J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 59 (1995), 204-213

A HALL-TYPE CLOSURE PROPERTY FOR CERTAIN FITTING CLASSES

OWEN J. BRISON

(Received 27 July 1991; revised 4 February 1993)

Communicated by H. Lausch

Abstract

A closure operation connected with Hall subgroups is introduced for classes of finite soluble groups, and it is shown that this operation can be used to give a criterion for membership of certain special Fitting classes, including the so-called 'central-socle' classes.

1991 Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.): 20D10.

In this note a closure operation connected with Hall subgroups is introduced for classes of finite soluble groups. It is shown that this operation can be used to give a criterion for membership of certain special Fitting classes, namely the so-called 'central socle' classes \mathscr{Z}_{π} , and the classes $e_{\pi}(\mathscr{N}^k)$: see Section 1 for definitions. Thus, for example, let *G* be a finite soluble group and let σ denote the set of primes which divide |soc(G)|; we show (Theorem 2.6) that $G \in \mathscr{Z}_{\pi}$ if and only if the Hall τ -subgroups of *G* belong to \mathscr{Z}_{π} for all sets τ of the form $\tau = \sigma \cup \{t\}$ where *t* is a prime.

The paper has three sections. The first consists of preliminaries. In the second, the classes \mathscr{Z}_{π} are investigated, while the classes $e_{\pi}(\mathscr{N}^k)$ form the subject of the third.

1. Preliminaries

All groups considered here will belong to the class \mathscr{S} of finite soluble groups: our classes of groups are isomorphism-closed and contain all groups of order 1. A Fitting class is a class of groups closed under the taking of subnormal subgroups and normal products; a background to Fitting class theory can be found in [6, 10].

If G is a group and \mathscr{F} is a Fitting class then $G_{\mathscr{F}}$ denotes the \mathscr{F} -radical of G,

^{© 1995} Australian Mathematical Society 0263-6115/95 \$A2.00 + 0.00

205

while Z(G) denotes the centre of G. The set of all primes is denoted by **P**, p will always denote a prime and π will always denote some subset of **P**. Then π -soc(G) denotes the product of the minimal normal π -subgroups of G, while soc(G) denotes **P**-soc(G). Let \mathscr{F} be a Fitting class, and define classes of groups as follows:

$$\mathscr{Z}_{\pi} = (G \in \mathscr{S} : \pi \operatorname{-soc}(G) \leq Z(G)),$$

 $e_{\pi}(\mathscr{F}) = (G \in \mathscr{S} : \text{the } G \text{-chief } \pi \text{-factors below } G_{\mathscr{F}} \text{ are central in } G),$

 $\mathcal{N} = (G \in \mathcal{S} : G \text{ is nilpotent}),$ $\mathcal{S}_{\pi} = (G \in \mathcal{S} : G \text{ is a } \pi\text{-group}).$

In addition, we write $\mathscr{Z} = \mathscr{Z}_{\mathbf{P}}$, while (1) denotes the class of groups of order 1.

It is well-known that both \mathscr{Z}_{π} and $e_{\pi}(\mathscr{F})$ are Fitting classes, and that \mathscr{Z}_{π} is subdirect-product-closed while $e_{\pi}(\mathscr{F})$ is a Fischer class: see [6] for definitions, and [12] for details. Both these families of classes, especially the former, have been extensively studied and have often been used to furnish examples or counterexamples: see, for example, [2, 4, 5, 7, 12].

Write $\operatorname{Hall}_{\pi}(G)$ for the set of Hall π -subgroups of G, $\operatorname{Hall}(G)$ for the set of all Hall subgroups of G, and $\operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$ for the set of Sylow *p*-subgroups of G. Write C_{m} for the cyclic group of order m.

Let $\mathscr{X} \subseteq \mathscr{S}$ be a class of groups and \mathscr{F} be a Fitting class. Define $H_{\mathscr{F}}\mathscr{X} = (G \in \mathscr{S} : \exists X \in \mathscr{X} \text{ and } H \in \text{Hall}(X)$ with $H \geq X_{\mathscr{F}}$ such that $G \simeq H$), and write $H\mathscr{X}$ for $H_{(1)}\mathscr{X}$. It is not hard to check that $H_{\mathscr{F}}$ is a closure operation on classes of groups in the sense that (i) $\mathscr{X} \subseteq H_{\mathscr{F}}\mathscr{X}$, (ii) $H_{\mathscr{F}}\mathscr{X} \subseteq H_{\mathscr{F}}\mathscr{Y}$ if $\mathscr{X} \subseteq \mathscr{Y}$, and (iii) $H_{\mathscr{F}}\mathscr{X} = H_{\mathscr{F}}H_{\mathscr{F}}\mathscr{X}$. If $\mathscr{X} = H_{\mathscr{F}}\mathscr{X}$, we say that \mathscr{X} is $H_{\mathscr{F}}$ -closed, while an H-closed class is called *Hall-closed*: see [1, 2, 3, 8], and the references contained therein, for results related to Hall-closure.

