Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. Vol. 47 (1993) [333-340]

# A FORMULA ON THE SUBDIFFERENTIAL OF THE DECONVOLUTION OF CONVEX FUNCTIONS

## M. Volle

It is known that, under suitable assumptions, the subdifferential  $\partial(f \Box g)$  of the infimal convolution of two convex functions f and g coincides with the parallel sum of  $\partial f$  and  $\partial g$ . We prove that a similar formula holds for the subdifferential of the deconvolution of two convex functions: under appropriate hypothesis it coincides with the parallel star-difference of the sub-differentials of the functions.

### 1. PRELIMINARIES

In what follows (X, Y) is a couple of locally convex real topological spaces paired in separating duality by a bilinear form  $\langle .,. \rangle$ , and  $\Gamma_0(X)$  (respectively  $\Gamma_0(Y)$ ) is the class of convex, lower-semicontinuous proper functions defined on X (respectively Y) with values in  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ . Given  $h, k: X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , the inf-convolution  $h \Box k$  is defined by

$$(h \Box k)(x) = \inf_{u \in X} \{h(x-u) + k(u)\}$$
 for all  $x \in X$ ,

where  $\dot{+}$  is the upper extension of the addition to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  (that is,  $(+\infty)\dot{+}(-\infty) = (+\infty)\dot{-}(+\infty) = +\infty$ , see [10]).

The deconvolution, denoted by the symbol  $\boxminus$ , is a kind of inverse operation for the inf-convolution. It was introduced by Hiriart-Urruty and Mazure [5] in order to solve the inf-convolution equation

(1) find 
$$\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$$
 such that  $k \Box \xi = h$ .

It is known [9, Corollary 2] that a solution to (1) exists if and only if the function

(2) 
$$x \longmapsto (h \boxminus k)(x) = \sup_{u \in X} \{h(x+u) - k(u)\}$$

is one of them. The function defined by (2) is referred to as the deconvolution of h and k. Here the symbol – denotes the lower extension of the subtraction to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  (that is,  $(+\infty)-(+\infty) = (+\infty)+(-\infty) = -\infty$ , [10]).

Received 27th April, 1992

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/93 \$A2.00+0.00.

The operation  $\square$  has many interesting applications. For instance, taking the deconvolution of convex quadratic forms yields a variational formulation of the parallel subtraction of matrices and operators (for example [8, 13]).

The deconvolution operation is strongly linked to the star-difference of sets. Recall that the star-difference of two subsets A and B of a linear space E is defined by

$$A \stackrel{*}{-} B = \{x \in E : x + B \subset A\}$$
.  
By setting  $E(f) = \{(x, r) \in X \times \mathbb{R} : f(x) \leq r\}$ 

for the epigraph of  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{E}$  and  $I_{C}$  for the indicator function of  $C \subset X$   $(I_{C}(x) = 0$  if  $x \in C$ ,  $I_{C}(x) = +\infty$  if  $x \in E \setminus C$ ), we have then [15, Proposition 6]

$$(3) E(h \boxminus k) = E(h) - E(k)$$

(4) 
$$I_A \boxminus I_B = I_{A \rightrightarrows B}$$
 if  $B \neq \emptyset$ .

In the context of epigraphical analysis [1], formula (3) suggests another terminology for the deconvolution operation, namely the epigraphical difference or, better, the epigraphical star-difference.

In connection with Fenchel's duality theory, the deconvolution operation enjoys some noteworthy properties. Recall that the Fenchel conjugate of  $f \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$  is defined by

$$f^*(y) = \sup_{x \in X} \{ \langle x, y \rangle - f(x) \}$$
 for all  $y \in Y$ .

In a similar way one defines the conjugate of a function in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Y}$ . A fundamental result concerning the conjugacy operation is that

$$f = f^{**}$$
 for all  $f \in \Gamma_0(X)$ .

Now, according to Hiriart-Urruty [4, Theorem 2.2], the formula

$$(5) \qquad \qquad \left(h^* - k^*\right)^* = h \boxminus k$$

holds for all  $h, k \in \Gamma_0(X)$ . It follows that

(6) 
$$(h \boxminus k)^* = (h^* - k^*)^{**}$$
.

