# MINIMAL RELATIONS FOR CERTAIN WREATH PRODUCTS OF GROUPS 

D. L. JOHNSON

1. Introduction. Let $p$ be a rational prime, $G$ a non-trivial finite $p$ group, and $K$ the field of $p$ elements, regarded as a trivial $G$-module according to context; then we define:
$d(G)=\operatorname{dim}_{K} H^{1}(G, K)$, the minimal number of generators of $G$,
$r(G)=\operatorname{dim}_{K} H^{2}(G, K)$,
$r^{\prime}(G)=$ the minimal number of relations required to define $G$, where, in the last equation, it is sufficient to take the minimum over those presentations of $G$ with $d(G)$ generators. It is well known (see § 2) that the following inequalities hold:

$$
r^{\prime}(G) \geqq r(G) \geqq d(G)
$$

We shall consider only finite $p$-groups, so that the class of groups with $r=d$ coincides with that consisting of those groups whose Schur multiplicator is trivial. Very little seems to be known (see [3, p. 103]) about the extent of the class $\mathscr{G}_{p}$ of $p$-groups $G$ for which $r^{\prime}(G)=r(G)$. We shall be interested in a particular aspect of this problem here, and hope to publish a more comprehensive treatment at some future time. $\dagger$

In this article, we first prove the elementary fact that $\mathscr{G}_{p}$ is closed under direct products and then use this to establish the main theorem which asserts that, for odd $p, \mathscr{G}_{p}$ is closed under standard wreath products, providing that the second factor has trivial multiplicator. The method of proof consists simply of writing down a set of relations for the wreath product and then deducing their minimality by restricting to a "known" subgroup. As an immediate consequence, we observe that for any odd prime $p$ and any natural number $n, \mathscr{G}_{p}$ contains the Sylow $p$-subgroup of the symmetric group of degree $n$.

It seems reasonable to suppose that the application of more powerful techniques might effect the extension of this result to cover any or all of the following cases: $p=2$, general wreath products, no restriction on the multiplicator of the second factor.
2. Resolutions. Throughout this section, $p$ is a fixed prime, $G$ is a non-trivial finite $p$-group and $K=\mathrm{GF}(p)$. Our first lemma is a special case of [2, Theorem 10].

[^0]Lemma 1. There exists a free resolution $F$ of $G$ over $K$ such that $F_{0}, F_{1}$, and $F_{2}$ have $K G$-ranks $1, d(G), r(G)$, respectively.

With the notation of the lemma, the exact sequence

$$
F_{2} \rightarrow F_{1} \rightarrow F_{0} \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0
$$

yields: $\operatorname{dim}_{K} F_{2}-\operatorname{dim}_{K} F_{1}+\operatorname{dim}_{K} F_{0}-\operatorname{dim}_{K} K \geqq 0$, i.e.,

$$
(r(G)-d(G)+1)|G|-1 \geqq 0,
$$

and hence: $(r(G)-d(G)+1>0$, which proves the following result.
Corollary. $r(G) \geqq d(G)$.
An immediate consequence of [5, Lemma 5.1] is the following result.
Lemma 2. If $G$ has a presentation with $\bar{d}$ generators and $\bar{r}$ relations, then there exists a free resolution $\bar{F}$ of $G$ over $K$ with $\bar{F}_{0}, \bar{F}_{1}, \bar{F}_{2}$ of $K G$-ranks $1, \bar{d}, \bar{r}$, respectively.

Applying this with $\bar{d}=d(G), \bar{r}=r^{\prime}(G)$, together with the obvious minimality of the resolution $F$ of Lemma 1, we obtain the following result.

Corollary. $r^{\prime}(G) \geqq r(G)$.
The final result of this section follows from [2, Theorems 2 and 3].
Lemma 3. Let $F, F^{\prime}$ be free resolutions of $G$ over $K$ and let $f_{i}, f_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ be the $K G$-ranks of $F_{i}, F_{i}{ }^{\prime}$, respectively, $i \geqq 0$. If

$$
f_{i}=f_{i}^{\prime} \quad 0 \leqq i \leqq n-1, \quad f_{n} \geqq f_{n}^{\prime} \quad(\text { some } n \geqq 0),
$$

then there exists a free resolution $F^{\prime \prime}$ of $G$ over $K$, with the $K G-r a n k$ of $F_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ being equal to

$$
f_{i}^{\prime}, \quad 0 \leqq i \leqq n ; \quad f_{n+1}-\left(f_{n}-f_{n}^{\prime}\right), \quad i=n+1 ; \quad f_{i}, \quad i \geqq n+2 .
$$

