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## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be an $n$-generator group and let $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)$ be an ordered set of $n$ elements which generate $G$, then $g$ is called a generating $n$-vector of $G$. Let $\Gamma_{G}^{n}$ denote the set of all generating $n$-vectors of $G$.

If $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$ is a set of generators of the free group $F_{n}$ of rank $n$ and if $\alpha$ is an automorphism of $F_{n}$ such that

$$
x_{i} \alpha=w_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n,
$$

then the elements

$$
g_{i}^{\prime}=w_{i}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \cdots, g_{n}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n
$$

define a generating $n$-vector

$$
\mathbf{g}^{\prime}=\left(g_{1}^{\prime}, g_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, g_{n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

In this way there is assigned to every automorphism $\alpha$ of $F_{n}$ a permutation $\alpha_{G}$ of $\Gamma_{G}^{n}$. If $\beta$ is an automorphism of $G$, then a permutation $\beta_{G}$ of $\Gamma_{G}^{n}$ is defined by

$$
g \beta_{G}=\left(g_{1} \beta, g_{2} \beta, \cdots, g_{n} \beta\right) .
$$

Let $P$ be the group generated by all the permutations of $\Gamma_{G}^{n}$ arising in this way from automorphisms of $F_{n}$ and automorphisms of $G$. The transitivity sets of $\Gamma_{G}^{n}$ under $P$ are the $T$-systems of $G$. The number of $T$-systems of generating $n$-vectors of a group $G$ will be denoted by $t_{n}(G)$. A full discussion of the significance of $T$-systems can be found in [1].
An abelian group which can be generated by $n$ elements has one $T$ system of generating $n$-vectors. In answer to the question - raised by Gaschütz - of whether finite nilpotent groups also have one $T$-system, B. H. Neumann [2] constructed a finite 2 -group which is nilpotent of class 10 and soluble of length 3 and has at least two $T$-systems of generating 2 vectors. This example led Neumann to ask: "What is the least possible class of a nilpotent group, or the least possible derived length of a soluble group, with more than one $T$-system?". In this note the following theorem will be proved which completely answers the above question.

Theorem 1. To every pair of integers $n>1$ and $N>0$ and every prime $p$, there exists a p-group which is nilpotent of class 2 and has at least $N T$-systems of generating $n$-vectors.

The method devised for proving this theorem does not in general give the exact number of $T$-systems of a group. In particular the method does not distinguish between the $T$-systems of some groups for which the Higman criterion does (e.g. Neumann's example in [2]).

In § 2 a lower bound for the number of $T$-systems of a certain type of group is established by showing that each $T$-system of such a group can be mapped into a set of transitivity of a certain abelian group under a subgroup of its right regular representation. In § 3, Theorem 1 is proved by calculating this lower bound for some class 2 groups.

The work for this note was carried out while I was receiving generous financial help from the Australian National University in the form of a Research Scholarship.
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## 2. $\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$-systems

The verbal subgroup of a group $G$ generated by all the commutators and all the $k$-th powers ( $k$ a positive integer) of elements of $G$ will be written $V_{k}(G)$ or, where there is little likelihood of confusion, simply $V_{k}$ or even $V$.

For positive integers $k, n$ a group $G$ is said to be a $(k, n)$-group if
(a) $G$ can be generated by $n$ elements
and
(b) $G / V_{k}$ is the direct product of $n$ cyclic subgroups of order $k$.

The integers $0,1, \cdots, k-1$ with operations addition modulo $k$ and multiplication modulo $k$ form a ring which will be denoted $R_{k}$. The set of $n \times n$ matrices with elements in $R_{k}$ also form a ring which will be denoted $R_{k}^{n}$. The determinant of an element $A$ of $R_{k}^{n}$ which is an element of $R_{k}$ will be denoted $\operatorname{det} A$. The elements of $R_{k}$ which are coprime to $k$ form a group $\Lambda_{k}$ under multiplication modulo $k$. The set of $n \times n$ matrices of $R_{k}^{n}$ with determinants in $\Lambda_{k}$ also form a group (see, for example, [3], Theorem 37, p. 185) which will be denoted $\Lambda_{k}^{n}$.