If  $h^* - k^*$  turns out to be in  $\Gamma_0(Y)$ , one can also write

(7) 
$$(h \boxminus k)^* = h^* - k^*$$
.

335

We end this section by recalling some facts and by introducing some notation. For any extended-real-valued function  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{Y}$ , the  $\varepsilon$ -subdifferential ( $\varepsilon \ge 0$ ) of  $\xi$  at  $y \in \xi^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$  is the set

$$\partial_{\epsilon}\xi(y) = \{x \in X : \forall v \in Y : \xi(v) - \xi(y) \ge \langle x, v - y \rangle - \epsilon\}$$

For  $\varepsilon = 0$  we set, as usual,  $\partial_0 \xi(y) = \partial \xi(y)$ . In connection with this concept, the following classical property will be used later on (see for example [6, p.351]):

LEMMA 1. For any 
$$\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^Y$$
 and  $y \in Y$  such that  $\xi(y) = \xi^{**}(y) \in \mathbb{R}$  one has  
 $\partial \xi(y) = \partial \xi^{**}(y)$ .

PROOF: As we always have  $\xi^{**} \leq \xi$ , the inclusion  $\supset$  is obvious. Now, for any  $x \in \partial \xi(y)$ , the affine continuous form  $\langle x, . \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle + \xi^{**}(y)$  is smaller than  $\xi$ . As  $\xi^{**}$  coincides with the upper hull of all affine continuous minorants of  $\xi$ , we have  $\langle x, . \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle + \xi^{**}(y) \leq \xi^{**}$ , that is to say,  $x \in \partial \xi^{**}(y)$ .

The directional derivative of a function  $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{Y}$  at a point  $y \in \xi^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$  is defined, when it exists, by

$$\xi'(y,d) = \lim_{t \to 0_+} t^{-1}(\xi(y+td) - \xi(y))$$
 for all  $d \in Y$ ;

the lower subdifferential of  $\xi$  at y is the set (for example [14])

$$\partial^-\xi(y)=\{x\in X:\langle x,\ .
angle\leqslant\xi'(y,.)\}$$
 .

The above set obviously contains  $\partial \xi(y)$  and coincides with  $\partial \xi(y)$  when  $\xi$  is convex; in that case ( $\xi$  convex) it is well known (for example [6, p.354]) that  $\xi'(y, .)$  is a sublinear function whose Fenchel conjugate is the indicator function of  $\partial \xi(y)$ ; in other words

(8) 
$$\left(\xi'(y,.)\right)^* = I_{\vartheta\xi(y)}.$$

If, moreover,  $\xi$  is continuous at y, then  $\xi'(y, .)$  is finitely valued, continuous, and one has

(9) 
$$\xi'(y,.) = \left(I_{\partial\xi(y)}\right)^*.$$

## 2. On the subdifferential of the difference of two convex functions

Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{Y}$  be two convex functions, finite at the point  $y \in Y$ . In connection with the subdifferentiability of the difference  $\varphi - \psi$ , there are two formulas worth mentioning:

(10) 
$$\partial \big(\varphi - \psi\big)(y) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \quad \partial_{\varepsilon} \varphi(y) \stackrel{*}{-} \partial_{\varepsilon} \psi(y)$$

(11) 
$$\partial^{-}(\varphi - \psi)(y) = \partial \varphi(y) - \partial \psi(y) .$$

The first one is due to Martinez-Legaz and Seeger [7, Theorem 1] and applies to arbitrary functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  in  $\Gamma_0(Y)$ ; the second one has been mentioned by Ellaia [3, p.94] for  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  convex on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and finitely valued. The next lemma extends the second formula to our general setting.

LEMMA 2. Let  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}^Y$  be convex functions, finite at  $y \in Y$ , and assume that  $\psi$  is continuous at y. Then

$$\partial^-ig(arphi-\psiig)(y)=\partial^-ig(arphi-\psiig)(y)=ig\,\partialarphi(y)\stackrel{*}{-}\partial\psi(y)\ .$$

