

# Higher Dimensional Asymptotic Cycles

Sol Schwartzman

*Abstract.* Given a  $p$ -dimensional oriented foliation of an  $n$ -dimensional compact manifold  $M^n$  and a transversal invariant measure  $\tau$ , Sullivan has defined an element of  $H_p(M^n, R)$ . This generalized the notion of a  $\mu$ -asymptotic cycle, which was originally defined for actions of the real line on compact spaces preserving an invariant measure  $\mu$ . In this one-dimensional case there was a natural 1–1 correspondence between transversal invariant measures  $\tau$  and invariant measures  $\mu$  when one had a smooth flow without stationary points.

For what we call an oriented action of a connected Lie group on a compact manifold we again get in this paper such a correspondence, provided we have what we call a positive quantifier. (In the one-dimensional case such a quantifier is provided by the vector field defining the flow.) Sufficient conditions for the existence of such a quantifier are given, together with some applications.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $M^n$  be a smooth compact oriented manifold and suppose we are given a smooth action of the additive group of the real line on  $M^n$ . We will denote by  $v$  the velocity field on  $M^n$  corresponding to this flow and assume that we are given a finite measure  $\mu$  on the Borel subsets of  $M^n$  that is invariant with respect to the flow. If  $\omega$  is any smooth one-form on  $M^n$  and we let  $\lambda_v(\omega)$  be defined to be  $\int_{M^n} \omega \lrcorner v \, d\mu$  (where  $\omega \lrcorner v$  is the interior product of  $\omega$  with  $v$ ), then  $\lambda_v$  is a one-dimensional current in the sense of De Rham. If  $\omega = df$  then  $(\omega \lrcorner v)(x) = (\frac{df}{dt})(x) = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x\Delta t) - f(x)}{\Delta t}$ . Since  $\mu$  is assumed to be invariant,  $\int_{M^n} \frac{f(x\Delta t) - f(x)}{\Delta t} \, d\mu = 0$ , so  $\int df \lrcorner v \, d\mu = 0$ .

This just says that  $\lambda_v$  is closed; that is to say that if  $\omega$  is closed  $\int_{M^n} \omega \lrcorner v \, d\mu$  just depends on the class in the De Rham group  $H^1(M^n, R)$  to which  $\omega$  belongs. Thus we get from  $v$  and  $\mu$  an element of  $\text{Hom}(H^1(M^n, R), R)$  which corresponds to the element of  $H_1(M^n, R)$  that was called the asymptotic cycle  $A_\mu$  in [5]. Given  $v$  and  $\mu$ , to evaluate  $A_\mu$  the most direct method would be to choose a basis for  $H^1(M^n, R)$ , let  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$  be one-forms corresponding to this basis, and evaluate  $\int_{M^n} \omega_i \lrcorner v \, d\mu$ . If  $\mu$  comes from a positive  $n$ -form  $\alpha$  then it is known that  $\alpha \lrcorner v$  is a closed  $(n - 1)$ -form and  $A_\mu$  is the one-dimensional homology class arising from the  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional cohomology class of  $\alpha \lrcorner v$  by Poincaré duality. If there is no point on  $M^n$  at which  $v$  vanishes, the orbits of our action of  $R^1$  on  $M^n$  yield a one-dimensional oriented foliation of  $M^n$ . Using the notion of a transversal invariant measure as defined in [6], a generalization of the  $\mu$  asymptotic cycle was given that applies to arbitrary smooth oriented foliations of a compact manifold [6].

We will sketch the definition of a transversal invariant measure. Suppose we are given a smooth  $p$ -dimensional oriented foliation of  $M^n$  and that on each closed  $(n - p)$ -dimensional disc  $D$  in  $M^n$  that is transverse to the foliation we are given

Received by the editors September 3, 1998; revised August 17, 2000, and October 10, 2001.

AMS subject classification: 57R30, 57S20.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 2003.

a finite measure  $\tau_D$  on the Borel subsets of the interior of  $D$ . If  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are two such discs with  $x_1 \in \text{interior } D_1$  and  $x_2 \in \text{interior } D_2$  linked by a path  $A$  lying in a single leaf of the foliation, then  $A$  determines the germ of a homeomorphism from a neighborhood of  $x_1$  in  $D_1$  to a neighborhood of  $x_2$  in  $D_2$ . If this always makes the germ of  $\tau_{D_1}$  at  $x_1$  correspond to the germ of  $\tau_{D_2}$  at  $x_2$  we say that our system  $\tau$  of measures is a transversal invariant measure. If we are given any collection  $\{D_\alpha\}$  of closed transversal discs such that each leaf intersects the interior of at least one of the  $D_\alpha$  and we are given a system of finite measures  $\{\tau_\alpha\}$  on the interiors of the  $D_\alpha$  that satisfy the above compatibility condition, this is enough to determine a transversal invariant measure.

If  $D_1, \dots, D_k$  and  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k$  determine a transversal invariant measure and if  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  are flow boxes of the foliation centered on  $D_1, \dots, D_k$  whose interiors cover all of  $M^n$ , and if in addition  $\omega$  is any smooth  $p$ -form on  $M^n$ , we can find forms  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$  such that the support of  $\omega_i$  is contained in the interior of the image of  $F_i$  and  $\omega = \omega_1 + \dots + \omega_k$ . (By a flow box we mean a map  $F$  of  $B^{n-p} \times B^p$ , the cartesian product of the closed unit  $(n - p)$  ball in  $R^{n-p}$  centered at the origin with the closed unit  $p$ -ball in  $R^p$ , homeomorphically onto a subset of  $M^n$  such that for any  $a \in B^{n-p}$ ,  $F(a \times B^p)$  is contained in a single leaf of our foliation. We say that  $F$  is centered on the closed transversal disc  $F(B^{n-p} \times (0, \dots, 0))$ ).