In the remainder of this section $G$ will denote a finite $(k, n)$-group, $h_{1}, h_{2}, \cdots, h_{n}$ will denote a fixed basis of $G / V_{k}$ and $\theta$ will denote the mapping of the automorphism group of $G / V_{k}$ into $R_{k}^{n}$ defined as follows:

If $\tau$ is an automorphism of $G / V_{k}$ and

$$
h_{i} \tau=h_{1}^{\tau_{i 1}} h_{2}^{\tau_{i 2}} \cdots h_{n}^{\tau_{i n}} \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, n)
$$

where $0 \leqq \tau_{i j}<k(i, j=1,2, \cdots, n)$, then

$$
\tau \theta=\left(\tau_{i j}\right)
$$

If $\mathfrak{g}=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)$ is a generating $n$-vector of $G$, then $g V=\left(g_{1} V\right.$, $g_{2} V, \cdots, g_{n} V$ ) is a generating $n$-vector of $G / V$. Thus there is a unique automorphism $\gamma$ of $G / V$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i} \gamma=g_{i} V \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, n) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence a mapping $D$ of $\Gamma_{G}^{n}$ into $R_{k}$ is defined by

$$
D(\mathrm{~g})=\operatorname{det}(\gamma \theta)
$$

Lemma 1. The image of $\Gamma_{G}^{n}$ under $D$ is $\Lambda_{k}$.
Proof. It is easy to see that if $\tau, \sigma$ are automorphisms of $G / V$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\tau \sigma) \theta=(\tau \theta)(\sigma \theta) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the identity automorphism of $G / V$ maps onto the identity matrix of $R_{k}^{n}$ under $\theta$, it follows that every matrix belonging to the image set of $\theta$ has an inverse in $R_{k}^{n}$. Therefore (see [3], Theorem 37, p. 185) the image set of $\theta$ is contained in $\Lambda_{k}^{n}$ and consequently the image set of $D$ is contained in $\Lambda_{k}$. Since ([4], Satz 1) there is, for each $\lambda$ in $\Lambda_{k}$, a generating $n$-vector $g$ of $G$ such that $\mathrm{g} V=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \cdots, h_{n-1}, h_{n}^{\lambda}\right)$, the image set of $D$ is $\Lambda_{k}$ itself.

Lemma 2. There is a mapping $D_{F}$ of the automorphism group $A\left(F_{n}\right)$ of the free group of rank $n$ into the right regular representation $R\left(\Lambda_{k}\right)$ of $\Lambda_{k}$ such that $D(\mathfrak{g}) D_{F}(\alpha)=D\left(g \alpha_{G}\right)$ for all $\mathfrak{g} \in \Gamma_{G}^{n}$ and all $\alpha \in A\left(F_{n}\right)$, where $\alpha_{G}$ is the induced permutation of $\Gamma_{G}^{n}$ defined in § 1 . The range of $D_{F}$ consists of two elements: the identity and the element which maps every element to its negative.

Proof. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$ be a set of generators of $F_{n}$ and let $\alpha$ be an arbitrary automorphism of $F_{n}$ such that

$$
x_{i} \alpha=w_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n
$$

then there is a unique automorphism $\alpha^{\nabla}$ of $G / V$ such that

$$
h_{i} \alpha^{V}=w_{i}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \cdots, h_{n}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n
$$

Moreover

$$
h_{i} \alpha^{\nabla} \gamma=w_{i}\left(g_{1} V, g_{2} V, \cdots, g_{n} V\right) \text { for } \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n
$$

where $\gamma$ is the automorphism of $G / V$ as defined in (1). Now,

$$
g \alpha_{G} V=\left(g_{1}^{\prime} V, g_{2}^{\prime} V, \cdots, g_{n}^{\prime} V\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{i}^{\prime} V & =w_{i}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \cdots, g_{n}\right) V \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n \\
& =w_{i}\left(g_{1} V, g_{2} V, \cdots, g_{n} V\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
g \alpha_{G} V=\left(h_{1} \alpha^{V} \gamma, h_{2} \alpha^{V} \gamma, \cdots, h_{n} \alpha^{\nabla} \gamma\right)
$$

and

$$
D\left(\mathfrak{g} \alpha_{G}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\left(\alpha^{V} \gamma\right) \theta\right)
$$

