DOI: 10.1079/BJN20041159

Energy and nutrient dietary reference values for children in Europe: methodological approaches and current nutritional recommendations

Ann Prentice¹, Francesco Branca², Tamás Decsi³, Kim Fleischer Michaelsen⁴, Reg J. Fletcher⁵, Pierre Guesry⁶, Friedrich Manz⁷, Michel Vidailhet⁸, Daphne Pannemans⁹ and Sonia Samartín⁹*

The Expert Group on the Methodological Approaches and Current Nutritional Recommendations in Children and Adolescents was convened to consider the current situation across Europe with regard to dietary recommendations and reference values for children aged 2–18 years. Information was obtained for twenty-nine of the thirty-nine countries in Europe and a comprehensive compilation was made of the dietary recommendations current up to September 2002. This report presents a review of the concepts of dietary reference values and a comparison of the methodological approaches used in each country. Attention is drawn to the special considerations that are needed for establishing dietary reference values for children and adolescents. Tables are provided of the current dietary reference values for energy and for the macronutrients, vitamins, minerals, trace elements and water. Brief critiques are included to indicate the scientific foundations of the reference values for children and to offer, where possible, an explanation for the wide differences that exist between countries. This compilation demonstrated that there are considerable disparities in the perceived nutritional requirements of European children and adolescents. Although some of this diversity can be attributed to real physiological and environmental differences, most is due to differences in philosophy about the best methodological approach to use and in the way the theoretical approaches are applied. The report highlights the main methodological and technological issues that will need to be resolved before harmonisation can be fully considered. Solving these issues may help to improve the quality and consistency of dietary reference values across Europe. However, there are also considerable scientific and political barriers that will need to be overcome and the question of whether harmonisation of dietary reference values for children and adolescents is a desirable or achievable goal for Europe requires further consideration.

Nutritional reference values: Children: Adolescents: Europe

Introduction: The role of the Expert Group 1 Committee and the purpose of the paper

The Expert Group on the Methodological Approaches and Current Nutritional Recommendations in Children and Adolescents was convened to consider the current situation across Europe with regard to dietary recommendations and reference values for children aged 2–18 years. The Expert Group was given the following remit by the Task Force on the Nutritional Needs of Children

of the European branch of the International Life Sciences Institute (ILSI Europe):

- 1. To consider:
 - A. What are the methodological approaches used to establish the nutritional needs of children/adolescents?
- B. What are the energy recommendations for children/ adolescents?
- C. What are the recommendations for macronutrients in

¹MRC (Medical Research Council), Human Nutrition Research, Elsie Widdowson Laboratory, Fulbourn Road, Cambridge CB1 9NL, UK

²INRAN (Istituto Nazionale di Ricerca degli Alimenti e la Nutrizione), Via Ardeatina 546, I-00178 Rome, Italy

³University of Pecs, Department of Paediatrics, József A.u. 7, H-7623 Pecs, Hungary

⁴Royal Veterinary & Agricultural University, Research Department of Human Nutrition, Rolighedsvej 30, DK-1958 Frederiksberg C, Denmark

⁵Kellogg's Company of Great Britain Ltd, The Kellogg Building, Talbot Road, Manchester M16 0PU, UK

⁶Nestlé Research Centre, PO Box 44, Vers-chez-les-Blanc, CH-1000 Lausanne 26, Switzerland

⁷Research Institute of Child Nutrition, University Witten/Herdecke, Heinstueck 11, D-44225 Dortmund, Germany

⁸Hôpitaux de Brabois, Hôpital d'Enfants, Service de Pédiatrie Centre Hospitalier Universitaire, F-54511 Nancy, France

⁹ILSI Europe, Av. E. Mounier 83, Box 6, B-1200 Brussels, Belgium

Abbreviations: AI, Adequate Intake; AR, Average Requirement; DRI, Dietary Reference Intake; DRV, Dietary Reference Value; EAR, Estimated Average Requirement; FBDG, Food Based Dietary Guidelines; GPx, glutathione peroxidase; ILSI, International Life Sciences Institute; LOAEL, Low Observed Adverse Effect Level; LRNI, Lower Reference Nutrient Intake; LTI, Lowest Threshold Intake; NOAEL, No Observed Adverse Effect Level; PRI, Population Reference Intake; RDA, Recommended Dietary Allowance; RNI, Reference Nutrient Intake; UL, Tolerable Upper Level; UL, Upper Tolerable Nutrient Intake Level; ULI, Upper Limit of Intake.

^{*} Corresponding author: ILSI Europe, 83 Avenue E. Mounier, Box 6, B-1200 Brussels, fax +32 2 762 00 44, email publications@ilsieurope.be

S84 A. Prentice et al.

children/adolescents: fats (type of fat, ratio); carbohydrates and fibre (type of carbohydrates); protein?

- D. What are the recommendations for micronutrients in children/adolescents: minerals, vitamins; trace elements?
- E. What are the recommendations for fluids in children/ adolescents?
- 2. To determine what is currently known, based on (i) the available literature and (ii) current recommendations or guidelines, on whether:
- A. These recommendations are based on data derived from children and adolescents or derived via extrapolation from adult data?
- B. There are differences between specific age groups or are groups divided on the basis of growth velocity, height or other parameters?
- C. Whether some of the current recommendations are unsatisfactory? What ways could be proposed to overcome them?

The purpose of this report is to present an overview of the methodological approaches used for establishing dietary reference values (Section 1), to compare and contrast the current recommendations for children and adolescents in different European countries (Section 2) and to summarise the causes underlying the wide disparities in dietary reference values (Section 3). The report concludes with the views of the Expert Group about the potential for resolving the methodological and technical issues that give rise to many of these disparities and about some of the likely benefits and barriers to the harmonisation of dietary reference values for children and adolescents across Europe.

Section 1: Methodological approaches

Methods used to formulate nutritional guidelines for children are not homogeneous across Europe. The purpose of this section is to describe the methodological issues underlying the definition of dietary reference values and nutritional recommendations for children, in order to provide a basis for a comparison of the guidelines that currently exist in different European countries.

Physiological requirements

The formulation of dietary reference values and nutritional recommendations is based on an understanding of the physiological requirements of an individual in good health. First, a physiological requirement refers to the amount of a nutrient or energy needed to ensure good physiological and metabolic function and to maintain adequate body stores. The precise definition varies, but the wording recently given by a committee of the European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition is particularly clear and concise:

'The ideal definition of a physiological requirement is the amount and chemical form of a nutrient that is needed systematically to maintain normal health and development without disturbance of the metabolism of any nutrient. The corresponding dietary requirement would be the intake sufficient to meet the physiological requirement.'

(Aggett et al. 1997)

Second, the formulation needs to take account of the fact that physiological requirements differ between individuals. Third, the physiological requirement needs to be translated into the amount of the nutrient or energy that individuals have to ingest daily to meet these needs, in their everyday life, by considering the environment in which they live and the foods that are commonly available. This translation into real life has to take into account current eating patterns, such as, for example, the tendency to an oversupply of certain nutrients or the possibility, still historically meaningful in twenty-first century Europe, that the food supply is suddenly disrupted. This public health-oriented concept appears in the Austria–Germany–Switzerland document (DACH, 2000):

'The purpose of...nutritional reference values (recommendations, estimated values, guiding values) is to maintain and promote health and quality of life...they are to ensure the vital metabolic, physical and psychic function in nearly all healthy individuals in the population. Intake corresponding to the reference values is to prevent nutrient-specific deficiency diseases...and deficiency symptoms...but also to avoid oversupply with energy or certain nutrients such as fat or alcohol...). They are, furthermore, intended to produce certain body reserves, which in case of sudden increased needs are immediately available without impairment of health.'

Nutrient bioavailability

Central to the construction of dietary reference values and recommendations is an understanding of the bioavailability of nutrients from the diet; that is, the amount that is available to the body for its metabolic and physiological functions. Once a food has been ingested, the proportion of individual nutrients absorbed is dictated by a number of factors. In the past, this was referred to as the 'bioavailability' of the nutrient. However, the amount of a nutrient available for its metabolic and physiological functions also depends on factors that become important once the nutrient has entered the body, including tissue compartmentation and excretion. The term 'bioavailability' is now used in its wider sense to incorporate considerations of absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion.

Several nutrients have a low bioavailability from common diets. Examples are Fe, Zn and Ca, for which typical rates of absorption are 10%, 20% and 30%, respectively. However, bioavailability is influenced by several factors of which the most important are the composition of the diet, the chemical form of the nutrient and the nutritional status of the individual regarding that nutrient. Differences in bioavailability for a nutrient have important implications for estimating requirements.

Diet composition. The composition of the diet has important consequences for the bioavailability of some nutrients. For example, the overall fat content of the diet affects the absorption of fat-soluble vitamins. The effect can be remarkable: for example, the addition of olive oil

improves carotenoid absorption from 5 to 25%. As a second example, the balance between promoters of absorption, like vitamin C and the 'meat factor', and inhibitors, like phytates and phenols, determines the bioavailability of Fe in that diet. Knowing the approximate composition of a diet makes it possible to make estimates of the level of bioavailability of specific nutrients. This approach has been used by the FAO/WHO (FAO/WHO/International Atomic Energy Agency, 1996) for Fe and Zn. They defined different dietary reference values for Fe and Zn for diets of low, medium and high bioavailability.

Diet composition can also influence bioavailability of a nutrient through effects on excretion. An example is the urinary excretion of Ca, which is correlated with protein and Na intake and can be affected by a range of other dietary constituents (Nordin & Marshall, 1988). Differences in assumptions made about the extent of such excretory losses on a typical diet can lead to differences in nutritional recommendations between countries.

Effects of age, physiological stage and nutritional status. Bioavailability varies with age, with physiological state (e.g. puberty, pregnancy, lactation) and with nutritional status. For example, the absorption of many minerals increases during puberty and pregnancy, and excretion decreases. Metabolic adaptation in individuals with small body stores can lead to increased absorption efficiency in some situations but can also lead to smaller physiological requirements in others. These differences need to be considered when setting nutritional recommendations for specific ages or physiological stages. In addition, physiological requirements differ between different organs/tissues of the body. Therefore, the efficiency of nutrient delivery to, and partitioning of the nutrient between, tissues need to be considered. A major example of this is the active transport of nutrients across the placenta during pregnancy. The fetus might have sufficient nutrient delivery, but unless there is physiological adaptation, this could be at the expense of the mother. In this case, a larger intake is required to cover the needs of both the fetus and the mother.

Different concepts of nutritional recommendations and reference values

Historically, the concept of dietary recommendations for populations or groups goes back several centuries (Aggett et al. 1997). However, the definition of a Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) for a nutrient was formulated in 1941: 'to serve as a guide for planning adequate nutrition for the civilian population'. The definition of an RDA has varied, but can be generalised as representing: 'an average amount of the nutrient, which should be provided per head of a group of people if the needs of practically all members of the group are to be met' (Department of Health, 1991). Since it was first introduced, the concept of nutritional recommendations has evolved to take into account not only the avoidance of clinical deficiency, but also the reduction in the risk of chronic degenerative diseases. More recently, the use of the word 'recommendation' has been largely discontinued in favour of the term 'reference value' to avoid misunderstandings about the derivation and use of nutritional guidelines.

Over the past five decades, scientific and public health experts in different countries have elaborated extensively on the concepts of nutritional requirements, recommendations and reference values, and have used these to establish nutritional guidelines for their own populations. In general, their deliberations have been based on the same fundamental principles: that physiological requirements differ between individuals and that the handling of nutrients by the body may be substantially affected by environmental and individual factors. However, there have been many different approaches to the derivation and terminology of nutritional guidelines, and to their interpretation. The latest concepts used in Europe, the USA/Canada and by FAO/WHO are summarised below.

