

AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF DENUMERABLE BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS

RALPH MCKENZIE

We are concerned with the extent to which the structure of a Boolean algebra \mathfrak{A} (or BA , for brevity) is reflected in its group of automorphisms, $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$. In particular, for which algebras can one conclude that if $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathfrak{B}$, then $\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathfrak{B}$? Monk has conjectured [3] that this implication holds for denumerable BA 's with at least one atom. We shall refute his conjecture, but show that the implication does hold if \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} are denumerable, if each has at least one atom, and if the sum of the atoms exists in \mathfrak{A} . In fact, under those assumptions the algebra \mathfrak{A} can be rather neatly recovered from its abstract automorphism group.

The assumption of denumerability is important. It is well-known that the automorphism group of any denumerable BA has the power of the continuum. S. Shelah has recently constructed in every uncountable cardinal κ , a BA of power κ having only one automorphism. (This very significant result that concludes a long chain of investigations by de Groot, Jónsson, Lozier, Monk, Balcar and Štěpánek, and others, is yet unpublished.)

M. Rubin [4] has shown how to recover \mathfrak{A} from $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$ if $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$ is the atomic saturated BA of uncountable power $\lambda = \lambda^\lambda$. (His result is actually much stronger than this implies.)

Shelah independently discovered our example refuting Monk's conjecture.

Our notation is the same as in [2], or [3]. \mathfrak{A} , \mathfrak{B} denote Boolean algebras of cardinality \aleph_0 . The universe of \mathfrak{A} is denoted A , its set of atoms is denoted by $\text{At } \mathfrak{A}$. The principal ideal algebra determined by $a \in A$ is denoted $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright a$.

Throughout, we denote by \mathfrak{Q} a denumerable atomless BA (any two such are isomorphic), and $\mathfrak{F} = \text{Aut } \mathfrak{Q}$. If κ is any cardinal number, $\text{Sym } \kappa$ denotes the group of all permutations of a κ -element set. Monk proved that $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong \mathfrak{F}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathfrak{Q}$ or \mathfrak{A} is isomorphic to the direct product of \mathfrak{Q} and a 2-element BA . And he proved that if $m \geq 2$ is an integer, then $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong \text{Sym } m \times \mathfrak{F}$ if and only if \mathfrak{A} has exactly m atoms (\mathfrak{A} is isomorphic to the product of \mathfrak{Q} with m copies of a 2-element algebra). Hence we can restrict our attention to algebras with denumerably many atoms.

Received February 24, 1976 and in revised form, January 12, 1977. This research was supported by U.S. National Science Foundation grant number GP-358444, and by an Alfred P. Sloan Fellowship.

By $\text{Fin } \mathfrak{A}$ we mean the subgroup of $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$ consisting of automorphisms that move only finitely many atoms, and fix every element disjoint from all the atoms moved. Each finite permutation of $\text{At } \mathfrak{A}$ is the restriction of a unique member of $\text{Fin } \mathfrak{A}$. We focus attention on the members of $\text{Fin } \mathfrak{A}$ which move exactly two atoms. Let us call these *atomic transpositions*.

LEMMA 1. *Let $\pi \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$, where $|A| = |\text{At } \mathfrak{A}| = \aleph_0$. Then π is an atomic transposition if and only if it satisfies in $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$ the following formula $\theta(\pi)$:*

$$\pi^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sim\pi = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall x)((x\pi x^{-1}\pi^{-1})^6 = 1).$$

Proof. Let π be an atomic transposition. Clearly $\pi^2 = 1$. Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$ and put $\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}\pi^{-1} = \gamma$. Now, $\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}$ is an atomic transposition. There are two cases, depending on whether $\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}$ moves some atom moved by π , or does not. In the first case, γ is essentially only acting in an interval $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright c$ that is finite with at most 3 atoms. Hence $\gamma^6 = 1$. In the second case, $\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}$ commutes with π , so $\gamma^2 = (\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1})^2(\pi^{-1})^2 = 1$.

The above remarks show that $\theta(\pi)$ holds in $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$.

Let us suppose, conversely, that π is an element of $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$ satisfying θ . It must move some element of \mathfrak{A} , and we can find $a \in A$ so that $a \cdot \pi(a) = 0 \neq a$. We can even suppose that a is an atom or $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright a$ is atomless. It must be that a is an atom, because if $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright a$ is atomless it has an automorphism of infinite order, and we can concoct $\gamma \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\gamma \upharpoonright \mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright \pi a = \text{id} \upharpoonright \mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright \pi a$, $\gamma(a) = a$, and $\gamma \upharpoonright \mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright a$ is of infinite order — then $\gamma\pi\gamma^{-1}\pi^{-1}$ acts like γ on $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright a$ and has infinite order.