If  $q = F_i(a, (0, \dots, 0))$  let  $L_q$  be  $F_i(a \times B^p)$ . Denote by  $f_i(q)$  the number  $\int_{L_q} \omega_i$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^k \int_{D_i} f_i(q) d\tau_i(q)$  turns out to be unchanged if we substitute for  $D_1, \dots, D_k, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_k$  and  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$  any other collection  $D'_1, \dots, D'_k$  with measures  $\tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_k$  determining the same transversal invariant measure  $\tau$  and forms  $\omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_k$  with the same  $\sum \omega'_i$ . Thus if  $\tau$  is a transversal invariant measure,  $\tau$  determines a current (which we will denote by  $\lambda_\tau$  such that  $\lambda_\tau(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{D_i} f_i(q) d\tau_i(q)$ ). This current can be shown to be closed and thus yields an element  $A_\tau$  of  $H_p(M^n, R)$ . This element is called the Ruelle-Sullivan class of  $\tau$ . Let us now go back to the situation where we had a one-dimensional oriented foliation associated with a smooth flow. As before let  $v$  be the velocity field associated with the flow. On any orbit  $O$  of the flow the one-form dual to  $v$  determines a measure  $\mu_O$  on  $O$ .

Suppose that  $F$  is any flow box, that  $F$  is centered on the  $(n - 1)$  disc  $D$ , and that  $\mu$  is any finite measure defined on the Borel subsets of  $M^n$ . For any  $x \in D$  let  $O(x)$  be the orbit through  $x$  and let  $a \in B^{n-1}$  be such that  $x = F(a, 0)$ . Then there is one and only one measure  $\tau_D$  on the Borel subsets of the interior of  $D$  such that if  $f$  is any continuous function whose support is contained in the interior of the image of  $F$ ,

$$\int_{M^n} f(x) d\mu(x) = \int_D \left( \int_{F(a \times B^1)} f(y) d\mu_{O(p)}(y) \right) d\tau_D(a).$$

Since  $F(a, B^1)$  is contained in  $O(p)$ , the integral  $\int_{F(a \times B^1)} f(y) d\mu_{O(p)}(y)$  has an obvious meaning. If  $\mu$  is an invariant measure then  $\tau_D$  depends only on  $D$  and not on the particular flow box  $F$  we used. Moreover in this case we get a transversal invariant measure  $\tau$ , and given a transversal invariant measure  $\tau$ , we can go backwards and get a finite invariant measure  $\mu$  defined on the Borel subsets of  $M^n$ . If  $\mu$  is any finite invariant measure and  $\tau$  is the-corresponding transversal invariant measure, then  $A_\mu = A_\tau$ .

The Ruelle-Sullivan class plays an essential role in the index theorem Connes has given for families of elliptic operators acting along the leaves of a  $p$ -dimensional oriented foliation of a smooth manifold  $M^n$  [3]. However for  $p > 1$  it is not easy to describe a specific transversal invariant measure for a concretely given  $p$ -dimensional foliation. In the one-dimensional case, where the foliation can be specified by giving a smooth vector field  $v$  (which determines a flow) it is much easier to give specific examples, because of the connection between transversal invariant measures and measures invariant with respect to the flow, and because in many cases one can specify an invariant measure by giving an  $n$ -form on  $M^n$ .

If we are given a smooth action of a connected Lie group  $L$  on a compact oriented manifold  $M^n$  we will say that the action is oriented provided all the orbits are of the same dimension and we are given a continuously varying orientation of the tangent spaces to the orbits. Obviously an oriented action determines an oriented foliation. In this paper we will see how, under favorable conditions, one can get a 1–1 correspondence between transversal invariant measures  $\tau$  and finite measures  $\mu$  defined on the Borel subsets of  $M^n$  that are invariant under the action of  $L$  such that  $A_\tau = A_\mu$ , where  $A_\mu$  is given a suitable definition.

In what follows we will assume that  $M^n$  is a smooth compact oriented manifold and that we are given a smooth oriented action of a connected Lie group  $L$  on  $M^n$  whose orbits have dimension  $p$ . (The action will be on the right.)

**Definition** A *quantifier* is a continuous field of  $p$ -vectors on  $M^n$ , everywhere tangent to the orbits and invariant under the action of  $L$ . A quantifier is said to be *positive* if it is nowhere zero and at each point of  $M^n$  determines an orientation of the tangent space to the orbit through that point that agrees with the orientation associated with our oriented action.

**Definition** A *preferred action* is an oriented action of a connected Lie group  $L$  such that for any  $x \in M^n$  the isotropy group  $D_x$  of  $x$  (the set of elements of  $L$  leaving  $x$  fixed) is a normal subgroup of  $L$  and  $L/D_x$  is unimodular. Thus a free action of a unimodular group is preferred as is any oriented action of a commutative group, assuming these groups are connected.

## 2 Statement of Results

We will prove:

**Theorem 1** *Every preferred action possesses a positive quantifier.*

Given a positive quantifier  $v$ , every quantifier is of the form  $fv$ , where  $f$  is a continuous invariant realvalued function. Thus if our action possesses no non-constant continuous invariant functions and a positive quantifier exists, the vector space of quantifiers is one-dimensional.

Suppose we are given a positive quantifier  $v$ .

**Theorem 2** *We can, given  $v$ , define a canonical 1–1 correspondence between finite invariant measures  $\mu$  defined on the Borel subsets of  $M^n$  and transversal invariant measures  $\tau$ .*

For any invariant measure  $\mu$  define a linear functional  $\lambda_\mu^v$  on the space of  $C^\infty$   $p$ -forms  $\omega$  by  $\lambda_\mu^v(\omega) = \int_{M^n} \omega \lrcorner v \, d\mu$ . Then we will show that  $\lambda_\mu^v$  is a closed current in the sense of De Rham and therefore defines an element  $A_\mu^v$  in  $H_p(M^n, R)$  which we will call the *asymptotic cycle* associated with the pair  $(\mu, v)$ . If  $\tau$  is the transversal invariant measure associated with  $\mu$  the Ruelle-Sullivan class  $A_\tau = A_\mu^v$ .

For smooth actions of the additive group of the real line without stationary points we recover the situation described in the opening paragraphs of this introduction by taking  $v$  to be the velocity field of the flow.