The Scientific Committee on Food of the EU (Scientific Committee on Food, 1993) defined three reference values to describe the distribution of required dietary intakes within age- and gender-specific subgroups of the population:

- 1. The mean intake to meet the average physiological requirement, termed the Average Requirement (AR);
- 2. The 97.5th centile (mean + 2sd), termed the Population Reference Intake (PRI), representing 'the intake that will meet the needs of nearly all healthy people in the population or group'; and
- 3. The 2.5th centile (mean-2sd), termed the Lowest Threshold Intake (LTI), representing 'the intake below which nearly all individuals in the population or group will be unable to maintain metabolic integrity according to the criterion chosen'.

These definitions were based on those developed by the Committee on the Medical Aspects of Food Policy in the UK (Department of Health, 1991), but the UK used different terminology for the three Dietary Reference Values (DRV):

- 1. Estimated Average Requirement (EAR);
- 2. Reference Nutrient Intake (RNI = EAR + 2SD); and
- 3. Lower Reference Nutrient Intake (LRNI = EAR 2SD).

Figure 1 illustrates the conceptual framework for the different reference values and their position in the hypothetical distribution of required intakes. All the definitions assume that the distribution is normal so that the standard deviation can be used to describe upper and lower values.

More recently, in the USA/Canada, the Standing Committee on the Scientific Evaluation of Dietary Reference Intakes (Food and Nutrition Board, 1997) adopted a similar framework for the derivation of Dietary Reference Intakes (DRI) and defined:

- 1. Estimated Average Requirement (EAR) as 'the average daily nutrient intake level estimated to meet the nutrient requirement of half the healthy individuals in a particular life stage and gender group'; and
- Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) as 'the average daily nutrient intake level estimated to meet the nutrient requirement of nearly all (97 to 98 per cent) healthy individuals in a particular life stage and gender group'.

S86 A. Prentice et al.




Fig. 1. Definitions used to indicate different points of the population distribution of requirements. LTI, Lowest Threshold Intake; AR, Average Requirement; PRI, Population Reference Intake; ULI, Upper Limit of Intake.

Unlike the European committee and the UK, however, USA/Canada established no definition for a lower threshold intake. In most European countries and by FAO/WHO, the mean + 2sD concept has been used to set the population reference intake, but terminology varies considerably and the value is often referred to as a 'recommendation', or 'recommended intake' or 'suggested intake'. The mean + 2sD concept relates specifically to reference values for nutrients; dietary energy requirements for a population are generally set at a level equivalent to the AR.

In order for an AR to be determined, data about the relationship between intake and the specific criteria on which the requirement is to be based (e.g. risk of deficiency disease, biochemical parameters of nutritional status or indicators of the risk of chronic disease) have to be available, as well as data on possible losses and extra needs in relation to a particular physiological state, such as pregnancy and lactation. The AR is an estimate of the dietary intake required to cover average physiological needs, and, therefore, data are also required about the absorption efficiency of the nutrient from the customary diet of the population or group. For a PRI or LTI to be formulated, knowledge on the distribution of the AR is necessary, to allow for individual variability in physiological requirements and in absorption efficiency.

In situations where the available information is insufficient, then estimates of the reference values have to be made; for example, by extrapolating data from other population groups or by making judgements about the adequacy of dietary intakes. To cover this situation, the US/Canadian committee defined an additional concept, that of Adequate Intake (AI), which they regard as a 'recommended average daily nutrient intake level', but it is used 'when an RDA cannot be determined' and is 'based on observed or experimentally determined approximations or estimates of nutrient intake by a group (or groups) of apparently healthy people that are assumed to be adequate'. For the same purpose Austria–Germany–Switzerland (DACH, 2000) introduced the concepts of Estimated Values (Schätzwerte)

- based on the intakes of healthy, well-nourished groups,

although not properly validated by experimental data and of Guiding Values (Richtwerte), meant to orient people's intake when a wide range of dietary intakes is compatible with good health. The Guiding Value is used in situations when 'less stringent regulation of intake is necessary for health reasons'. This can be a lower limit for e.g. water, fluoride and dietary fibre or an upper limit e.g. for total fat, cholesterol and table salt (NaCl). Other names have been given to reference values developed when there are insufficient data, including 'safe intakes' (Department of Health, 1991), 'safe-and-adequate range' and 'acceptable range' (Scientific Committee on Food, 1993). In practice, these values are deemed sufficient to meet the needs of practically all members of the group or population and therefore can be compared with PRI values (i.e. AR + 2sD) with the understanding that they are based on less evidence and are therefore less secure.

In addition to the general set of reference values, FAO/WHO/International Atomic Energy Agency (1996) have introduced two new concepts for trace elements, to differentiate between the intake needed to 'prevent pathologically relevant and clinically detectable signs of impaired function attributable to inadequacy of the nutrient' (basal requirement) and the intake needed to 'maintain a level of tissue storage or other reserve that is judged...to be desirable' (normative requirement). This allows the construction of population recommendations of minimum intakes to meet the basal requirement or the normative requirement.

In recent years, the concept of an upper limit has been introduced, to allow consideration of the situation when nutrient intakes might be considered excessive and potentially detrimental to health. The European Commission (Scientific Committee on Food, 1993) defined an Upper Limit of Intake (ULI), FAO/WHO/International Atomic Energy Agency (1996) an Upper Tolerable Nutrient Intake Level (UL) and USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 1997) a Tolerable Upper Level (UL). The US/Canadian definition is: 'the highest average daily nutrient intake level likely to pose no risk of adverse health effects to almost all individuals in the general population (including sensitive individuals)'.

Table 1 summarises the different definitions used by recent committees in Europe, in the USA/Canada and by FAO/WHO, indicating that some are fairly close to each other and can be used to make comparisons across countries. For the purposes of clarity in this publication, we have standardised throughout the text on the terminology used by the Scientific Committee on Food (1993), but have indicated the original name for each value in the tables. However, it should be borne in mind that the definition of dietary reference values varies between countries, subtly in some instances and considerably in others, and we refer the reader back to the source documents for a fuller explanation.

Use of nutritional recommendations and reference values

Nutritional recommendations and reference values are used for several different purposes. They may be used for assessing the diets of individuals or groups of individuals,

Table 1. Comparison of names used for different nutritional recommendations

Source	Mean – 2sp	Mean	Mean + 2sp	Definition used in absence of clear info on distribution of requirements	Upper limit of intake
Scientific Committee on Food (1993)	Lowest Threshold of Intake (LTI)	Average Requirement (AR)	Population Reference Intake (PRI)	Acceptable ranges	
Food and Nutrition Board (1997)		Estimated Average Requirement (EAR)	Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA)	Adequate Intake (AI)	Tolerable Upper Intake Level (UL)
Department of Health (1991)	Lowest Reference Nutrient Intake (LRNI)	Estimated Average Requirements (EAR)	Reference Nutrient Intakes (RNI)	Safe intakes	
Health Council of The Netherlands (2001)	(=)	Average requirement	Recommended Dietary Allowance	Adequate Intake	Tolerable Upper Intake Level
DACH (2000) ` ´			Empfehlungen (Recommendations)	Schätzwerte (Estimated Values) Richtwerte (Guiding Values)	
Nordic Council of Ministers (1996 <i>a</i>) Società Italiana di Nutrizione Umana (1996) CNERNA-CNRS (2001)		Average Requirement	Recommended Intake Livelli di Assunzione Raccomandati di Nutrienti Intakes (LARN) Apports Nutritionnels Conseillés	. 5 /	Upper Limit of Intake

for planning diets or provision of food supplies and for food labelling purposes. In all situations, use of these values applies only to healthy people and presupposes that the dietary requirements for all other nutrients and energy are met.

For individuals it is possible only to estimate the probability of an inadequate intake, as it is not known where in the distribution of dietary requirements the individual is situated. To assess the dietary adequacy of an individual, the mean (habitual) intake of that individual should be measured and compared with the AR. If an accurate enough estimate of habitual intake is available, based on a sufficient number of days in relation to the betweenday variability of intake, then risk can be calculated by taking into account the standard deviation of the requirements in the age group of that individual. Thus, if the difference between habitual intake and AR is more than 2SD above AR, that individual has almost certainly an adequate intake, while she/he will almost certainly have an inadequate diet if the difference is 2sD below the AR. Smaller differences would lead to a lower probability of adequacy or inadequacy. If an AR is unavailable and an AI is instead given, it is still possible to say if the intake of an individual is adequate, when intake is above the AI. It is, however, difficult to establish inadequacy. We should keep in mind that we can only state a probability of inadequacy, as the actual requirement of the individual is not known and it is difficult to measure an individual's long-term nutrient intake. If, however, an inadequate intake has been in place for long enough, then biochemical, anthropometric or clinical determinations might indicate the presence of a deficiency of that nutrient.

When the assessment is carried out on a population group, it is possible to calculate the expected proportion of individuals at risk of inadequacy by comparing the distributions of requirements and intakes. For group assessments, as for individual assessments, the AR should be used as reference. The probabilistic approach to risk assessment for groups is illustrated in Fig. 2 and is based on the assumption of a normal distribution of requirements and a normal distribution of intakes for a particular nutrient. If the mean intake in a population is low (curve A), the risk of an individual having an inadequate intake is high, while the risk of adverse effects of high intakes is low. With a population mean intake at the level of the PRI (curve B), the risk of inadequacy for the individual is low, and the risk of adverse effects of high intakes is




Fig. 2. Risk of inadequacy at different levels of nutrient intake. The risk is indicated for a population with: a low mean intake (curve A), a mean intake equal to the Population Reference Intake (curve B) and a high mean intake (curve C).

S88 A. Prentice et al.

also low. Only 2.5% of the individuals in a population, who may have very high requirements, may be at risk of inadequacy. If the population intake is high (curve C), the risk of inadequacy is very low, while some individuals may be at risk of having an intake so high that it has adverse effects, for those nutrients where the ULI is close to the PRI.

Nutritional reference values can also be used for planning the diets of communities or population groups. In this case, one will have to take into account the demographic composition of that community, the environmental conditions and the life-style. Traditionally, such uses have been based on the intake of energy and individual nutrients. However, most people are not able to translate these into the design of their daily diet. This is also a challenge for specialists, since food consumption patterns are influenced by social and cultural factors and can differ even between neighbouring countries.

In the last decade, some international expert groups have advocated for the introduction of Food Based Dietary Guidelines (FBDG), that consider dietary habits and lifestyles of different age groups in each country (FAO/ WHO, 1998). Several countries have since undertaken the design of food-based educational tools, that are accompanied by graphical representations such as food pyramids, circles and boats. A limitation of this approach is that FBDG deal with the food consumed in 24 h. However, food is normally consumed in structured meals and meal habits are influenced even more by cultural backgrounds than total food consumption. To address this, Germany has recently developed Food and Meal Based Dietary Guidelines for children, adolescents and their parents ('Optimix'). These are based on an analysis of present-day dietary practices in these groups and deduce quantitative and qualitative recommendations for food consumption per day and per meal (Alexy et al. 2000).

Use of nutritional recommendations and reference values for labelling purposes is beyond the scope of this paper. It should be noted, however, that although reference values used for labelling are obviously related to those used for nutritional surveillance and planning, there are important distinctions. In the EU, labels report the AR for the adult man or, for products addressed to children, the PRI for children aged 6 months to 3 years. In the USA, food labels report a Daily Reference Value for each nutrient that has been selected by taking the highest RDA for that nutrient from all age and sex groups.

Nutritional recommendations and reference values for children and adolescents

Additional considerations are required for the development of nutritional recommendations and reference values for children and adolescents. In children, energy and nutrients are required not only for the maintenance of normal function and body stores, as in adults, but also for growth and development. An inadequate dietary supply may result in reduced growth velocity, which can have negative effects on both health and development.