This lemma is roughly to the effect that superfluous copies of $K G$ can be cancelled from consecutive pairs of terms in a free resolution of $G$ over $K$.
3. Direct products. In this section, $n$ is a natural number and $G$ and $H$ are non-trivial finite $p$-groups, regarded as subgroups of $G \times H$ in the usual way. Throughout the paper, the direct product of $n$ copies of $G$ will be denoted by $G^{n}$.

Lemma 4. (i) $d(G \times H)=d(G)+d(H)$.
(ii) $r(G \times H)=r(G)+r(H)+d(G) d(H)$,
(iii) $r^{\prime}(G \times H) \leqq r^{\prime}(G)+r^{\prime}(H)+d(G) d(H)$,
(iv) $G, H \in \mathscr{G}_{p} \Rightarrow G \times H \in \mathscr{G}_{p}$,
(v) $d\left(G^{n}\right)=n d(G)$,
(vi) $r\left(G^{n}\right)=n r(G)+\frac{1}{2} n(n-1) d(G)^{2}$.

Proof. (i) and (ii) are well known (see [4]).
(iii) If $G=G p\{D(G) ; R(G)\}, H=G p\{D(H) ; R(H)\}$, then clearly,

$$
G \times H=G p\{D(G), D(H) ; R(G), R(H),[D(G), D(H)]\}
$$

where the notation $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes a commutator. The number of relations in this presentation is just the right-hand side of (iii).
(iv) If $G, H \in \mathscr{G}_{p}$, it follows at once from (ii) and (iii) that

$$
r^{\prime}(G \times H) \leqq r(G \times H)
$$

The reverse inequality is given by the corollary to Lemma 2.
(v) Induction applied to (i).
(vi) Induction applied to (ii), together with (v).
4. Wreath products. Since the notation $G \backslash H$ will signify the standard wreath product of the groups $G$ and $H$, we have a short exact sequence of groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow G^{h} \xrightarrow{i} G \backslash H \underset{S}{\rightleftarrows} H \rightarrow 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h=|H|, i$ denotes inclusion, and $s$ is a splitting. We regard $G$ and $H$ as subgroups of $G\rangle H$ via the embeddings

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
G & \rightarrow G 〉 H, & H & \rightarrow G \ell H, \\
\alpha \mapsto i(\alpha, 1, \ldots, 1), & & \beta \mapsto s(\beta) .
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 5. Let $G=G p\{D(G) ; R(G)\}, H=G p\{D(H) ; R(H)\}$ be finite groups and choose a subset $X$ of $H$ minimal with respect to the property that every nonidentity element of $H$, or its inverse, is in $X$; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\rceil H=G p\left\{D(G), D(H) ; R(G), R(H),\left[D(G), D(G)^{x}\right]\right\}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the notation ${ }^{x}$ denotes conjugation.
Proof. Denoting the group on the right-hand side of (2) by $\bar{G}$, we outline the proof in a number of stages.
(i) $H$ is generated by $D(H), G$ by $D(G)$, and $G^{h}$ by $D(G)^{H}$, and so, because of (1), the set $\{D(G), D(H)\}$ generates $G\} H$.
(ii) The relations defining $\bar{G}$ all being satisfied in $G \ H$, we have an epimorphism: $\bar{G} \rightarrow G\rangle H$.
(iii) The subgroups $\langle D(H)\rangle,\langle D(G)\rangle$ of $\bar{G}$ are homomorphic images of $H$, $G$, respectively, and so the normal closure of $\langle D(G)\rangle$ in $\bar{G}$ is a homomorphic image of $G^{h}$.
(iv) The factor group of $\bar{G}$ by the normal closure of $\langle D(G)\rangle$ being a homomorphic image of $\langle D(H)\rangle$, we have that $|\bar{G}| \leqq|G\rangle H \mid$, and the result now follows from step (ii).

Theorem. Let $p$ be an odd prime and $G, H \in \mathscr{G}_{p}$; then, if $H$ has trivial multiplicator, $G\rangle H \in \mathscr{G}_{p}$.

