

## AN EXAMPLE OF RANK TWO SYMMETRIC OSSERMAN SPACE

ZORAN RAKIĆ

Recently, Blažić, Bokan and Rakić, obtained some classes of 4-dimensional Osserman pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. One of these is the class of rank 2 locally symmetric space endowed with an integrable para-quaternionic structure. In this paper we give an explicit construction of an example of a space of that kind.

### 0. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(M, g)$  be a 4-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature  $(2, 2)$ . Let  $S_p^-$  (respectively,  $S_p^+$ ) be the set of all unit timelike (spacelike) vectors in the tangent space  $T_pM$ . The curvature or Jacobi operator  $R_X : Y \mapsto R(Y, X)X$  is a symmetric endomorphism of  $T_pM$  which restricts to the endomorphism  $\mathcal{K}_X$  of the orthogonal complement,  $T_X S_p^\varepsilon$ , of  $X \in S_p^\varepsilon$  (where  $\varepsilon = \pm$ ).

DEFINITION 0.1:  $M$  is timelike (respectively, spacelike) Osserman if the Jordan form of  $\mathcal{K}_X$  is independent of  $X \in S_p^-$  (respectively,  $X \in S_p^+$ ) and of  $p \in M$ .

For Riemannian manifolds, Osserman [5] made the following conjecture:

CONJECTURE (OSSERMAN). If the eigenvalues of the Jacobi operator  $\mathcal{K}_X$  are independent of the choice of unit vectors  $X \in T_pM$  and of the choice  $p \in M$ , then either  $M$  is locally a rank-one symmetric space or  $M$  is flat.

Chi [2] proved the conjecture for  $n \neq 4k$ ,  $k > 1$ . He has obtained some related results [3]. The Osserman conjecture and related topics were studied by Gilkey, Swann and Vanhecke [4].

Definition 0.1 is the natural generalisation of the Osserman condition in the pseudo-Riemannian case. If  $M$  is 4-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature  $(2, 2)$ , the Osserman condition is equivalent to the independency of the minimal polynomial of the Jacobi operator  $\mathcal{K}_X$  of  $X$  and  $p \in M$ .

---

Received 27th March, 1997

Research partially supported by Science Foundation of Serbia, project #0401.

I would like to express my gratitude to Professor O. Kowalski and especially Professor D. Alekseevsky for useful conversation and suggestions about this problem. Also, I would like to express my gratitude to N. Blažić and N. Bokan who introduced me to this topic and encouraged me in the research through last year.

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/98 \$A2.00+0.00.

The main result of this paper is the explicit construction of an example of a timelike Osserman rank two symmetric space which is given in the proof of Theorem 1.1. This example shows the difference between of the Osserman manifolds in Riemannian and pseudo-Riemannian geometry. In the paper [1] we proved the following theorem, on which we base the present construction.

**THEOREM 0.2.** (i) *There exists a symmetric pseudo-Riemannian space  $M$  with a metric of signature  $(2,2)$  such that the matrix  $\mathcal{K}_{E_1}$  of its Jacobi operator in the orthonormal basis,  $E_V = \{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$ , where  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are timelike vectors, and  $E_3$  and  $E_4$  are spacelike vectors, is :*

$$\mathcal{K}_{E_1} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(ii) *The nonzero components of the curvature tensor  $R$  in the basis  $E_V$  are :*

$$(0.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} &= R_{1221} = R_{4334} = R_{1331} = R_{4224} = R_{1224} = R_{1334} = R_{1342}, \\ -\frac{1}{2} &= R_{2113} = R_{2443} = R_{1234}, \end{aligned}$$

(iii) *The holonomy algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $M$  is 1-dimensional generated by:*

$$(0.2) \quad m = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 1/2 & -1/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(iv)  *$M$  can be endowed with an integrable para-quaternionic structure.*

For the proof of this theorem see [1, Section 9.1].

REMARK A. From (0.1) one can see that the sectional curvature of the plane  $E_1 \wedge E_4$  is vanishing and can easily verify that  $M$  is a rank two symmetric space.

