

## AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF DENUMERABLE BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS

RALPH MCKENZIE

We are concerned with the extent to which the structure of a Boolean algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  (or  $BA$ , for brevity) is reflected in its group of automorphisms,  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$ . In particular, for which algebras can one conclude that if  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathfrak{B}$ , then  $\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathfrak{B}$ ? Monk has conjectured [3] that this implication holds for denumerable  $BA$ 's with at least one atom. We shall refute his conjecture, but show that the implication does hold if  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  are denumerable, if each has at least one atom, and if the sum of the atoms exists in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . In fact, under those assumptions the algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  can be rather neatly recovered from its abstract automorphism group.

The assumption of denumerability is important. It is well-known that the automorphism group of any denumerable  $BA$  has the power of the continuum. S. Shelah has recently constructed in every uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$ , a  $BA$  of power  $\kappa$  having only one automorphism. (This very significant result that concludes a long chain of investigations by de Groot, Jónsson, Lozier, Monk, Balcar and Štěpánek, and others, is yet unpublished.)

M. Rubin [4] has shown how to recover  $\mathfrak{A}$  from  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$  if  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_\lambda$  is the atomic saturated  $BA$  of uncountable power  $\lambda = \lambda^\lambda$ . (His result is actually much stronger than this implies.)

Shelah independently discovered our example refuting Monk's conjecture.

Our notation is the same as in [2], or [3].  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}$  denote Boolean algebras of cardinality  $\aleph_0$ . The universe of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is denoted  $A$ , its set of atoms is denoted by  $\text{At } \mathfrak{A}$ . The principal ideal algebra determined by  $a \in A$  is denoted  $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright a$ .

Throughout, we denote by  $\mathfrak{Q}$  a denumerable atomless  $BA$  (any two such are isomorphic), and  $\mathfrak{F} = \text{Aut } \mathfrak{Q}$ . If  $\kappa$  is any cardinal number,  $\text{Sym } \kappa$  denotes the group of all permutations of a  $\kappa$ -element set. Monk proved that  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong \mathfrak{F}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathfrak{Q}$  or  $\mathfrak{A}$  is isomorphic to the direct product of  $\mathfrak{Q}$  and a 2-element  $BA$ . And he proved that if  $m \geq 2$  is an integer, then  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong \text{Sym } m \times \mathfrak{F}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{A}$  has exactly  $m$  atoms ( $\mathfrak{A}$  is isomorphic to the product of  $\mathfrak{Q}$  with  $m$  copies of a 2-element algebra). Hence we can restrict our attention to algebras with denumerably many atoms.

---

Received February 24, 1976 and in revised form, January 12, 1977. This research was supported by U.S. National Science Foundation grant number GP-358444, and by an Alfred P. Sloan Fellowship.

By  $\text{Fin } \mathfrak{A}$  we mean the subgroup of  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$  consisting of automorphisms that move only finitely many atoms, and fix every element disjoint from all the atoms moved. Each finite permutation of  $\text{At } \mathfrak{A}$  is the restriction of a unique member of  $\text{Fin } \mathfrak{A}$ . We focus attention on the members of  $\text{Fin } \mathfrak{A}$  which move exactly two atoms. Let us call these *atomic transpositions*.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $\pi \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$ , where  $|A| = |\text{At } \mathfrak{A}| = \aleph_0$ . Then  $\pi$  is an atomic transposition if and only if it satisfies in  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$  the following formula  $\theta(\pi)$ :*

$$\pi^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sim\pi = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall x)((x\pi x^{-1}\pi^{-1})^6 = 1).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\pi$  be an atomic transposition. Clearly  $\pi^2 = 1$ . Let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$  and put  $\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}\pi^{-1} = \gamma$ . Now,  $\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}$  is an atomic transposition. There are two cases, depending on whether  $\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}$  moves some atom moved by  $\pi$ , or does not. In the first case,  $\gamma$  is essentially only acting in an interval  $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright c$  that is finite with at most 3 atoms. Hence  $\gamma^6 = 1$ . In the second case,  $\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}$  commutes with  $\pi$ , so  $\gamma^2 = (\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1})^2(\pi^{-1})^2 = 1$ .