So we have an atom a with $\pi a \neq a$. It remains to show that π is the atomic transposition exchanging a and $\pi(a)$. In other words, that π on the interval $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright -(a + \pi a)$ is trivial. If not, then the above argument shows that there are additional atoms moved by π . We break the argument into cases: (i) π moves at least four atoms but fixes at least two atoms; (ii) π moves at least eight atoms.

In case (i), say a_1, \dots, a_4 are moved, $\pi a_1 = a_2, \pi a_3 = a_4$; and a_5 and a_6 are fixed. Then let $\sigma \in \text{Fin } \mathfrak{A}$ be a mapping that includes the cycles $(a_3 a_2 a_5)(a_4 a_6)(a_1)$. One calculates that $\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}\pi^{-1}$ has the cycle $(a_1 a_6 a_2 a_5)$, hence its order does not divide 6.

In case (ii), say π includes the cycles $(a_1 a_2)(a_3 a_4)(a_5 a_6)(a_7 a_8)$. Let $\sigma \in \text{Fin } \mathfrak{A}$ be so that $\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}$ includes $(a_2 a_3)(a_4 a_6)(a_5 a_7)(a_1 a_8)$. By computation, $\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}\pi^{-1}$ includes $(a_1 a_3 a_6 a_7)$ so its sixth power is not 1.

This concludes the proof.

We remark that the formula θ was used in [1] to characterize the transpositions in $\text{Sym } \kappa$, where κ is an infinite cardinal.

Lemma 1 opens the way to recovering \mathfrak{A} from its automorphism group. We define some further group-theoretic formulas. (Here $[x, y]$ is an abbreviation

for $xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$.)

$$A(x, y, z): \theta(x) \text{ and } \theta(y) \text{ and } \theta(z) \text{ and } [x, y] \neq 1 \text{ and } [x, z] \neq 1 \text{ and } [y, z] \neq 1.$$

$$E(x_1, x_2, x_3; y_1, y_2, y_3): A(x_1, x_2, x_3) \text{ and } A(y_1, y_2, y_3) \text{ and } \bigwedge_{i,j} ([x_i, y_j] = 1 \rightarrow x_i = y_j).$$

$$M(u; x_1, x_2, x_3; y_1, y_2, y_3): A(\tilde{x}) \text{ and } A(\tilde{y}) \text{ and } E(ux_1u^{-1}, ux_2u^{-1}, ux_3u^{-1}, y_1, y_2, y_3).$$

Let \mathfrak{A} be a BA, let $\mathfrak{G} = \langle G, \cdot \rangle$ be its automorphism group, and let M be the predicate defined by:

$$M(\sigma, a, b) \Leftrightarrow \sigma \in G \text{ and } a \in \text{At } \mathfrak{A} \text{ and } \sigma(a) = b.$$

Consider the 2-sorted structure $\text{Aut } * \mathfrak{A} = \langle G, \text{At } \mathfrak{A}, \cdot, M \rangle$. The above formulas serve to interpret $\text{Aut } * \mathfrak{A}$ in \mathfrak{G} , provided that \mathfrak{A} is denumerable and has infinitely many atoms. More precisely, $\mathfrak{G} \models A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ if and only if $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ are distinct atomic transpositions with just one atom moved by all; $\mathfrak{G} \models E(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau})$ if and only if $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\tau}$ are nested over the same common atom. Clearly, E defines an equivalence relation on A and A/E is canonically isomorphic to $\text{At } \mathfrak{A}$. If equivalence classes $\tilde{\sigma}/E$ and $\tilde{\tau}/E$ are canonically associated with the atoms a and b , then $\mathfrak{G} \models M(\pi, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau})$ if and only if $\pi(a) = b$.

The following should now be obvious.

COROLLARY 2. *Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} be denumerable BA's having infinitely many atoms. If $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathfrak{B}$, then $\text{Aut } * \mathfrak{A} \cong \text{Aut } * \mathfrak{B}$.*

COROLLARY 3. *For every definable property Φ of structures of the type of $\text{Aut } * \mathfrak{A}$, there is a corresponding property Φ' of groups so that for denumerable \mathfrak{A} with denumerably many atoms, $\text{Aut } * \mathfrak{A} \models \Phi$ if and only if $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \models \Phi'$.*

Monk proved [3, Thm. 4] that the property of \mathfrak{A} that it is atomic is reflected faithfully in a property of $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$, viz that it is not simple and that it has a smallest non-trivial normal subgroup. We have a similar result for the property: the sum of all atoms exists in \mathfrak{A} .