Children also differ from adults in their relationship between the requirements for energy and for nutrients. While infants and young children typically have an energy requirement that is three times higher than adults' calculated on a body weight basis, the difference in their requirements for other nutrients relative to body weight is not as great. This implies that, for some nutrients, children can cover their needs with a diet that has a lower nutrient density, when expressed per unit energy. The most obvious example is protein, where the requirement of an infant at 12 months is about 1 g/kg body weight, while that of an adult is about 0.7 g/kg body weight. An infant of 12 months, therefore, can cover the physiological requirement for protein with a diet containing 5–6% of energy as protein, close to the content of human milk, while an adult male needs about 7–8%.

Furthermore, the relationship between the intake of a given nutrient and the functional outcome of the process in which that nutrient is involved may be different for children and adults. First, nutrient handling is different. A typical example is the relationship between Ca intake and absorption at different ages, with infants and adolescents having greater absorption efficiency than adults (Matkovic & Heaney, 1992). Second, the metabolic fate of nutrients may be different. An important example is related to fat intake. There has been a long-standing discussion about when and how to reduce the fat content of the diet relative to energy from the high values characteristic of the first months of life (breast milk has a fat content of about 52% of energy) to that of the family diet, which is generally recommended to be lower (about 30%), and with a low proportion of saturated fat, to minimise risks of cardiovascular disease in later life. The concern is that if fat is reduced too early it may affect energy intake and thereby growth. Thus, there has been a discussion about finding an optimal balance between support of early growth and prevention of disease later in life. Most authorities currently consider that the transition should happen slowly and not reach adult values for the amount and quality of fat before the age of 2 or 3 years, but there are still major differences of opinion between countries, reflecting that it is difficult to balance these two considerations.

Methods used to estimate nutritional recommendations and reference values for children and adolescents

Despite these major biological differences, nutritional requirements are often not specifically determined for children and adolescents, but rather are extrapolated from adult data. Furthermore, methods used to formulate these nutrient requirements are not homogeneous across countries. The different approaches and methodological issues underlying the definition of nutrient requirements in children are briefly summarised below. Although not part of the current review, the approaches used for infants and children under 2 years of age are included for completeness. A more detailed overview has been published recently by the Committee of Nutrition of the European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (Aggett *et al.* 1997).

Intakes of healthy children. Breast-fed infants have been considered the model for estimating the requirements for energy and nutrients of infants between 0 and 6 months

of age. The content of nutrients in breast milk is not always relevant to non-breast-fed infants, as the bioavailability of many nutrients from infant formulas is lower than from breast milk. Also, there are two nutrients, vitamins K and D, that may not be provided in sufficient amounts by breast milk, and supplementation is often advised. For older infants (7-12 months), population reference intakes are generally constructed by measuring the combined intake of breast milk and complementary food. For those countries that set population reference intakes for infants, allowance is made for the reduced bioavailability of nutrients from non-breast milk sources and for inter-individual variation, using the mean + 2sd approach. Thus dietary reference values for infants are applicable to non-breast-fed infants only.

Factorial approach. According to the factorial approach, total requirements are divided into those for maintenance and those for growth. Maintenance requirements are derived from estimates of unavoidable losses from the body (urine, faeces, sweat, menstrual blood, semen, etc.) in a steady-state situation. In adults, these estimates are mainly based on experiments where the intake of the nutrient under consideration for a period is negligible. Practically no such data are available for children. The requirements for growth are based on data on body composition and body content of nutrients at different ages. The increase in body content of a nutrient (accretion) from one age to another is multiplied by a factor that takes the metabolic cost of accretion into account. From these data, the daily amount of each nutrient needed for growth is calculated. A major limitation of this approach is the lack of body composition data. Some data are available for infants and adults but there are very limited data available for children and adolescents. The use of dualenergy X-ray absorptiometry in assessing Ca accretion over a given period is an example of how new technologies can be used as part of the factorial approach.

Balance studies. Balance studies are difficult to perform in infants and young children. While there are some studies in term and pre-term infants, very few studies have been conducted in older children. To extrapolate nutrient requirements from balance studies, subjects should be in equilibrium, i.e. in a steady state, at the intake of the nutrient in question, which is difficult to determine in children with a high growth velocity. The intake should be manipulated such that it balances losses. This is difficult to achieve in children, in whom nutrients are also used for accretion. The length of the study period also depends on the size of the body stores of the nutrient and the rate at which the stores are mobilised. Extreme examples are Ca, with a very large store that is slowly mobilised, and Zn, with relatively small stores that are rapidly mobilised. Some of the difficulties with balance studies can be overcome with the use of stable isotopes. This makes it possible to study the dynamics of specific metabolic pools. It is likely that these methods will provide important information on the requirements of nutrients in the future.

Measures based on functional outcomes. Requirements are often based on a detection threshold below which a specific biological function is impaired. Examples include

the dark adaptation test used to evaluate marginal vitamin A deficiency, and the measurement of thyroid size to evaluate the adequacy of long-term I intakes. Indicators of function may also provide information to target requirements to health protection and not just to the prevention of clinical deficiencies. Examples are the evaluation of psychomotor development and cognitive function in infants in relation to Fe status and, even more challenging, the establishment of requirements on the basis of promoting future good health. This type of argument is used, for example, in the discussion about fat intake and prospective risk of cardiovascular disease. Although, at present, there is insufficient information on which to base dietary recommendations, there is concern about possible relationships between nutritional status in early life and future health risks, particularly in relation to the later development of non-communicable diseases, such as hypertension, obesity, type II diabetes and cardiovascular disease. There is an increasing body of evidence that early growth, both intra-uterine and postnatal, is associated with later risk of these diseases, but the mechanisms are still poorly understood. Although it is likely that nutrition plays an important role, we are still far from understanding the effect of specific nutrients or from defining nutritional reference values on this basis.

Extrapolation from infant and adult data. For many nutrients, information about the requirements of children and adolescents obtained with the above methods is insufficient or non-existent for some age groups, and extrapolation from infant and adult data is used. Although intake measurements can provide data for infants until 1 year of age and balance studies or measures of functional outcomes can be performed in school-aged children, the age group of 1-3 years is the one for whom information is most difficult to collect and it is therefore the group for whom this approach is most frequently used. Examples of nutrients in which such extrapolation is carried out are vitamin A, Cr, Cu and I. The approach for extrapolation suggested used by the USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 2001) is based on a separate consideration of maintenance needs and growth needs. Maintenance needs are expressed relative to metabolic body weight ([kg body weight]⁰⁷⁵), while the additional requirements for growth are calculated as the additional amount of the nutrient required for growth. The extrapolation from adult data is then performed as a two-step process: (i) $EAR_{child} = EAR_{adult} \times F$ and (ii) $F = (Weight_{child}/Weight_{adult})^{0.75}$ $\times (1 - \text{growth factor})$, where the growth factor is a value obtained from the proportional increase in protein requirements. The growth factor is 0.3 (i.e. 30%) for children aged between 7 months and 3 years, and 0.15 (i.e. 15%) for older children.

Factors modifying nutritional recommendations and reference values in children and adolescents

Physical characteristics: patterns of growth and development. Judgements about the adequacy of dietary intakes in children are based for some nutrients on normal growth. However, doubts can be expressed about which growth pattern is associated with the highest level

S90 A. Prentice et al.

of health and functional performance in the short and long term. Growth velocity differs with age, with the highest growth rates occurring during the first two years of life and during puberty. Some nutrients are essential for growth, like protein, Zn and K, and a marked reduction in intake will result in an immediate reduction in growth velocity, while deficiencies of other nutrients, like Fe and vitamin A, do not have the same direct effect on growth (Golden, 1988).

For some nutrients, daily requirements are calculated by multiplying the estimated needs per kg of body weight by an average weight for the age group, based on a reference population. The reference data used for this calculation differ between countries, and this can be a factor in the differences in dietary reference values between countries. Individual European countries have used either nationally derived reference data or international references. This can lead to some remarkable discrepancies. Differences in the time at which puberty is considered to have started account for some of the major differences in dietary reference values for 9- to 12-year-olds between European countries (see Section 2). In addition, differences in developmental stages have also been described and these interact with growth rates. For example, development is delayed by about two years in growth-retarded children and linear growth can continue well beyond the age of 18 years in children with developmental delay. Developmental differences are also affected by body weight and composition; for example, children with a raised BMI (overweight) have an earlier puberty.

At present, there are no universally accepted growth or developmental reference data and there is disagreement about the appropriateness of applying the same data to different populations. There are increasing attempts to provide some consistency in the use of growth data across Europe. For the estimation of dietary reference values by the Scientific Committee on Food (1993), growth data were obtained by pooling national data sets from nine European countries, weighted on the basis of each country's population at any given age. Data are now available from the Euro-Growth project, a longitudinal, observational study that involved 2245 healthy term infants from twenty-two study centres in eleven European countries (Haschke & van't Hof, 2000). The Euro-Growth references have been constructed in such a way that factors influencing growth such as breast-feeding, mid-parental height and prematurity can be included in the interpretation of measurements of individual children. However, the Euro-Growth reference data only cover the first three years, and do not extend into later childhood.

A second issue relates to the extent to which growth retardation can be considered to impair function and health, and, therefore, to the emphasis that should be placed on preventing or correcting poor growth. Physical and mental development is delayed in growth-retarded children, and the duration of the maturation period is lengthened (Golden, 1994). Growth-retarded children may have developed adaptive mechanisms that enable them to survive with lower nutrient intakes than other children. Indeed, if their nutrient intake is at the same level as that of children who are growing normally, they may be at

increased risk of developing chronic diseases in later life, such as hypertension or impaired glucose tolerance (Barker, 1995; Forsen *et al.* 2000). Thus, inducing catchup growth by the provision of additional nutrients may be appropriate in some situations and harmful in others.

Factors based on diet and life-style. Other important aspects specific to children and adolescents that can produce differences in nutritional recommendations and reference values are linked to dietary patterns and life-styles. The quality of foods, their combination in different preparations and meal design, their processing and storage will all contribute to differences in nutrient absorption and subsequent metabolic handling, and these concepts need to be taken into account when formulating guidelines.

Section 2: Current nutritional reference values for children and adolescents in Europe

Appendix A tabulates the current reference intakes for children and adolescents in Europe. In collating this information, the Expert Group had access to a multiplicity of sources representing the reference intakes currently used by twenty-nine of the thirty-nine countries in Europe. These sources are given in the reference list of the present paper and identified in Tables A1-A42 by serial numbers; the preliminary table in Appendix A, entitled 'Description of the dietary reference values in use in Europe', links the references and serial numbers. The five Scandinavian countries (Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden) considered and published jointly their Nordic nutrient recommendations (NNR) as did the three German-speaking countries, Austria, Germany and Switzerland (DACH-R). In the tables, data from these two sources are given as a single entry each except where different reference values apply within the consortia of countries. Reference values from the EU, the USA, Canada and FAO/ WHO are also included, for comparison. For practical reasons, only the most current reference values for each country and formally published by September 2002 are included. Any document published after this date or undergoing public consultation has not been included in either the tables or the commentaries below. Notable are the recent considerations of upper intake levels (ULI) by the EU Scientific Committee on Food and the UK Expert Committee on Vitamins and Minerals, which were at only the consultation stage in September 2002 and so are not included, but which complement those discussed in the commentaries.

The data are presented as separate tables for energy and for each nutrient. Each table is set out in a similar manner, with the contributing sources listed in the same order. Because the review was limited to children and adolescence, the age range considered was from 2.0 to 18.9 years inclusive. No data on infants and children under 2 years of age are included. Separate entries are given for each year of age (defined as the period between two successive birth anniversaries i.e. 2·0-2·9, 3·0-3·9, etc.), and dark bars show the limits for groups of ages as used in the source material. For clarity, the data for boys and girls are provided either in separate tables or in the same table identified as male value/female value. Values for the general population of adults are given for comparison.