The above remarks show that  $\theta(\pi)$  holds in  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$ .

Let us suppose, conversely, that  $\pi$  is an element of  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$  satisfying  $\theta$ . It must move some element of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and we can find  $a \in A$  so that  $a \cdot \pi(a) = 0 \neq a$ . We can even suppose that  $a$  is an atom or  $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright a$  is atomless. It must be that  $a$  is an atom, because if  $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright a$  is atomless it has an automorphism of infinite order, and we can concoct  $\gamma \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\gamma \upharpoonright \mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright \pi a = \text{id} \upharpoonright \mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright \pi a$ ,  $\gamma(a) = a$ , and  $\gamma \upharpoonright \mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright a$  is of infinite order — then  $\gamma\pi\gamma^{-1}\pi^{-1}$  acts like  $\gamma$  on  $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright a$  and has infinite order.

So we have an atom  $a$  with  $\pi a \neq a$ . It remains to show that  $\pi$  is the atomic transposition exchanging  $a$  and  $\pi(a)$ . In other words, that  $\pi$  on the interval  $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright -(a + \pi a)$  is trivial. If not, then the above argument shows that there are additional atoms moved by  $\pi$ . We break the argument into cases: (i)  $\pi$  moves at least four atoms but fixes at least two atoms; (ii)  $\pi$  moves at least eight atoms.

In case (i), say  $a_1, \dots, a_4$  are moved,  $\pi a_1 = a_2, \pi a_3 = a_4$ ; and  $a_5$  and  $a_6$  are fixed. Then let  $\sigma \in \text{Fin } \mathfrak{A}$  be a mapping that includes the cycles  $(a_3 a_2 a_5)(a_4 a_6)(a_1)$ . One calculates that  $\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}\pi^{-1}$  has the cycle  $(a_1 a_6 a_2 a_5)$ , hence its order does not divide 6.

In case (ii), say  $\pi$  includes the cycles  $(a_1 a_2)(a_3 a_4)(a_5 a_6)(a_7 a_8)$ . Let  $\sigma \in \text{Fin } \mathfrak{A}$  be so that  $\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}$  includes  $(a_2 a_3)(a_4 a_6)(a_5 a_7)(a_1 a_8)$ . By computation,  $\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}\pi^{-1}$  includes  $(a_1 a_3 a_6 a_7)$  so its sixth power is not 1.

This concludes the proof.

We remark that the formula  $\theta$  was used in [1] to characterize the transpositions in  $\text{Sym } \kappa$ , where  $\kappa$  is an infinite cardinal.

Lemma 1 opens the way to recovering  $\mathfrak{A}$  from its automorphism group. We define some further group-theoretic formulas. (Here  $[x, y]$  is an abbreviation

for  $xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ .)

$$A(x, y, z): \theta(x) \text{ and } \theta(y) \text{ and } \theta(z) \text{ and } [x, y] \neq 1 \text{ and } [x, z] \neq 1 \text{ and } [y, z] \neq 1.$$

$$E(x_1, x_2, x_3; y_1, y_2, y_3): A(x_1, x_2, x_3) \text{ and } A(y_1, y_2, y_3) \text{ and } \bigwedge_{i,j} ([x_i, y_j] = 1 \rightarrow x_i = y_j).$$

$$M(u; x_1, x_2, x_3; y_1, y_2, y_3): A(\tilde{x}) \text{ and } A(\tilde{y}) \text{ and } E(ux_1u^{-1}, ux_2u^{-1}, ux_3u^{-1}, y_1, y_2, y_3).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a BA, let  $\mathfrak{G} = \langle G, \cdot \rangle$  be its automorphism group, and let  $M$  be the predicate defined by:

$$M(\sigma, a, b) \Leftrightarrow \sigma \in G \text{ and } a \in \text{At } \mathfrak{A} \text{ and } \sigma(a) = b.$$