We will also prove

**Theorem 3** *If  $v$  is a positive quantifier and the invariant measure  $\mu$  arises from a positive  $n$ -form  $\omega$ , then  $\omega \lrcorner v$  is closed and  $A_\mu^v$  can be gotten by Poincaré duality from the element of  $H^{n-p}(M^n, R)$  determined by  $\omega \lrcorner v$ .*

Next we will assume we are given a preferred action that preserves some smooth Riemannian metric. Suppose that  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are positive quantifiers and that  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are invariant measures for this action. Then we will prove:

**Theorem 4** *There exists a positive constant  $\lambda$  such that  $A_{\mu_2}^{v_2} = \lambda A_{\mu_1}^{v_1}$ .*

**Corollary** *If  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  are compact orbits and  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are the images in  $H_p(M^n, R)$  of their fundamental homology classes, then  $a_1$  is a scalar times  $a_2$ .*

Now suppose that  $G$  is a connected Lie group and that  $K$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$  such that the space  $G/K$  of right cosets is compact.

**Definition** A  $p$ -dimensional jacket for  $K$  is a closed normal subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  containing  $K$  such that the natural map of  $H^p(G/H, R)$  into  $H^p(G/K, R)$  is surjective.

Let  $L$  be a subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to some subalgebra  $\ell$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$ , and allow  $L$  to act on the right on  $G/K$  in the usual way. Suppose that there are no non-constant continuous invariant functions for this action of  $L$  on  $G/K$  and suppose further that this is an oriented action with  $p$ -dimensional orbits and that  $v$  is a positive quantifier for this action.

**Theorem 5** *If  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are any two invariant probability measures for the action of  $L$  on  $G/K$ ,  $A_{\mu_1}^v = A_{\mu_2}^v$ , provided  $K$  possesses a  $p$ -dimensional jacket.*

Moreover, as we will see, the asymptotic cycle can be found in this case without performing any integrations.

### 3 The Main Theorems

We are now ready to prove:

**Theorem 1** *A preferred action possesses a positive quantifier.*

**Proof** We will say that a quantifier  $v$  on  $M^n$  is *semipositive* provided that at each  $x \in M^n$  such that  $v(x) \neq 0$  the orientation of the tangent space at  $x$  given by  $v(x)$  agrees with that associated with our oriented action. Since  $M^n$  is compact, to prove that our

action possesses a positive quantifier it is enough to show that for each  $x \in M^n$  there is a semipositive quantifier  $\nu$  such that  $\nu(x) \neq 0$ .

Suppose that for a given  $x_0 \in M^n$  we have any quantifier  $\nu_0$  such that  $\nu_0(x_0) \neq 0$ . Define  $\epsilon(x)$  to equal one if  $\nu_0(x) \neq 0$  and the orientation of the tangent space to the orbit at  $x$  induced by  $\nu_0(x)$  agrees with that associated with our oriented action. Otherwise if  $\nu_0(x) \neq 0$  define  $\epsilon(x)$  to equal minus one, and if  $\nu_0(x) = 0$  define  $\epsilon(x)$  to equal zero. Let  $\nu(x) = \epsilon(x)\nu_0(x)$ . Clearly  $\nu(x)$  is continuous wherever  $\epsilon(x) \neq 0$ . Put a Riemannian metric on  $M^n$  and introduce the associated norm on the space of  $p$ -vectors at each point. Then  $|\nu(x)| = |\nu_0(x)|$  for all  $x$ . Since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} |\nu(x)| = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} |\nu_0(x)| = |\nu_0(a)|$ , if  $\epsilon(a) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} |\nu(x)| = 0$ . Therefore if  $\epsilon(a) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \nu(x) = 0$  so  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \nu(x) = \nu(a)$ . Thus  $\nu(x)$  is continuous everywhere and is a semipositive quantifier. Hence to prove the existence of a positive quantifier, it is enough to show that for any  $x \in M^n$  there is a quantifier  $\nu$  such that  $\nu(x) \neq 0$ .

Next let  $\omega_0$  be any element in the space of  $p$ -vectors over the tangent space at the identity element  $e$  in our Lie group  $L$ . For any  $x \in M^n$  let  $f_x$  be the map of  $L$  into  $M^n$  that sends  $\ell \in L$  into  $x\ell$ . Define  $\nu(x)$  to be the image of  $\omega_0$  under the map of  $p$ -vectors induced by  $f_x$ . Then for any  $x \in M^n$ , we can choose  $\omega_0$  so that  $\nu(x)$  is a non-zero  $p$ -vector at  $x$  tangent to the orbit through  $x$ . To prove that any preferred action possesses a positive quantifier it is enough to prove the following:

**Lemma 1** *If we are given a preferred action of  $L$  on  $M^n$  and all the orbits have dimension  $p$ , for any  $\omega_0$  the corresponding  $\nu(x)$  is invariant under the action of  $L$ .*

**Proof** We will first establish this in the case in which  $M^n$  consists of a single orbit. We therefore assume that we have a connected Lie group  $G$  with identity element  $e$  and a closed normal subgroup  $K$  such that  $G/K$  is unimodular.  $G$  will act on the right on the space of right cosets mod  $K$ .

We will need some notations. For any vector space  $V$ ,  $\wedge^p(V)$  will denote the vector space of  $p$ -vectors over  $V$ . For any linear map  $T$  of a vector space  $V_1$  into a vector space  $V_2$  we will denote by  $\bar{T}$  the induced map of  $\wedge^p(V_1)$  into  $\wedge^p(V_2)$ . For any  $g_0 \in G$  we will let  $d_{g_0}$  be the differential at  $g_0$  of the projection of  $G$  onto  $G/K$  sending  $g$  into  $Kg$ . For any Lie group and any element  $a$  of that group  $R_a$  will be the differential at the identity element  $e$  of the map sending  $g$  into  $ga$  and  $L_a$  will be the differential at  $e$  of the map sending  $g$  into  $ag$ .

Given  $\omega_0$  we now define  $\nu$  to be the  $p$ -vector field whose value at any  $Kg \in G/K$  is  $\bar{R}_{Kg}(\bar{d}_e(\omega_0))$ . This  $p$ -vector field is obviously invariant under the action of  $G$  on  $G/K$ . To complete the proof that in the special case of a homogeneous space our lemma holds we need to show that for any  $x \in G$ ,  $\nu(Kx)$  is the image of  $\omega_0$  under the map of  $p$ -vectors induced by the function  $f_{Kx}$  sending  $g \in G$  into  $Kxg$ . We see that this means we have to show that  $\nu(Kx) = \bar{d}_x \bar{L}_x(\omega_0)$ .

