

On Gunning's Prime Form in Genus 2

David Grant

Abstract. Using a classical generalization of Jacobi's derivative formula, we give an explicit expression for Gunning's prime form in genus 2 in terms of theta functions and their derivatives.

Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g > 0$. Let \tilde{X} denote the universal cover of X , $\Pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ denote the projection, and Γ be the group of covering transformations of \tilde{X} over X .

By a prime form for X we mean a function on $\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ which is an analytic relatively automorphic function for some prescribed factor of automorphy for the action of Γ on each copy of \tilde{X} , and which has a simple zero on the diagonal of $\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ and its translates under $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ and has no other zeros. The classic prime form is due to Klein, see [F] and [M]. In [Gu1] Gunning introduced a different prime form, which has a factor of automorphy that is more closely related to that of theta functions. For applications see [Gu1], [Gu2], [Gu3], [Gu4], [P].

Gunning's prime form is only characterized up to a constant factor by its automorphic and vanishing properties. In [Gu5] Gunning gives an implicit normalization for his prime form (see (2) below) that uses his theory of canonical coordinates on \tilde{X} described in [Gu3].

The purpose of this paper is to give for $g = 2$ an explicit expression for Gunning's prime form in terms of genus 2 theta functions and their derivatives. We do so in the Theorem below up to sign: it may well be that the method described below will also suffice to determine the requisite sign, but it seems like a lengthy and perhaps unenlightening exercise to do so. The keys are to use the function theory on the Jacobian of the curve and a generalization of Jacobi's derivative formula due to Rosenhain.

We first recall some basic facts about compact Riemann surfaces and their Jacobians, following the exposition in [Gu1]. A marking on X consists of a fixed point z_0 of \tilde{X} , and a canonical basis $\{A_1, \dots, A_g, B_1, \dots, B_g\}$ of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$. We let $P_0 = \Pi(z_0)$. With this marking we get an identification between Γ and the fundamental group of X based at P_0 , through which we can consider $A_1, \dots, A_g, B_1, \dots, B_g$ as generators for Γ .

For any holomorphic differential ϕ on X , $\Pi^*(\phi)$ is a holomorphic differential on the simply connected space \tilde{X} , hence $\Pi^*(\phi) = dw$, where w is some analytic function on \tilde{X} which we normalize so that $w(z_0) = 0$. Since $\Pi^*(\phi)$ is Γ -invariant, we get a corresponding map $\bar{\phi}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\bar{\phi}(\gamma) = w(\gamma z) - w(z)$ for any $z \in \tilde{X}$.

Let $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_g\}$ be the basis for the space of holomorphic differentials on X normalized so that $\bar{\phi}_i(A_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Let $\omega_{ij} = \bar{\phi}_i(B_j)$. Then $\Omega = [\omega_{ij}]_{i,j=1,\dots,g}$ is the

Received by the editors March 31, 2000.

The author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9303220.

AMS subject classification: 14K25, 30F10.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 2002.

period matrix of the marked Riemann surface. A standard calculation shows that Ω is a symmetric $g \times g$ matrix with positive definite imaginary part. Let ${}^t m$ denote the transpose of a matrix m , and set $\Phi = ({}^t\phi_1, \dots, {}^t\phi_g)$, and $L = \Phi(\Gamma)$. Then $L = \mathbb{Z}^g + \Omega\mathbb{Z}^g$ is a lattice in \mathbb{C}^g . The torus \mathbb{C}^g/L is the Jacobian $J(X)$ of X . Let $\Pi^*(\phi_i) = dw_i$ with $w_i(z_0) = 0$. We then define a map $w: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$ by setting $w(z) = (w_1(z), \dots, w_g(z))$. This induces an embedding $X \rightarrow J(X)$ by setting $w(P) = w(z) \bmod L$, where $z \in \tilde{X}$ is any point such that $\Pi(z) = P$. The image of X under w is denoted W_1 , and for $s < g$ we write W_s for the sum of the s terms $W_1 + \dots + W_s$. We extend w to a map on divisor classes of X by linearity.