So, by (2),

$$
D\left(g \alpha_{G}\right)=D(g) \operatorname{det}\left(\alpha^{V} \theta\right)
$$

Let $D_{F}(\alpha)$ be the element of $R\left(\Lambda_{k}\right)$ corresponding to $\operatorname{det}\left(\alpha^{V} \theta\right)$; the first part of the lemma follows.

It is easy to see that $D_{F}$ is a homomorphism. In order to prove the second part of the lemma it is only necessary, therefore, to consider a set of generators of $A\left(F_{n}\right)$. The four automorphisms $\mu, \nu, \pi, \rho$ defined by:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
x_{1} \mu=x_{2}, & x_{2} \mu=x_{1}, & x_{i} \mu=x_{i} & (i=3, \cdots, n) ; \\
x_{1} \nu=x_{1}, \quad x_{n} \nu=x_{2}, & x_{i-1} \nu=x_{i} & (i=3, \cdots, n) ; \\
x_{1} \pi=x_{1}, \quad x_{2} \pi=x_{2}^{-1}, & x_{i} \pi=x_{i} & (i=3, \cdots, n) ; \\
x_{1} \rho=x_{1}, \quad x_{2} \rho=x_{1} x_{2}, \quad x_{i} \rho=x_{i} & (i=3, \cdots, n),
\end{array}
$$

form a generating set of $A\left(F_{n}\right)$ (see [1], § 6).
Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu^{V} \theta=\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & \\
\hline & 0 & I_{n-2}
\end{array}\right) ; \\
& \nu^{V} \theta=\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & I_{n-2} \\
\hline 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) ; \\
& \pi^{V} \theta=\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & \\
\hline & 0 & I_{n-2}
\end{array}\right) ; \\
& \rho^{V} \theta=\left(\begin{array}{ll|l}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & \\
\hline 0 & I_{n-2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(Here - 1 represents the negative of 1 in the ring $R_{k}$, and $I_{n-2}$ the identity matrix of $R_{k}^{n-2}$ ).

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(\mu^{V} \theta\right) & =-1 \\
\operatorname{det}\left(v^{V} \theta\right) & =1 \quad \text { if } n \text { is even } \\
& =-1 \text { if } n \text { is odd; } \\
\operatorname{det}\left(\pi^{V} \theta\right) & =-1 \\
\operatorname{det}\left(\rho^{V} \theta\right) & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

The result follows immediately.
Lemma 3. There is a mapping $D_{G}$ of the automorphism group $A(G)$ of $G$ into $R\left(\Lambda_{k}\right)$ such that

$$
D(\mathrm{~g}) D_{G}(\beta)=D\left(\mathfrak{g} \beta_{G}\right)
$$

for all $\mathfrak{g} \in \Gamma_{G}^{n}$ and all $\beta \in A(G)$, where $\beta_{G}$ is the induced permutation of $\Gamma_{G}^{n}$ defined in § 1 .

Proof. Since $V$ is a characteristic subgroup of $G$ an automorphism $\beta$ of $G$ induces an automorphism $\beta^{V}$ of $G / V$ given by

$$
g V \beta^{V}=g \beta V
$$

for all $g \in G$. Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{g} \beta_{G} V & =\left(g_{1} \beta V, g_{2} \beta V, \cdots, g_{n} \beta V\right) \\
& =\left(g_{1} V \beta^{V}, g_{2} V \beta^{V}, \cdots, g_{n} V \beta^{V}\right) \\
& =\left(h_{1} \gamma \beta^{V}, h_{2} \gamma \beta^{V}, \cdots, h_{n} \gamma \beta^{V}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma$ is the automorphism of $G / V$ as defined in (1). So

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(\mathfrak{g} \beta_{G}\right) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\left(\gamma \beta^{\nabla}\right) \theta\right) \\
& =D(\mathfrak{g}) \operatorname{det}\left(\beta^{\nabla} \theta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $D_{G}(\beta)$ be the element of $R\left(\Lambda_{k}\right)$ corresponding to $\operatorname{det}\left(\beta^{V} \theta\right)$; the lemma follows.