THEOREM 4. *Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} be denumerable BA's such that $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathfrak{B}$. Then $\sum \text{At } \mathfrak{A}$ exists in \mathfrak{A} if $\sum \text{At } \mathfrak{B}$ exists in \mathfrak{B} .*

Proof. Relying on Monk's results for algebras with finitely many atoms, we can assume that each of \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} has infinitely many atoms. The formula $(\forall a)(\text{At}(a) \rightarrow M(\pi, a, a))$ defines in $\text{Aut } * \mathfrak{A}$ the subgroup \mathcal{H} of $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$ constituted by the automorphisms that fix all atoms. If the sum of atoms exists in \mathfrak{A} , then the group \mathcal{H} either is trivial (\mathfrak{A} is atomic) or is simple (isomorphic to \mathfrak{F}). If the sum of atoms does not exist, then \mathcal{H} has a large normal subgroup composed of those π such that for some atomless $a \neq 0$ in \mathfrak{A} , $\pi \upharpoonright \mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright -a$ is the identity function.

We shall show that this is a proper subgroup of \mathcal{H} . Let $\langle a_n : n < \omega \rangle$ be an enumeration of A , and $\langle c_n : n < \omega \rangle$ be an enumeration of the non-zero atomless

elements of \mathfrak{A} . Since there is no least element above all the atoms of \mathfrak{A} , likewise the set of atomless elements has no least upper bound in \mathfrak{A} . We construct by induction a sequence $\langle d_n : n < \omega \rangle$ of atomless elements, and a sequence $\langle b_n : n < \omega \rangle$ such that in $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright b_n$ the sum of atoms does not exist.

Put $b_0 = a_0$, or $b_0 = -a_0$ according as the sum of atoms does not, or does exist in $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright a_0$. Then there is an atomless $d \leq b_0$ with $d \cdot c_0 = 0 \neq d$. Put $d_0 = d$. Having obtained b_n, d_n , put $b_{n+1} = b_n \cdot a_{n+1}$ or $b_{n+1} = b_n \cdot -a_{n+1}$ so that the sum of atoms $\leq b_{n+1}$ does not exist. Then choose for d_{n+1} a non-zero atomless element such that $d_{n+1} \cdot (\sum_{i \leq n} d_i + \sum_{i \leq n+1} c_i) = 0$ and $d_{n+1} \leq b_{n+1}$.

The sequence $\langle d_n : n < \omega \rangle$ has the following properties: (1) for each $x \in A$, either $x \cdot d_n = 0$ for large n , or $x \geq d_n$ for large n ; (2) for each atomless $x \in A$, we have $x \cdot d_n = 0$ for n large.

Now we can define an automorphism π as follows. Fix, for each $n < \omega$, an isomorphism $\sigma_n : \mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright d_{2n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright d_{2n+1}$. Then put (where either $x \cdot d_m = 0$ for $m \geq 2k$, or else $x \geq d_m$ for $m \geq 2k$):

$$\pi(x) = x \cdot - \sum_{i < 2k} d_i + \sum_{j < k} [\sigma_j(x \cdot d_{2j}) + \sigma_j^{-1}(x \cdot d_{2j+1})].$$

Clearly, $\pi \in \mathcal{H}$ and its action is not bounded by any atomless element.

We have produced a property of $\text{Aut } * \mathfrak{A}$ that determines whether the sum of atoms exists in \mathfrak{A} . By Corollary 3, this is equivalent to a definable property of $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$.

THEOREM 5. *Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} be denumerable BA's not isomorphic to \mathfrak{Q} , with $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathfrak{B}$. If the sum of atoms exists in \mathfrak{A} , then $\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathfrak{B}$.*