For any $v = (v_1, \dots, v_g) \in \mathbb{C}^g$, $a, b \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^g$, we define the genus g theta function with characteristic $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$ and period matrix Ω as

$$(1) \quad \theta_{\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}}(v) = \theta_{\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}}(v, \Omega) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^g} e^{\pi i^t(n+a)\Omega(n+a) + 2\pi i^t(n+a)(v+b)}.$$

Note that $\theta_{\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}}(v)$ is analytic in v . We let $\theta(v) = \theta_{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}(v)$. Also $\theta_{\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}}(-v) = e^{4\pi i^t ab} \theta_{\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}}(v)$, so $\theta_{\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}}(v)$ is even or odd depending on whether $e^{4\pi i^t ab}$ is 1 or -1 , and the characteristic $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$ is called *even* or *odd* accordingly.

For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, any factor of automorphy $\chi(\ell, v)$ for the action of L on \mathbb{C}^g induces the factor of automorphy $\hat{\chi}(\gamma, z) = \chi(\Phi(\gamma), w(z))$ for the action of Γ on \tilde{X} . For $s \in \mathbb{C}^g$, we define the factor of automorphy ρ_s for the action of L on \mathbb{C}^g by $\rho_s(\Phi(A_i)) = 1$, $\rho_s(\Phi(B_i)) = e^{2\pi i s_i}$. Let ζ be the factor of automorphy for the action of Γ on \tilde{X} defined in [Gu2] by $\zeta(A_j, z) = 1$, $\zeta(B_j, z) = e^{-2\pi i(m_j+r_j+w_j(z))/g}$, where $r, m \in \mathbb{C}^g$ are defined by $m_j = \sum_{k=1}^g \omega_{jk}$ and $r_j = \sum_{k=1}^g \int_{z_0}^{A_k z_0} w_j(z) \Pi^*(\phi_k)(z)$. Let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^g$ be defined by $\epsilon_i = \omega_{ii}/2$.

We can now define Gunning’s prime form $q(z_1, z_2)$. It is described up to a constant factor as an analytic function on $\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ such that for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$q(\gamma z_1, z_2) = \hat{\rho}_{w(z_2)}(\gamma) \zeta(\gamma, z_1) q(z_1, z_2),$$

and

$$q(z_1, z_2) = -q(z_2, z_1).$$

To normalize q , Gunning requires that for any $z, z_1, \dots, z_g \in \tilde{X}$,

$$(2) \quad \theta(r - \epsilon + m + w(z) - w(z_1) - \dots - w(z_g)) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq g} q(z_j, z_k) \\ = \det(w'_j(z_k))_{1 \leq j, k \leq g} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq g} q(z, z_i),$$

where the derivatives are taken with respect to the “canonical coordinates” described in [Gu3]; that is

$$w'_j(z_k) = \lim_{z'_k \rightarrow z_k} \frac{w_j(z_k) - w_j(z'_k)}{q(z_k, z'_k)}.$$

Since the transformation $q \rightarrow \kappa q$ takes $w'_j(z_k)$ to $w'_j(z_k)/\kappa$, (2) determines q up to a $(\frac{g}{2})$ -th root of unity.

It follows directly from (1) that for any $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^g$, the factor of automorphy of $\theta(v - \mu - \epsilon)$ for the action of L on \mathbb{C}^g is

$$(3) \quad \xi_\mu(\Phi(A_i), v) = 1, \xi_\mu(\Phi(B_i), v) = e^{2\pi i(\mu_i - v_i)}.$$

It follows immediately that

$$(4) \quad \hat{\xi}_{-r-m} = \zeta^g, \quad \xi_{\mu+s} = \rho_s \xi_\mu.$$

A fundamental result is Riemann's vanishing theorem, which says that the zeros of θ modulo L are $-W_{g-1} + r - \epsilon$. Since θ is an even function, $-W_{g-1} + r - \epsilon = W_{g-1} - r + \epsilon$, so by the Riemann-Roch theorem, $2(r - \epsilon) = k$, where k is the image under w of any canonical divisor of X .

Now let X be the Riemann surface defined by the complex points of the genus 2 curve

$$C : y^2 = x^5 + b_1x^4 + b_2x^3 + b_3x^2 + b_4x + b_5, \quad b_i \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Every genus 2 Riemann surface arises in this way. We first choose an ordering $P_i = (a_i, 0)$, $1 \leq i \leq 5$, for the affine Weierstrass points of X . Then we choose a marking for X so that $\Pi(z_0) = P_0$ is the point at infinity on the normalization of C , and the canonical homology basis is the traditional one employed for hyperelliptic curves with a given ordering of Weierstrass points [M, p. 3.76].