Consider the 2-sorted structure  $\text{Aut } * \mathfrak{A} = \langle G, \text{At } \mathfrak{A}, \cdot, M \rangle$ . The above formulas serve to interpret  $\text{Aut } * \mathfrak{A}$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$ , provided that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is denumerable and has infinitely many atoms. More precisely,  $\mathfrak{G} \models A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$  if and only if  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$  are distinct atomic transpositions with just one atom moved by all;  $\mathfrak{G} \models E(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau})$  if and only if  $\tilde{\sigma}$  and  $\tilde{\tau}$  are nested over the same common atom. Clearly,  $E$  defines an equivalence relation on  $A$  and  $A/E$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\text{At } \mathfrak{A}$ . If equivalence classes  $\tilde{\sigma}/E$  and  $\tilde{\tau}/E$  are canonically associated with the atoms  $a$  and  $b$ , then  $\mathfrak{G} \models M(\pi, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau})$  if and only if  $\pi(a) = b$ .

The following should now be obvious.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be denumerable BA's having infinitely many atoms. If  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathfrak{B}$ , then  $\text{Aut } * \mathfrak{A} \cong \text{Aut } * \mathfrak{B}$ .*

**COROLLARY 3.** *For every definable property  $\Phi$  of structures of the type of  $\text{Aut } * \mathfrak{A}$ , there is a corresponding property  $\Phi'$  of groups so that for denumerable  $\mathfrak{A}$  with denumerably many atoms,  $\text{Aut } * \mathfrak{A} \models \Phi$  if and only if  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \models \Phi'$ .*

Monk proved [3, Thm. 4] that the property of  $\mathfrak{A}$  that it is atomic is reflected faithfully in a property of  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$ , viz that it is not simple and that it has a smallest non-trivial normal subgroup. We have a similar result for the property: the sum of all atoms exists in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be denumerable BA's such that  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathfrak{B}$ . Then  $\sum \text{At } \mathfrak{A}$  exists in  $\mathfrak{A}$  if  $\sum \text{At } \mathfrak{B}$  exists in  $\mathfrak{B}$ .*

*Proof.* Relying on Monk's results for algebras with finitely many atoms, we can assume that each of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  has infinitely many atoms. The formula  $(\forall a)(\text{At}(a) \rightarrow M(\pi, a, a))$  defines in  $\text{Aut } * \mathfrak{A}$  the subgroup  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$  constituted by the automorphisms that fix all atoms. If the sum of atoms exists in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then the group  $\mathcal{H}$  either is trivial ( $\mathfrak{A}$  is atomic) or is simple (isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{F}$ ). If the sum of atoms does not exist, then  $\mathcal{H}$  has a large normal subgroup composed of those  $\pi$  such that for some atomless  $a \neq 0$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\pi \upharpoonright \mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright -a$  is the identity function.

We shall show that this is a proper subgroup of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\langle a_n : n < \omega \rangle$  be an enumeration of  $A$ , and  $\langle c_n : n < \omega \rangle$  be an enumeration of the non-zero atomless

elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Since there is no least element above all the atoms of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , likewise the set of atomless elements has no least upper bound in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . We construct by induction a sequence  $\langle d_n : n < \omega \rangle$  of atomless elements, and a sequence  $\langle b_n : n < \omega \rangle$  such that in  $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright b_n$  the sum of atoms does not exist.