2. The central-socle classes

The section begins with Proposition 2.1, to the effect that \mathscr{Z}_{π} is $H_{\mathscr{N}}$ -closed, and this is followed by Examples 2.2 to show that \mathscr{Z}_{π} is not Hall-closed for $\pi \neq \emptyset$. A converse to Proposition 2.1 is proved as Proposition 2.5, and together these results yield a criterion, Theorem 2.6, for membership of \mathscr{Z}_{π} . The section ends with a result, not strictly connected with the $H_{\mathscr{F}}$ operation, in a similar spirit to 2.5.

2.1 PROPOSITION. Let $\pi \subseteq \mathbf{P}$ and let $G \in \mathscr{Z}_{\pi}$. Suppose that H is a Hall subgroup of G with $H \geq \operatorname{soc}(G)$. Then $H \in \mathscr{Z}_{\pi}$. Thus \mathscr{Z}_{π} is $H_{\mathscr{N}}$ -closed.

PROOF. It is easy to check that $\mathscr{Z}_{\pi} = \bigcap_{p \in \pi} \mathscr{Z}_p$, and so we may without loss of generality assume that $\pi = \{p\}$ for some $p \in \mathbf{P}$.

Suppose for a contradiction that G is a group of minimal order subject to

- (i) $G \in \mathscr{Z}_p$; and
- (ii) there exists a Hall subgroup of G which contains soc(G) but does not belong to \mathscr{Z}_p .

Let *H* be a Hall subgroup of *G* with $H \ge \operatorname{soc}(G)$ but $H \notin \mathscr{Z}_p$. Write $\tau = \{t \in \mathbf{P} : t \mid |H|\}$; then $H \in \operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(G)$, $F(G) \in \mathscr{I}_{\tau}$, $F(G) \le H$, and $O_{\tau'}(G) = 1$. Since $\mathscr{S}_{p'} \subseteq \mathscr{Z}_p$, then $p \in \tau$. Let $M \lhd \cdot G$ with $F(G) \le M$: this is possible because H < G. Then $F(M) = F(G) \le M \cap H \in \operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(M)$, and so $M \cap H \in \mathscr{Z}_p$ by minimality. In particular, $H \nleq M$. Thus G = MH and $|G : M| = q \in \tau$. Because $H \notin \mathscr{Z}_p$, there exists $L \cdot \trianglelefteq H$ with $L \in \mathscr{S}_p$ and $L \nleq Z(H)$. Because $F(G) \trianglelefteq H$, then $[F(G), L] \le F(G) \cap L \trianglelefteq H$. Now $C_G(F(G)) \le F(G)$, because *G* is soluble, and so $F(G) \cap L > 1$ because L > 1. Since $L \cdot \trianglelefteq H$, it follows that $L \le F(G)$. In particular, $L \le M \cap H$. Now *L* is an irreducible *H*-module. Since $(M \cap H) \trianglelefteq H$, then by Clifford's Theorem, [9, 3.4.1] or [11, V.17.3], we have

$$L|_{(M\cap H)}=U_1\oplus\cdots\oplus U_n,$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where each U_i is an irreducible $(M \cap H)$ -module. But this means that, as a normal subgroup of $M \cap H$, L is a direct product of minimal normal subgroups. Thus $L \leq p\operatorname{-soc}(M \cap H)$. But $M \cap H \in \mathscr{Z}_p$ and so

(1)
$$L \leq Z(M \cap H).$$

But $L \leq H$ and $L \not\leq Z(H)$; thus

(2) $H/(M \cap H) \simeq C_q$ acts faithfully and irreducibly on $L \in \mathscr{S}_p$.

In particular, $p \neq q$.

Let $J = \langle L^g : g \in G \rangle$, the normal closure of L in G. We have $J \leq F(G) \leq M \cap H$ because $L \leq F(G)$. Then (1) implies that $L \leq Z(J)$. But $Z(J) \leq G$ and so J = Z(J)is abelian and must now be a p-group, as it is generated by commuting conjugates of L.