We will be combining the uniformization of X with that of its Jacobian. Most of what we need is given in [M].

Since P_0 is a Weierstrass point, k is the origin of $J(X)$, so $r - \epsilon + m = \Omega a + b$, for some $a, b \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^2$, and Riemann's vanishing theorem now says that $\theta \left[\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix} \right] (v)$ vanishes for any v in W_1 modulo L . With the traditional choice of canonical basis, $a \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \pmod 1$, and $b \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \pmod 1$ [M, p. 3.82], and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ is an odd theta characteristic.

Let σ be the matrix such that $\sigma \begin{pmatrix} \frac{dx}{y} \\ \frac{dy}{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Following [M], we define the differential operators

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_2 \\ D_1 \end{bmatrix} = -{}^t \sigma \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_1} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial v_2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then if $z \in \tilde{X} - \Pi^{-1}(P_0)$,

$$D_{x(z)} = D_2 + x(z)D_1$$

is a differential operator such that if we choose an appropriate local coordinate $z(\rho)$ centered at z , then

$$(5) \quad D_{x(z)} f(v) = \left. \frac{d}{d\rho} f\left(v + w(z) - w(z(\rho))\right) \right|_{\rho=0}.$$

Similarly, if $z \in \Pi^{-1}(P_0)$, then $D_\infty = D_1$ has the property corresponding to (5). It follows immediately from Riemann's vanishing theorem that for the correct choice of local coordinate $z_0(\rho)$ centered at z_0 , that

$$D_\infty \theta(a\Omega + b) = \left. \frac{d}{d\rho} \theta\left(a\Omega + b + w(z_0) - w(z_0(\rho))\right) \right|_{\rho=0} = 0.$$

And again, since $\theta(a\Omega + b + w(z))$ vanishes identically, $D_\infty(\theta(a\Omega + b + w(z)))$ has the factor of automorphy $\hat{\xi}_{-r-m} = \zeta^2$ for the action of Γ on \bar{X} . In [Gu1] it is shown that there exists a relatively analytic function h for the factor of automorphy ζ which vanishes simply at $\Pi^{-1}(P_0)$ and has no other zeros, hence $D_\infty(\theta(a\Omega + b + w(z))) / h^2$ is a function on X with at most a single, simple pole, so is a constant. Hence $D_\infty(\theta(a\Omega + b + w(z)))$ has a double zero at $\Pi^{-1}(P_0)$ and no other zeros, and has a well-defined square root $\psi(z)$. There is an ambiguity of a sign in the definition of $\psi(z)$, but the ambiguity will disappear in the formula (6) below.

We can now calculate Gunning’s prime form for X up to constant factor. Let $f(z_1, z_2) = \theta(w(z_1) - w(z_2) + \Omega a + b)$. We then define

$$(6) \quad Q(z_1, z_2) = \frac{f(z_1, z_2)e^{-4\pi i a w(z_2)}}{\psi(z_1)\psi(z_2)}$$

$$(7) \quad = \frac{\theta\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}\right](w(z_1) - w(z_2))}{\Sigma(z_1)\Sigma(z_2)},$$

where we set $\Sigma(z) = e^{\pi i a \Omega a / 2 + \pi i a b + 2\pi i a w(z)} \psi(z)$, so

$$(8) \quad \Sigma(z)^2 = e^{2\pi i a w(z)} D_\infty \theta\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}\right](w(z)).$$

Since $f(z_1, z_0)$ vanishes, $Q(z_1, z_2)$ is analytic. From (3) and (4) we have that the factor of automorphy of $f(z_1, z_2)$ under the action of Γ on z_1 is $\hat{\rho}_{w(z_2)} \zeta^2$. So (6) shows that

$$Q(\gamma z_1, z_2) = \hat{\rho}_{w(z_2)} \zeta(\gamma, z_1) Q(z_1, z_2),$$

and (7) shows that Q is skew-symmetric. Hence $q = CQ$ for some constant C which we now determine up to sign.

Remarks 1) A particular odd theta characteristic was singled out in the definition of Q because we assumed a particular marking for X .

2) Formula (6) is similar to one given in [Gu5], where the derivatives are taken with respect to canonical coordinates.