Put  $b_0 = a_0$ , or  $b_0 = -a_0$  according as the sum of atoms does not, or does exist in  $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright a_0$ . Then there is an atomless  $d \leq b_0$  with  $d \cdot c_0 = 0 \neq d$ . Put  $d_0 = d$ . Having obtained  $b_n, d_n$ , put  $b_{n+1} = b_n \cdot a_{n+1}$  or  $b_{n+1} = b_n \cdot -a_{n+1}$  so that the sum of atoms  $\leq b_{n+1}$  does not exist. Then choose for  $d_{n+1}$  a non-zero atomless element such that  $d_{n+1} \cdot (\sum_{i \leq n} d_i + \sum_{i \leq n+1} c_i) = 0$  and  $d_{n+1} \leq b_{n+1}$ .

The sequence  $\langle d_n : n < \omega \rangle$  has the following properties: (1) for each  $x \in A$ , either  $x \cdot d_n = 0$  for large  $n$ , or  $x \geq d_n$  for large  $n$ ; (2) for each atomless  $x \in A$ , we have  $x \cdot d_n = 0$  for  $n$  large.

Now we can define an automorphism  $\pi$  as follows. Fix, for each  $n < \omega$ , an isomorphism  $\sigma_n : \mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright d_{2n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright d_{2n+1}$ . Then put (where either  $x \cdot d_m = 0$  for  $m \geq 2k$ , or else  $x \geq d_m$  for  $m \geq 2k$ ):

$$\pi(x) = x \cdot - \sum_{i < 2k} d_i + \sum_{j < k} [\sigma_j(x \cdot d_{2j}) + \sigma_j^{-1}(x \cdot d_{2j+1})].$$

Clearly,  $\pi \in \mathcal{H}$  and its action is not bounded by any atomless element.

We have produced a property of  $\text{Aut } * \mathfrak{A}$  that determines whether the sum of atoms exists in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . By Corollary 3, this is equivalent to a definable property of  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be denumerable BA's not isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{Q}$ , with  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathfrak{B}$ . If the sum of atoms exists in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then  $\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathfrak{B}$ .*

*Proof.* By [3], we can assume that each algebra has denumerably many atoms. By Theorem 4, the sum of atoms exists in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathfrak{A}_1 \times \mathfrak{A}^1$ ,  $\mathfrak{B} \cong \mathfrak{B}_1 \times \mathfrak{B}^1$ , where  $\mathfrak{A}^1, \mathfrak{B}^1$  are either atomless or 1-element algebras,  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_1$  are atomic. By Monk's result,  $\mathfrak{A}$  is atomic if and only if  $\mathfrak{B}$  is, i.e.  $\mathfrak{A}^1 \cong \mathfrak{B}^1$ . By Corollary 2,  $\text{Aut } * \mathfrak{A} \cong \text{Aut } * \mathfrak{B}$ . Part of this isomorphism is a bijection  $j : \text{At } \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow \text{At } \mathfrak{B}$ . Now  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  is canonically isomorphic to an algebra of subsets of  $\text{At } \mathfrak{A}$ , whose universe is  $\{\bar{a} : a \in A\} = \bar{A}$ , where  $\bar{a} = \{x \in \text{At } \mathfrak{A} : x \leq a\}$ . And likewise for  $\mathfrak{B}_1$ . To establish the theorem, it is sufficient to prove that  $j$  carries  $\bar{A}$  onto  $\bar{B}$ . Actually, we can define  $\bar{A}$  in the structure  $\text{Aut } * \mathfrak{A}$ , as follows.

P) Let  $X \subseteq \text{At } \mathfrak{A}$ . There exists  $a \in A$  with  $X = \bar{a}$  if and only if  $\{\sigma X : \sigma \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}\}$  is countable.

The proof of the forward implication in P) is trivial. For the converse, we first prove

Q) Let  $X \subseteq \text{At } \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $X \notin \bar{A}$ . There is a convergent set  $Y \subseteq \text{At } \mathfrak{A}$  such that  $Y \cap X$  and  $Y \sim X$  are infinite. (By "convergent" is meant that for all  $a \in A$ , one of the sets  $Y \cap \bar{a}$ ,  $Y \sim \bar{a}$  is finite.)