Let $S_1 \in \text{Hall}_{\tau'}(G)$. By orders we have $G = HS_1$ and $M \ge S_1$, whence, remembering that $L \leq H$, we have

$$J = \langle L^{hs} : h \in H, s \in S_1 \rangle = \langle L^s : s \in S_1 \rangle.$$

By the Frattini argument, using the conjugacy of Hall subgroups, we have $G = MN_G(S_1)$. But |G:M| = q, and so there exists a q-element $n_1 \in N_G(S_1)$ such that

 $G = M\langle n_1 \rangle$. Again by Hall's Theorem, there exists $a \in G$ with $n_1^a \in H$. Write $n = n_1^a \in H \setminus M$ and $S = S_1^a$. Then $n \in N_H(S)$, G = HS and $J = \langle L^s : s \in S \rangle$. It follows that

(3) L is contained in no proper S-invariant subgroup of J.

We have $S\langle n \rangle \leq G$ because $n \in N_H(S)$; also, $S\langle n \rangle \in \mathscr{S}_{p'}$ because $p \in \tau, S \in \mathscr{S}_{p'}$ and $|n| = q^{\alpha}$ with $q \neq p$. Now J is a normal, abelian p-subgroup of G and so by [9,5.2.3] we have

(4)
$$J = [J, S\langle n \rangle] \times C_J(S\langle n \rangle).$$

Since $J \leq G$, there exists $J^0 \cdot \langle G \rangle$ with $J^0 \leq J$. Then $J^0 \leq p\operatorname{-soc}(G) \leq Z(G)$ and so $C_J(S\langle n \rangle) \geq J^0 > 1$. Thus $[J, S\langle n \rangle] < J$ by (4). But $[J, S\langle n \rangle]$ is $S\langle n \rangle$ -invariant and so $S\langle n \rangle$ centralises the non-trivial group $J/[J, S\langle n \rangle]$. But then any subgroup lying between $[J, S\langle n \rangle]$ and J must be S-invariant. By statement (3) above, it follows that $[J, S\langle n \rangle]L = J$. But then

$$1 \neq J/[J, S\langle n \rangle] = [J, S\langle n \rangle]L/[J, S\langle n \rangle] \simeq L/(L \cap [J, S\langle n \rangle]),$$

and since all relevant subgroups here are $\langle n \rangle$ -invariant then the isomorphism is an $\langle n \rangle$ -isomorphism. But $\langle n \rangle$ centralises $J/[J, S\langle n \rangle]$, and so $\langle n \rangle$ must centralise a non-trivial factor group of L. However, $n \in H \setminus M$ whence $H = (M \cap H) \langle n \rangle$ and so by statement (2), L must be a faithful, irreducible module for $\langle n \rangle / \langle n^q \rangle \simeq C_q$, contrary to what we have just seen. This completes the proof.

2.2 EXAMPLES. The main aim of these examples is to show that \mathscr{Z}_{π} is not Hallclosed, so that some such condition as ' $H \ge \operatorname{soc}(G)$ ' is needed in 2.1. Examples of classes (i) not $H_{\mathscr{H}}$ -closed, and (ii) not $H_{\mathscr{L}}$ -closed, will be given in 3.2.

(i) Suppose that p, q and r are distinct primes. It is well-known that there exists a group G with a unique chief series whose factors have orders (reading 'from the top') of the form p, q^{α} and r^{β} , respectively. Then $|\operatorname{soc}(G)| = r^{\beta}$.

(a) Now suppose that π with $\emptyset \subset \pi \subset \mathbf{P}$ (proper inclusions) is given. We show that \mathscr{Z}_{π} is not Hall-closed. Choose $q \in \pi$ and $r \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \pi$. Then $G \in \mathscr{Z}_{\pi}$. Let $H \in \operatorname{Hall}_{[p,q]}(G)$; then H has a non-central π -socle of order q^{α} , so $H \notin \mathscr{Z}_{\pi}$.

(b) In Proposition 2.1, it is natural to ask whether the conclusion still holds if the condition ' $H \ge \operatorname{soc}(G)$ ' is replaced by ' $H \ge \pi \operatorname{-soc}(G)$ '. It need not. For take $\pi = \{p, q\}$. Then $G \in \mathscr{Z}_{\pi}$, while if $H \in \operatorname{Hall}_{\pi}(G)$ then $H \ge \pi \operatorname{-soc}(G)$ although $H \notin \mathscr{Z}_{\pi}$.

(ii) We now show that $\mathscr{Z} = \mathscr{Z}_{\mathbf{P}}$ is not Hall-closed: the above example is of no avail for this purpose.