Proof. By [3], we can assume that each algebra has denumerably many atoms. By Theorem 4, the sum of atoms exists in \mathfrak{B} . Hence $\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathfrak{A}_1 \times \mathfrak{A}^1$, $\mathfrak{B} \cong \mathfrak{B}_1 \times \mathfrak{B}^1$, where $\mathfrak{A}^1, \mathfrak{B}^1$ are either atomless or 1-element algebras, \mathfrak{A}_1 and \mathfrak{B}_1 are atomic. By Monk's result, \mathfrak{A} is atomic if and only if \mathfrak{B} is, i.e. $\mathfrak{A}^1 \cong \mathfrak{B}^1$. By Corollary 2, $\text{Aut } * \mathfrak{A} \cong \text{Aut } * \mathfrak{B}$. Part of this isomorphism is a bijection $j : \text{At } \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow \text{At } \mathfrak{B}$. Now \mathfrak{A}_1 is canonically isomorphic to an algebra of subsets of $\text{At } \mathfrak{A}$, whose universe is $\{\bar{a} : a \in A\} = \bar{A}$, where $\bar{a} = \{x \in \text{At } \mathfrak{A} : x \leq a\}$. And likewise for \mathfrak{B}_1 . To establish the theorem, it is sufficient to prove that j carries \bar{A} onto \bar{B} . Actually, we can define \bar{A} in the structure $\text{Aut } * \mathfrak{A}$, as follows.

P) Let $X \subseteq \text{At } \mathfrak{A}$. There exists $a \in A$ with $X = \bar{a}$ if and only if $\{\sigma X : \sigma \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}\}$ is countable.

The proof of the forward implication in P) is trivial. For the converse, we first prove

Q) Let $X \subseteq \text{At } \mathfrak{A}$, $X \notin \bar{A}$. There is a convergent set $Y \subseteq \text{At } \mathfrak{A}$ such that $Y \cap X$ and $Y \sim X$ are infinite. (By "convergent" is meant that for all $a \in A$, one of the sets $Y \cap \bar{a}$, $Y \sim \bar{a}$ is finite.)

To prove Q), start with an enumeration $\langle a_n : n < \omega \rangle$ of A . Assume that $X \notin \bar{A}$. Then put $c_0 = a_0$ or $-a_0$ so that $X \cap \bar{c}_0 \notin \bar{A}$. Having generated

$c_0 \geq \dots \geq c_n$ and $X \cap \bar{c}_n \notin \bar{A}$, put $c_{n+1} = c_n \cdot a_{n+1}$ or $c_n \cdot -a_{n+1}$ so that $X \cap \bar{c}_{n+1} \notin \bar{A}$. Now with the sequence $\langle c_n: n < \omega \rangle$ so constructed, we can choose distinct atoms $a_n, b_n (n < \omega)$ with $a_n \in \bar{c}_n \cap X, b_n \in \bar{c}_n \sim X$. (Since $\bar{c}_n \cap X \notin \bar{A}$, also $\bar{c}_n \sim X \notin \bar{A}$, so both sets are infinite.) Put $Y = \{a_n: n < \omega\} \cup \{b_n: n < \omega\}$. This set has the desired properties.

To finish the proof of P), let $X \notin \bar{A}$, and let Y be as in Q). By [3, Lemma 1.1], every permutation of Y extends to an automorphism of \mathfrak{A} . This gives continuum many automorphic images of X in \mathfrak{A} .

The proof of Theorem 5 is complete.

Remarks. The Cantor-Bendixson derivative of \mathfrak{A} is the factor algebra $\mathfrak{A}^{(1)} = \mathfrak{A}/I$ where I is the ideal generated by the atoms in \mathfrak{A} . Restricted to isomorphism types of atomic denumerable algebras, the Cantor-Bendixson derivative gives a one-to-one map onto all isomorphism types of countable algebras excepting that of the 1-element algebra. If $\mathfrak{A}^{(-1)}$ denotes the unique atomic denumerable algebra whose derivative is \mathfrak{A} , then by Theorem 5, the map $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}^{(-1)}$ provides a one-to-one map of isomorphism types of countable BA's with at least two elements into isomorphism types of groups of permutations of a denumerable set, each group having continuum many elements.

THEOREM 6. *There exist denumerable BA's \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} , each having denumerably many atoms, such that $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathfrak{B}$ and \mathfrak{A} is not isomorphic to \mathfrak{B} .*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{A}_f be the algebra of finite and co-finite subsets of ω . Let D be an ultrafilter in \mathfrak{Q} (the denumerable atomless algebra). Let \mathfrak{A}_f' be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}_f \times \mathfrak{Q}$ whose universe is

$$\{(a, b): a \text{ co-finite} \leftrightarrow b \in D\}.$$

Set $\mathfrak{A}_0 = \mathfrak{A}_f'^{(-1)}, \mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathfrak{A}_f'^{(-1)}, \mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{A}_0 \times \mathfrak{A}_1$. Now \mathfrak{A}_0 has an ultrafilter D_0 which is the inverse image under the projection $\mathfrak{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_f'$ of the filter of cofinite subsets of ω . In \mathfrak{A}_1 we have an ultrafilter D_1 which is the inverse image under $\mathfrak{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_f'$ of the filter

$$\{(a, b): a \text{ is cofinite and } b \in D\}.$$

These filters have unique extensions to ultrafilters in \mathfrak{C} , which we also denote by D_0, D_1 .

