

## WEAKLY HOMOGENEOUS ORDER TYPES

BY  
M. E. ADAMS

An order type  $\alpha$  is said to be *weakly homogeneous* ( $\aleph_0$ -homogeneous) if for any  $x_1 < x_2$  and  $y_1 < y_2$  there exists an order preserving bijection  $f$  on  $\alpha$  such that  $f(x_i) = y_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . The reverse of an order type  $\alpha$  is denoted, as usual, by  $\alpha^*$ . We say that  $\alpha$  is *order invertible* if  $\alpha^* \leq \alpha$ . J. Q. Longyear [5] has asked whether for a weakly homogeneous order type  $\alpha$  such that no (non-trivial) interval of  $\alpha$  is order invertible we may deduce that every interval of  $\alpha$  contains a copy of  $\eta\omega_1$  or  $(\eta\omega_1)^*$ . We will show this to be false under the assumption of the Continuum Hypothesis (C.H.) by constructing such order types  $\alpha$  in  $\lambda$ , the set of reals. We actually prove a more general result which implies, assuming C.H., that an order type  $\beta$  may be embedded in every weakly homogeneous order type  $\alpha$  whose intervals are not order invertible iff  $\beta$  is countable.

The proof involves new variations on a type of argument first introduced by B. Dushnik and E. W. Miller [1] (see also S. Ginsburg [2], [3], [4] and B. Rotman [6]). C.H. is needed to ensure that the order types we construct will be weakly homogeneous. The symbol  $\lambda$  will denote the real line and  $\eta$  the rational members. Furthermore the Dedekind completion of a totally ordered set  $A$  will be given by  $A^+$ . Both components of a Dedekind cut are assumed to be non-void. We note that for totally ordered sets  $A$  and  $B$  any similarity map of  $A$  onto  $B$  can be extended to a similarity map of  $A^+$  onto  $B^+$  (B. Rotman [6]).

**THEOREM (C.H.).** *If  $C$  is a set of order types such that  $\beta \leq \lambda$ ,  $|\beta| = |C| = |\lambda|$  ( $\beta \in C$ ), then there is a weakly homogeneous order type  $\alpha \leq \lambda$  such that no interval of  $\alpha$  is order invertible and such that  $\beta \not\leq \alpha$  ( $\beta \in C$ ).*

**Proof.** If  $I$  is any interval of  $\lambda$  there are only  $2^{\aleph_0}$  order inverting injections on  $I$  (a monotone function has countably many discontinuities). Since there are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  intervals on  $\lambda$  it follows that there are  $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$  order inverting injections  $f_i$  ( $i < \omega_1$ ) whose domains are intervals of  $\lambda$ . Similarly if  $B \subseteq \lambda$  is an ordered set of type  $\beta$  then there are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  order preserving injections of  $B$  into  $\lambda$ . Since  $|C| = 2^{\aleph_0}$  the order preserving injections of each  $\beta$  ( $\beta \in C$ ) may be gathered into a single sequence of functions  $g_i$  ( $i < \omega_1$ ).

Let  $A' \subseteq \lambda$  be dense in  $\lambda$ . If  $A'$  is denumerable then it is weakly homogeneous. Therefore, if  $X = \{x_1, x_2\} \subseteq A'$ ,  $Y = \{y_1, y_2\} \subseteq A'$ ,  $x_1 < x_2$ ,  $y_1 < y_2$  there is an order

---

Received by the editors March 25, 1974 and, in revised form, June 10, 1974.  
Research supported by National Research Council of Canada.

preserving bijection  $h=h(X, Y):A' \rightarrow A'$  such that  $h(x_i)=y_i$  for  $i=1, 2$ . Since  $A'^+=\lambda$ ,  $h(X, Y)$  extends to an order preserving bijection  $h^+(X, Y)$  on  $\lambda$ . We set  $H(A')=\{h^+(X, Y) \mid X, Y \subseteq A' \text{ and } |X|=|Y|=2\}$ . Since  $A'$  is denumerable, so is  $H(A')$ .