Owen J. Brison

Let S denote the group SL(2, 3) and let Z denote Z(S), the centre of S. Then $Z = \operatorname{soc}(S)$ has order 2. Let T denote a cyclic group of order 5, and form the regular wreath product $W = S \operatorname{wr} T$ (see [11,§I.15]). We may write W as a semidirect product $W = [S^*]T$, where S^{*}, the 'base group', is a direct product of 5 copies of S. Then $Z^* = Z(S^*)$ is the corresponding direct product of the respective centres of the 5 copies of S, and has order 2⁵. Now $[Z^*, T]$ has order 2⁴ and is normal in W. Write $\overline{W} = W/[Z^*, T]$. Then \overline{W} has a unique minimal normal subgroup, namely $\overline{Z^*} = Z^*/[Z^*, T]$, and $\overline{Z^*} = Z(\overline{W})$. In particular, $\overline{W} \in \mathscr{Z} = \mathscr{Z}_P$. But \overline{W} has a Hall {3, 5}-subgroup H of order 3⁵5 and $H \simeq C_3 \operatorname{wr} C_5$. Now, $C_3 \operatorname{wr} C_5$ has two minimal normal subgroups: a central subgroup of order 3 and a non-central subgroup of order 3⁴. Thus $H \notin \mathscr{Z}$ and so \mathscr{Z} is not Hall-closed.

We next prove some results converse in sense to 2.1.

2.3 LEMMA. Suppose that $G \in \mathscr{S}$ and that $M \triangleleft \cdot G$ with |G : M| = q and $M \in \mathscr{Z}_p$ where $p, q \in \mathbf{P}$. Suppose that $p\operatorname{-soc}(G) \leq M$. Let $H \in \operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(G)$ where $\{p, q\} \subseteq \tau \subseteq \mathbf{P}$. Then if $H \in \mathscr{Z}_p$ it follows that $G \in \mathscr{Z}_p$.

PROOF. We may suppose that $p\operatorname{-soc}(G) \neq 1$. Let $N \cdot \leq G$ with $N \in \mathscr{S}_p$. Then N is an irreducible G-module; thus by Clifford's Theorem, N is a completely reducible M-module. But then $N \leq p\operatorname{-soc}(M) \leq Z(M)$. Thus $M \leq C_G(N)$ and so N is an irreducible G/M-module. Since $|G : M| = q \in \tau$, then G = MH, and so N is an irreducible $H/(M \cap H)$ -module. But then $N \leq p\operatorname{-soc}(H) \leq Z(H)$. Thus $C_G(N) \geq MH = G$ and the assertion follows.

2.4 NOTATION. If $G \in \mathscr{S}$, write $\sigma_G = \{s \in \mathbf{P} : s \mid |\operatorname{soc}(G)|\}$.

2.5 PROPOSITION. Let $G \in \mathscr{S}$ and $\pi \in \mathbf{P}$. Suppose that $\operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(G) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}_{\pi}$ for all $\tau \subseteq \mathbf{P}$ of the form $\tau = \sigma_G \cup \{t\}$ where $t \in \mathbf{P}$. Then $G \in \mathscr{Z}_{\pi}$.

PROOF. It will suffice to prove that $G \in \mathscr{Z}_p$ for all $p \in \pi \cap \sigma_G$. If $\operatorname{soc}(G) = G$ there is nothing to prove and so we assume that $\operatorname{soc}(G) < G$. Let $M \triangleleft \cdot G$ with $M \ge \operatorname{soc}(G)$ and write $|G:M| = q \in \mathbf{P}$.

We claim that $\sigma_G = \sigma_M$. For suppose that $s \in \sigma_M$; then there exists $K \cdot \trianglelefteq M$ with $K \in \mathscr{S}_s$. The normal closure K^G satisfies $\mathscr{S}_s \ni K^G \le M$, and so there exists $L \cdot \trianglelefteq G$ with $L \le K^G$. Thus $s \in \sigma_G$. Next suppose that $s \in \sigma_G$. Then there exists $K \cdot \trianglelefteq G$ with $K \in \mathscr{S}_s$, and $K \le M$ because $M \ge \operatorname{soc}(G)$. Thus there exists $L \cdot \trianglelefteq M$ with $L \le K$, whence $s \in \sigma_M$, and $\sigma_G = \sigma_M$.

Let τ be of the form $\tau = \sigma_M \cup \{t\} = \sigma_G \cup \{t\}$, where $t \in \mathbf{P}$. Let $H_1 \in \operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(M)$ and let $H \in \operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(G)$ with $H_1 = H \cap M$. By hypothesis, $H \in \mathscr{Z}_{\pi}$ and so $H_1 \in \mathscr{Z}_{\pi}$. By the minimality of G, it follows that $M \in \mathscr{Z}_{\pi}$. Now write $\tau_0 = \sigma_G \cup \{q\}$ and fix $H \in \text{Hall}_{\tau_0}(G)$. Let $p \in \pi \cap \sigma_G$ be arbitrary. Then $H \in \mathscr{Z}_p$, $M \in \mathscr{Z}_p$, and $\{p, q\} \subseteq \tau_0$; it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $G \in \mathscr{Z}_p$, and the proof is complete.