In all tables, the reference intakes included are those that most closely match the definition by the Scientific Committee on Food (1993) of a population reference intake (PRI), i.e. the amount considered to be sufficient to cover the needs of 97.5% of the population, or, when a PRI has not been set, the intake that is considered to be safe and adequate. Because of the potential for ambiguity, the name given to the reference intake in the original source material is stated in each table. For brevity, these are referred to generically as 'reference intake'. Some countries have also developed reference values corresponding to a lower threshold intake (LTI) and an upper limit of intake (ULI). Where appropriate, these values are referred to in the commentaries by abbreviation. This classification proved problematic for the electrolytes (Na, K, Cl) because the estimated minimum requirement for these nutrients given by a number of countries does not imply a value that is insufficient for 97.5 % of the population. To draw readers' attention to this difficulty, the classifications for these nutrients in the commentaries and tables are given in square brackets.

Below we give brief commentaries on each table prepared by members of the Working Group. The purpose of these commentaries is not to provide a detailed description of the biological functions and essentiality of each nutrient, but to illustrate the diversity of reference values currently in use across Europe, indicate the scientific foundations of the reference values for children and offer, where possible, an explanation for the differences that exist between countries. It should be noted that relatively few source documents provide detailed critiques of the evaluation of evidence that led to the series of reference values for each country.

Energy

There are considerable differences in the energy reference intakes (Tables A1 and A2) for children and adolescents across Europe. These discrepancies and the fact that countries use different ways of expressing the values lead to considerable variations from country to country, even in neighbouring countries like the Baltic States or between the UK and Ireland.

Reference intakes for energy differ from those of nutrients in that they are set at a level that represents the average energy requirement for the population. The scientific basis for energy reference intakes in children and adolescents varies from country to country. Most countries calculate resting energy expenditure from equations published by WHO in 1985 (Joint FAO/WHO/United Nations University Expert Consultation, 1985), and estimate the energy requirement from the energy intake of healthy children growing normally. An adjustment is made to resting energy expenditure to take account of the different energy requirement at varying levels of activity according to age. To this is added a calculated allowance for the energy cost of growth. More recently, the UK (Department of Health, 1999), France (CNERNA-CNRS, 2001) and Austria-Germany-Switzerland (DACH, 2000) have used the 'doubly labelled water' technique (Ritz & Coward, 1995), or the recording of cardiac rate in children, to try

to improve accuracy and specificity of the measurement of energy expenditure and to improve the overall reference intake. In spite of this, the respective reference intakes are different from each other and are well within the range of reference intakes made by those countries that have not based their estimates on direct measurements. Certain countries give a different reference intake for males and females from the second year of age onwards, while others give the same reference intake for both sexes in early childhood but separate them in later childhood and in adolescence to take account of the greater requirements of males. The Austria–Germany–Switzerland reference intakes (DACH, 2000) also make allowance for differing levels of physical activity.

The differences in energy reference intakes across Europe are not systematic. The discrepancies can largely be explained by differences in the way the age groups are aggregated. Certain countries give a different value for each year of age between 2 and 18 years; others aggregate three or four years together. When age groups are aggregated, the energy requirement will be over-estimated at the lower end of the group and under-estimated at the higher end. Because the cut-offs between age groups differ between countries and certain ages can fall either side of an age boundary depending on the country, some comparisons of energy reference intakes at each age are made between an under-estimated value in a younger age band in one country and an over-estimated value in an older age band in another. For example, at age 10 years, the reference intake for girls ranges from 7.3 MJ/d (Department of Health, 1999) to 10.5 MJ/d (Institute of Public Health, 1990; Catovic et al. 2000).

Macronutrients

Protein

Protein reference intakes (Tables A3-A6) are expressed differently in different countries, either as g/d or g/kg per d, and often without an indication of a representative weight at each age to allow conversion of one to the other. For clarity, data are presented in Tables A3-A6 according to the original mode of expression in the source material.

The basis on which protein reference intakes have been established in many Western European countries and in North America is roughly the same. The values are based on the factorial method (Joint FAO/WHO/United Nations University Expert Consultation, 1985) and on the assumption that children have a similar mean maintenance requirement to adults when expressed relative to body weight. An allowance is added to take account of the protein costs of growth, estimated from body composition and growth velocity measurements. Despite such a similar basis of evaluation, there are substantial differences between reference intakes at different ages across these countries. These can be ascribed largely to differences in the corrections used to take account of: (i) day-to-day variability in growth, (ii) the efficiency of dietary proteins for specific protein synthesis in the body and (iii) the relative quality of dietary proteins v. reference protein (milk or egg protein). In other S92 A. Prentice et al.

European countries, especially the Balkan, Baltic and Nordic countries (Nordic Council of Ministers, 1996b) and in Austria-Germany-Switzerland (DACH, 2000), protein requirements are calculated as a fixed percentage of estimated energy requirements. These values depend on the selected value for this percentage (commonly 10-15% but 8-10% in Austria-Germany-Switzerland; DACH, 2000) and are generally higher than requirements estimated directly. In reality, however, protein reference intakes based on either method of estimation are well below actual protein consumption in Europe or North America. These are about 40 g/d at 2 years (about 3.5 g/ kg per d), 60 g/d at 3 years (above 3 g/kg per d) and exceed 100 g/d at 13-15 years, corresponding to quantities three to five times higher than recommended. It was stated in the Nordic recommendations (Nordic Council of Ministers, 1996a) that they felt it would be unrealistic to plan diets with lower protein values. Few countries differentiate between males and females in the reference intake for protein expressed on a body weight basis, but this leads to differences expressed as a daily intake in adolescence, with higher reference intakes for males. No country gives guidance about an LTI or ULI for protein that is specific to children.

Lipids

The reference intakes for lipids are presented in several ways. Those in Tables A7–A10 are expressed as a percentage of energy intake, and are given for total fat, total PUFA, *n*-6 PUFA and *n*-3 PUFA.

Total fat. Although reference intakes for total fat only expressed as percentage of energy intake are given in Table A7, a small number of countries also give guidance for the intake of total saturated fatty acids, total MUFA and total trans isomeric fatty acids. In general, current reference intakes for total fat limit intake to about 30-35 % of total energy intake. Only three countries set a value that is lower than 30 % of energy intake (Ukrainian Ministry of Health; Battelino, 1998; Health Council of The Netherlands, 2001). Several countries allow for a relatively higher fat intake in younger age groups, usually without a clear explanation (it should be re-emphasised that the period covered in this review does not include infancy). Data for boys and girls are discussed separately by only two countries: Poland (Ziemlanski et al. 1996) and Lithuania (Ministry of Health, 2000). No countries have set an LTI or ULI for total fat.

Only the Nordic countries (Nordic Council of Ministers, 1996a) indicate a specific target range (10–15%) for total MUFA intake. Guidance on intakes of saturated and *trans* isomeric fatty acids is given as an upper limit of intake. Recommendations for total saturated fatty acids expressed as percentage of energy intake are given by four countries (Ministry of Health, 1994; DACH, 2000; CNERNA–CNRS, 2001; Health Council of The Netherlands, 2001). With the exception of France (CNERNA–CNRS, 2001), most countries give 10% as the upper limit. France sets the limit in the range of 8–12%. As to *trans* isomeric fatty acids, only Austria–Germany–Switzerland (DACH, 2000) gives an explicit recommendation for children and

adolescents that intake should not exceed 1% of energy intake. Although the Nordic countries (Nordic Council of Ministers, 1996a) do not provide guidance specifically for *trans* isomeric fatty acids, it limits hard fatty acid intake (defined as saturated fatty acids + *trans* isomeric unsaturated fatty acids) to less than 10% of energy intake.

Total PUFA. Different countries express their guidance for total PUFA in different ways, resulting in apparent discrepancies of over fourfold (Table A8). Poland (Ziemlanski et al. 1996) sets a minimum intake (3% of energy intake), the Nordic countries (Nordic Council of Ministers, 1996a) set a target range of PUFA intakes (5–10%) whereas The Netherlands (Health Council of The Netherlands, 2001) defines a ULI for PUFA intake (12% of energy intake). In contrast, the considerably higher recommendation given by Hungary (György & Károly, 1999) for children aged less than 3 years may be due to the fact that their guidance covers the period of 1 to 3 years, i.e. it is close to infancy. With the exception of Hungary (György & Károly, 1999), no age-related modification of PUFA intakes is considered necessary by any European country.

n-6 PUFA. In those countries that provide one, reference intakes for n-6 PUFA are expressed variously as a percentage of energy intake (Table A9) and as g/d (EU: Scientific Committee on Food, 1993; Belgium: Conseil Supérieur d'Hygiène, 2000; Italy: Società Italiana di Nutrizione Umana, 1996; Canada: Minister of National Health and Welfare, 1990). The reference intake for n-6 PUFA expressed as a percentage of energy intake is in the range of 2-4% in most countries. After 2-3 years of age, most countries set a slightly lower reference intake for n-6 PUFA, expressed as percentage of energy intake, except for Italy where it is higher. Italy also gives different reference intakes for boys and girls after 10 years, in spite of the fact that the reference intake is expressed as percentage of energy intake, which acts to normalise for differences in body size. When expressed as g/d, all countries that set a reference intake for n-6 PUFA allow for an increase with age and for a higher intake in boys than in girls. The increase with age is mostly between 25 and 50% (EU, Belgium, Italy). This contrasts with Canada, which allows for an increase of 100% by 10 years of age as well as a further 75% enhancement by the age of 16 years. No country provides guidance on a ULI for n-6 PUFA intake.

Three countries – France (CNERNA–CNRS, 2001), the UK (Department of Health, 1999) and The Netherlands (Health Council of The Netherlands, 2001) – set a reference intake for linoleic acid (data not shown). The reference intake for linoleic acid, expressed as a percentage of energy intake, is 1% (UK), 2% (The Netherlands) and 2–5% (France), without adjustment for age or sex.

n-3 PUFA. Reference intakes for *n-3* PUFA are expressed both as percentage of energy intake (Table A10) and as g/d (EU: Scientific Committee on Food, 1993; Belgium: Conseil Supérieur d'Hygiène, 2000; Italy: Società Italiana di Nutrizione Umana, 1996; Canada: Minister of National Health and Welfare, 1990). Three countries – France (CNERNA–CNRS, 2001), the UK (Department of Health, 1999) and The Netherlands (Health Council of The Netherlands, 2001) – also give specific reference intakes for α-linolenic acid intakes

(data not shown). Expressed as a percentage of energy intake, most countries suggest that n-3 PUFA intakes should be maintained over 0.5% of total energy intake. No modification according to age or sex is indicated. Expressed as g/d, those countries that set a reference intake give 0.7 g/d intake up to the age of 4 years and 1 g/d intake thereafter up to the age of 7 years. There is considerable diversity in the way that the reference intake, expressed as g/d, changes with age and varies between boys and girls. The reference intake set by Italy (Società Italiana di Nutrizione Umana, 1996) and Belgium (Conseil Supérieur d'Hygiène, 2000) increases considerably with age and, after 15 years, is 50 % higher in boys than in girls. On the other hand, Canada (Ministry of National Health and Welfare, 1990) allows for a gradual increase in n-3 PUFA intake in boys at the ages of 7, 10 and 13 years, as well as a one-step increase for girls at the age of 10 years. The other three countries that set a reference intake indicate that n-3 PUFA intake should be enhanced by 50 % in boys at the age of 15 years, but not in girls, to take account of their higher energy

Several data sources state that *n*-6 PUFA:*n*-3 PUFA, which represents a classical parameter in recommendations for fatty acid intakes, should be kept under 5:1 (DACH, 2000) or at least under 13:1 (e.g. Italy). However, in each case, this is given as a general recommendation and it is questionable whether it should be regarded as applying to children. With the exception of *n*-6:*n*-3 PUFA, no ULI for *n*-3 PUFA intake is indicated by any country.