In the proof of Theorem 0.2 we use Wu’s theory on symmetric holonomy systems. We denote by  $H$  the 1-dimensional connected Lie subgroup of  $GL(V)$ , the Lie algebra of which is generated by endomorphism  $m$ . Wu has proved in [7], that every such  $H$  can be realised as the holonomy group of a simply connected symmetric space  $M$  whose tangent space at a point can be indentified with  $V$  (in our case  $V = (\mathbb{R}^4, g)$  of signature  $(2,2)$ ) and with curvature tensor  $R$ . For Wu’s construction one has to calculate the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus V$ , (as a direct sum of vector spaces and not as the direct sum of Lie algebras) where the Lie brackets  $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  are defined by

$$(0.3) \quad \begin{aligned} [h_1, h_2] &= [h_1, h_2]_{\mathfrak{h}} \quad \text{if } h_1, h_2 \in \mathfrak{h}, \\ [h_1, x] &= h_1(x) \quad \text{if } h_1 \in \mathfrak{h}, x \in V, \\ [x, y] &= R(x, y) \quad \text{if } x, y \in V. \end{aligned}$$

Then if we show the solvability of  $G$ , the corresponding Lie group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we know that the homogeneous manifold  $M = G/H$  is diffeomorphic to a Euclidean space (in our case  $\mathbb{R}^4$ ).

1. CONSTRUCTION

In this section using Theorem 0.2 we construct a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (2,2) on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  such that this manifold satisfies the Osserman timelike condition with curvature tensor given by the formulas (0.1).

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $M = \mathbb{R}^4$ , let  $(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)$  be the Cartesian coordinates and*

$$(1.1) \quad g = \frac{1}{6} (v_2^2 dv_1 \otimes dv_1 + v_1^2 dv_2 \otimes dv_2 - v_1 v_2 [dv_1 \otimes dv_2 + dv_2 \otimes dv_1]) - \frac{1}{2} ([dv_1 \otimes dv_4 + dv_4 \otimes dv_1 + dv_2 \otimes dv_3 + dv_3 \otimes dv_2]).$$

Then  $(\mathbb{R}^4, g)$  is a timelike Osserman rank two symmetric space.

PROOF: Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the 5-dimensional Lie algebra defined by relations (0.1)-(0.3). If we change basis of  $V$  and take the new basis  $F = \{m, F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4\}$  where :

$$(1.2) \quad F_1 = \frac{(E_1 + E_4)}{2}, \quad F_2 = \frac{(E_2 - E_3)}{2}, \quad F_3 = \frac{(E_2 + E_3)}{2}, \quad F_4 = \frac{(E_1 - E_4)}{2},$$

then the only nonvanishing comutators in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  are :

$$(1.3) \quad [F_1, F_2] = m, \quad [m, F_1] = F_3, \quad \text{and} \quad [m, F_2] = -F_4.$$

The algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  defined by formulas (0.3) and (1.3), is nilpotent because  $\mathcal{D}^4 \mathfrak{g} = \{0\}$  (see [6]), and so it is solvable. Now, the Campbell-Hausdorff series and the formulas (1.3) enables us to express the group multiplication in terms of coordinates. More precisely, let  $X, Y$  be the elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let  $X = (x_i)$ , and  $Y = (y_i), i = 0, \dots, 4$ , be their coordinates in basis  $F$ . Then we have

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} Z &= X \cdot Y = Z(X, Y) = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_4), \text{ where:} \\ z_0 &= z_0(X, Y) = x_0 + y_0 + \frac{1}{2}(x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1), \\ z_1 &= z_1(X, Y) = x_1 + y_1, \quad z_2 = z_2(X, Y) = x_2 + y_2, \\ z_3 &= z_3(X, Y) = x_3 + y_3 + \frac{1}{2}(x_0 y_1 - x_1 y_0) + \frac{1}{12}(y_1 - x_1)(x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1), \\ z_4 &= z_4(X, Y) = x_4 + y_4 - \frac{1}{2}(x_0 y_2 - x_2 y_0) - \frac{1}{12}(y_2 - x_2)(x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is nilpotent, the Campbell-Hausdorff formula defines a global diffeomorphism between  $G$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $M$  be the image of  $V$  via the exponential mapping, so  $M \cong V$  is a homogeneous submanifold of  $G$ . Then we identify  $M$  with  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , forgetting the first component, so  $M = \{(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^5 \mid x_0 = 0\}$ . For each  $x \in G$ , and  $v \in M$ , we consider the left translation  $L_x v = x \cdot v$ . In general, we get some element of  $G$ , even we take  $x$  from  $M$ , because  $V$  is not a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then we take the projection on  $M$  in the direction of  $\exp \mathfrak{h}$ , and we consider the mapping  $L_x^h = \pi_M^h \circ L_x : M \rightarrow M$ . For geometrical reasons we know that this mapping is well defined, which means there exists a unique  $h \in \exp \mathfrak{h}$ ,  $h = h(x, v)$ , such that  $(L_x v) \cdot h \in M$ . Obviously, this map is a diffeomorphism since it is a composition of two diffeomorphisms. If  $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in G$  and  $v = (0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) \in M$  then  $L_x^h v = (0, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \cong (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.5) \quad & u_1 = x_1 + v_1, & u_2 = x_2 + v_2, \\
 & u_3 = x_3 + v_3 + x_0 v_1 + \frac{1}{2} x_0 x_1 + \frac{1}{6} (x_1 + 2v_1)(x_1 v_2 - x_2 v_1), \\
 & u_4 = x_4 + v_4 - x_0 v_2 - \frac{1}{2} x_0 x_2 - \frac{1}{6} (x_2 + 2v_2)(x_1 v_2 - x_2 v_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

