## **ON INTERSECTIONS AND UNIONS OF RADICAL CLASSES**

YU-LEE LEE and R. E. PROPES<sup>1</sup>

(Received 20 August 1969; revised 12 November 1969

Communicated by B. Mond

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathscr{A}$  be a class of rings, and let  $L(\mathscr{A})$  denote the lower radical class determined by  $\mathscr{A}$ . In [3] Yu-Lee Lee showed that  $L(\mathscr{A})$  may be constructed in the following manner: Let  $H(\mathscr{A})$  be the class of all homomorphic images of rings in  $\mathscr{A}$ . For each ring R, let  $D_1(R)$  be the set of all ideals of R, and by induction define  $D_{n+1}(R)$  to be the family of all rings which are ideals of some ring in  $D_n(R)$  and set  $D(R) = \bigcup \{D_n(R): n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots\}$  which is commonly known as the hereditary closure of R. A ring R is called an  $L(\mathscr{A})$  – ring if D(R/I) contains a nonzero ring which is isomorphic to a ring in  $H(\mathscr{A})$  for each ideal I of R and  $I \neq R$ i.e., if each non-zero homomorphic image of R contains an accessible subring isomorphic to a ring in  $H(\mathscr{A})$ . In [4] Yu-Lee Lee proved that any class  $\mathscr{A}$  of rings determines an upper radical property  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{A})$ .

The following theorem was conjectured by Yu-Lee Lee: Let  $\mathscr{A}_i$  be a homomorphically closed and hereditary class of rings (i = 1, 2). Then  $L(\mathscr{A}_1 \cap \mathscr{A}_2) = L(\mathscr{A}_i) \cap L(\mathscr{A}_2)$ . The purpose of this paper is to prove this theorem and, in addition, to prove an "intersection theorem" for upper radicals. In this paper we shall use the following notation:  $I \leq R$  signifies that I is an ideal of the ring R.

We shall use the following theorem which is due to A. E. Hoffman and W. G. Leavitt [2]. Theorem. If  $\mathscr{A}$  is a hereditary class, then  $L(\mathscr{A})$  is hereditary.

Since we shall be concerned with the intersections of radical classes, we shall often employ (without specifically noting it) the following useful proposition. We mention that T. L. Jenkins in [1] proved an analogous proposition for hereditary radicals.

PROPOSITION. Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be radical classes in some universal class  $\mathscr{W}$  of rings, and define  $T(R) = P_1(R) \cap P_2(R)$ , and set  $T = \{R \in \mathscr{W} : T(R) = R\}$ . Then  $T = P_1 \cap P_2$ .

PROOF.

$$R \in T \text{ iff } R = T(R) = P_1(R) \cap P_2(R)$$
  
iff 
$$R = P_1(R) = P_2(R)$$
  
iff 
$$R \in P_1 \cap P_2.$$

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported partially by Kansas State University Research Grant No. F 440.

354

## 2.

THEOREM 1. Let  $\mathscr{A}_i$  be a homomorphically closed and hereditary class of rings (i = 1, 2). Then  $L(\mathscr{A}_1 \cap \mathscr{A}_2) = L(\mathscr{A}_1) \cap L(\mathscr{A}_2)$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $L(\mathscr{A}_1 \cap \mathscr{A}_2) \subseteq L(\mathscr{A}_i)$  for i = 1, 2, we have  $L(\mathscr{A}_1 \cap \mathscr{A}_2) \subseteq L(\mathscr{A}_1) \cap L(\mathscr{A}_2)$ . Thus let  $R \in L(\mathscr{A}_1) \cap L(\mathscr{A}_2)$  and let I be a proper ideal of R. Now  $R \in L(\mathscr{A}_1)$  implies  $D(R/I) \cap \mathscr{A}_1 \neq 0$ , hence let  $A \in D(R/I) \cap \mathscr{A}_1$ . Since  $L(\mathscr{A}_1) \cap L(\mathscr{A}_2)$  is hereditary [2] and since  $R/I \in L(\mathscr{A}_1) \cap L(\mathscr{A}_2)$ , we have  $D(R/I) \subseteq L(\mathscr{A}_1) \cap L(\mathscr{A}_2)$ ; and so  $A \in L(\mathscr{A}_1) \cap L(\mathscr{A}_2)$ , viz.,  $A \in L(\mathscr{A}_2)$ . But  $A \in L(\mathscr{A}_2)$  implies  $D(A) \cap \mathscr{A}_2 \neq 0$ . Thus let  $0 \neq B \in D(A) \cap \mathscr{A}_2$ . Now  $\mathscr{A}_1$  is hereditary, and  $A \in \mathscr{A}_1$ , so that  $D(A) \subseteq \mathscr{A}_1$ . Hence  $B \in \mathscr{A}_1 \cap \mathscr{A}_2$ . But  $D(A) \subseteq D(R/I)$  so that  $B \in D(R/I) \cap (\mathscr{A}_1 \cap \mathscr{A}_2)$ . Therefore  $D(R/I) \cap (\mathscr{A}_1 \cap \mathscr{A}_2) \neq 0$ . Thus  $R \in L(\mathscr{A}_1) \cap L(\mathscr{A}_2)$  implies  $R \in L(\mathscr{A}_1 \cap \mathscr{A}_2)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

NOTE. By an inductive argument  $L(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \mathscr{A}_{i}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} L(\mathscr{A}_{i})$ , where  $\mathscr{A}_{i}$  is a class of rings which is both homomorphically closed and hereditary for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Next we provide an example for which  $L(\mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{B})$  is a proper subset of  $L(\mathscr{A}) \cap L(\mathscr{B})$ .