Our object is to define by transfinite induction a set  $A$  of type  $\alpha$  that satisfies the conditions of the theorem. Put  $A_0=\eta$ ,  $B_0=\emptyset$ ,  $H_0=H(A_0)$ . Let  $0 < \delta < \omega_1$  and suppose that we have already defined sets  $A_\gamma, B_\gamma, H_\gamma$  for  $\gamma < \delta$  such that for  $\gamma \leq \gamma' < \delta$  we have: (a)  $A_\gamma \subseteq A_{\gamma'}$ ,  $B_\gamma \subseteq B_{\gamma'}$ ,  $H_\gamma \subseteq H_{\gamma'}$ , (b)  $A_\gamma, B_\gamma, H_\gamma$  are countable, (c)  $A_\gamma \cap B_\gamma = \emptyset$ , and (d) each  $h \in H_\gamma$  is an order preserving bijection on  $\lambda$  such that  $h(A_\gamma)=A_\gamma$ .

The set  $S_\delta=f_\delta-\{\langle x, x \rangle \mid x \in \lambda\}$  is uncountable. Thus we can always choose an ordered pair  $\langle a_\delta, b_\delta \rangle \in S_\delta$  such that  $a_\delta, b_\delta \notin \bigcup_{\gamma < \delta} A_\gamma \cup B_\gamma$ . Moreover we will define two sets  $T_{1\delta}, T_{2\delta}$  and prove that the pair  $\langle a_\delta, b_\delta \rangle$  can be chosen in such a way that  $a_\delta \notin T_{1\delta}$  and  $\langle a_\delta, b_\delta \rangle \notin T_{2\delta}$ . Put  $H_\delta^-=\bigcup_{\gamma < \delta} H_\gamma$  and  $K_\delta=\{h'_1 \circ \dots \circ h'_n \mid n < \omega_0, h'_i \in \{h_i, h_i^{-1}\}, h_i \in H_\delta^-(1 \leq i \leq n)\}$ . We let  $T_{1\delta}=\{k(b) \mid b \in \bigcup_{\gamma < \delta} B_\gamma, k \in K_\delta\}$ .  $T_{1\delta}$  is countable because both  $K_\delta$  and  $\bigcup_{\gamma < \delta} B_\gamma$  are countable. Since  $S_\delta$  represents an injection the value  $x$  of a pair  $\langle x, y \rangle$  in  $S_\delta$  can occur once at most, thus we can always insist that  $a_\delta \notin T_{1\delta}$ . Next we let  $T_{2\delta}=f_\delta \cap (\bigcup K_\delta)=\{\langle x, y \rangle \mid \langle x, y \rangle \in f_\delta \cap k \text{ for some } k \in K_\delta\}$ . Each  $k \in K_\delta$  is a composition of order preserving bijections on  $\lambda$  and therefore is also order preserving. Since  $f_\delta$  is order reversing it follows that there is at most one pair  $\langle x, y \rangle \in f_\delta \cap k$  for each  $k \in K_\delta$ . Thus  $T_{2\delta}$  is countable. Consequently we may choose the pair  $\langle a_\delta, b_\delta \rangle \notin T_{2\delta}$ .

Set  $A_\delta=\bigcup_{\gamma < \delta} A_\gamma \cup \{k(a_\delta) \mid k \in K_\delta\}$ . If  $B$  is the domain of  $g_\delta$  choose  $c_\delta \in g_\delta(B)-A_\delta$ . A choice is always possible since  $|B|=\aleph_1$ . Put  $B_\delta=\bigcup_{\gamma < \delta} B_\gamma \cup \{b_\delta, c_\delta\}$ . The denumerable set  $A_\delta$  is an extension of  $A_0$  and therefore dense in  $\lambda$ . Put  $H_\delta=\bigcup_{\gamma < \delta} H_\gamma \cup H(A_\delta)$ .