Let $P_{k}$ denote the subgroup of $R\left(\Lambda_{k}\right)$ generated by all the $D_{F}(\alpha)$ and $D_{G}(\beta)$ arising in the above manner from automorphisms of $F_{n}$ and $G$ respectively. The transitivity sets of $\Lambda_{k}$ under $P_{k}$ will be called the $T_{k^{-}}$ systems of $G$, and $t_{n, k}(G)$ will denote the number of $T_{k}$-systems of $G$.

Clearly $T$-systems map into $T_{k}$-systems under $D$ and so a lower bound is obtained for the number $t_{n}(G)$ of $T$-systems of generating $n$-vectors of $G$.

Theorem 2. If $G$ is a finite $(k, n)$-group, then

$$
t_{n}(G) \geqq t_{n, k}(G)
$$

Inequality can hold here, as has been indicated in the introduction.

## 3. Examples

In this section $p$ denotes a prime and $n, r$ are integers such that $n>1$, $r>0$; let $q=p^{r}$.

Let $A_{q, n}$ be the abelian group generated by $a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}$ with the relations $a_{i}^{q^{2(i-1)}}=a_{n}^{q^{3 n-2-i}}(i=2, \cdots, n-1)$ and $a_{n}^{q^{3 n-2}}=e$; i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{a, n}= & \operatorname{gp}\left\{a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n} \mid\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right]=e(i, j=2, \cdots, n),\right. \\
& \left.a_{i}^{a^{2((i-1)}}=a_{n}^{a^{3 n-2-i}}(i=2, \cdots, n-1), a_{n}^{\mathbf{Q}^{3 n-2}}=e\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Here and below $e$ denotes the identity element, and $[x, y]$ denotes the commutator $x^{-1} y^{-1} x y$ ). Since

$$
\left(a_{i}^{1+a^{2(i-1)}}\right)^{q^{2(i-1)}}=a_{i}^{\alpha^{2(i-1)}} \quad(i=2, \cdots, n-1)
$$

and

$$
\left(a_{n}^{1+q^{2(n-1)}}\right)^{a^{3 n-2-4}}=a_{n}^{q^{3 n-2-4}} \quad(i=2, \cdots, n-1)
$$

there is a unique automorphism $\psi$ of $A_{q, n}$ such that

$$
a_{i} \psi=a_{i}^{1+q^{2(i-1)}} \quad(i=2, \cdots, n)
$$

The order of $\psi$ is $q^{n}$. Let $B_{q, n}$ be the splitting extension of $A_{q, n}$ by a cyclic group of order $q^{3 n-1}$ generated by an element $b$ which induces $\psi$ in $A_{q, n}$; i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{a, n}=\operatorname{gp}\left\{a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}, b \mid \text { relations of } A_{a, n},\right. \\
& \left.\qquad b^{-1} a_{i} b=a_{i}^{1+\mathbf{Q}^{2(i-1)}}(i=2, \cdots, n), b^{\mathbf{a}^{3 n-1}}=e\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The elements $b^{a^{n}}$ and $a_{n}^{a^{3(n-1)}}$ are in the centre of $B_{q, n}$, so that $a_{n}^{q^{3(n-1)}} b^{-q^{3 n-2}}$ is self-conjugate in $B_{a, n}$. Let $G_{a, n}$ be the group $B_{q, n} /\left\{a_{n}^{3(n-1)} b^{-q^{3 n-2}}\right\}$.