The reference intake for α -linolenic acid expressed as percentage of energy intake is set at 0.2% (UK), 1% (The Netherlands) and 0.4–1% (France) with no adjustment for age or sex.

Carbohydrates (starches and sugars)

Reference intakes for carbohydrates are generally set at an amount that balances the dietary energy not provided by the other macronutrients. Relatively few European countries define specific reference intakes for carbohydrates. When they are defined, they are expressed either as g/d or as a percentage of energy intake. Both sets of data are given in the tables (Tables A11 and A12). In addition, sugars are defined variously as simple sugars (CNERNA-CNRS, 2001), refined sugars (Nordic Council of Ministers, 1996b), saccharose (Ziemlanski et al. 1996) and non-milk extrinsic sugars (Department of Health, 1999) which, when discussed, are recommended to contribute not more than 10% to energy intake (e.g. UK: Department of Health, 1999) or guidance is given 'to be moderate' (DACH, 2000).

Where set, reference intakes for children and adolescents are identical to those for adults. Some countries make small adjustments for gender and physical activity levels. However, in young children some set a higher reference intake for fat to allow for the higher energy density needed to facilitate optimal growth. Therefore the reference intake for carbohydrates may be lower for young children. Notable exceptions to the use of the macronutrient balance approach make estimates of the amount of glucose required for optimal central nervous system function, e.g.

the USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 2002), or of endogenous glucose production to minimise breakdown of body protein, e.g. The Netherlands (Health Council of The Netherlands, 2001). The latter approach produces significantly lower values compared with other methods used. For children over 2 years of age, both approaches provide reference intakes that are similar or identical to those for adults. No reference intakes have been set based on glycaemic index, due to the lack of sufficient evidence in generally healthy individuals.

Guidance for non-milk extrinsic sugars is generally based on the association between frequency of intake and dental caries, translated into a percentage of the energy reference intake. Concerns about the dilution of micronutrient density are also given as reasons to limit addition of free simple sugars to the diet. The recently published reference intakes for the USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 2002) found insufficient data for an evidenced-based ULI for simple sugars. However, this evaluation suggested a 'maximal intake level' of 25% or less of energy from added sugars, based on possible dilution of micronutrient density above this level of intake.

Fibre/NSP

Differences of more than tenfold in reference intakes of dietary fibre for children and adolescents exist across Europe (Table A13). Consensus on the reference intakes for dietary fibre has been limited by a lack of agreement on the definition of dietary fibre and differences in analytical techniques. The two most commonly used analytical definitions are NSP and total fibre as measured by the method defined by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists. NSP methodology identifies a chemically defined fraction of the dietary fibre, which can be subdivided into soluble and non-soluble fractions. The Association of Official Analytical Chemists' methodology includes retrograded starch and lignin, giving higher values than NSP for a given food. The recent evaluation for USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 2002) defines dietary fibre as 'nondigestible carbohydrates and lignin that are intrinsic and intact in plants'. They also introduced the concept of functional fibre, defined as 'isolated, nondigestible carbohydrates that have been shown to have beneficial physiological effects'. Total fibre is the sum of dietary and functional fibre.

The estimation of fibre reference intakes in adults is based on the amount required to promote normal laxation and the levels associated with reduced risk of cardiovascular disease, some cancers and adult-onset diabetes. In the UK, the reference intake is based on the occurrence of small stool weights at low NSP intakes, which is associated with increased risk of bowel disease (Department of Health, 1999). The recent USA/Canada evaluation (Food and Nutrition Board, 2002) used intakes considered to provide the greatest protection against coronary heart disease calculated from median energy intakes. Reference intakes given for adult populations are normally about 18 g/d (NSP) or 25-30 g/d (Association of Official Analytical Chemists). This may be expressed in terms of g/d (Department of Health, 1999; CNERNA-CNRS, 2001), g/kg body weight (Ministry of Health, 2000) or percentage of energy A. Prentice *et al.*

intake (Nordic Council of Ministers, 1996a,b; DACH, 2000). Where values are given for children, they are mostly adult values expressed on a body weight or energy intake basis (Ministry of Health, 1994; Nordic Council of Ministers, 1996a,b; Ministry of Health, 2000). Exceptions are Portugal, which gives progressively increasing daily crude fibre values (Trichopoulou & Vassilakou, 1990), and Italy (Società Italiana di Nutrizione Umana, 1998) and France (CNERNA-CNRS, 2001), who use the 'Age + 5' concept. The latter states that children older than 2 years of age should consume, as a minimum, an amount of dietary fibre equivalent to their age in years plus 5 g/d. This allows for an increase in fibre intake at a rate of 1 g per annum. The variation in reference intakes across Europe can be explained by differences in interpretation of the evidence base, in the use of different disease end-points and in the analytical methodology used.

Only a few countries in Europe set either an LTI or a ULI for dietary fibre. A safe range for children is considered to be between age in years plus 5 and age in years plus 10 g/d (Tables – Società Italiana di Nutrizione Umana, 1998; CNERNA-CNRS, 2001). This range of dietary fibre intake is considered to be safe even if intake of some vitamins and minerals is marginal, should provide enough fibre for normal laxation, and may help prevent future chronic disease. In the USA/Canada, it is considered that there are insufficient data to set a ULI for either dietary or functional fibre (Food and Nutrition Board, 2002).

Water-soluble vitamins

Thiamin (vitamin B_1)

The thiamin reference intakes for children across Europe vary two- to threefold at each age (Table A14). Most countries draw a distinction between boys and girls in adolescence, some only at the older ages, as a consequence of their higher energy intake. The exceptions are Latvia (Ministry of Welfare, 2001), Spain (Departamento de Nutrición de la Universitad Complutense, 1995), Slovenia (Battelino, 1998) and The Netherlands (Health Council of The Netherlands, 2000). Estimates of average requirements for thiamin, and hence reference intakes, use data extrapolated from adults, based on the assumption that the thiamin requirement is the same at all ages when expressed per unit energy intake. This assumption is supported by a limited number of studies measuring thiamin status in children on typical diets, by adult data on intakes required to prevent beriberi, and by changes in biochemical status during adult depletion-repletion experiments. Differences between countries can be accounted for largely by differences in the body weight and energy intake assumptions made at each age, and in the definition of the age bands. Only the UK (Department of Health, 1999) and the Nordic countries (Nordic Council of Ministers, 1996a), for age 15 years and above only, define an LTI for thiamin that is specific for children and none sets a ULI.

Riboflavin (vitamin B_2)

The range of riboflavin reference intakes for children across Europe is up to two- to threefold at each age

(Table A15). Reference intakes for children are extrapolated from adult values or by interpolation between the values for breast-fed infants and those for adults. Reference intakes in adults are based on a combination of criteria such as erythrocyte glutathione reductase activity coefficient, urinary riboflavin excretion and red-cell riboflavin level. The red-cell riboflavin concentration is currently regarded as the most stable and sensitive method. Limited studies in children, based on urinary riboflavin excretion at different levels of daily riboflavin intake, suggest that their riboflavin needs are, like in adults, proportional to energy intake, at about 0.5 mg/1000 kcal (0.12 µg/MJ). Setting requirements against energy needs allows for the increase in riboflavin requirement that occurs during periods of rapid growth and intense physical activity. Only the UK (Department of Health, 1999) and the Nordic countries (Nordic Council of Ministers, 1996a), for ages 15 years and above only, define an LTI for riboflavin that is specific for children and no European country sets a ULI.

Niacin

Niacin reference intakes for children across Europe range two- to fourfold at each age (Table A16). Most countries draw a distinction between boys and girls in adolescence, some only in the older ages, as a reflection of their higher energy intake. The exceptions are Latvia (Ministry of Welfare, 2001), Spain (Departamento de Nutrición de la Universitad Complutense, 1995), Slovenia (Battelino, 1998) and FAO/WHO (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation, 2002). Estimates of average requirement for children and adolescents, and hence reference intakes, for niacin are based on data extrapolated from adults, which includes clinical observations on intakes required to prepellagra and biochemical information from depletion-repletion experiments. Extrapolation is generally on an energy intake basis, although, unlike thiamin, there is no evidence of a relationship between niacin requirement and energy expenditure despite theoretical justifications for this approach (Food and Nutrition Board, 1998). Differences between European countries can be accounted for largely by differences in the body weight and energy intake assumptions made at each age, and in the definition of the age bands. Only the UK (Department of Health, 1999) and the Nordic countries (Nordic Council of Ministers, 1996a), for ages 15 years and above only, define an LTI for niacin that is specific to children. Moreover, only one European country - The Netherlands - sets a ULI (Health Council of The Netherlands, 2000), as does the USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 1998).

Vitamin B_6

The range in reference intakes for vitamin B_6 for children across Europe is up to threefold at each age (Table A17). Most countries draw a distinction between boys and girls in adolescence, some only at older ages, as a reflection of their higher protein and energy intake. The exceptions are Latvia (Ministry of Welfare, 2001) and Slovenia (Battelino, 1998). Many vitamin B_6 reference intakes for children and adolescents are set relative to protein intake,

the assumption being that the relationship is the same in children as in adults. Conversion to mg/d is generally made by assuming that a typical percentage of energy intake is derived from protein (e.g. 15%) and then using age-specific energy expenditures. The adult reference intakes for vitamin B₆ are based on changes in biochemical markers during depletion-repletion experiments. These assumptions have been challenged by the USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 1998), who estimated reference intakes using an alternative method but still extrapolated from adults to obtain values for children. Differences in reference intakes between countries can be ascribed to differences in assumptions made about the proportion of energy derived from protein and energy expenditure at each age, and in the definition of the age bands. Only the UK (Department of Health, 1999) and the Nordic countries (Nordic Council of Ministers, 1996a), for ages 15 years and above only, define an LTI for vitamin B₆ that is specific for children and no European country sets a ULI, unlike the USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 1998).

Vitamin B₁₂

Across Europe, the range in reference intakes for vitamin B_{12} is up to two- to fourfold at each age (Table A18). Reference intakes for children and adolescents are extrapolated from adult values in similar way to other group B vitamins or interpolated between infant and adult values. Reference intakes for adults are based on the amount of vitamin B₁₂ necessary to maintain normal haematological status and concentrations of serum B₁₂ and methylmalonic acid. Reference intakes for infants are based on normal breast milk content and on the daily supplement (0.1 µg/ kg) necessary to cure megaloblastic anaemia in breast-fed infants of vegan mothers. Only the UK (Department of Health, 1999) and the Nordic countries (Nordic Council of Ministers, 1996a), for ages 15 years and above only, define an LTI for vitamin B_{12} that is specific for children and none sets a ULI.