Putting together Propositions 2.1 and 2.5, we obtain the promised criterion for membership of the central-socle classes as follows.

2.6 THEOREM. Let $G \in \mathscr{S}$ and $\pi \subseteq \mathbf{P}$. Then $G \in \mathscr{Z}_{\pi}$ if and only if $\operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(G) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}_{\pi}$ for all $\tau \subseteq \mathbf{P}$ of the form $\tau = \sigma_G \cup \{t\}$ with $t \in \mathbf{P}$.

We now give another result in the spirit of 2.5.

2.7 PROPOSITION. Let $G \in \mathscr{S}$ and $\pi \subseteq \mathbf{P}$. Suppose that $\operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(G) \subseteq \mathscr{Z}_{\pi}$ for all sets of primes τ with $|\tau| \leq 2$. Then $G \in \mathscr{Z}_{\pi}$.

PROOF. Because $\mathscr{Z}_{\pi} = \bigcap_{p \in \pi} \mathscr{Z}_p$, we may without loss of generality assume that $\pi = \{p\}$. Suppose for a contradiction that G is a counterexample of minimal order. Then $p\operatorname{-soc}(G) < G$ and there exists $M \leq G$ with $M \geq p\operatorname{-soc}(G)$. If $\tau \subseteq \mathbf{P}$ with $|\tau| = 2$ and if $H \in \operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(M)$, then $H = M \cap H_1$ where $H_1 \in \operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(G)$, and so $H \in \mathscr{Z}_p$. Thus $M \in \mathscr{Z}_p$ by minimality. Write $|G : M| = q \in \mathbf{P}$. Now the Hall $\{p, q\}$ -subgroups of G belong to \mathscr{Z}_p by hypothesis, and the result follows from Lemma 2.3.

3. The classes $e_{\pi}(\mathcal{N}^k)$

This section has a similar structure to Section 2. It is proved in Proposition 3.1 that $e_{\pi}(\mathcal{N}^k)$ is $H_{\mathcal{N}}$ -closed, and this is followed by some relevant examples (3.2). Proposition 3.3 is a converse to Proposition 3.1, and together these results yield a criterion, Theorem 3.4, for membership of the classes $e_{\pi}(\mathcal{N}^k)$. Again the section finishes with a result, Proposition 3.5, not strictly connected with the $H_{\mathcal{F}}$ operation, being an analogue for certain classes $e_{\pi}(\mathcal{F})$ of Proposition 2.7.

3.1 PROPOSITION. Let $\pi \subseteq \mathbf{P}$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}, k \geq 0$. Let $G \in e_{\pi}(\mathcal{N}^k)$. Suppose that H is a Hall subgroup of G with $H \geq G_{\mathcal{N}^k}$. Then $H \in e_{\pi}(\mathcal{N}^k)$. It follows that $e_{\pi}(\mathcal{N}^k)$ is $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{N}^k}$ -closed.

PROOF. Because $e_{\pi}(\mathcal{N}^k) = \bigcap_{p \in \pi} e_p(\mathcal{N}^k)$, we may without loss of generality assume that $\pi = \{p\}$ where $p \in \mathbf{P}$.

The proof is by induction on k. If k = 0 then $\mathscr{N}^k = 1$ and $e_p(1) = \mathscr{S}$; the conclusion clearly holds in this case. We thus suppose that the result holds for all $G_0 \in e_p(\mathscr{N}^{k_0})$ for all $k_0 < k$, and for all $G_1 \in e_p(\mathscr{N}^k)$ with $|G_1| < |G|$.

Write $\tau = \{q \in \mathbf{P} : q \mid |H|\}$; then $H \in \text{Hall}_{\tau}(G)$. If A is a group, write $A_j = A_{\mathcal{N}^j}$, the \mathcal{N}^j -radical of A; then $G_k \in \mathscr{S}_{\tau}$ and $G_k \leq O_{\tau}(G) \leq H$, where $O_{\tau}(G)$ denotes the \mathscr{S}_{τ} -radical of G. Since $\mathscr{S}_{p'} \in e_p(\mathcal{N}^k)$, then $H \in e_p(\mathcal{N}^k)$ if $p \notin \tau$, and so we may without loss assume that $p \in \tau$.