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{q, n}=\operatorname{gp}\left\{a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}, b \mid \text { relations of } A_{a, n} ;\right. \\
& \left.\qquad b^{-1} a_{i} b=a_{i}^{1+q^{2(i-1)}}(i=2, \cdots, n), b^{a^{3 n-2}}=a_{n}^{a^{3(n-1)}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $G_{a, n}$ is nilpotent of class 2 , so every element can be written uniquely in the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{2}^{\xi_{3}} \cdots a_{n}^{\xi_{n}} b^{\eta}\left[a_{n}, b\right]^{\zeta} \\
0 \leqq \xi_{i}<q^{2(i-1)}, \quad 0 \leqq \eta<q^{3 n-2} \\
0 \leqq \zeta<q^{n} \quad(i=2, \cdots, n)
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\beta$ be an automorphism of $G_{q, n}$ and let

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
a_{i} \beta=a_{2}^{\alpha_{i z}} \cdots a_{n}^{\alpha_{i n}} b^{d_{i}}\left[a_{n}, b\right]^{\varepsilon_{i}} \\
b \beta=a_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots a_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} b^{\delta}\left[a_{n}, b\right]^{\varepsilon} & \\
0 \leqq \alpha_{i j}<q^{2(i-1)}, 0 \leqq \delta_{i}<q^{3 n-2}, 0 \leqq \varepsilon_{i}<q^{n} & (i, j=2, \cdots, n) \\
0 \leqq \alpha_{i}<q^{2(i-1)}, 0 \leqq \delta<q^{3 n-2}, 0 \leqq \varepsilon<q^{n} & (i=2, \cdots, n)
\end{array}
$$

Since $\left(a_{i} \beta\right)^{\mathbf{\alpha}^{2(t-1)}}$ belongs to the derived group, it follows that $q^{2(j-i)}$ divides $\alpha_{i j}$ if $j>i$, and $q^{3 n-2 i}$ divides $\delta_{i}$ for every $i$. Now $G_{q, n}$ is a $(q, n)$-group and, if $a_{2} V_{q}, \cdots, a_{n} V_{q}, b V_{q}$ is chosen as the basis for reference of $G_{q, n} / V_{q}$, then

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\beta^{V} \theta\right) \equiv \delta \prod_{i=2}^{n} \alpha_{i i}(\bmod q)
$$

The $a_{i} \beta$ 's and $b \beta$ must satisfy the same relations as the $a_{i}$ 's and $b$. In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a_{i} \beta, b \beta\right]=\left(a_{n} \beta\right)^{a^{3 n-z-i}} \quad \text { for } \quad i=2, \cdots, n \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(b \beta)^{\mathbf{a}^{3 n-2}}=\left(a_{n} \beta\right)^{q^{3(n-1)}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[a_{i} \beta, b \beta\right] } & =\prod_{j=2}^{n}\left[a_{j}, b\right]^{a_{i j} \delta-\delta_{i} a_{j}} \\
& =\left[a_{n}, b\right]^{\sum_{j-2}^{n}\left(a_{i j} \delta-\delta_{i} a_{j}\right) q^{(n-j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left(\alpha_{i j} \delta-\delta_{i} \alpha_{j}\right) q^{(n-j)} \equiv \alpha_{i i} \delta q^{(n-i)}\left(\bmod q^{(n-i+1)}\right)
$$

Also

$$
\left(a_{n} \beta\right)^{a^{8 n-2-1}}=a_{n}^{\alpha_{n-} 0^{3 n-2-t}} a
$$

where $a \in\left\{a_{n}^{\boldsymbol{Q}^{3 n-1-t}}\right\}$. It follows from (3) that

$$
\alpha_{i i} \delta \equiv \alpha_{n n}(\bmod q) \quad \text { for } \quad i=2, \cdots, n
$$

Similarly

$$
\delta \equiv \alpha_{n n}(\bmod q)
$$

follows from (4). Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{i i} & \equiv \delta(\bmod q) \quad \text { for } \quad i=2, \cdots, n \\
& \equiv 1(\bmod q)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\beta^{v} \theta\right)=1
$$

Thus, for $G_{q, n}$, the group $P_{q}$ consists of just two elements, namely the identity and the element which maps every element to its negative. But $\Lambda_{q}$ has order $(p-1) p^{r-1}$, so

$$
t_{n, q}\left(G_{q, n}\right)=\max \left(1, \frac{1}{2}(p-1) p^{r-1}\right)
$$

Theorem 1 then follows from Theorem 2.
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