To prove Q), start with an enumeration  $\langle a_n : n < \omega \rangle$  of  $A$ . Assume that  $X \notin \bar{A}$ . Then put  $c_0 = a_0$  or  $-a_0$  so that  $X \cap \bar{c}_0 \notin \bar{A}$ . Having generated

$c_0 \geq \dots \geq c_n$  and  $X \cap \bar{c}_n \notin \bar{A}$ , put  $c_{n+1} = c_n \cdot a_{n+1}$  or  $c_n \cdot -a_{n+1}$  so that  $X \cap \bar{c}_{n+1} \notin \bar{A}$ . Now with the sequence  $\langle c_n: n < \omega \rangle$  so constructed, we can choose distinct atoms  $a_n, b_n (n < \omega)$  with  $a_n \in \bar{c}_n \cap X, b_n \in \bar{c}_n \sim X$ . (Since  $\bar{c}_n \cap X \notin \bar{A}$ , also  $\bar{c}_n \sim X \notin \bar{A}$ , so both sets are infinite.) Put  $Y = \{a_n: n < \omega\} \cup \{b_n: n < \omega\}$ . This set has the desired properties.

To finish the proof of P), let  $X \notin \bar{A}$ , and let  $Y$  be as in Q). By [3, Lemma 1.1], every permutation of  $Y$  extends to an automorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . This gives continuum many automorphic images of  $X$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

The proof of Theorem 5 is complete.

*Remarks.* The Cantor-Bendixson derivative of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the factor algebra  $\mathfrak{A}^{(1)} = \mathfrak{A}/I$  where  $I$  is the ideal generated by the atoms in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Restricted to isomorphism types of atomic denumerable algebras, the Cantor-Bendixson derivative gives a one-to-one map onto all isomorphism types of countable algebras excepting that of the 1-element algebra. If  $\mathfrak{A}^{(-1)}$  denotes the unique atomic denumerable algebra whose derivative is  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then by Theorem 5, the map  $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}^{(-1)}$  provides a one-to-one map of isomorphism types of countable BA's with at least two elements into isomorphism types of groups of permutations of a denumerable set, each group having continuum many elements.

**THEOREM 6.** *There exist denumerable BA's  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$ , each having denumerably many atoms, such that  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{B}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{A}_f$  be the algebra of finite and co-finite subsets of  $\omega$ . Let  $D$  be an ultrafilter in  $\mathfrak{Q}$  (the denumerable atomless algebra). Let  $\mathfrak{A}_f'$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}_f \times \mathfrak{Q}$  whose universe is

$$\{(a, b): a \text{ co-finite} \leftrightarrow b \in D\}.$$

Set  $\mathfrak{A}_0 = \mathfrak{A}_f'^{(-1)}, \mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathfrak{A}_f'^{(-1)}, \mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{A}_0 \times \mathfrak{A}_1$ . Now  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  has an ultrafilter  $D_0$  which is the inverse image under the projection  $\mathfrak{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_f'$  of the filter of cofinite subsets of  $\omega$ . In  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  we have an ultrafilter  $D_1$  which is the inverse image under  $\mathfrak{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_f'$  of the filter

$$\{(a, b): a \text{ is cofinite and } b \in D\}.$$

These filters have unique extensions to ultrafilters in  $\mathfrak{C}$ , which we also denote by  $D_0, D_1$ .

*Claim.* Let  $\pi \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{C}$ . Then  $\pi^*D_0 = D_0$  and  $\pi^*D_1 = D_1$ . In fact, it's easy to see that for  $c \in C, c \in D_0$  if and only if there exists  $t \leq c, \mathfrak{C} \upharpoonright t \cong \mathfrak{A}_0$ ; and  $c \in D_1$  if and only if there exists  $t \leq c, \mathfrak{C} \upharpoonright t \cong \mathfrak{A}_1$ .