EXAMPLE. Let Z denote the ring of integers, and let (4) denote the principal ideal of Z generated by the integer 4. Let Z/(4) denote the ordinary quotient ring, and let  $R = \{0+(4), 2+(4)\}$ . Let  $\mathscr{A} = H(\{(Z/(4))/R, R\})$  and  $\mathscr{B} = H(\{Z/(4)\})$  denote the homomorphic closures of the classes  $\{(Z/(4))/R, R\}$  and  $\{Z/(4)\}$  respectively. It is easy to see that the only proper ideal of Z/(4) is R and the ring Z/(4) cannot be mapped homomorphically onto its ideal R. We also note that the only subrings of Z/(4) are Z/(4), R,  $0 \cdots$  none of which is a field (hence none is isomorphic with (Z/(4))/R).

Now  $\mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{B} = H(\{(Z/(4))/R\})$ , and (Z/(4))/R is simple; therefore each ring in  $\mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{B}$  is either 0 or else isomorphic with (Z/(4))/R. Since Z/(4) has no subring isomorphic to the field (Z/(4))/R, then  $Z/(4) \notin L(\mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{B})$ , in fact,  $L(\mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{B})$ (Z/(4)) = 0. Certainly  $Z/(4) \in L(\mathscr{B})$ , and also  $Z/(4) \in L(\mathscr{A})$ , because each nonzero homomorphic image of Z/(4)[(Z/(4))/R, Z/(4)] contains a non-zero subring in  $\mathscr{A}$ . Thus  $Z/(4) \in L(\mathscr{A}) \cap L(\mathscr{B})$  and hence  $L(\mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{B})$  is a proper subset of  $L(\mathscr{A}_1) \cap L(\mathscr{A}_2)$ .

We note that  $\mathscr{A}$  is hereditary, because both  $(\mathbb{Z}/(4))/\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  are simple rings. However,  $\mathscr{B}$  is not hereditary, because  $\mathbb{R} \leq \mathbb{Z}/(4) \in \mathscr{B}$ , but  $\mathbb{R} \notin \mathscr{B}$ .

For a class  $\mathcal{M}$  of rings, let  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{M})$  denote the upper radical class determined by the class  $\mathcal{M}$ . The following theorem is similar to 2.3.3 of [1, p. 28].

THEOREM 2. Let  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{B}$  be classes of rings. Then  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{A} \cup \mathscr{B}) = \mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{A}) \cap \mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{B})$ .

**PROOF.** First, since each ring in  $\mathscr{A}$  is in  $\mathscr{A} \cup \mathscr{B}$ , then each ring in  $\mathscr{A}$  is

 $\mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{A} \cup \mathscr{B})$  – semi-simple. Then since  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{A})$  is the largest radical for which every ring in  $\mathscr{A}$  is semi-simple, we must have  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{A} \cup \mathscr{B}) \subseteq \mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{A})$ . Similarly  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{A} \cup \mathscr{B}) \subseteq \mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{B})$ , and so  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{A} \cup \mathscr{B}) \subseteq \mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{A}) \cap \mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{B})$ . Now let  $R \in \mathscr{A} \cup \mathscr{B}$ . If  $R \in \mathscr{A}$ , then  $(\mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{A}))(R) = 0$  and so  $(\mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{A}) \cap \mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{B}))(R) = 0$ . Similarly, for  $R \in \mathscr{B}, (\mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{A}) \cap \mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{B}))(R) = 0$ . Thus every ring in  $\mathscr{A} \cup \mathscr{B}$  is  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{A}) \cap \mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{B})$ semi-simple. Since  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{A} \cup \mathscr{B})$  is the largest radical for which every ring in  $\mathscr{A} \cup \mathscr{B}$  is semi-simple, we must have  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{A}) \cap \mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{B}) \subseteq \mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{A} \cup \mathscr{B})$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{A} \cup \mathscr{B}) = \mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{A}) \cap \mathfrak{S}(\mathscr{B})$ .

## References

- [1] T. L. Jenkins, *The theory of radicals and radical rings* (Ph.D. thesis, University of Nebraska, 1966).
- [2] A. E. Hoffman & W. G. Leavitt, 'Properties inherited by the lower radical' (to appear).
- [3] Yu-Lee Lee, 'On the construction of lower radical properties of rings', *Pacific Journal of Math.* (to appear).
- [4] Yu-Lee Lee, 'On the construction of upper radical properties', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19, No. 5, (1968).

Kansas State University and New York State University College at Potsdam