

## A THEOREM ON COMMUTATIVITY OF SEMI-PRIME RINGS

MURTAZA A. QUADRI AND MOHD. ASHRAF

The following Theorem is proved: Let  $R$  be a semi-prime ring in which either  $(xy)^n - x^n y^n$  or  $(xy)^n - y^n x^n$  is central, for all  $x, y$  in  $R$  where  $n > 1$  is a fixed integer. Then  $R$  is commutative.

### 1. Introduction.

A theorem of Herstein [6] states that a ring  $R$  satisfying the identity  $(xy)^n = x^n y^n$ , where  $n > 1$  is a fixed positive integer, must have nil commutator ideal. Later Awtar [3] and Abu-Khuzam [1] established commutativity of the rings satisfying the above identity imposing the torsion conditions on the additive group  $R^+$ . In this direction Bell [5] proved that if  $R$  is an  $n$ -torsion free ring with identity 1 and satisfies the two identities  $(xy)^n = x^n y^n$  and  $(xy)^{n+1} = x^{n+1} y^{n+1}$ , then  $R$  is commutative. Recently Abu-Khuzam [2] extended the mentioned results as follows: "If  $R$  is a semi-prime ring in which, for each  $x$  in  $R$ , there exists an integer  $n = n(x) > 1$  such that  $(xy)^n = x^n y^n$ , for all  $y$  in  $R$ , then  $R$  is commutative."

---

Received 6th January 1986.

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/86  
\$A2.00 + 0.00.

Our present aim is to generalize the above result. In fact we prove the following:

**THEOREM:** *Let  $n > 1$  be a fixed positive integer and  $R$  be a semi-prime ring which satisfies one of the following polynomial identities:*

$$(Z_1) \text{ For all } x, y, z \text{ in } R, [(xy)^n - x^n y^n, z] = 0,$$

$$(Z_2) \text{ For all } x, y, z \text{ in } R, [(xy)^n - y^n x^n, z] = 0.$$

*Then  $R$  is commutative.*

Throughout the paper  $R$  denotes an associative ring and for all  $x, y$  in  $R$ ,  $[x, y] = xy - yx$ .

## 2. The following lemma is due to Bell [4]:

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring satisfying an identity  $q(X) = 0$ , where  $q(X)$  is a polynomial in a finite number of non-commuting indeterminates, its coefficients being integers with highest common factor 1. If there exists no prime  $p$  for which the ring of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $GF(p)$  satisfies  $q(X) = 0$ , then  $R$  has a nilcommutator ideal and the nilpotent elements of  $R$  form an ideal.*

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a prime ring satisfying the hypothesis of the theorem. Then  $R$  has no nonzero nilpotent element.*

**Proof.** Let  $x$  be an element of  $R$  such that  $x^2 = 0$ . Using the hypothesis  $(Z_1)$  or  $(Z_2)$  of the Theorem, we get  $(xy)^n z = z(xy)^n$ , for all  $y, z \in R$ . With  $z = x$ , we get  $(xy)^n x = 0$ , that is  $(xy)^{n+1} = 0$ , for all  $y$  in  $R$ . Whence it follows that  $xR$  is a right ideal of  $R$  in which  $t^{n+1} = 0$ , for each  $t \in xR$ . Thus  $xR = (0)$ , by lemma 1.1 of [7]. This implies that  $x = 0$ , since  $R$  is prime.

**Proof of the Theorem.** We shall prove the result for rings satisfying  $(Z_1)$ . In the other case one can get the result by proceeding on the same lines. Since  $R$  is semi-prime satisfying the identity  $q(x, y, z) = (xy)^n z - x^n y^n z - z(xy)^n + zx^n y^n = 0$ , then it is isomorphic to a subdirect-sum of prime rings  $R_\alpha$  each of which as a homomorphic image of  $R$

satisfies the hypothesis placed on  $R$ . Hence we can assume that  $R$  is a prime ring satisfying  $q(x, y, z) = (xy)^n z - x^n y^n z - z(xy)^n + zx^n y^n = 0$ , which is a polynomial identity with co-prime integral coefficients. Now if we consider  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , we find that no  $2 \times 2$  matrix ring over  $GF(p)$ ,  $p$  a prime, satisfies the identity. Hence by Lemma 2.1,  $R$  has a nilcommutator ideal. But by Lemma 2.2,  $R$  has no non-zero nilpotent elements. Thus the commutator ideal is zero and  $R$  is commutative.

The following example shows that the above theorem is not true for arbitrary rings:

EXAMPLE. Let  $D$  be a division ring and

$$A_K = \{(a_{ij}) \in D_K \mid a_{ij} = 0 (i \geq j)\} \quad K > 2.$$

Then  $A_3$  is a noncommutative nilpotent ring of index 3, which is not semi-prime, satisfies the identities in the hypothesis of the theorem.

### References

- [1] Hazar Abu-Khuzam, "Acommutativity theorem for rings", *Math. Japon.* 25 (1980), 593-595.
- [2] --- "A commutativity theorem for semi-prime rings", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 27 (1983), 221-224.
- [3] R. Awtar, "On the commutativity of non-associative rings", *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 22 (1975), 177-188.
- [4] H. E. Bell, "On some commutativity theorem of Herstein", *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 24 (1973), 34-38.
- [5] --- "On the power map and ring commutativity", *Canad. Math. Bull.* 21 (1978), 399-404.
- [6] I. N. Herstein, "Power Maps in rings", *Michigan Math. J.* 8 (1961), 29-32.
- [7] --- *Topics in Ring theory*, (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, London, 1969).

Department of Mathematics  
 Aligarh Muslim University  
 Aligarh 202 001 (INDIA).