We must now show that the conditions (a), . . . , (d) are satisfied by  $A_\delta, B_\delta$ , and  $H_\delta$ . Clearly (a) and (b) are satisfied by definition. To see (c) consider  $a \in A_\delta$ . If  $a \in \bigcup_{\gamma < \delta} A_\gamma$  then  $a \notin B_\delta$ . If  $a \in A_\delta - \bigcup_{\gamma < \delta} A_\gamma$  then  $a=k(a_\delta)$  for some  $k \in K_\delta$ .  $a \notin \bigcup_{\gamma < \delta} B_\gamma$  since  $a_\delta \notin T_{1\delta}$  and  $a \neq b_\delta$  since  $\langle a_\delta, b_\delta \rangle \notin T_{2\delta}$ . Moreover  $c_\delta$  is chosen not to be in  $A_\delta$ . We conclude that  $A_\delta \cap B_\delta = \emptyset$ . Finally we must show that  $h(A_\delta)=A_\delta$  for all  $h \in H_\delta$ . If  $h \in H_\delta - \bigcup_{\gamma < \delta} H_\gamma$  then this is true by definition. If  $h \in \bigcup_{\gamma < \delta} H_\gamma$  then we observe that  $h(\bigcup_{\gamma < \delta} A_\gamma)=\bigcup_{\gamma < \delta} A_\gamma$ . However any  $a \in A_\delta - \bigcup_{\gamma < \delta} A_\gamma$  is of the form  $k(a_\delta)$  for some  $k \in K_\delta$ . Thus  $h(a)$  and  $h^{-1}(a)$  are members of  $A_\delta$ . We conclude  $h(A_\delta)=A_\delta$ .

We now let  $A=\bigcup_{\delta < \omega_1} A_\delta$ . Suppose some interval of  $A$  is order invertible. This defines an order inverting injection on the corresponding interval of  $\lambda$ . Hence there exists some  $\delta < \omega_1$  such that  $f_\delta$  represents this injection.  $a_\delta$  is a member of  $A$  while  $f_\delta(a_\delta)$  (that is to say  $b_\delta$ ) is not a member of  $A$ . By contradiction we conclude that no interval of  $A$  is order invertible. Since  $c_\delta \notin A$ , it follows that  $g_\delta(B) \not\subseteq A$  ( $\delta < \omega_1$ ). Thus  $A$  does not contain a subset of type  $\beta$  for any  $\beta \in C$ . To exhibit the weak homogeneity of  $\alpha$  we choose  $A_\delta$  containing the finite set of points under

consideration. An order preserving bijection with an appropriate restriction to the weakly homogeneous set  $A_\delta$  can be found in  $H_\delta$ . This concludes the proof.

**COROLLARY (C.H.).** *If  $\beta$  is an order type such that  $|\beta| > \aleph_0$  then there exists a weakly homogeneous order type  $\alpha$  such that no interval of  $\alpha$  is order invertible,  $\beta \not\leq \alpha$ , and  $\beta^* \not\leq \alpha$ .*

**COROLLARY (C.H.).** *An order type  $\beta$  is embeddable in every order type  $\alpha$  that is weakly homogeneous and has no order invertible intervals iff  $|\beta| = \aleph_0$ .*

**Proof.** Observe that if  $\alpha$  has no order invertible intervals then  $\alpha$  is dense. Thus we may embed  $\eta$  into any such  $\alpha$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. B. Dushnik and E. W. Miller, *Concerning similarity transformations of linearly ordered sets*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **46** (1940), 322–326.
2. S. Ginsburg, *Some remarks on order types and decompositions of sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1953), 514–535.
3. S. Ginsburg, *Further results on order types and decompositions of sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **77** (1954), 122–150.
4. S. Ginsburg, *Order types and similarity transformations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1955), 341–361.
5. J. Q. Longyear, *Patterns: the structure of linear homogeneous sets*, preprint (see Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1974), A-293).
6. B. Rotman, *On the comparison of order types*, Acta Math. Sci. Hungar. **19** (1968), 311–327.

UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA  
WINNIPEG, CANADA.