mathbf{Q}R = R$. Then by 2.13 there is a self-small mixed Corner group A such that $M \subset A$, $R = \text{End}(A)$, and A/M is a divisible group.

Because $JM = M$, 3.1.1 and 3.17 imply that $JA = A$. Next, let $I \subset R$ be a finitely generated ideal. By 3.17 there is a finitely generated ideal $I \subset I_0 \subset R$ such that R/I_0 is bounded and $I_0 M \neq M$. Then by 3.1.1 $IA \subset I_0 A \neq A$.

Finally, because $IA \neq A$ for each proper finitely generated ideal $I \subset R$, [10, Corollary 3.8(a)] states that $T_0 \otimes_R A \neq 0$ for each nonzero finitely presented module T_0 . Thus 3.16.2 is satisfied. The nonzero finitely generated module T needed to satisfy 3.16.3 is $T = R/J$. □

If A is a torsion-free group of finite rank and if $\text{End}(A)$ is a (sub)commutative ring then $IA \neq A$ for each maximal right ideal $I \subset \text{End}(A)$. If $\text{End}(A)$ is a semi-prime ring then $IA = A$ for at most finitely many maximal ideals $I \subset \text{End}(A)$, [4, 11, 12]. The following example shows that this result is not true for self-small groups A .

EXAMPLE 3.18. There is a self-small Corner group A such that

1. $\text{End}(A)$ is a countable commutative Noetherian integral domain; and
2. $IA = A$ for each of the infinitely many maximal ideals $I \subset \text{End}(A)$.

PROOF: Given a prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ let R_p denote the localisation of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ at the maximal ideal (p, X) , and let

$$R = \bigcap_{\text{primes } p \in \mathbb{Z}} R_p.$$

Then R is a countable ring and a maximal ideal of R is generated by $\{p, X\}$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ is prime. Thus R has infinitely many maximal ideals. Furthermore, 3.14 shows that we may view R_p as a subring of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ for each prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then R is a subring of $\mathbb{Z}[[X]]$, so that the X -torsion $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ -module M given in 3.9 is also a module.

As in 3.15 $R = \mathcal{O}(M)$, so by 2.13 there is a self-small Corner module A such that $M \subset A$, $R = \text{End}(A)$, and A/M is a divisible group. Let $I = (p, X)$ be an ideal in R . Arguing as in 3.15 (with $X = J$) shows that $IA = XA + pA = A$. This completes the proof. □

THE BAER SPLITTING PROPERTY. Let c and d be cardinal numbers, and consider a surjection $g: A^{(c)} \rightarrow A^{(d)}$ of groups. The group A has the *Baer splitting property* if g is a split surjection for each pair of cardinals (c, d) , A has the *finite Baer splitting property* if g is a split surjection for each cardinal c and integer d , and A has the *endlich Baer splitting property* if g is a split surjection for each pair of integers (c, d) . (See [2, 4, 10].)

It is clear that the Baer splitting property implies the finite Baer splitting property implies the endlich Baer splitting property. The converses are not true for self-small groups.

EXAMPLE 3.19.

1. There is a self-small Corner group that has the finite Baer splitting property, but which does not have the Baer splitting property.
2. There is a self-small Corner group that has the endlich Baer splitting property, but which does not have the finite Baer splitting property.

PROOF: (1) Let A be the self-small Corner group constructed in 3.15. Because $T \otimes_{\text{End}(A)} A = 0$ for some nonzero $\text{End}(A)$ -module T , [2, Theorem 2.1] implies that A does not have the Baer splitting property. However, because $T_0 \otimes_{\text{End}(A)} A \neq 0$ for each nonzero finitely generated $\text{End}(A)$ -module T_0 , [2, Corollary 2.2] shows that A has the finite Baer splitting property.