It still remains to calculate explicitly the metric on the manifold  $M$ . We know  $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G = \mathfrak{h} \oplus V$ , and  $V \cong M$ . But we changed the basis of  $V$ , and in our metric all of vectors from the basis  $F_V = \{F_i, i = 1, \dots, 4\}$  are isotropic and

$$(1.6) \quad \langle F_1, F_4 \rangle = \langle F_3, F_2 \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle F_i, F_j \rangle = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Now if we take  $X = \sum x_i F_i, Y = \sum y_i F_i \in V$  then, using the formulas (1.6), we get the metric on  $T_0 M$  in the coordinates:  $g_0(X, Y) = -(x_1 y_4 + x_2 y_3 + x_3 y_2 + x_4 y_1)/2$ .

To finish our construction we use the formula for the transport of the metric from  $T_0 M$  to  $T_v M$ :  $g_v(X, Y) = g_0((L_{v^{-1}}^h)_*(v)X, (L_{v^{-1}}^h)_*(v)Y)$ . If  $X = (x_i) \in G$ , from relations (1.4) we find the coordinates of its group inverse  $X^{-1} = (-x_i), i = 0, \dots, 4$ . Now, we calculate  $(L_{v^{-1}}^h)_*(v)$  from (1.5) and then using the above formula for transporting the metric, we get the metric given by (1.1). We see from Remark A that the manifold  $M$  is of rank two. □

REMARK B. By standard calculation of the curvature tensor from the metric on  $M$  we get that the only nonzero component of the curvature tensor in the basis  $F_V$  is  $R_{1221}^M = 1/2$ . But the prescribed curvature tensor  $R$ , given by the components (0.1), is calculated in basis  $E_V$ . One can easily find the connection between the bases  $E_V$  and  $F_V$  using (1.2), and after that verify that all components of the tensor  $R^M$  are the same as those of the prescribed tensor  $R$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. Blažić, N. Bokan and Z. Rakić, 'Characterization of 4-dimensional Osserman pseudo-Riemannian Manifolds', (preprint).
- [2] Q.S. Chi, 'A curvature characterization of certain locally rank-one symmetric spaces', *J. Differential Geom.* **28** (1988), 187–202.
- [3] Q.S. Chi, 'Curvature characterization and classification of rank-one symmetric spaces', *Pacific. J. Math.* **150** (1991), 31–42.
- [4] P.B. Gilkey, A. Swann and L. Vanhecke, 'Isoparametric geodesic spheres and a conjecture of Osserman concerning the Jacobi operator', *Quart. J. Math. Oxford.* **46** (1995), 299–320.
- [5] R. Osserman, 'Curvature in the eighties', *Amer. Math. Monthly* **97** (1990), 731–756.
- [6] M.M. Postnikov, *Lectures in geometry; Lie groups and Lie algebras*, (English translation) (Mir Publishers, Moskva, 1986).
- [7] H. Wu, 'Holonomy groups of indefinite metrics', *Pacific. J. Math.* **20** (1967), 351–392.

Faculty of Mathematics  
University of Belgrade  
Studentski Trg 16, P.P. 550  
11000 Belgrade  
Yugoslavia  
e-mail: xpmfm28@yubgss21.bg.ac.yu