Theorem

$$q(z_1, z_2) = \pm \frac{e^{\pi i a \Omega a + 2\pi i a b} \det(\sigma) \theta\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}\right](w(z_1) - w(z_2))}{D_2 \theta\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}\right](0) \Sigma(z_1) \Sigma(z_2)}.$$

Proof We will use (2) to compute $\pm C$. It follows directly from (1) that changing η' or η'' by an integer vector at most changes the sign of $\theta\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \eta' \\ \eta'' \end{smallmatrix}\right](v)$. Since we will only be computing $\pm C$, we will identify theta characteristics modulo 1, and this will not affect any of the formulas that follow. For $1 \leq i \leq 5$, we define theta characteristics η_i by setting

$$w(P_i) = \Omega \eta'_i + \eta''_i \pmod L,$$

and $\eta_i = [\frac{\eta'_i}{\eta_i}]$. Let $\delta = [\frac{a}{b}] \pmod 1$. It is standard [Gr] that the six odd theta characteristics are $\delta, \delta + \eta_i, 1 \leq i \leq 5$, and the 10 even theta characteristics are $\delta + \eta_i + \eta_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq 5$. Also $\sum_{i=1}^5 \eta_i = 0 \pmod 1$.

We will use the following generalization of Jacobi's derivative formula. If ν_1, ν_2 are distinct odd theta characteristics, then

$$(9) \quad \det\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v_n} \theta[\nu_m](0)\right)_{1 \leq m, n \leq 2} = \pm \pi^2 \prod_{n=1}^4 \theta[\rho_n](0),$$

for some set $\{\rho_n\}$ of even theta characteristics. This is due to Rosenhain, and was generalized to all hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces by Thomae. For a modern reference and further generalizations, see [I].

It can be shown (see [C]) that if $\nu_1 = \delta, \nu_2 = \delta + \eta_i$, then

$$\{\rho_n\} = \{\delta + \eta_i + \eta_j, \delta + \eta_i + \eta_k, \delta + \eta_i + \eta_\ell, \delta + \eta_i + \eta_m\},$$

where $\{i, j, k, \ell, m\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. If $\nu_1 = \delta + \eta_i, \nu_2 = \delta + \eta_j$, then

$$\{\rho_n\} = \{\delta + \eta_i + \eta_j, \delta + \eta_k + \eta_\ell, \delta + \eta_k + \eta_m, \delta + \eta_\ell + \eta_m\}.$$

Now plugging $q = CQ$ into (2), we get for any $z, z_1, z_2 \in \tilde{X}$ that

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} &\theta(\Omega a + b + w(z) - w(z_1) - w(z_2)) \theta[\frac{a}{b}](w(z_1) - w(z_2)) \Sigma(z)^2 \\ &= C \det(w'_i(z_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} \theta[\frac{a}{b}](w(z) - w(z_1)) \theta[\frac{a}{b}](w(z) - w(z_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} w'_i(z_j) &= \lim_{z'_j \rightarrow z_j} \frac{w_i(z_j) - w_i(z'_j)}{q(z_j, z'_j)} = \frac{\Sigma(z_j)^2}{C} \lim_{z'_j \rightarrow z_j} \frac{w_i(z_j) - w_i(z'_j)}{\theta[\frac{a}{b}](w(z_j) - w(z'_j))} \\ &= \frac{\Sigma(z_j)^2}{C} \lim_{z'_j \rightarrow z_j} \frac{1}{\frac{\partial}{\partial v_1} \theta[\frac{a}{b}](0) \frac{w_1(z_j) - w_1(z'_j)}{w_i(z_j) - w_i(z'_j)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial v_2} \theta[\frac{a}{b}](0) \frac{w_2(z_j) - w_2(z'_j)}{w_i(z_j) - w_i(z'_j)}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\lim_{z'_j \rightarrow z_j} \frac{\int_{z'_j}^{z_j} \frac{x dx}{y}}{\int_{z'_j}^{z_j} \frac{dx}{y}} = x(z_j),$$