Folate

Reference intakes for folate are sometimes given as dietary folate equivalents (DFE), which adjust for the approximately 50% lower bioavailability of food folate v. folic acid (Food and Nutrition Board, 1998). Reference intakes for children and adolescents across Europe vary considerably, with differences of up to fivefold occurring at certain ages (Table A19). These are constructed by interpolation between infant and adult values (Ministry of National Health and Welfare of Canada, 1990; Netherlands Food and Nutrition Council, 1992; Food and Nutrition Board, 1998; Department of Health, 1999). Adult reference intakes for folate requirements are derived in a number of ways. Some are based on estimates of the folate intake required to reverse folate deficiency, with appropriate adjustments for bioavailability and individual variability (Scientific Committee on Food, 1993; Ministry of Public Health, 2000). Others are derived with reference to intakes of populations that show no signs of clinical deficiency (Ministry of National Health and Welfare of Canada, 1990; Department of Health, 1999). Alternatively, controlled metabolic studies are used to determine a maintenance intake level, with erythrocyte folate and homocysteine concentrations as end-points, after appropriate adjustments for variability and bioavailability (Food and Nutrition Board, 1998). Reference intakes for infants are generally based on the amount in breast milk ($\sim 50 \,\mu\text{g/l}$) and on experimental data indicating that diets providing 3.6 µg of folate/kg body weight per d are nutritionally adequate for young children up to 2 years of age (Ministry of National Health and Welfare of Canada, 1990; Food and Nutrition Board, 1998; Department of Health, 1999). Variation in reference intakes across Europe appears to reflect the application of different evidence bases, estimates of bioavailability (Netherlands Food and Nutrition Council, 1992; Ziemlanski et al. 1996) and the perceived need to maintain elevated intakes to protect against neural tube defects in some countries (Food Safety Authority of Ireland, 1999). Only the UK defines an LTI for folate that is specific to children and no European country sets a ULI, unlike the USA/Canada. In 2000, the European Commission's Scientific Committee on Food (2000) published an opinion on ULIs for folate: 'Although there is no conclusive evidence in humans, there is a risk of misdiagnosis of vitamin B₁₂ deficiency at intakes of 5 mg/day of folic acid (LOAEL). An uncertainty factor of 5 is applied to derive a ULI for adults of 1,000 µg/day folic acid. ULIs for children and adolescents are derived relative body weight. There is no evidence for risk associated with high intakes of naturally occurring folates.'

Pantothenic acid

Comparatively few countries provide a reference intake for pantothenic acid. For those that do, the values for children vary up to twofold (Table A20). Adults consume 3–12 mg pantothenic acid daily and such intakes appear to be adequate. No European country has set an LTI or ULI for pantothenic acid.

Biotin

In several countries biotin requirements are not mentioned and in others only as safe level of intake (Table A21). Adults consume between 15 and 100 $\mu g/d$ and such intakes are sufficient to prevent biotin deficiency. No European country has set an LTI or ULI for biotin.

Vitamin C

There are major differences between countries in vitamin C reference intakes for children (Table A22). For the youngest age groups, the range of reference intakes is 15–60 mg/d, and a two- to threefold range exists at older ages. While the lower of these values is mainly defined as the intake that can prevent deficiency symptoms, the reference intake in most countries is based on an estimate of an optimal level that can strengthen the immune system and prevent degenerative chronic disease. However, the data available to estimate such an optimal intake are very limited. Estimates for children have been interpolated from infant and adult values. Only the UK (Department of Health, 1999) defines an LTI for vitamin C and no

S96 A. Prentice et al.

European country sets a ULI, unlike the USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 2000).

Fat-soluble vitamins

Vitamin A

Vitamin A reference intakes for children and adolescents vary two- to threefold across Europe (Table A23). Reference intakes of vitamin A are expressed as retinol equivalents (RE) that take into account retinol, which comes essentially from animal foodstuffs, and carotenoids, which are derived mainly from plant foods. The present consensus is that 6 μg of β-carotene and 12 μg of other provitamin A carotenoids are equivalent to 1 µg of retinol. Despite the provitamin A activity of carotenoids, their absence of toxicity and their specific properties, particularly of β-carotene (e.g. antioxidant activity and potential preventative action against several types of cancer), there are currently no reference intakes in Europe for carotenoids, independently of retinol. The advice is generally limited to support for a greater reliance on fruits and vegetables as sources of vitamin A activity.

As there are no specific data, reference intakes in childhood are based on a progressive transition between values for infants, calculated from the composition of breast milk, and for adults, based on estimates of intake to achieve adequate vitamin A status. Although it is now recognised that serum retinol concentration is of little value in evaluating vitamin A status and that the vitamin A content of the liver is the best index of status, the available data are very limited. Other methods such as the 'relative dose–response test' and the oral dose necessary to maintain serum retinol concentration above $30\,\mu\text{g}/100\,\text{ml}$ have been proposed.

Only countries such as UK and the Nordic countries, for ages 15 years and above only, define an LTI for vitamin A. In addition, only one European country (Department of Health, 1999) and the USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 2001) set a ULI for vitamin A that is specific for children at each age, in recognition of the risks of acute and chronic toxicity of excessive vitamin A intakes.

Vitamin D

Vitamin D is not an essential nutrient unless there is limited exposure of the skin to sunlight of the wavelengths required for endogenous synthesis. Opinions are divided about how to account for the relative contributions of endogenous synthesis of vitamin D under the action of sunlight and of dietary vitamin D. Some advocate setting a reference intake and indicating that this may only apply to those with limited sunshine exposure (e.g. USA/ Canada: Food and Nutrition Board, 1997), some take the view that no reference intake is necessary except for those at risk of limited sunshine exposure (e.g. UK: Department of Health, 1998) while others take a position between these two extremes. Because of the differences in philosophy, in Europe there are large differences in reference intakes (Table A24), generally depending on the wording, in the range of $0-15 \mu g/d$. Vitamin D reference intakes in children and adolescents are generally

not based on data from children and the values are often the same as given for young adults. Some countries allow for higher requirements during adolescence, such as Italy (Società Italiana di Nutrizione Umana, 1996), Ireland (Food Safety Authority of Ireland, 1999) and the EU (Scientific Committee for Food, 1993); and others for higher dietary requirements in younger children, e.g. Poland (Ziemlanski et al. 1996) and the UK (Department of Health, 1999). No distinction is drawn between boys and girls at any age. It is recognised that more research is needed on the definition of optimal vitamin D status in childhood/adolescence and on the importance of dietary vitamin D in achieving it in different environments. Variations between European countries reflect differences in philosophy about how to account for the relative contributions of endogenous synthesis of vitamin D under the action of sunlight and of dietary vitamin D. Some differences are due to provision being made for the possibility of increased vitamin D requirements during adolescence and in younger children. No country sets an LTI for vitamin D. Two European documents (DACH, 2000; Health Council of The Netherlands, 2000) and the USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 1997) set a ULI.

Vitamin E

Although there is some variation, there is comparative consistency in vitamin E reference intakes for children and adolescents around Europe (Table A25). Vitamin E activity is generally expressed in terms of the equivalent amount of the biologically most active form, RRR-α-tocopherol. The vitamin E:PUFA intake also represents a traditional expression of vitamin E requirement. Several countries, e.g. Italy (Società Italiana di Nutrizione Umana, 1996) and the USA (Food and Nutrition Board, 2002), emphasise the need to consider vitamin E intake in relation to PUFA intake and suggest that vitamin E intake should exceed 0.4 mg vitamin E:1 g PUFA. It is unclear, however, whether this is a general recommendation or is specific for children. All countries that set a reference intake for vitamin E indicate the need for a 100-150 % increase in dietary vitamin E intakes between the ages of 2 and 18 years. Most countries set a 10-25 % higher reference intake for boys than for girls, and in the case Austria-Germany-Switzerland (DACH, 2000) this differential applies from 2 years of age. No European country defines an LTI for vitamin E and only Austria-Germany-Switzerland sets a ULI, as do the USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 2000).

Vitamin K

Few European countries provide a dietary reference intake for vitamin K (Table A26), and mostly only in the form of a guideline. For these countries, values for children vary over a range of two- to fourfold. USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 2001) stands out as having higher reference values for vitamin K than Europe. Few countries give a scientifically argued case for the guideline. Those that do generally assume an adult daily allowance of 1 µg/kg body weight and extrapolate to children using

typical weights at different ages. Differences between countries largely reflect variations in body weight assumptions, and in the definition of the age bands. Several countries draw a distinction between boys and girls in adolescence, generally in the older age bands. The USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 2001) sets a guideline for pregnant and lactating girls 18 years or younger that is lower than that for adult women, but no European country makes this distinction. No country has set an LTI or a ULI for vitamin K.

Minerals and trace elements

Calcium

The range in Ca reference intakes for children and adolescents across Europe is two- to threefold at each age (Table A27). Only a few countries have different values for boys and girls, and only during adolescence. A few countries set a higher value for pregnancy and lactation in girls 18 years or younger than for adult women. Estimates of average requirements for Ca, and hence reference intakes, are based on the factorial approach. Ca accretion is estimated by interpolating data from a limited number of cadaver studies in babies and adults, from balance studies and, more recently, especially for pubertal children, from studies using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. Estimates of Ca absorption, excretion and dermal losses are made from adult data with inference about adaptation during periods of high Ca requirement. There is no country that stands out as being different in the concept or approach adopted. Discrepancies between countries are due to differences in assumptions made about absorption, excretion and growth rates in children, the magnitude of obligatory losses and the ages at which requirements change. Ca reference intakes for children are largely based on data from children (accretion) but more data are needed to provide evidence of absorption, excretion and dermal losses in different environments and with different diets. Only the UK (Department of Health, 1999) and the Nordic countries (Nordic Council of Ministers, 1996a), for ages 15 years and above only, define an LTI for Ca that is specific to children and, among European countries, only The Netherlands (Health Council of The Netherlands, 2000) sets a ULI, as does the USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 1997).

Magnesium

The Mg reference intakes for children at each age range two- to threefold across Europe (Table A28). Some countries have different values for boys and girls, but only during adolescence. In those countries where they make a distinction, higher values tend to be given for girls in the early years of puberty but higher values for boys later in adolescence. A few countries set a higher value for pregnancy and lactation in girls aged 18 years or younger than in adult women. Most estimates of average requirement, and hence reference intakes, for Mg are based on a limited number of balance studies conducted in adults and adolescents with extrapolation to children on a body weight basis. The recent FAO/WHO values (Joint FAO/

WHO Expert Consultation, 2002) also draw on data from studies on the Mg-K relationships in muscle and the clinical rehabilitation of children with protein-energy malnutrition. Differences between countries can be accounted for largely by differences in the body weight assumptions made at each age, and in the definition of the age bands. Only the UK (Department of Health, 1998) defines an LTI for Mg and no European country sets a ULI that is specific to children, unlike the USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 1997).

Phosphorus

The range in P reference intakes for children and adolescents across Europe is three- to fourfold at each age (Table A29), paralleling but greater than the range of differences in Ca reference intakes. The Russian Federation (Ministry of Health Care, 1991) has reference intakes considerably above other countries for children aged 4 years and older. A few countries have different values for boys and girls, and only during adolescence. A few countries set a higher value for pregnancy and lactation in girls 18 years or younger than in adult women. Estimates of average requirements for P, and hence reference intakes, assume that there is an optimal Ca:P in the diet. Using this ratio, P reference intakes are based on the reference intakes for Ca. In the older documents, Ca:P of 1:1 mg/mg was taken as optimal; more recent evaluations of reference intakes are based on a ratio of 1:1 mmol/mmol (1.3:1 mg/ mg). Reference intakes for children are based largely on data from children (Ca accretion) but the suitability of the assumption about optimal Ca:P for children needs research. Variations between countries lie in the differences in Ca reference intakes and in choice of optimal Ca:P. Only the UK (Department of Health, 1999) and the Nordic countries (Nordic Council of Ministers, 1996a), for ages 15 years and above only, define an LTI for P and no European country sets a ULI that is specific for children, unlike the USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 1997).