However  $R_{Kx} = L_{Kx} \text{Ad}_{G/K}(Kx)$ , so  $\bar{R}_{Kx} = \bar{L}_{Kx} \bar{\text{Ad}}_{G/K}(Kx)$ . Since we are assuming  $G/K$  is unimodular,  $\bar{\text{Ad}}_{G/K}(Kx)$  is the identity map for any  $x$  so  $\bar{R}_{Kx} = \bar{L}_{Kx}$ . Then  $\nu(Kx) = \bar{R}_{Kx} \bar{d}_e(\omega_0) = \bar{L}_{Kx} \bar{d}_e(\omega_0)$ . However  $L_{Kx} d_e = d_x L_x$ , so we get  $\nu(Kx) = \bar{d}_x \bar{L}_x(\omega_0)$  as we wished.

To complete the proof of Lemma 1 and therefore that of Theorem 1, we note that for any  $x \in M^n$  there is a homogeneous space  $L/D_x$  of the kind we have considered

and a 1–1 equivariant continuous map of  $L/D_x$  onto the orbit containing  $x$ . The  $p$ -vector  $\omega_0$  induces a  $p$ -vector field both on  $L/D_x$  and on  $M^n$  and the fact that the field on  $L/D_x$  is invariant implies that the field on  $M^n$  is invariant.

This establishes Lemma 1 and therefore concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

If we are given a preferred action of  $L$  on  $M^n$  such that no non-constant invariant continuous function exists, then if we choose  $\omega_0$  such that the corresponding  $\nu$  is not identically zero, either  $\nu$  or  $-\nu$  will be a positive quantifier. Thus in this case we can hope to construct the (essentially unique) positive quantifier.

Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 2 we need to cite a result that appears in [6, Theorems I.12 and I.13].

Assume we have given a particular positive quantifier  $\nu$ . If  $\mu$  is any finite non-negative measure on the Borel subsets of  $M^n$ , the map which assigns to any  $p$ -form  $\omega$  the value  $\int_{M^n} \omega \lrcorner \nu d\mu$  is called a structure current in [6].

It is a current in the sense of De Rham and we will denote it by  $\lambda_\mu^\nu$ . Recall also that in the introduction we associated with any transversal invariant measure  $\tau$  a current that we denoted by  $\lambda_\tau$ .

Using a partition of unity it is easy to see that every continuous real valued function on  $M^n$  is of the form  $\omega \lrcorner \nu$ , so two different measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  determine different structure currents, and moreover if  $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$  then  $\lambda_{\tau_1} \neq \lambda_{\tau_2}$ .

The result we need from [6] asserts that there is a 1–1 correspondence between closed structure currents  $\lambda_\mu^\nu$  and transversal invariant measures  $\tau$  such that  $\lambda_\mu^\nu = \lambda_\tau$  when  $\lambda_\mu^\nu$  and  $\tau$  correspond.

What we are going to do is prove that  $\lambda_\mu^\nu$  is closed if and only if  $\mu$  is an invariant measure. This will give us a canonical 1–1 correspondence between transversal invariant measures and finite invariant measures defined on the Borel subsets of  $M^n$  given a positive quantifier  $\nu$ .

First we will prove:

**Theorem 2A**  $\lambda_\mu^\nu$  is closed if and only if  $\mu$  is an invariant measure.

**Proof** Assume  $\lambda_\mu^\nu$  is closed; let  $\tau$  be the corresponding transversal invariant measure. If  $f$  is a given real valued continuous function on  $M^n$ , then as was noted previously we can find a  $p$ -form  $\omega$  such that  $f = \omega \lrcorner \nu$ . Then

$$\lambda_\tau(\omega) = \int \omega \lrcorner \nu d\mu = \int f(x) d\mu(x).$$

For any function or tensor on  $M^n$  we will indicate its translate by an element  $\ell \in L$  by adjoining a subscript  $\ell$ . Thus  $f_\ell(x)$  will equal  $f(x\ell)$ .

We next note that since  $\tau$  is a transversal invariant measure,  $\lambda_\tau(\omega) = \lambda_\tau(\omega\ell) = \int \omega_\ell \lrcorner \nu d\mu$  and since  $\nu = \nu_\ell$ , we see that  $\int f(x) d\mu(x) = \int f(x\ell) d\mu(x)$ . Thus  $\mu$  is an invariant measure.

Next we want to show that if  $\mu$  is an invariant measure  $\lambda_\mu^\nu$  is closed. This just says that for any  $(p-1)$  form  $\alpha$ ,  $\int_{M^n} d\alpha \lrcorner \nu d\mu$  is zero.

If  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  are flow boxes the union of whose interiors is all of  $M^n$  we can get smooth functions  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  such that the support of each  $f_i$  is contained in the interior of  $F_i$  and  $\sum f_i = 1$ . Then  $d\alpha = \sum d(f_i\alpha)$ . Therefore it is enough to show that

$\int_{M^n} d\alpha \lrcorner v d\mu = 0$  whenever  $\alpha$  is a smooth  $(p - 1)$  form whose support is contained in the interior of a flow box.

Suppose then that we have a smooth closed  $(n - p)$  disc  $D$  transverse to our foliation and let  $F: B^{n-p} \times B^p \rightarrow M^n$  be a smooth map sending  $B^{n-p} \times B^p$  diffeomorphically into  $M^n$ . Assume further that for any  $a \in B^{n-p}$ ,  $F(a \times B^p)$  is contained in a single orbit, and that  $F(B^{n-p} \times (0, \dots, 0))$  is  $D$ .

For any  $x = F(a, (0, \dots, 0))$  in  $D$  let  $L_x$  equal  $F(a, B^p)$ . We let  $\Pi$  be the map of  $F(B^{n-p} \times B^p)$  onto  $D$  sending  $F(a, b)$  onto  $F(a, (0, \dots, 0))$ . Define the measure  $\tau_D$  on  $D$  to equal  $\Pi^* \bar{\mu}$ , where  $\bar{\mu}$  is the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $F(B^{n-p} \times B^p)$ . Then we will need the following standard result:

We can associate with each  $x$  in the interior of  $D$  a probability measure  $\bar{\mu}_x$  whose support is contained in  $L_x$  so that

- (a) For each continuous function  $f$  whose support is contained in the interior of  $F(B^{n-p} \times B^p)$  the function  $k: x \rightarrow \int_{L_x} f(q) d\bar{\mu}_x(q)$  is a Borel measurable function on the interior of  $D$ .
- (b)

$$\int_{F(B^{n-p} \times B^p)} f(q) d\bar{\mu}(q) = \int k(x) d\tau_D(x).$$

Moreover if  $\bar{\mu}_x^1$  and  $\bar{\mu}_x^2$  are two such sets of measures there is a set  $N$  contained in the interior of  $D$  such that  $\tau_D(N) = 0$  and for any  $x$  in the interior of  $D$  and outside  $N$ ,  $\bar{\mu}_x^1 = \bar{\mu}_x^2$ .