we get

$$\lim_{z'_j \rightarrow z_j} \frac{w_1(z_j) - w_1(z'_j)}{w_2(z_j) - w_2(z'_j)} = \frac{\sigma_{11} + \sigma_{12}x(z_j)}{\sigma_{21} + \sigma_{22}x(z_j)}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \det \left(\lim_{z'_j \rightarrow z_j} \frac{w_i(z_j) - w_i(z'_j)}{\theta[b^a](w(z_j) - w(z'_j))} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} \\
 &= \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} + \sigma_{12}x(z_1) & \sigma_{11} + \sigma_{12}x(z_2) \\ \sigma_{21} + \sigma_{22}x(z_1) & \sigma_{21} + \sigma_{22}x(z_2) \end{pmatrix}}{\prod_{n=1}^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v_1} \theta[b^a](0) (\sigma_{11} + \sigma_{12}x(z_n)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial v_2} \theta[b^a](0) (\sigma_{21} + \sigma_{22}x(z_n)) \right)} \\
 &= \frac{\det(\sigma)(x(z_2) - x(z_1))}{\prod_{n=1}^2 (-D_2 \theta[b^a](0) - x(z_n) D_1 \theta[b^a](0))} \\
 (12) \quad &= \det(\sigma)(x(z_2) - x(z_1)) / (D_2 \theta[b^a](0))^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence putting together (10), (11) and (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (13) \quad & C \theta(\Omega a + b + w(z) - w(z_1) - w(z_2)) \theta[b^a](w(z_1) - w(z_2)) \Sigma(z)^2 (D_2 \theta[b^a](0))^2 \\
 &= (\det(\sigma))(x(z_2) - x(z_1)) \Sigma(z_1)^2 \Sigma(z_2)^2 \theta[b^a](w(z) - w(z_1)) \theta[b^a](w(z) - w(z_2)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since from (1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & e^{2\pi^i a(w(z) - w(z_1) - w(z_2))} \theta(\Omega a + b + w(z) - w(z_1) - w(z_2)) \\
 &= e^{-\pi^i a \Omega a - 2\pi^i a b} \theta[b^a](w(z) - w(z_1) - w(z_2)),
 \end{aligned}$$

using (8) repeatedly we get from (13) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (14) \quad & C' \frac{\theta[b^a](w(z) - w(z_1) - w(z_2)) D_\infty \theta[b^a](w(z))}{\theta[b^a](w(z) - w(z_1)) \theta[b^a](w(z) - w(z_2))} \frac{\theta[b^a](w(z_1) - w(z_2))}{D_\infty \theta[b^a](w(z_1)) D_\infty \theta[b^a](w(z_2))} \\
 &= (\det(\sigma))(x(z_2) - x(z_1)) / (D_2 \theta[b^a](0))^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $C' = C e^{-\pi^i a \Omega a - 2\pi^i a b}$.

At this point we square (14), and let z, z_1, z_2 be any points such that $\Pi(z) = P_k, \Pi(z_1) = P_i, \Pi(z_2) = P_j$, for distinct $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Then using (1) repeatedly, from (14) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (15) \quad & (C')^2 \frac{\theta[\delta + \eta_\ell + \eta_m](0)^2 D_\infty \theta[\delta + \eta_k](0)^2}{\theta[\delta + \eta_i + \eta_k](0)^2 \theta[\delta + \eta_j + \eta_k](0)^2} \frac{\theta[\delta + \eta_i + \eta_j](0)^2}{D_\infty \theta[\delta + \eta_i](0)^2 D_\infty \theta[\delta + \eta_j](0)^2} \\
 &= (\det(\sigma))^2 (a_i - a_j)^2 / (D_2 \theta[\delta](0))^4,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\{i, j, k, l, m\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. We will now apply Rosenhain's formula (9). Since $D_\infty\theta[\delta](0) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_2\theta[\delta](0)^2 D_\infty\theta[\delta + \eta_k](0)^2 &= \det \begin{pmatrix} D_2\theta[\delta](0) & D_2\theta[\delta + \eta_k](0) \\ D_1\theta[\delta](0) & D_1\theta[\delta + \eta_k](0) \end{pmatrix}^2 \\
 &= (\det(\sigma))^2 \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_1}\theta[\delta](0) & \frac{\partial}{\partial v_1}\theta[\delta + \eta_k](0) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial v_2}\theta[\delta](0) & \frac{\partial}{\partial v_2}\theta[\delta + \eta_k](0) \end{pmatrix}^2 \\
 (16) \qquad &= (\det(\sigma))^2 \pi^4 \theta[\delta + \eta_k + \eta_i](0)^2 \theta[\delta + \eta_k + \eta_j](0)^2 \\
 &\qquad \theta[\delta + \eta_k + \eta_\ell](0)^2 \theta[\delta + \eta_k + \eta_m](0)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, (5) and Riemann's vanishing theorem imply that $D_{a_i}\theta[\delta + \eta_i](0) = 0$, so $D_{a_j}\theta[\delta + \eta_i](0) = (a_j - a_i)D_\infty\theta[\delta + \eta_i](0)$. Hence, reasoning as in (16), by (9),