Sodium

In most European countries, Na is not on the list of nutrients with dietary reference values. Only a few countries such as UK (Department of Health, 1999), Belgium (Conseil Supérieur d'Hygiène, 2000), Bulgaria (Ministry of Health, 1994) and Poland (Ziemlanski et al. 1996) give reference intakes for Na (Table A30). These are, however, not based on an estimation of average Na requirements. No differences in reference intakes are given between boys and girls. The values of the UK and Belgium are identical. Bulgaria and Poland give higher values. Four European documents and the USA (Food and Nutrition Board, 2002) set very similar [LTI] values for Na, but only three give values that are specific to children. In toddlers these values are 200-250 mg/d or about 10 mmol/d, and in adults 500-575 mg/d or a little more than 20 mmol/d. The [LTI] set by Poland is higher than other evaluations in all age groups and shows an unexplained outlier in the age group of 7-9 years. Two European documents S98 A. Prentice et al.

(Scientific Committee for Food, 1993; DACH, 2000) and the USA give a [ULI] ranging from 2400 to 3500 mg/d. These are given for adults and are not specifically for children. Usually the reference nutrient intake values are lower than the actual mean intakes and this corresponds to an aspiration (except in France) to work towards a 'decrease the current intake of sodium'.

Potassium

In many European countries, K is not on the list of nutrients with dietary reference values. There are large differences; e.g. in the age group of 2 years, reference intake ranges from 325 to 1800 mg/d and the [LTI] ranges from 325 to 1400 mg/d. As can be seen from Table A31, some countries set an [LTI] that is higher than the reference intake of some other countries. This may reflect the emphasis on advising consumption of high intakes of unprocessed foods, especially fruit and vegetables by some countries. In Poland (Ziemlanski et al. 1996) there are remarkable inconsistencies between the different age groups. A sex difference is presented only in adults in the Nordic countries. There is an unexplained difference of an upper level of satisfactory intake between adolescents and adults in Belgium (Conseil Supérieur d'Hygiène, 2000). In Poland the minimum level of K intake (mg/d) is lower than Na intake in 2- to 6-year-olds.

Chloride

Only a few countries set reference intakes for Cl⁻ (Table A32). The data reflect Na intake on a molar basis of 1:1, and thus variations in Cl⁻ reference intakes reflect variations in the values for Na. Only Poland (Ziemlanski *et al.* 1996) and the USA (Food and Nutrition Board, 2002) provide an [LTI] for Cl⁻ in children. Austria–Germany–Swizerland (DACH, 2000) sets a [ULI] for Cl⁻ but this is for adults and not specifically for children.

Iron

Across Europe, reference values for Fe differ considerably between countries (Table A33). For several age groups, there is a twofold difference between the highest and the lowest value. Fe is a special nutrient that differs in two aspects from most other nutrients. Fe balance is mainly regulated through absorption, as there are no mechanisms to excrete Fe in the healthy individual. Fe absorption is affected not only by Fe status but also by the composition of the diet. The average absorption from a diet can differ from 5 to 15%, as reflected in the new reference intakes from the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation (2002). In this publication, for each age group, a reference value is given for each of four absorption levels - 5%, 10%, 12% and 15%, with a threefold difference in reference intake between the lowest and highest absorption levels. The only way a healthy person loses Fe from the body, except for the small amounts lost by desquamation, is through menstruation. Several countries, therefore, include two reference values for adolescent girls, depending on whether they have reached menarche, and, in most, a higher reference intake is given for adolescent girls than boys. Part of the difference between countries may be explained by the different assumptions made about Fe absorption from local diets. While a few countries have provided an LTI for Fe, only the USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 2001) has provided ULI values that are specific to children.

Zinc

There are considerable differences in the reference intakes for children and adolescents around Europe (Table A33). The absorption of Zn is highly dependent on the composition of the diet, in the same way as for Fe. Thus, a reference intake depends on assumptions about the absorption of Zn in the diet. This is reflected in the FAO/WHO reference intakes, which gives three values for each age group, for low, medium and high Zn absorption. There is more than a threefold difference between the reference intakes according to whether absorption is considered to be low or high. Requirements are extrapolated from basal losses in adults with allowance for growth. The need for Zn is often based on a requirement per kg body weight. Consequently many countries give different values for males and females in adolescence. For the youngest age groups there is a threefold difference in reference intake with the lowest being in The Netherlands (2-3 years: 3-4 mg; The Netherlands Food and Nutrition Council, 1992), which is similar to the USA/ Canada (2-3 years: 3 mg; Food and Nutrition Board, 2001), and the highest being 10 mg in some of the former Soviet republics. Several countries provide guidance on lower levels that are specific to children. The Nordic countries (Nordic Council of Ministers, 1996b), FAO/ WHO (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation, 2002) and the USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 2001) set ULI values.

Copper

Reference intakes for Cu vary up to threefold across Europe (Table A35). They are based on the observation of clinical deficiencies such as in those receiving enteral diets containing low Cu. Further research is required to establish the link between Cu status and cardiovascular function, blood pressure and metabolism of catecholamines. At present, adult data are based on balance studies and evaluation of markers of Cu deprivation such as superoxide dismutase and cytochrome oxidase activities and the metabolism of enkephalins. Balance studies are difficult in the absence of information on initial Cu status and give variable results. Very few studies have been performed in children and most reference intakes, including those in the USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 2001), are based on an interpolation between infant and adult data. The UK (Department of Health, 1999) used a factorial calculation in infancy on the basis of tissue content, an estimated percentage of losses and assumed an absorptive efficiency of 50%. Differences in reference intakes around Europe can be ascribed to differences in the method of estimation used and in the assumptions made about Cu absorption, taking into account variation in

food patterns. For example, France (CNERNA-CNRS, 2001) based their estimate on balance studies and on absorption of 20–40 %. This took into consideration interactions with other nutrients in the diet. In the Nordic countries (Nordic Council of Ministers, 1996a), absorption was estimated at 35–70 %. No country provides an LTI for Cu in children. UL values have been established by FAO/WHO (Food and Nutrition Board, 2002) and by the USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 2001). These are based on a No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) obtained in adults, using liver function as the outcome measure.

Selenium

In some countries no specific reference intakes are given for Se, due to uncertainties over average requirements. Where they are set for children and adolescents, they vary over a considerable range (Table A36). Reference intakes in childhood are deduced from adult values on the basis of body weight. Se requirements in adults are based on the intakes necessary to achieve a satisfactory plasma glutathione peroxidase (GPx) activity. Variations in reference intakes between countries reflect differences in the choice of what represents a satisfactory or optimal adult value of GPx activity (e.g. 2/3 of maximum GPx activity, maximum GPx activity, or higher than that to achieve maximum GPx activity to optimise immune function). In addition, the chemical form of ingested Se affects the response of selenoenzymes and assumptions on the dietary source of Se can result in differences in reference intakes. Only the UK (Department of Health, 1999) sets an LTI for Se that is specific for children, and two other countries provide a general recommendation for adults. A ULI is proposed in several countries. These are set for adults and, except for the USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 2000), are not specific for children.

Molybdenum

Only four countries or group of countries in Europe give guidelines for Mo intakes in children and adolescents (Table A37) – Latvia (Ministry of Welfare, 2001), Austria-Germany-Switzerland (DACH, 2000), Belgium (Conseil Supérieur d'Hygiène, 2000) and the UK (Department of Health, 1999) - as do FAO/WHO (Joint FAO/ WHO Expert Consultation, 2002) and the USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 2001). These are not equivalent to population reference intakes, but to safe-and-adequate intakes. Large discrepancies exist between the guidelines for this trace element. For example, at 2 years of age, the UK value is $5-15 \mu g/d$ whereas that for the Nordic countries (Nordic Council of Ministers, 1996a) is 50-100 µg/d. The Austria-Germany-Switzerland value is intermediate (25-50 µg/d), but its minimum is higher than the maximum for the UK and its maximum is at the minimum of the Nordic guideline. Safe-and-adequate intakes for children are interpolated between intake of breast-fed infants and mean intake of healthy adults. No European country sets a ULI for Mo intake, unlike the USA/Canada.

Manganese

Four European countries or group of countries include Mn in their reference intake document for children (Table A38): the UK (Department of Health, 1999), Belgium (Conseil Supérieur d'Hygiène, 2000), Latvia (Ministry of Welfare, 2001) and Austria-Germany-Switzerland (DACH, 2000). The USA/Canada also provides guidance on this trace element for children (Food and Nutrition Board, 2001). These are presented as safe-and-adequate intakes. There is relatively little variation with age, since the range varies between 1.0-1.5 mg/d at 2 years of age and 2·0-3·0 mg/d at 18 years of age. The Scientific Committee for Food (1993) and Italy (Società Italiana di Nutrizione Umana, 1996) prefer to give an acceptable range of 1-10 mg/d, which is set for all ages and is not specific to children. There are no differences in reference intakes between males and females. No European country sets a ULI for Mn intake, unlike the USA/Canada.

Chromium

For Cr, most European countries and international organisations acknowledge that there are not enough data to make sound recommendations. Only six countries or group of countries in Europe give reference intakes, generally in the form of safe-and-adequate levels of intake, and only four of these are specific to children (Table A39). The FAO/WHO and USA/Canada also provide guidance. It is notable that the maximum of the range given by the UK (Department of Health, 1999) is equal to or lower than the minimum given by all other countries. Values for children are interpolated from the intake by breast-fed infants (from 0·051 to 1·326 μ g/d) and the intake of adults (from 13 to 49 μ g/d). There are no differences in the reference intakes between boys and girls. No country gives an LTI or ULI for Cr intake.

Iodine

Reference intakes for children are available for I in eighteen countries or group of countries (Table A40) but most countries base their guidance on US/Canadian RDAs (Food and Nutrition Board, 2001) and EU PRIs (Scientific Committee for Food, 1993). In consequence there is relatively little variation. I reference intakes are based on measures of thyroid I accumulation and turnover, on measures of urinary I, of thyroid size, balance studies and the synthesis of thyroid hormones. Thyroid I turnover data are available only from euthyroid adults. Balance studies are available in children but were performed in the 1960s and are flawed by methodological limitations as well as experimental inaccuracies, such as not taking account of usual I intake or the size of the thyroidal compartment, and the sensitivity of the laboratory methodologies used was not sufficiently high. Urinary I excretion data are available for large populations, but only reflect short-term intake. However, urinary I excretion is correlated with the occurrence of goitre. Long-term I nutrition is better assessed from the synthesis of thyroid hormones. Serum levels of thyroglobulin are correlated S100 A. Prentice et al.

with I deficiency but dose—response data are scarce. In practice, most reference intakes have been established in relation to the prevention of deficiencies. The UK, in the absence of data on I requirements in children, sets a reference intake for children by extrapolating from adult values, based on the reference intakes for energy. More recently, the USA/Canada accepted data from children obtained from balance studies and from the relationship between urinary I excretion and goitre prevalence.

Reasons for advising different reference intakes include the presence of goitrogens (present in Brassicaceae), cooked food as the main source of intake (cooking reduces I content), the presence of malnutrition (I absorption is delayed in protein-energy malnutrition; systemic utilisation of I may be impaired in Se-deficient individuals) and the level of salt iodisation in the country. A lower value for the reference intake has been given by Switzerland, in comparison to Germany and Austria (DACH, 2000). In Switzerland, iodised salt (containing 20-30 mg I/kg) has been available for decades. As a result, the incidence of goitre is now very low. On the contrary, in Germany, I deficiency is still present and a higher reference intake has been given. In the Russian Federation (Ministry of Health Care, 1991), Estonia (Kuivogu et al. 1995) and Ukraine (Ministry of Health) the reference intakes are set higher for schoolchildren aged 6 years compared with children not attending schools.