PROOF: Let us consider only the case -. Each of the following lines is equivalent to  $x \in \partial \varphi(y) \stackrel{*}{-} \partial \psi(y)$ :

$$\forall u \in \partial \psi(y) : x + u \in \partial \varphi(y) \forall u \in \partial \psi(y) : \langle x + u, . \rangle \leq \varphi'(y, .) \quad (\text{as } \partial \varphi(y) = \partial^{-}\varphi(y)) \langle x, . \rangle + (I_{\partial \psi(y)})^{*} \leq \varphi'(y, .) \quad (\text{by taking the supremum for } u \in \partial \psi(y)) \langle x, . \rangle + \psi'(y, .) \leq \varphi'(y, .) \quad (\text{from (9)}) \langle x, . \rangle \leq \varphi'(y, .) - \psi'(y, .) \quad (\text{ as } \psi'(y, .) \text{ is finitely valued}) \langle x, . \rangle \leq (\varphi - \psi)'(y, .) x \in \partial^{-}(\varphi - \psi)(y) .$$

Before passing to next section we record here a by-product of this lemma.

**COROLLARY.** Let  $\varphi, \psi$  be in  $\Gamma_0(Y)$ ; assume that  $\varphi - \psi$  is convex, finite at y, and  $\psi$  is continuous at y; then

$$igcap_{\epsilon>0} \;\; \partial_{\epsilon} arphi(y) \stackrel{*}{-} \partial_{\epsilon} \psi(y) \;=\; \partial arphi(y) \stackrel{*}{-} \partial \psi(y) \;.$$

**PROOF:** As  $\partial^-(\varphi - \psi) = \partial(\varphi - \psi)$ , it suffices to apply formula (10) and Lemma 2.

## 3. On the subdifferential of the deconvolution

Let us present now the main results of this note. Given  $h, k \in \Gamma_0(X)$ , the parallel sum of  $\partial h$  and  $\partial k$  is defined by (see for example [12])

$$\partial h \Box \partial k = \left( \left( \partial h \right)^{-1} + \left( \partial k \right)^{-1} \right)^{-1}.$$

	-	1	
L			

Here  $(\partial h)^{-1}$  is set for the inverse of the multivalued operator  $\partial h$ ; in other words:

$$y\in (\partial h\,\square\,\partial k)(x) \Leftrightarrow x\in (\partial h)^{-1}(y)+(\partial k)^{-1}(y)$$

where + denotes the Minkowski vectorial addition. It turns out that, under appropriate constraint qualifications [11, 12], the formula  $\partial(h \Box k) = \partial h \Box \partial k$  holds. It is tempting to ask wether or not there is a similar formula for the deconvolution operator. To this end, let us introduce the notion of parallel star difference for subdifferentials.

DEFINITION: Let h and k be in  $\Gamma_0(X)$ . The parallel star difference of the subdifferentials  $\partial h$  and  $\partial k$  is the multivalued operator  $\partial h \Box \partial k$  defined by

$$\partial h \boxminus \partial k = \left( \left( \partial h \right)^{-1} \stackrel{*}{-} \left( \partial k \right)^{-1} \right)^{-1},$$

that is, for any  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ ,

$$y\in (\partial h\boxminus\partial k)(x)\Leftrightarrow x\in (\partial h)^{-1}(y)\stackrel{*}{-}(\partial k)^{-1}(y)$$
 .

In [5, Proposition 7] one finds a lower estimate for the subdifferential of two finitely valued convex functions f, g on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; namely it is shown that

$$\partial(g \boxminus f)(x) \supset \bigsqcup_{(x_1,x_2) \in Ax} \partial g(x_1) \cap \partial f(x_2),$$

where  $Ax = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : x = x_1 - x_2, (g \boxminus f)(x) = g(x_1) - f(x_2)\}$ . As pointed out to me by A. Seeger, the condition  $(x_1, x_2) \in Ax$  yields the inclusion  $\partial g(x_1) \subset \partial f(x_2)$ . Moreover, the convexity assumption on f and g is superfluous:

**PROPOSITION.** Let f, g be arbitrary extended real valued functions on X. Then, for any  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\partial(g \boxminus f)(x) \supset \bigsqcup_{v \in E(x)} \partial g(v) ,$$

where  $E(x) = \{v \in X : g(v) - f(v - x) = (g \boxminus f)(x) \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .

**PROOF:** Let v be in E(x) and  $y \in \partial g(v)$ ; then g(v) and f(v - x) are real numbers and we have,

$$(g \boxminus f)(z) \ge g(z+v-x) - f(v-x)$$
 for all  $z \in X$ .