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_\infty\theta[\delta + \eta_i](0)^2 D_\infty\theta[\delta + \eta_j](0)^2 &= (a_i - a_j)^{-4} D_{a_j}\theta[\delta + \eta_i](0)^2 D_{a_i}\theta[\delta + \eta_j](0)^2 \\
 &= (a_i - a_j)^{-2} \det \begin{pmatrix} D_2\theta[\delta + \eta_i](0) & D_2\theta[\delta + \eta_j](0) \\ D_1\theta[\delta + \eta_i](0) & D_1\theta[\delta + \eta_j](0) \end{pmatrix}^2 \\
 &= (a_i - a_j)^{-2} (\det(\sigma))^2 \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_1}\theta[\delta + \eta_i](0) & \frac{\partial}{\partial v_1}\theta[\delta + \eta_j](0) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial v_2}\theta[\delta + \eta_i](0) & \frac{\partial}{\partial v_2}\theta[\delta + \eta_j](0) \end{pmatrix}^2 \\
 (17) \qquad &= (a_i - a_j)^{-2} (\det(\sigma))^2 \pi^4 \theta[\delta + \eta_i + \eta_j](0)^2 \\
 &\qquad \theta[\delta + \eta_k + \eta_\ell](0)^2 \theta[\delta + \eta_k + \eta_m](0)^2 \theta[\delta + \eta_\ell + \eta_n](0)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (15), (16), and (17) we get

$$(C')^2 = (\det(\sigma))^2 / (D_2\theta[\delta](0))^2,$$

so $C = \pm e^{\pi i^t a\Omega a + 2\pi i^t ab} \det(\sigma) / D_2\theta[\delta](0)$, which gives us our theorem.

Remarks 1) Although affine transformations $(x, y) \rightarrow (\alpha^2 x + \beta, \alpha^5 y)$ of our curve affect the differential operators D_1, D_2 , they leave $\det(\sigma) / D_2\theta[\delta](0) \Sigma(z_1) \Sigma(z_2)$ invariant.

2) The constant $D_2\theta[\delta](0)$ is related to the discriminant of our curve: see [Gr].

Acknowledgements I would like to thank Cris Poor for helpful conversations on this material and his comments on an earlier version of this paper. I would also like to thank Columbia University, whose hospitality I was enjoying while working on part of this paper.

References

- [C] G. Coogan, *A generalization of Jacobi's derivative formula*. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder, 1999.
- [F] J. Fay, *Theta Functions on Riemann surfaces*. Lecture Notes in Math. **353**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [Gr] D. Grant, *A generalization of Jacobi's derivative formula to dimension two*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **392**(1988), 125–136.
- [Gu1] R. C. Gunning, *Riemann Surfaces and Generalized Theta Functions*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [Gu2] ———, *On generalized theta functions*. Amer. J. Math. (1) **104**(1982), 183–208.
- [Gu3] ———, *Some identities for abelian integrals*. Amer. J. Math. **108**(1986), 39–74.
- [Gu4] ———, *Analytic identities for theta functions*. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. (1) **49**(1989), 503–515.
- [Gu5] ———, *Notes from a course given at Princeton University*. 1987–88.
- [I] J.-I. Igusa, *On Jacobi's Derivative Formula and its generalizations*. Amer. J. Math. (2) **102**(1980), 409–446.
- [M] D. Mumford, *Tata Lectures on Theta, I, II*. Prog. in. Math. **28, 43**, Birkhauser, Boston, 1983, 1984.
- [P] C. Poor, *The hyperelliptic locus*. Duke Math. J. (3) **76**(1994), 809–884.

*Department of Mathematics
University of Colorado at Boulder
Boulder, Colorado 80309-0395
U.S.A.
e-mail: grant@boulder.colorado.edu*