The space of  $p$ -vectors tangent to any  $L_x$  is one dimensional so there is an unambiguous meaning attached to the  $p$ -form  $v_x^*$  defined on  $L_x$  and dual to  $v$  along  $L_x$ .

We are going to show that for  $x$  in  $D$  (except for a set of  $\tau_D$ -measure zero)  $\bar{\mu}_x$  is a scalar multiple of the measure on the interior of  $L_x$  arising from  $v_x^*$ .

If  $\alpha$  is a smooth  $(p - 1)$  form whose support is contained in the interior of our flow box,

$$\int_{L_x} (d\alpha \lrcorner v) v_x^* = \int_{L_x} d\alpha = 0.$$

Therefore we will have showed that for  $x$  outside a set of  $\tau_D$  measure zero,

$$\int_{L_x} (d\alpha \lrcorner v) d\bar{\mu}_x = 0$$

and hence that

$$\int_{F(B^{n-p} \times B^p)} (d\alpha \lrcorner v) d\bar{\mu} = 0.$$

By what we have said previously this will suffice to prove that  $\lambda_\mu^v$  is closed.

We will need the following:

**Lemma 2** Suppose  $\ell \in L$  and  $f$  is a continuous function such that for  $q$  outside a compact subset of the interior of  $F(B^{n-p} \times B^p)$  both  $f(q)$  and  $f(q\ell)$  vanish. Then there is a set  $N_\ell^f$  in the interior of  $D$  such that

- (a)  $\tau_D(N_\ell^f) = 0$ .
- (b) If  $x \notin N_\ell^f$  but  $x$  is in the interior of  $D$

$$\int_{L_x} f(q) d\bar{\mu}_x(q) = \int_{L_x} f(q\ell) d\bar{\mu}_x(q).$$

**Proof** To prove this we note that since  $\mu$  is an invariant measure,

$$\int_{F(B^{n-p} \times B^p)} f(q) d\bar{\mu}(q) = \int_{F(B^{n-p} \times B^p)} f(q\ell) d\bar{\mu}(q).$$

By the same token if  $g$  is any continuous function on the interior of  $D$

$$\int_{F(B^{n-p} \times B^p)} g(\Pi q) f(q) d\bar{\mu}(q) = \int_{F(B^{n-p} \times B^p)} g(\Pi q) f(q\ell) d\bar{\mu}(q).$$

Therefore

$$\int_D \left( \int_{L_x} (f(q) - f(q\ell)) d\bar{\mu}_x(q) \right) g(x) d\tau_D(x) = 0.$$

Thus if  $h(x) = \int_{L_x} (f(q) - f(q\ell)) d\bar{\mu}_x(q)$ ,  $h(x) = 0$  except on a set of  $\tau_D$  measure zero, which proves our lemma.

Now let  $C(M^n)$  be the Banach space of continuous real valued functions on  $M^n$  and let  $S$  be the set of all pairs  $(f, \ell)$  in  $C(M^n) \times L$  such that both  $f(x)$  and  $f(x\ell)$  vanish for  $x$  outside a compact subset of the interior of  $F(B^{n-p} \times B^p)$ . Let  $\{(f_i, \ell_i)\}$  be a countable dense subset of  $S$ . If we let  $N_D = \bigcup N_{\ell_i}^{f_i}$ , then  $\tau_D(N_D) = 0$ .

**Lemma 3** For any  $f$  and any  $\ell$ , if  $x$  is outside  $N_D$  and both  $f(q)$  and  $f(q\ell)$  vanish for  $q$  outside a compact subset of the interior of  $F(B^{n-p} \times B^p)$  then

$$\int_{L_x} f(q) d\bar{\mu}_x(q) = \int_{L_x} f(q\ell) d\bar{\mu}_x(q).$$

**Proof** Obvious.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2A. We need only show that for  $x$  in the interior of  $D$  but outside  $N_D$  the measure  $\bar{\mu}_x$  is a scalar multiple of the measure on  $L_x$  determined by  $\nu_x^*$ .

If we put the leaf topology on the orbit  $O(x)$  containing  $x$ ,  $O(x)$  becomes a homogeneous space of the Lie group  $L$ . The  $p$ -form  $\nu_x^*$  on  $O(x)$  determined a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $O(x)$  invariant under the action of  $L$ . However a  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure on a homogeneous space of a connected Lie group is unique up to a multiplicative constant. Therefore we need only show that  $\bar{\mu}_x$  on  $L_x$  extends to a  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure on  $O(x)$ .

We first note that for any  $\ell \in L$  the collection of all bounded functions  $g$  on  $O(x)$  such that  $g(y)$  and  $g(y\ell)$  both vanish outside the interior of  $L_x$  and such that  $\int g(y) d\bar{\mu}_x(y)$  and  $\int g(y\ell) d\bar{\mu}_x(y)$  are defined and equal is closed under bounded



pointwise convergence. It follows from Lemma 3 that if  $S$  is any Borel subset of the interior of  $L_x$  such that  $S\ell$  is contained in the interior of  $L_x$  then  $\bar{\mu}_x(S) = \bar{\mu}_x(S\ell)$ .

By virtue of the homeomorphism  $x \rightarrow x\ell$  we can put a measure on  $(L_x)\ell$  that is the translate by  $\ell$  of  $\bar{\mu}_x$ . By what we established above, for any Borel subset of the interior of  $(L_x)\ell_1 \cap (L_x)\ell_2$ , the measures we get are the same.