Now we define  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  as the subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{Q}$  with respective universes

$$\begin{aligned} \{(\tilde{c}, q): \tilde{c} \in D_0 \leftrightarrow q \in D\}, \\ \{(\tilde{c}, q): \tilde{c} \in D_1 \leftrightarrow q \in D\}. \end{aligned}$$

In  $\mathfrak{B}$  there is an interval  $\mathfrak{B} \upharpoonright x$  that is atomic and has derivative isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}_r$ . In  $\mathfrak{A}$  there is no such element. Hence  $\mathfrak{A} \not\cong \mathfrak{B}$ .

Now let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be the group of automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{Q}$  that fix  $D$ . We claim that

$$\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong (\text{Aut } \mathfrak{C}) \times \mathfrak{G} \cong \text{Aut } \mathfrak{B}.$$

To see it, let  $\pi \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $x = (\tilde{c}_1, q_1) \in A$ ,  $y = (\tilde{c}_2, q_2) \in A$ ,  $\pi x = (\tilde{c}'_1, q'_1)$ ,  $\pi y = (\tilde{c}'_2, q'_2)$ ,  $x \oplus y$  the symmetric difference of  $x$  and  $y$ . Now  $q_1 = q_2 \Leftrightarrow x \oplus y$  is atomic  $\Leftrightarrow \pi x \oplus \pi y$  is atomic  $\Leftrightarrow q'_1 = q'_2$ . Similarly,  $\tilde{c}_1 = \tilde{c}_2 \Leftrightarrow x \oplus y$  is atomless  $\Leftrightarrow \tilde{c}'_1 = \tilde{c}'_2$ . It follows that we can write  $\pi = (\pi_0, \pi_1)$  where  $\pi_0$  is a permutation of  $\mathfrak{C}$ ,  $\pi_1$  a permutation of  $\mathfrak{Q}$ .

Since every automorphism of  $\mathfrak{C}$  fixes  $D_0$ , it's very easy to see that the above analysis yields an isomorphism  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong (\text{Aut } \mathfrak{C}) \times \mathfrak{G}$ . The proof for  $\mathfrak{B}$  is the same.

*Remarks.* In view of Theorem 5, it is natural (although a bit naive) to expect that the automorphism group may be a one-to-one function of infinite atomic Boolean algebras. To demolish this possibility, we note that by [2, Thm 3.1] there is for every infinite  $\kappa$ , an atomic *BA*  $\mathfrak{A}$  whose automorphism group is naturally isomorphic to the group of finite permutations of  $\kappa$ , while  $\mathfrak{A}^{(1)}$  is a free *BA* of power  $2^\kappa$ . On the other hand, it is known that there exists a rigid, complete, and atomless *BA*  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Let  $S$  be the Stone space of such a  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be the algebra of subsets of  $S$  generated (by finite operations) from  $B \cup \{\{s\} : s \in S\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{X}^{(1)} \cong \mathfrak{B}$ , while it is not hard to show that  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{X}$  is naturally isomorphic to the group of finite permutations of  $S$  ( $\mathfrak{X}$  is atomic).

I offer two conjectures that ought not be too hard to prove or disprove: Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be denumerable Boolean algebras not isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{Q}$ . If  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} \cong \text{Aut } \mathfrak{B}$  (or if these groups are elementarily equivalent), then  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  are elementarily equivalent—in the sense of first order logic.

REFERENCES

1. R. McKenzie, *On elementary types of symmetric groups*, Algebra Universalis 1 (1971), 13–20.
2. R. McKenzie and J. D. Monk, *On automorphism groups of Boolean algebras*, to appear in proceedings of the International Colloquium of Infinite and Finite Sets, Keszthely, Hungary, 1973.
3. J. D. Monk, *On the automorphism groups of denumerable Boolean algebras*, submitted to Math. Annalen.
4. M. Rubin, *On the automorphism groups of saturated atomic Boolean algebras*, to appear, Algebra Universalis.

University of California,  
Berkeley, California