Choose $M \triangleleft \cdot G$ with $M \geq G_k$ and write $|G : K| = q \in \mathbf{P}$. Then $M \in e_p(\mathcal{N}^k)$, $M \cap H \in \operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(M)$ and $M_k = G_k \leq M \cap H$. By the induction hypothesis we have $M \cap H \in e_p(\mathcal{N}^k)$; in particular, $M \cap H \neq H$ and so G = MH. Further, all $M \cap H$ -chief *p*-factors below $(M \cap H)_k$ are $M \cap H$ -central. Since $M \cap H \trianglelefteq H$ then by Clifford's Theorem, any *H*-chief *p*-factor, X/Y say, below $(M \cap H)_k$ is completely reducible as an $M \cap H$ -module and, being then a sum of $M \cap H$ -trivial modules, must itself be $M \cap H$ -trivial. Thus,

(5) The *H*-chief *p*-factors below $(M \cap H)_k$ are $M \cap H$ -central.

There are now two cases to consider.

Case (I). Suppose that $H_k \not\leq M$; then $H = (M \cap H)H_k$. Let X/Y be an *H*-chief *p*-factor in H_k in an *H*-chief series which refines $H \geq H_k \geq H_{k-1} \geq 1$. By the Jordan-Hölder theorem, we may restrict attention to a fixed chief series.

We firstly claim that X/Y is trivial as an $M \cap H$ -module. If $X \leq (M \cap H)_k = M \cap H_k$, then X/Y is $M \cap H$ -central by (1). If $Y \not\leq M$ then $X/Y \simeq_H (X \cap M)/(Y \cap M)$; the latter is still H-chief and so again is $M \cap H$ -trivial by (1). In the remaining case we have $Y \leq M$, $X \not\leq M$ and $Y = X \cap M$; then we have $[X, M \cap H] \leq X \cap M = Y$ and again X/Y is $M \cap H$ -trivial; this justifies our claim.

Suppose that X/Y lies below H_{k-1} ; then X/Y is *H*-central because $H \in e_p(\mathcal{N}^{k-1})$ by the induction hypothesis and the fact that $e_p(\mathcal{N}^k) \subseteq e_p(\mathcal{N}^{k-1})$. Suppose, on the other hand, that X/Y lies between H_k and H_{k-1} . By Clifford's Theorem, X/Y is completely reducible as an H_k -module and so must be a sum of H_k -trivial submodules because H_k/H_{k-1} is nilpotent; thus X/Y is a trivial H_k -module. But $H = (M \cap H)H_k$, and since X/Y is trivial for $M \cap H$, it must be trivial for H. It follows that $H \in e_p(\mathcal{N}^k)$, as required.

Case (II). Suppose now that $H_k \leq M$; then $H_k = (M \cap H)_k$. Now $G_k \leq O_\tau(G) \cap H_k \leq (O_\tau(G))_k \leq G_k$, whence $G_k = O_\tau(G) \cap H_k$.

Let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(H_k)$, and write $J = \langle P^g : g \in G \rangle$, the normal closure of P in G; note that $J \leq M$. Let R be a Hall p-complement in G_k ; then $\overline{R} = RG_{k-1}/G_{k-1}$ is the unique p-complement in $G_k/G_{k-1} \in \mathcal{N}$, and so $\overline{R} \leq G/G_{k-1}$. Now $R \in H_k$ and so, since $H_k/H_{k-1} \in \mathcal{N}$, we have $[R, P] \leq H_{k-1}$. But $[R, P] \leq G_k$ because $R \leq G_k \leq G$, and so

$$[R, P] \leq G_k \cap H_{k-1} = O_{\tau}(G) \cap H_k \cap H_{k-1} = G_{k-1}.$$

But then $P \leq C_G(\bar{R}) \leq G$ and so $J \leq C_G(\bar{R}) \cap M$. Now let $x \in J$ be a p'-element. The G-chief p-factors between G_k and G_{k-1} are G-central because $G \in e_p(\mathcal{N}^k)$, and

[7]

so are centralised by x. But then x, being a p'-element, must centralise the Sylow psubgroup of G_k/G_{k-1} , by [9,5.3.2]. But $x \in J$ already centralises the p-complement RG_{k-1}/G_{k-1} of G_k/G_{k-1} , and so x centralises G_k/G_{k-1} . But G_k/G_{k-1} is the Fitting subgroup of G/G_{k-1} , and so $x \in G_k$ by [9,6.1.3]. But this implies that JG_k/G_k must be a p-group. Since $G_k \in \mathscr{S}_{\tau}$ and $p \in \tau$, it follows that $J \in \mathscr{S}_{\pi}$. But now $J \leq O_{\tau}(G)$ and $P \leq O_{\tau}(G) \cap H_k = G_k$. But then $P \in Syl_p(G_k)$ and so $p \not| |H_k : G_k|$.

Let \mathscr{C}_0 be a *G*-chief series between G_k and 1, and let \mathscr{C} be an *H*-chief series which refines $H_k \ge G_k \ge 1$ and which refines \mathscr{C}_0 below G_k . Now all the *G*-chief *p*-factors in \mathscr{C}_0 are *G*-central because $G \in e_p(\mathscr{N}^k)$; thus they all have order *p* and so must be *H*-chief; moreover, they give us all the *p*-factors in \mathscr{C} because $p \not| |H_k : G_k|$. But now $H \in e_p(\mathscr{N}^k)$, and the proof is complete.