Now suppose  $K$  is any compact subset of  $O(x)$ . We can choose a finite set  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n$  in  $L$  such that  $K$  is contained in  $\bigcup(\text{interior } L_x)\ell_i$ . Consider the collection of all sets  $K \cap B_1 \cap \dots \cap B_n$  where each  $B_i$  equals either  $(\text{interior } L_x)\ell_i$  or its complement. If we exclude the case where each  $B_i$  is the complement of  $(\text{interior } L_x)\ell_i$ , we get  $2^n - 1$  sets that cover  $K$  and on each of these sets we have a countably additive measure gotten by translating  $\bar{\mu}_x$ . Thus we get a countably additive measure on  $K$ .

If  $\ell'_1, \dots, \ell'_n$  is another set such that  $K$  is contained in  $\bigcup(\text{interior } L_x)\ell'_i$ , we need to show that the measure we get on  $K$  from this set is the same as that which we got from  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n$ . However if we take the union of these two finite sets and consider the measure we get on  $K$  from this finite set, it is clear that this measure coincides both with the one we got from  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n$  and the one we got from  $\ell'_1, \dots, \ell'_n$ .

It is also clear that if  $S$  is a Borel subset of  $K$  and  $S\ell$  is also a subset of  $K$  their measures are equal.

Finally, choose an increasing sequence  $K_1, \dots, K_n, \dots$  of compact sets whose interiors cover  $O(x)$ . This enables us to define an extension of  $\bar{\mu}_x$  from the collection of Borel subsets of  $L_x$  to the collection of all Borel sets with compact closure. This can be seen to extend to a countably additive measure on  $O(x)$  which is invariant under the action of  $L$  and is  $\sigma$ -finite. Thus the proof of Theorem 2A is completed.

By what was said previously this establishes:

**Theorem 2** *There is a 1–1 correspondence between transversal invariant measures  $\tau$  and finite invariant measures  $\mu$  on the Borel subsets of  $M^n$  such that  $\lambda_\tau = \lambda_\mu^v$  if  $\tau$  and  $\mu$  correspond.*

**Corollary** *An oriented action of a connected commutative Lie group always possesses a transversal invariant measure.*

**Proof** It follows from Theorem 1 that such an action always has a positive quantifier.

It is well known that any commutative group of homeomorphisms of a compact metric space possesses an invariant Borel measure. Thus our corollary follows from Theorem 2.

## 4 The Applications

We are now ready to prove:

**Theorem 3** *If  $v$  is a positive quantifier and the invariant measure  $\mu$  arises from a positive  $n$ -form  $\omega$  then  $\omega \rfloor v$  is closed and  $A_\mu^v$  can be gotten by Poincaré duality from the element of  $H^{n-p}(M^n, R)$  determined by  $\omega \rfloor v$ .*

**Proof** Suppose we are given a smooth flow box centered at the transversal  $D$ . If  $\alpha$  is any  $p$ -form whose support is contained in the interior of the image of  $F$  and  $\tau'_D$  is the transversal invariant measure associated with  $(\nu, \mu)$  then  $\int_{F(B^{n-p} \times B^p)} (\alpha \rfloor \nu) \omega = \int_D (\int_{L_x} \alpha) d\tau'_D(x)$ . However, since  $\omega \rfloor \nu$  is invariant under the action of our Lie group, by introducing coordinates we see that  $\int_D (\int_{L_x} \alpha) d\tau'_D(x) = \int (\omega \rfloor \nu) \wedge \alpha$ .

Any  $p$ -form  $\alpha$  is a finite sum of  $p$ -forms whose supports are contained in the interiors of the images of smooth flow boxes and in our discussion of the Ruelle-Sullivan class we saw that we could use this to define  $\lambda(\alpha)$  for any  $p$ -form  $\alpha$ . We said that if we started with any transverse invariant measure  $\tau$ , the  $\lambda_\tau(\alpha)$  we got was zero for any bounding  $p$ -form  $\alpha$ . Thus  $\int_{M^n} (\omega \rfloor \nu) \wedge \alpha = 0$  for any bounding  $p$ -form  $\alpha$ , which implies that  $\omega \rfloor \nu$  is closed. Moreover the equality  $\lambda(\alpha) = \int_{M^n} (\omega \rfloor \nu) \wedge \alpha$  precisely tells us that the Ruelle-Sullivan class  $A_\tau$  and consequently the asymptotic cycle  $A_\mu^v$  arises from  $\omega \rfloor \nu$  by Poincaré duality.

**Theorem 4** *Suppose we are given a preferred action that preserves a Riemannian metric and that  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  are positive quantifiers for this action. Then if  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are finite invariant measures, there is a positive constant  $\lambda$  such that  $A_{\mu_2}^{\nu_2} = \lambda A_{\mu_1}^{\nu_1}$ .*

We are going to associate with a suitably chosen  $p$ -vector  $w_0$  over the tangent space at the identity of our original Lie group  $L$  a positive quantifier  $\nu_0$ . For a positive quantifier  $\nu_0$  gotten in this way we will be able to establish two properties that, taken together, will imply Theorem 4. First we will show that if  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are any two finite invariant measures then

$$A_{\mu_1}^{\nu_0} / \mu_1(M^n) = A_{\mu_2}^{\nu_0} / \mu_2(M^n).$$

We will also see that if  $\nu$  is any positive quantifier and  $\mu$  is any finite invariant measure there exists a positive constant  $\alpha$  such that  $A_\mu^v = \alpha A_\mu^{\nu_0}$ . It is clear that once we have established these two properties of  $\nu_0$ , Theorem 4 will have been proved.

**Proof** Recall that the group of isometries of the compact manifold  $M^n$  is a Lie group that acts smoothly on  $M^n$ . The action of  $L$  on  $M^n$  gives a 1–1 continuous homomorphism of  $L$  into this group. Let the closure of the image of  $L$  be denoted by  $\bar{L}$ . It is a compact Lie group that acts smoothly on  $M^n$ .

Any orbit under the action of  $\bar{L}$  on  $M^n$  determines a conjugacy class of subgroups of  $\bar{L}$ , namely the isotropy groups of points in the orbit. Theorem 3.1 of [2] asserts:

There exists a maximum orbit type  $\bar{L}/H$  for  $\bar{L}$  on  $M^n$  (i.e.,  $H$  is conjugate to a subgroup of each isotropy group). The union  $M_{(H)}^n$  of the orbits of type  $\bar{L}/H$  is open and dense in  $M^n$  and its image  $M_{(H)}^*$  in the orbit space  $M^* = M^n / \bar{L}$  is connected.