Hence,

 $(g \boxminus f)(z) - (g \boxminus f)(x) \ge g(z + v - x) - f(v - x) - g(v) + f(v - x)$  for all  $z \in X$ , and finally

$$(g \boxminus f)(z) - (g \boxminus f)(x) \geqslant g(z + v - x) - g(v) \geqslant \langle z - x, y \rangle$$
 for all  $z \in X$ .

This shows that  $y \in \partial(g \boxminus f)(x)$ .

The next result provides an upper estimate for the subdifferential of the deconvolution of two convex functions  $h, k \in \Gamma_0(X)$  in terms of the parallel star difference of  $\partial h$  and  $\partial k$ ; it involves the set

 $C(h,k) = \{y \in Y : k^* \text{ is finite and continuous at } y, \text{ and } (h^* - k^*)(y) = (h^* - k^*)^{**}(y)\}$ 

**THEOREM 1.** Let X, Y be locally convex spaces in separating duality, and let  $h, k \in \Gamma_0(X)$ . Then, for all  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\partial (h \boxminus k)(x) \cap C(h,k) \subset (\partial h \boxminus \partial k)(x)$$
.

PROOF: Assume that  $y \in \partial(h \boxminus k)(x) \cap C(h,k)$ . We have to show that  $x \in (\partial h)^{-1}(y) \stackrel{*}{-} (\partial k)^{-1}(y)$ . As  $y \in \partial(h \boxminus k)(x)$  we have  $x \in \partial(h \boxminus k)^*(y)$ . Now, from (6),  $(h \boxminus k)^* = (h^* \dot{-}k^*)^{**}$ ; then  $x \in \partial(h^* \dot{-}k^*)^{**}(y)$ ; as  $y \in C(h,k)$  it follows from Lemma 1 that  $x \in \partial(h^* \dot{-}k^*)(y)$  and, a fortiori,  $x \in \partial^-(h^* \dot{-}k^*)(y)$ . So, by Lemma 2, we obtain  $x \in \partial h^*(y) \stackrel{*}{-} \partial k^*(y)$ .

Let us give an example showing that Theorem 1 cannot be improved without additional assumptions. Take for X an Hilbert space with closed unit ball  $B, h = \|\|\|, k = (\|\|^2)/2$ . We have then by (6)  $(h \boxminus k)^* = (I_B - (\|\|^2)/2)^{**} = I_B - 1/2$  so that  $h \boxminus k = \|\| + 1/2$ . Note also that  $C(h, k) = \{y \in X : \|y\| \ge 1\}$ . For the subdifferentials we have, on one hand,

$$\partial(h \boxminus k)(x) = \left\{egin{array}{cc} x & ext{if} & x 
eq 0 \ B & ext{if} & x = 0 \ B & ext{if} & x = 0 \end{array}
ight.$$

and, on the other hand,  $y \in (\partial h \boxminus \partial k)(x)$  if and only if

$$oldsymbol{x} \in \partial I_B(y) \stackrel{*}{-} \partial igg( rac{\parallel \parallel^2}{2} igg)(y) = \partial I_B(y) - y = egin{cases} \emptyset & ext{if} & \lVert y 
Vert > 1 \ [-1, +\infty [y & ext{if} & \lVert y 
Vert = 1 \ -y & ext{if} & \lVert y 
Vert = 1 \ . \end{cases}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{for} & \|x\| = 1 \\ \text{for} & x = 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \partial(h \boxminus k)(x) = \{x\} \underset{\neq}{\subset} (\partial h \boxminus \partial k)(x) = \{x, -x\} \\ \partial(h \boxminus k)(0) = B \underset{\neq}{\supset} (\partial h \boxminus \partial k)(0) = \{y : \|y\| = 1\} \cup \{0\} \end{array}$$

With stronger assumptions it is possible to give an exact formula for  $\partial(h \boxminus k)$ :