(2) Let A be the self-small Corner group constructed in 3.16. Because $T \otimes_{\text{End}(A)} A = 0$ for some nonzero finitely generated $\text{End}(A)$ -module T , [2, Corollary 2.2] implies that A does not have the finite Baer splitting property. However, because $T_0 \otimes_{\text{End}(A)}$

$A \neq 0$ for each nonzero finitely presented $\text{End}(A)$ -module T_0 , [10, Corollary 5.2] shows that A has the endlich Baer splitting property. \square

The above Example is in contrast to [10, Corollary 7.2] where it is shown that a torsion-free group of finite rank has the endlich Baer splitting property if and only if it has the finite Baer splitting property. It is interesting to note that $\text{End}(A)$ is a local commutative Noetherian integral domain in 3.19.1, and that $\text{End}(A)$ is a local commutative integral domain in 3.19.2.

Arnold and Lady [4] show that if A is a torsion-free group of finite rank and if $\text{End}(A)$ is a commutative ring then A has the finite Baer splitting property, and [12, Lemma 3.1] shows that A has the endlich Baer splitting property if $\text{End}(A)$ is a local ring. Then by [10, Corollary 5.2] the group constructed in 3.18 fits the following description.

EXAMPLE 3.20. There is a self-small Corner group A such that $\text{End}(A)$ is a local commutative Noetherian integral domain, but A does not have the endlich Baer splitting property.

A Theorem of Azumaya's states that A has the exchange property if $\text{End}(A)$ is a local ring. Thus 3.19 and 3.20 show that

PROPOSITION 3.21. *The Exchange Property does not imply the (endlich) Baer splitting property.*

REFERENCES

- [1] F.W. Anderson and K.R. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules*, Graduate texts in Mathematics 13 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1974).
- [2] U. Albrecht, 'Faithful Abelian groups of infinite rank', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 103 (1988), 21–26.
- [3] D.M. Arnold, *Finite rank torsion-free Abelian groups and rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 931 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1982).
- [4] D.M. Arnold and L. Lady, 'Endomorphism rings and direct sums of torsion-free Abelian groups', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 211 (1975), 225–237.
- [5] D.M. Arnold and C.E. Murley, 'Abelian groups A such that $\text{Hom}(A, \cdot)$ preserves direct sums of copies of A ', *Pacific J. Math.* 56 (1975), 7–20.
- [6] A.L.S. Corner, 'Every countable torsion-free ring is an endomorphism ring', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 13 (1963), 23–33.
- [7] A.L.S. Corner, 'Endomorphism rings of torsion-free Abelian groups', in *Proceedings of the International Conference on the Theory of Groups, Australian Nat. Univ., Canberra, August 1965*, (L.C. Kovacs and B.H. Neumann, Editors) (Gordan and Breach Sci. Publishers, Inc., 1963), pp. 59–69.
- [8] A.L.S. Corner and R. Gobel, 'Prescribing endomorphism algebras', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 50 (1985), 447–494.

- [9] M. Dugas and T.G. Faticoni, 'Cotorsion-free Abelian groups cotorsion as modules over their endomorphism rings', in *Abelian groups, Proceedings of the 1991 Curacao conference*, (L. Fuchs and R. Gobel, Editors), *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics* **146** (Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, Basel, Hong Kong, 1993), pp. 111–128.
- [10] T.G. Faticoni, 'The endlich Baer splitting property', *Pacific J. Math.* **157** (1993), 225–240.
- [11] T.G. Faticoni, 'Gabriel filters on the endomorphism ring of a torsion-free Abelian group', *Comm. Algebra* **18** (1990), 2841–2883.
- [12] T.G. Faticoni, 'On the lattice of right ideals of the endomorphism ring of an Abelian group', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **38** (1988), 273–291.
- [13] T.G. Faticoni, 'Each countable reduced torsion-free commutative ring is a pure subring of an *E*-ring', *Comm. Algebra* **15** (1987), 2545–2564.
- [14] T.G. Faticoni and H. Pat Goeters, 'Examples of torsion-free groups flat as modules over their endomorphism rings', *Comm. Algebra* **19** (1991), 1–28.
- [15] L. Fuchs, *Infinite Abelian Groups I, II* (Academic Press, New York-London, 1969, 1970).
- [16] J. Reid, 'Abelian groups finitely generated over their endomorphism rings', in *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **874** (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1981), pp. 41–52.

Department of Mathematics
Fordham University
Bronx
New York 10458
United States of America