3.2 EXAMPLES. (i) This example is to show that $e_p(\mathcal{N}^2)$ is not $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -closed. Let p, q, r and s be distinct primes. There exists a group G with a unique chief series whose factors have orders (reading 'from the top') of the form q, p^{α}, r^{β} and s^{γ} respectively. Then $G \in e_p(\mathcal{N}^2)$ because $|G_{\mathcal{N}^2}| = s^{\gamma} r^{\beta}$. Let $H \in \text{Hall}(G)$ with $|H| = s^{\gamma} p^{\alpha} q$. Then $|H_{\mathcal{N}^2}| = s^{\gamma} p^{\alpha}$ and $H \notin e_p(\mathcal{N}^2)$. However, $H \ge G_{\mathcal{N}}$, and so $e_p(\mathcal{N}^2)$ is not $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -closed.

(ii) This example shows that $e_p(\mathscr{S}_{\pi})$ is not $\mathcal{H}_{\mathscr{S}_{\pi}}$ -closed when $\pi \subset \mathbf{P}$ with $|\pi| \geq 2$. Let G be the group of Example 2.2(i) with $\{p,q\} \subseteq \pi, r \notin \pi$, and $H \in \operatorname{Hall}_{\pi}(G)$. Then $H \geq O_{\pi}(G) = 1$. Now $G \in e_q(\mathscr{S}_{\pi})$ while $H \notin e_q(\mathscr{S}_{\pi})$. Thus 3.1 is not valid if we replace \mathscr{N}^k by an arbitrary Fitting class \mathscr{F} .

The next result is an analogue of Proposition 2.5, being converse in sense to 3.1; it is valid for arbitrary $e_{\pi}(\mathscr{F})$ and not just for the classes $e_{\pi}(\mathscr{N}^k)$: as we have just seen, 3.1 is not valid for arbitrary $e_{\pi}(\mathscr{F})$.

3.3 PROPOSITION. Let $G \in \mathscr{S}$ and $\pi \subseteq \mathbf{P}$. Let \mathscr{F} be a Fitting class. Suppose that $\operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(G) \subseteq e_{\pi}(\mathscr{F})$ for all $\tau \subseteq \mathbf{P}$ of the form $\tau = \rho_G \cup \{t\}$ where $t \in \mathbf{P}$ and $\rho_G = \{s \in \mathbf{P} : s \mid |G_{\mathscr{F}}|\}$. Then $G \in e_{\pi}(\mathscr{F})$.

PROOF. Suppose for a contradiction that G is a counterexample of minimal order. Then $G_{\mathscr{F}} < G$ as otherwise σ_G contains all primes dividing |G| and so $G \in e_p(\mathscr{F})$ by hypothesis. Let $M \lhd \cdot G$ with $M \ge G_{\mathscr{F}}$, and write |G : M| = q. Then $M_{\mathscr{F}} = G_{\mathscr{F}}$, and so $\rho_M = \rho_G$. If $H \in \operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(M)$ then $H = H_1 \cap M$ for some $H_1 \in \operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(G)$ and so $M \in e_{\pi}(\mathscr{F})$ by minimality. Because $G \notin e_{\pi}(\mathscr{F})$, there exists a G-chief π -factor X/Y below $G_{\mathscr{F}}$ which is not G-central. By Clifford's Theorem, X/Y is completely reducible as an M-module, and so X/Y is M-central because $M \in e_{\pi}(\mathscr{F})$. Thus X/Yis faithful and irreducible for $G/M \simeq C_q$. Let $H \in \operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(G)$ where $\tau = \rho_G \cup \{q\}$. Then G = MH. Thus X/Y is faithful and irreducible for $H/(H \cap M) \simeq G/M$, and

Owen J. Brison

so is non-trivial for *H*. Now $H \ge H_{\mathscr{F}} \ge G_{\mathscr{F}} \ge X \ge Y$, and so X/Y is *H*-central because $H \in e_{\pi}(\mathscr{F})$, in contradiction to the preceding statement. The result follows.

Putting together Propositions 3.1 and 3.3, we obtain our criterion for membership of the classes $e_{\pi}(\mathcal{N}^k)$ as follows.