Π

**THEOREM 2.** Let X, Y be locally convex spaces paired in separating duality, and let  $h, k \in \Gamma_0(X)$ . Assume that  $k^*$  is finite and continuous over Y and that  $h^* - k^*$  is convex. Then,

$$\partial(h \boxminus k) = \partial h \boxminus \partial k$$

**PROOF:** Since for each  $f \in \Gamma_0(X)$  one has  $(\partial f)^{-1} = \partial f^*$ , we easily obtain the equivalence between the assertions below:

$$y \in \partial(h \boxminus k)(x)$$
  

$$x \in \partial(h \boxminus k)^{*}(y)$$
  

$$x \in \partial(h^{*} - k^{*})(y) \quad (by (5) as h^{*} - k^{*} is convex proper lower semicontinuous)$$
  

$$x \in \partial h^{*}(y) \stackrel{*}{-} \partial k^{*}(y) \quad (from Lemma 2)$$
  

$$x \in (\partial h)^{-1}(y) \stackrel{*}{-} (\partial k)^{-1}(y) .$$

EXAMPLE: Let us take for X a Hilbert space,  $h \in \Gamma_0(X)$ ,  $k \in \Gamma_0(X)$ . Assume that  $k^*$  is finite over X (hence continuous) and suppose that  $h^* - k^*$  is strongly convex: there exists t > 0 and  $f \in \Gamma_0(X)$  such that  $h^* - k^* = f^* + (t \parallel \parallel^2)/2$ . We have then by (5)

$$h \boxminus k = \left(f^* + \frac{t \parallel \parallel^2}{2}\right)^* = f \square \frac{\parallel \parallel^2}{2t}.$$

So,  $h \boxminus k$  coincides with the Moreau-Yosida regularisation of  $f \in \Gamma_0(X)$  (for example [2, p.195]). It follows that  $h \square k$  is continuously differentiable. As  $h^*$  is also strongly convex, h is continuously differentiable and we have, applying Theorem 2,

$$\nabla(h \boxminus k) = \nabla h \boxminus \partial k \; .$$

## References

- H. Attouch and R.J.B. Wets, 'Epigraphical analysis', in Analyse non linaire, (H. Attouch, J.-P. Aubin, F.H. Clarke, I. Ekeland, Editors) (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1989), pp. 73-100.
- [2] J.-P. Aubin and I. Ekeland, Applied nonlinear analysis (Wiley, New York, 1984).
- [3] R. Ellaia, Contribution à l'analyse et l'optimisation de difference de fonctions convexes, Thèse (Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, 1984).
- [4] J.-B. Hiriart-Urruty, 'A general formula on the conjugate of the difference of functions', Canad. Math. Bull. 29 (1986), 482-485.

Π

- [5] J.-B. Hiriart-Urruty and M.-L. Mazure, 'Formulations variationnelles de l'addition parallèle et de la soustraction parallèle d'opérateurs semi-définis positifs', C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série I 302 (1986), 527-530.
- [6] P.-J. Laurent, Approximation et optimisation (Hermann, Paris, 1972).
- [7] J.E. Martinez-Legaz and A. Seeger A formula on the approximate subdifferential of the difference of convex functions, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 45 (1992), 37-41.
- [8] M.-L. Mazure, 'La soustraction parallèle d'opérateurs interpretée comme déconvolution de formes quadratiques convexes', Optimization 18 (1987), 465-484.
- [9] M.-L. Mazure and M. Volle, 'Equations inf-convolutives et conjugaison de Moreau-Fenchel', Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math 12 (1991), 103-126.
- [10] J.-J. Moreau, 'Inf-convolution, sous-additivité, convexité des fonctions numériques', J. Math. Pures Appl. 49 (1970), 109-154.
- [11] A. Moudafi, Convolution des opérateurs monotones et convergence variationnelle (Université de Clermont-Ferrand II, 1991). (Preprint).
- [12] G.B. Passty, 'The parallel sum of nonlinear monotone operators', Nonlinear Anal. 10 (1986), 215-227.
- [13] E.L. Pekarev and L. Smul'jan, 'Parallel addition and parallel subtraction of operators', Math. USSR-Izv. 10 (1976), 351-370.
- [14] J.-P. Penot, 'Calcul sous-différentiel et optimisation', J. Funct. Anal. 27 (1978), 248-276.
- [15] M. Volle, 'Concave duality : application to problems dealing with difference of functions', Math. Programming 41 (1988), 261–278.

University of Avignon 33 rue L. Pasteur 84000 Avignon France