Claim. Let $\pi \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{C}$. Then $\pi^*D_0 = D_0$ and $\pi^*D_1 = D_1$. In fact, it's easy to see that for $c \in C, c \in D_0$ if and only if there exists $t \leq c, \mathfrak{C} \upharpoonright t \cong \mathfrak{A}_0$; and $c \in D_1$ if and only if there exists $t \leq c, \mathfrak{C} \upharpoonright t \cong \mathfrak{A}_1$.

Now we define \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} as the subalgebras of $\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{Q}$ with respective universes

$$\begin{aligned} \{(\tilde{c}, q): \tilde{c} \in D_0 \leftrightarrow q \in D\}, \\ \{(\tilde{c}, q): \tilde{c} \in D_1 \leftrightarrow q \in D\}. \end{aligned}$$

In \mathfrak{B} there is an interval $\mathfrak{B} \upharpoonright x$ that is atomic and has derivative isomorphic to \mathfrak{A}_r . In \mathfrak{A} there is no such element. Hence $\mathfrak{A} \not\cong \mathfrak{B}$.

Now let \mathfrak{G} be the group of automorphisms of \mathfrak{Q} that fix D . We claim that

$$\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong (\text{Aut } \mathfrak{C}) \times \mathfrak{G} \cong \text{Aut } \mathfrak{B}.$$

To see it, let $\pi \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$, $x = (\tilde{c}_1, q_1) \in A$, $y = (\tilde{c}_2, q_2) \in A$, $\pi x = (\tilde{c}'_1, q'_1)$, $\pi y = (\tilde{c}'_2, q'_2)$, $x \oplus y$ the symmetric difference of x and y . Now $q_1 = q_2 \Leftrightarrow x \oplus y$ is atomic $\Leftrightarrow \pi x \oplus \pi y$ is atomic $\Leftrightarrow q'_1 = q'_2$. Similarly, $\tilde{c}_1 = \tilde{c}_2 \Leftrightarrow x \oplus y$ is atomless $\Leftrightarrow \tilde{c}'_1 = \tilde{c}'_2$. It follows that we can write $\pi = (\pi_0, \pi_1)$ where π_0 is a permutation of \mathfrak{C} , π_1 a permutation of \mathfrak{Q} .

Since every automorphism of \mathfrak{C} fixes D_0 , it's very easy to see that the above analysis yields an isomorphism $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong (\text{Aut } \mathfrak{C}) \times \mathfrak{G}$. The proof for \mathfrak{B} is the same.

Remarks. In view of Theorem 5, it is natural (although a bit naive) to expect that the automorphism group may be a one-to-one function of infinite atomic Boolean algebras. To demolish this possibility, we note that by [2, Thm 3.1] there is for every infinite κ , an atomic BA \mathfrak{A} whose automorphism group is naturally isomorphic to the group of finite permutations of κ , while $\mathfrak{A}^{(1)}$ is a free BA of power 2^κ . On the other hand, it is known that there exists a rigid, complete, and atomless BA \mathfrak{B} . Let S be the Stone space of such a \mathfrak{B} . Let \mathfrak{L} be the algebra of subsets of S generated (by finite operations) from $B \cup \{\{s\} : s \in S\}$. Then $\mathfrak{L}^{(1)} \cong \mathfrak{B}$, while it is not hard to show that $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{L}$ is naturally isomorphic to the group of finite permutations of S (\mathfrak{L} is atomic).

I offer two conjectures that ought not be too hard to prove or disprove: Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} be denumerable Boolean algebras not isomorphic to \mathfrak{Q} . If $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathfrak{B}$ (or if these groups are elementarily equivalent), then \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} are elementarily equivalent—in the sense of first order logic.

REFERENCES

1. R. McKenzie, *On elementary types of symmetric groups*, Algebra Universalis 1 (1971), 13–20.
2. R. McKenzie and J. D. Monk, *On automorphism groups of Boolean algebras*, to appear in proceedings of the International Colloquium of Infinite and Finite Sets, Keszthely, Hungary, 1973.
3. J. D. Monk, *On the automorphism groups of denumerable Boolean algebras*, submitted to Math. Annalen.
4. M. Rubin, *On the automorphism groups of saturated atomic Boolean algebras*, to appear, Algebra Universalis.

University of California,
Berkeley, California