3.4 THEOREM. Let $G \in \mathcal{S}$, $\pi \subseteq \mathbf{P}$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $k \ge 0$. Then $G \in e_{\pi}(\mathcal{N}^k)$ if and only if $\operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(G) \subseteq e_{\pi}(\mathcal{N}^k)$ for all $\tau \subseteq \mathbf{P}$ of the form $\tau = \rho_G \cup \{t\}$ where $t \in \mathbf{P}$ and $\rho_G = \{s \in \mathbf{P} : s \mid |G_{\mathcal{N}^k}|\}.$

The next result is an analogue of Proposition 2.7 for the classes $e_{\pi}(\mathscr{F})$.

3.5 PROPOSITION. Let $G \in \mathscr{S}$ and $\pi \subseteq \mathbf{P}$. Let \mathscr{F} be a Hall-closed Fitting class. Suppose that $\operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(G) \subseteq e_{\pi}(\mathscr{F})$ for all $\tau \subseteq \mathbf{P}$ with $|\tau| \leq 2$. Then $G \in e_{\pi}(\mathscr{F})$.

PROOF. The proof is by induction on |G|, the result being trivial if |G| = 1. If $M \lhd \cdot G$ and $\tau \subseteq \mathbf{P}$ with $|\tau| \le 2$ then $\operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(M) \subseteq e_{\pi}(\mathscr{F})$ and so $M \in e_{\pi}(\mathscr{F})$ by induction. It follows that G contains a unique maximal normal subgroup, which we call M; then $M \ge G'$ and $|G:M| = q \in \mathbf{P}$. Let now X/Y be a G-chief π -factor below $G_{\mathscr{F}}$. If $X \not\le M$ then X = G and Y = M by the unicity of $M \lhd \cdot G$, and then X/Y is certainly G-central. Suppose that $X \le M$. Then X/Y is below $M_{\mathscr{F}}$, and by Clifford's Theorem must be M-central. Now $X/Y \in \mathscr{S}_p$ for some $p \in \pi$. Let $H \in \operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(G)$ where $\tau = \{p, q\}$. Then G = MH and X/Y is a module for $H/H \cap M \simeq G/M$. But $X \le YH$ and so $X = X \cap TH = Y(X \cap H)$, whence

$$X/Y \simeq_H (X \cap H)/(Y \cap H).$$

Now $M_{\mathscr{F}} \cap H \in \operatorname{Hall}_{\tau}(M_{\mathscr{F}}) \subseteq \mathscr{F}$, the final inclusion because \mathscr{F} is Hall-closed, and so $X \cap H \leq M_{\mathscr{F}} \cap H \leq H_{\mathscr{F}}$. But $H \in e_{\pi}(\mathscr{F})$, and it follows that X/Y is *H*-central and thus *G*-central, as required.

Acknowledgements

Proposition 2.1 and the case k = 1 of Proposition 3.1 appear in the author's Ph.D. thesis [1]; we wish to thank Dr. T. O. Hawkes for his supervision and The Royal Commission for the Exhibition of 1851 for their financial support of that thesis. We also wish to acknowledge partial support for the balance of this article from the "Centro de Algebra da Universidade de Lisboa".

References

- [1] O. J. Brison, On the theory of Fitting classes of finite groups (Ph.D. Thesis, University of Warwick, 1978).
- [2] —, 'Hall-closure and products of Fitting classes', J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) **32** (1982), 145–164.
- [3] ——, 'A criterion for the Hall-closure of Fitting classes', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 23 (1981), 351–365.
- [4] R. A. Bryce and J. Cossey, 'Metanilpotent Fitting classes', J. Austral. Math. Soc. 17 (1974), 285-304.
- [5] ——, 'Subdirect product closed Fitting classes', in: Proceedings of the second international conference on the theory of groups, Canberra (1973), Lecture Notes in Math. 372 (Springer, Berlin, 1974) pp. 158–164,
- [6] J. Cossey, 'Classes of finite soluble groups', in: Proceedings of the second international conference on the theory of groups, Canberra (1973), Lecture Notes in Math. 372 (Springer, Berlin, 1974) pp. 226–237,
- [7] —, 'Products of Fitting classes', Math. Z. 141 (1975), 289–295.
- [8] E. Cusack, 'Normal Fitting classes and Hall subgroups', Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 21 (1980), 229-236.
- [9] D. Gorenstein, Finite groups, 2nd edition, (Chelsea, New York, 1980).
- [10] T. O. Hawkes, 'Finite soluble groups', in: Group theory: Essays for Philip Hall (Academic Press, London, 1984) pp. 13-60.
- [11] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I (Springer, Berlin, 1967).
- [12] F. P. Lockett, On the theory of Fitting classes of finite soluble groups (Ph.D. Thesis, University of Warwick, 1971).

Departamento de Matemática

Faculdade de Ciências

Rua Ernesto de Vasconcelos, Bloco C1, Piso 3

1700 Lisboa, Portugal