An orbit of type  $\bar{L}/H$  is called a *principal orbit*.

We will also need the following consequence of Theorem 5.8 of [2].

If  $q \in M_{(H)}^*$  there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $q$  in  $M_{(H)}^*$  for which there exists an equivariant diffeomorphism of  $U \times \bar{L}/H \rightarrow$  onto  $F^{-1}(U)$ , where  $F$  is the projection of  $M^n$  onto  $M^n / \bar{L}$ . (Here  $\bar{L}$  acts on  $U \times \bar{L}/H$  so that  $g \in \bar{L}$  sends  $(q, Hg_0)$  into  $(q, Hg_0g)$ .)

Now suppose that  $\omega_0$  is any element in the space of  $p$ -vectors over the tangent space at the identity  $e$  in our original Lie group  $L$ . For any  $x \in M^n$  let  $f_x$  be the map of  $L$  into  $M^n$  that sends  $\ell \in L$  into  $x\ell$ . Define  $\nu_0(x)$  to be the image of  $\omega_0$  under the map of  $p$ -vectors induced by  $f_x$ . Then Lemma 1 tells us that  $\nu_0$  is a quantifier for the action of  $L$ , because this is a preferred action.

Since the image of  $L$  is dense in  $\bar{L}$ ,  $\nu_0$  is invariant under the action of  $\bar{L}$ . By the Theorem of [2] cited above it follows that if  $\nu_0$  is zero on  $F^{-1}(z)$  where  $z \in M_{(H)}^*$ , there is an open set  $V$  in  $M_{(H)}^*$  containing  $z$  such that  $\nu_0$  is zero on  $F^{-1}(V)$ . However the set of all  $q \in M_{(H)}^*$  such that  $\nu_0$  is zero on  $F^{-1}(q)$  is closed in  $M_{(H)}^*$ . Since  $M_{(H)}^*$  is connected it would follow that  $\nu_0$  is zero on  $F^{-1}(M_{(H)}^*)$ , and since  $M_{(H)}^*$  is dense in  $M^n/\bar{L}$  it would follow that  $\nu_0$  is identically zero.

Since  $\nu_0$  is invariant under the action of  $\bar{L}$  and  $\bar{L}$  is connected, it follows that if  $\nu_0$  is not identically zero it vanishes nowhere on  $F^{-1}(M_{(H)}^*)$ . By the same theorem from [2] we used above we see that if  $z \in M_{(H)}^*$ , either there is an open set  $W \subseteq M_{(H)}^*$  such that  $z \in W$  and  $\nu_0$  is a positive quantifier on  $F^{-1}(W)$  or the same holds for  $-\nu_0$ . Since  $M_{(H)}^*$  is connected and  $\nu_0$  vanishes nowhere on  $F^{-1}(M_{(H)}^*)$  it follows that either  $\nu_0$  is a positive quantifier on  $F^{-1}(M_{(H)}^*)$  or the same holds for  $-\nu_0$ .

Since  $M_{(H)}^*$  is dense in  $M^n/\bar{L}$ , it follows that there are only three possibilities:

- (a)  $\nu_0$  is identically zero,
- (b)  $\nu_0$  is semipositive,
- (c)  $-\nu_0$  is semipositive.

Now we wish to prove:

**Lemma 4** *We can choose  $\omega_0$  so that the corresponding  $\nu_0$  is a positive quantifier.*

**Proof** By the compactness of  $M^n$  and the fact that  $\nu_0$  depends linearly on  $\omega_0$ , we see that it is enough to show that for any  $x \in M^n$  we can choose  $\omega_0$  so that  $\nu_0$  is semipositive and  $\nu_0(x) \neq 0$ . We can certainly pick  $\omega_0$  so that  $\nu_0(x)$  is positive.

Since possibilities (a) and (c) above cannot hold,  $\nu_0$  is semipositive. Thus our lemma is proved.

Next let  $f$  be any continuous real valued function on  $M^n$  and let  $m$  be a probability measure on  $\bar{L}$ .

**Lemma 5**  $\int_{M^n} f(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{M^n} \left( \int_{\bar{L}} f(xg) dm(g) \right) d\mu(x)$ .

**Proof** By the fact that  $\mu$  is an invariant measure this must hold if  $m$  is concentrated at a single point. It follows that it is still true if the support of  $\mu$  is finite. However if we let  $h_x(g) = f(xg)$ , the family of function  $h_x$  is equiuniformly continuous and uniformly bounded. It follows that we can get a sequence  $m_i$  of probability measures such that the support of each  $m_i$  is finite and  $\int h_x(g) dm_i(g)$  converges uniformly in  $x$  to  $\int h_x(g) dm(g)$ . Our lemma follows.

If we let  $m$  be Haar measure on  $\bar{L}$  with  $m(\bar{L}) = 1$  and if  $\lambda_x$  is the invariant measure on  $x\bar{L}$  such that  $\lambda_x(x\bar{L}) = 1$ , we note that  $\int_{\bar{L}} f(xg) dm(g) = \int_{x\bar{L}} f(y) d\lambda_x(y)$ . (We know that such an invariant measure  $\lambda_x$  exists because  $\bar{L}$  is compact.)

Now suppose  $\omega$  is a closed  $p$ -form on  $M^n$  and  $q \in M_H^*$ . We know there is equivariant diffeomorphism between  $F^{-1}(U)$  and  $U \times \bar{L}/H$  for some connected open set  $U$  containing  $q$ . Via this diffeomorphism  $\omega$  corresponds to a closed form  $\bar{\omega}$  on  $U \times \bar{L}/H$ . If  $v_0$  is a positive quantifier on  $M^n$  arising from a  $p$ -vector  $\omega_0$  over the tangent space to  $L$  at its identity element, the restriction of  $v_0$  to  $F^{-1}(U)$  corresponds via this diffeomorphism to the positive quantifier  $\bar{v}_0$  on the  $L$  space  $U \times \bar{L}/H$  arising from  $\omega_0$ . The form  $\omega_1$  on  $\bar{L}/H$  that arises from the imbedding of  $\bar{L}/H$  into  $U \times \bar{L}/H$  that sends  $Hg$  into  $(q_1, Hg)$  for any  $q_1 \in U$  is cohomologous to the form  $\omega_2$  we get using any other  $q_2 \in U$ . Here we are assuming, as we may, that  $U$  is arcwise connected so that these two imbeddings are homotopic. Therefore the integral of  $\omega_1 \rfloor \bar{v}_0$  with respect to any invariant measure  $\lambda$  on the  $L$  space  $\bar{L}/H$  is the same as the integral of  $\omega_2 \rfloor \bar{v}_0$ , as follows from the fact that these integrals depend only on the cohomology class determined by our forms, a fact that we learn from Lemma 2.