Proof. First note that, since $G \times H$ is a homomorphic image of $G \geqslant H$, the generators for $G\rangle H$ given in Lemma 5 are minimal; hence

$$
d(G\rceil H)=d(G)+d(H)
$$

Furthermore,
$(*)\left\{\begin{array}{rlrl}r(G \backslash H) & \leqq r^{\prime}(G \backslash H), & & \text { corollary to Lemma 2, } \\ & \leqq r^{\prime}(G)+r^{\prime}(H)+\frac{1}{2}(h-1) d(G)^{2}, & \text { Lemma } 5 \text { and since } p \text { odd, } \\ & =r(G)+d(H)+\frac{1}{2}(h-1) d(G)^{2}, & \text { by hypothesis, }\end{array}\right.$
where $h=|H|$.
In accordance with Lemma 1, choose a free resolution $F$ of $G$ \ $H$ over $K$ with:

$$
f_{0}=1, \quad f_{1}=d(G)+d(H), \quad f_{2}=r(G \supsetneq H)
$$

Restricting to $G^{h}$, we obtain a free resolution $F^{\prime}$ with:

$$
f_{0}^{\prime}=h, \quad f_{1}^{\prime}=h(d(G)+d(H)), \quad f_{2}^{\prime}=h r(G \supsetneq H)
$$

Now, by Lemmas 1 and 4, there exists a free resolution $F^{m}$ of $G^{h}$ over $K$ with

$$
f_{0}^{m}=1, \quad f_{1}^{m}=h d(G), \quad f_{2}^{m}=h r(G)+\frac{1}{2} h(h-1) d(G)^{2} .
$$

Now apply Lemma 3 to $F^{\prime}$ and $F^{m}$ (with $n=0$ ) to obtain a resolution $F^{\prime \prime}$ with:

$$
\left.f_{0}^{\prime \prime}=1, \quad f_{1}^{\prime \prime}=h(d(G)+d(H))-(h-1), \quad f_{2}^{\prime \prime}=h r(G\rceil H\right) .
$$

Applying Lemma 3 to $F^{\prime \prime}$, $F^{m}$ (with $n=1$ ), we have a resolution $F^{\prime \prime \prime}$ with:

$$
f_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}=1, \quad f_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}=h d(G), \quad f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}=h r(G \supsetneq H)-[h d(H)-(h-1)] .
$$

Now since the resolution $F^{m}$ is minimal, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h r(G \backslash H)-[h d(H)-(h-1)] \geqq h r(G)+\frac{1}{2} h(h-1) d(G)^{2} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

But from above, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(G \supsetneq H) \leqq r(G)+d(H)+\frac{1}{2}(h-1) d(G)^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4) and (5) and cancelling, we obtain:

$$
\left.0 \leqq r(G)+d(H)+\frac{1}{2}(h-1) d(G)^{2}-r(G\rangle H\right) \leqq 1-1 / h
$$

and since the middle member is an integer, it must be zero. Hence, the inequalities in (*) become equalities and the theorem is proved.
5. Example. We use the above theorem to prove the following result.

Corollary. For any natural number $n$ and any odd prime $p$, the Sylow $p$-subgroup of the symmetric group of degree $n$ is in the class $\mathscr{G}_{p}$.

Proof. Let $p$ be an odd prime, and define a collection $\mathscr{G}=\left\{G_{s} \mid s \geqq 0\right\}$ of groups by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{0}=\{1\}, \text { the trivial group, and } \\
& \left.G_{s}=G_{s-1}\right\} Z_{p}, \quad s \geqq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the Sylow $p$-subgroup of the symmetric group of degree $n$ is a direct product of groups from $\mathscr{G}$; thus, by Lemma 4, it is sufficient to prove that $\mathscr{G} \subseteq \mathscr{G}_{p}$. This is achieved by induction on $s$, the cases $s=0,1$ being obvious and the inductive step being a simple application of the theorem.

Note. We find that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
d\left(G_{s}\right) & =s \quad \text { and } \\
r\left(G_{s}\right) & =s+\frac{1}{12}(p-1)(s-1) s(2 s-1)
\end{array}\right\}, \quad s \geqq 0,
$$

which agrees with a result of Bogačenko [1].
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