Thus if on each  $\bar{L}$  orbit  $x\bar{L}$  we place the invariant measure  $\lambda_x$ , then  $\int_{x\bar{L}} \omega \rfloor v_0 d\lambda_x$  is a function on  $M/\bar{L}$  that is locally constant on the connected set  $M_H^*$ . Thus  $\int_{x\bar{L}} \omega \rfloor v_0 d\lambda_x$  is constant on  $M_H^*$ . Since  $\int_{\bar{L}} (\omega \rfloor v_0)(xg) dm(g) = \int_{x\bar{L}} \omega \rfloor v_0 d\lambda_x$  is a continuous function of  $x \in M^n$  that is constant on the dense subset  $F^{-1}(M_{(H)}^*)$  it is constant on all of  $M^n$ . By Lemma 5 we see that for any finite invariant measure  $\mu$  on  $M^n$ ,

$$\int_{M^n} (\omega \rfloor v_0)(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{M^n} k(\omega) d\mu(x) = k(\omega)\mu(M^n)$$

where  $k(\omega) = \int_{\bar{L}} (\omega \rfloor v_0)(xg) dm(g)$  for any  $x \in M^n$ . Thus if we identify  $A_\mu^{v_0}$  with the element of  $\text{Hom}(H^p(M^n, R), R)$  that it determines,  $k(\omega) = \frac{1}{\mu(M^n)}$  times the value of  $A_\mu^{v_0}$  at the cohomology class of  $\omega$ . Therefore  $A_{\mu_1}^{v_0} = \frac{\mu_1(M^n)}{\mu_2(M^n)} A_{\mu_2}^{v_0}$  for any two invariant measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ . This establishes the first of the two properties of  $v_0$  that we need to have in order to prove Theorem 4.

Now if  $v_2$  is any positive quantifier on  $M^n$ , there is a continuous function  $\beta$  on  $M^n/\bar{L}$  such that  $v_2(x) = \beta(F(x)) v_0(x)$ .

Thus by Lemma 5, for any invariant measure  $\mu$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M^n} \omega \rfloor v_2 d\mu &= \int_{M^n} \left( \int_{\bar{L}} (\omega \rfloor v_2)(xg) dm(g) \right) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{M^n} \beta(F(x)) k(\omega) d\mu(x) = k(\omega) \int_{M^n} \beta(F(x)) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that  $A_\mu^{v_2}$  equals a positive constant times  $A_\mu^{v_0}$ . This establishes the second property of  $v_0$  that we needed and therefore the proof of Theorem 4 is completed.

In this connection it is worth proving the following:

**Proposition** *If  $v$  is a positive quantifier for an oriented flow and  $O$  is any compact orbit, there is an invariant measure  $\mu$  such that  $A_\mu^v$  is the element of  $H_p(M^n, R)$  arising from the fundamental homology class of the oriented orbit  $O$ .*

**Proof** We need only show that there is a transversal invariant measure  $\tau$  such that this is true for  $A_\tau$ .

Any transversal disc intersects  $O$  in only a finite number of points. For any transversal  $D$  of our action and any Borel subset of the interior of  $D$  we define  $\tau_D(S)$  to be the number of points of  $O$  that lie in  $S$ . Then it follows from the way we defined  $A_\tau$  that for any closed  $p$ -form  $\omega$  the element of  $\text{Hom}(H^p(M^n), R)$  determined by  $A_\tau$ , when applied to the cohomology class of  $\omega$ , is  $\int_O \omega$ . This proves our proposition.

Finally, suppose  $G$  is a connected Lie group and  $K$  is a closed subgroup such that the space of right cosets  $G/K$  is compact. Let  $L$  be a subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to a Lie subalgebra  $\ell$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$ . Suppose that there are no non-constant continuous invariant functions for the action of  $L$  on the right on  $G/K$ . Suppose further that  $\nu$  is a positive quantifier for the action and that  $K$  possesses a  $p$ -dimensional jacket  $H$ , where  $p$  is the dimension of each orbit under the action of  $L$  on  $G/K$ .

**Theorem 5** If  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are two invariant probability measures,  $A_{\mu_1}^\nu = A_{\mu_2}^\nu$ .

**Proof** The compact group  $G/H$  is acted on by  $L$  and the projection of  $G/K$  onto  $G/H$  is equivariant. Each  $p$ -dimensional cohomology class over the reals on  $G/H$  is represented by a  $p$ -form that is invariant under the action of  $G/H$  on itself on the right and therefore is invariant under the action of  $L$ . Given a  $p$ -dimensional cohomology class  $\lambda$  on  $G/K$ , choose such an invariant form in a cohomology class on  $G/H$  that lifts to  $\lambda$ . If  $\omega$  is the lifting of this form to  $G/K$ ,  $\omega$  is invariant under the action of  $L$  because the map of  $G/K$  to  $G/H$  is equivariant. Then  $\omega \lrcorner \nu$  is an invariant function on  $G/K$  and therefore is a constant. Hence  $\int_{G/K} \omega \lrcorner \nu d\mu$  is the same for all invariant probability measures  $\mu$ . This proves our theorem.

## References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Livre VI: Intégration*. Chapitre 6. Paris, Hermann, 1959, 58.
- [2] Glen Bredon, *Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups*. Academic Press, 1972.
- [3] Alain Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*. Academic Press, 1994.
- [4] David Ruelle and Dennis Sullivan, *Currents, Flows and Diffeomorphisms*. *Topology* (4) **14**(1975), 319.
- [5] Sol Schwartzman, *Asymptotic Cycles*. *Annals of Math.* **66**(1957), 270.
- [6] Dennis Sullivan, *Dynamical Study of Foliated Manifolds and Complex Manifolds*. *Invent. Math.* **36**(1976), 225.

*Department of Mathematics  
University of Rhode Island  
Kingston, Rhode Island 02881  
USA*