Only the UK defines LTI values for I that are specific for children. Only FAO/WHO (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation, 2002) and USA/Canada (Food and Nutrition Board, 2001) have established a ULI for I intake in childhood. Individuals who have a compensated autonomia of the thyroid gland have an increased risk of hyperthroidism (Austria-Germany-Switzerland) and individuals with autoimmune thyroid disease have adverse effects even at intakes considered safe for the general population. The USA/Canada committee considered studies in adults that calculated a Low Observed Adverse Effect Level (LOAEL) using concentration of thyroid-stimulating hormone as an outcome. Because of the mild, reversible nature of elevated thyroid-stimulating hormone over baseline, the committee used an uncertainty factor of 1.5 to establish a ULI. Such limits are set lower in the UK (1 mg/d), on the basis of the possible presence of a small number of elderly people who may be sensitive to high intakes, and even lower (500 µg/d) in Germany and Austria, based on the greater sensitivity of elderly individuals who have been exposed to I deficiency. In children, the US/Canadian ULI was obtained by extrapolation. No European country currently sets a child-specific ULI.

Fluorine (fluoride)

The ability of F as fluoride to inhibit and even to reverse the initiation and progression of dental caries, and to stimulate new bone formation, is well accepted. However, in a majority of countries there is no specific reference intake for F and the 'physiological' nature of this trace element is still under dispute. In several countries (Table A41), reference intakes are replaced by recommended daily supplementation depending on the F concentration in drinking

water as advised by FAO/WHO. Due to the risk of F excess (fluorosis), several countries define ULI values, the difference between the ULI and corresponding reference intake being relatively small.

Water

In most European countries, water is not on the list of nutrients with dietary reference values. Several countries (Table A42) give the same guidance as the National Research Council of the USA in 1989 (Food and Nutrition Board, 1989): for practical purposes 1 ml/kcal (240 ml/mJ) in children and adults is recommended under average conditions of energy expenditure and environmental exposure. Only Austria-Germany-Switzerland (DACH, 2002) give detailed values for practical purposes (Richtwerte) for daily total water and beverage intake based on age-specific mean energy intake values, a urine osmolality of 500 mosm/kg, an assumed mean water density of food of 0.33 ml/kcal (80 ml/mJ) and a potential urine solute load of $650 \text{ mosm/d} \times 1.73 \text{ m}^2$ body surface area. Reference intakes for water are not specified separately for boys and girls. The physiological requirement for water is highly variable and quite complex. It depends on climate, physical activity and renal solute load. Thus it is impossible to set a general reference intake for water.

Section 3: Overview and concluding remarks

The remit of the Expert Group was to appraise the methodological approaches used to establish the nutritional needs of children and adolescents, and to review the nutritional recommendations current in the different countries of Europe. The compilation of the dietary reference values in Section 2 demonstrates that there are considerable disparities in the perceived nutritional requirements of European children and adolescents. Although this diversity can be attributed partly to real differences between populations and to differences in philosophy about the best approach to use, in reality most of the variability reflects methodological differences in how the reference intakes were constructed.

There are several environmental factors that may justify different nutritional reference intakes for different European countries. For instance, the average duration and intensity of sunshine may modify the need for a dietary supply of vitamin D, the abundance of I in the geographical milieu will affect considerations about the need for I supplementation, and differences in diet composition will alter the assumptions made about Fe and Zn absorption. Several other genetic, environmental and life-style factors may also modify reference intakes for any given population of children. To cover these situations there is clearly a need for local consideration of the issues, and this is most appropriately done at the regional or national level.

In contrast, many of the differences across Europe are the result of disparities in methodology, which could benefit from discussion and harmonisation at the European level. Some of these discrepancies originate from the different frameworks used to construct reference intakes. These conceptual differences are reflected in the multiplicity of ways in which recommendations and reference intakes are currently expressed (as described in Section 1). Another important factor lies in the different way each country defines the age groups within which a given reference intake is aggregated. Some make small adjustments in reference intake from year to year with advancing age while others consolidate several years into one age category. The boundaries of these age bands vary between countries, and can result in marked discrepancies, most notably when chronological age does not necessarily match biological age, such as at the onset of puberty. Similar problems arise from the use of different normative data at each age for converting requirements constructed on a body weight basis or as a percentage of energy or protein intake to daily nutrient intake. The selection criteria for these normative data are often not specified in the source material. This not only raises questions about what should be regarded as an optimal growth rate in childhood and adolescence, but also suggests that some degree of harmonisation could be achieved across Europe by the use of consensus definitions. Putative standard categories need not necessarily be defined as chronological ages but could, for example, be identified in relation to pubertal milestones for growth and development, which would allow for different rates of maturation in different countries.

Solving these methodological and technical issues by discussion and reaching consensus at the European level may not only help to improve the quality and consistency of dietary reference intakes for children and adolescents, but also may lead to other advantages. For example, standardisation of the age groups that require different reference intakes would better facilitate international discussion, would improve clarity and might remove some of the barriers to eventual harmonisation across Europe. In addition, there is increasing recognition that several important new concepts are likely to impact on the future setting of nutritional guidelines. These include: gene-nutrient interactions, genetic polymorphisms and intergenerational effects on optimal health and disease risk, nutrient-nutrient interactions and the importance of considering the whole diet as opposed to separate nutrients. Such issues may well be best tackled at the European-wide level. Differences in the construction of reference intakes can be attributed partly to the variable workload devoted to the development of the dietary guidelines. Small ad hoc committees meeting only a few times and working without appreciable financial support are less able to carry out an in-depth analysis of the science base than are larger, more well-supported committees. Given the enormity of the task in considering the wealth of new information that is likely to become available, it is questionable whether, in the future, small local committees will be able to cope unless they make use of already available consensus statements on critical aspects debated at the European level.

Some non-technical barriers would also need to be overcome before attempting harmonisation of dietary reference values for children and adolescents in Europe. Most of the dietary reference values discussed in the present review are based either on the factorial approach or on the extrapolation of adult reference intakes to children and adolescents. Because the classical diseases caused by nutrient deficiencies are less prevalent in industrialised countries than they were (with the exception of Fe deficiency), functional health outcome parameters are being increasingly considered as indicators of the quality of nutrition for better health. Surprisingly few evidence-based data are available on the relationship of any biomarker to health outcome within the paediatric age group. For instance, a high plasma cholesterol concentration is clearly related to various diseases in the adult population, whereas little is known about the impact of high plasma cholesterol in childhood on health outcomes. Biomarkers relevant to health outcomes in children may be different from those validated for health risk assessment in adults. However, ethical and economic considerations limit the possibilities of carrying out health-related research in children and extrapolation of adult data is likely to provide the only feasible way of estimating the health risks related to 'unfavourable' biomarker values for some time into the future. A greater emphasis is needed on producing the evidence base specific for children and considerable research investment is required to take these issues forward.

Even after solving the technical issues and dealing with the problem of the relative paucity of health outcome data, there remain some basic questions about the potential harmonisation of nutritional recommendations across Europe. In particular:

- Is harmonisation of dietary reference values for children and adolescents a useful and achievable goal? The costs and benefits of an international initiative to harmonise reference values need to be carefully evaluated. If the benefits appear to exceed the costs, the target population(s) for harmonisation need to be clearly defined.
- Are dietary reference values useful and to what extent are they implemented? Do the different reference intakes among populations really result in significant differences in actual intakes or in health outcomes? For instance, it remains to be determined whether setting the upper limit of fat intake at 32% of energy intake (several Central or Eastern European countries) influences fat intake and, consequently, offers cardiovascular advantages over defining the upper limit of intake at 40% (The Netherlands).
- Is it worthwhile to consider European children and adolescents as a single population, or it is more practical to attempt harmonisation of dietary reference values only for some subsets of this population? Geographical, environmental, genetic and life-style factors may influence some of the nutritional needs of children and adolescents, mitigating against the use of a single set of reference values in all European countries. In addition, there may be subgroups within each population that require special attention. For instance, children with a high level of sports activity have different nutritional needs to less active children and may

S102 A. Prentice et al.

require a separate set of nutritional guidelines. In contrast, children with an unusually low level of physical activity are at high risk of developing obesity and may also benefit from recommendations tailored to their needs. Thus, the best approach may be to define some basic issues that would lend themselves to conceptual harmonisation at the European level, but leave the fine-tuning of reference intakes for the individual countries.

The benefits for Europe of the harmonisation of dietary reference values could occur at several levels. Standardised reference values could be used for the evaluation of dietary adequacy and for surveillance of the nutritional status across Europe. Accepted nutrient-based reference values are also prerequisites for the construction of food-based guidelines needed to translate nutritional messages into practical guidance. Well-defined nutritional goals may enhance the efficacy of health education promotion programmes and could contribute to the improvement of mutual understanding among the scientific community, policy-makers, food producers and consumers. Harmonisation of population reference intakes could also be of value to the European food industry. An example comes from the experience of harmonising reference values for labelling purposes. EU legislation currently lays down reference labelling values for eighteen vitamins and minerals, based on the FAO/WHO expert consultation in Helsinki in 1988 (FAO/WHO/Ministry of Trade and Industry, 1988). This has greatly simplified the labelling of foods marketed in more than one European country: previously, diverse national reference values required apparently different nutrition information for the same foods in each country. This contrasts with the situation for companies wishing to communicate the nutritional value of foods for specific population groups, for example in advertising or to health professionals, because they must refer in each country to the national PRI, with the attendant duplication and potential confusion this entails.

In view of the diversity of current recommendations that exist in Europe, as documented in this review, and the considerable scientific and political barriers that will need to be overcome, the question of whether harmonisation of dietary reference values for children and adolescents is a desirable or achievable goal for Europe needs further consideration.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant from the Nutritional Needs of Children Task Force of the European branch of the International Life Sciences Institute (ILSI Europe). Industry members of this task force are Barilla, Coca-Cola, Danone Vitapole, Ferrero, Friesland Coberco, Masterfoods, Nestlé and Numico. Further information about ILSI Europe can be obtained from +32 (0)2 771 00 14 or info@ ilsieurope.be. The opinions expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of ILSI and ILSI Europe.

The authors of the paper would like to thank Mrs Lene Schack-Nielsen, Ms Valérie Rolland, Ms Lisa Coneyworth,

Ms Alison Mcafee and Ms Arianna Bonazzi for their contribution to this publication.

References

- Aggett PJ, Bresson J, Haschke F, *et al.* (1997) Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDAs), Recommended Dietary Intakes (RDIs), Recommended Nutrient Intakes (RNIs) and Population Reference Intakes (PRIs) are not 'recommended intakes'. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* **25**, 236–241.
- Alexy U, *et al.* (2000) The 'Optimized Mixed Diet': evaluation of a food guide system for children and adolescents. *J Nutr Educ* **32**, 94–99.
- Barker DJ (1995) Fetal origins of coronary heart disease. *Br Med J* 311, 171–174.
- Battelino T (1998) Dietary recommendations in Slovenia nutritional needs of infants, children and adolescents. *Slov Pediatr* 5, Suppl. 1, 17–23.
- Catovic A, Catovic S & Kendic S (2000) Higijena ishrane sa dijetetikom (Nutrition hygiene and dietetics), Fakultet Spurta Sarajevo, SVJETLOST, Fojnica (Bosnia and Herzegovina).
- Centre National d'Études et de Recommandations sur la Nutrition et l'Alimentation-Centre National de Recherche Scientifique (2001) Apports Nutritionnels Conseillés pour la Population Française, 3rd ed. Paris: CNERNA-CNRS.
- Conseil Supérieur d'Hygiène, Ministère des Affaires Sociales, de la Santé Publique et de l'Environnement (2000) *Recommendations Nutritionnelles pour la Belgique Révision*. Brussels: Service Public Fédéral de la Santé Publique, de la Securité de la Chaine Alimentaire et de l'Enivironnement.
- DACH (2000) Referenzwerte für die Nährstoffzufuhr, Deutsche Gesellschaft für Ernährung eV (DGE), Österreichische Gesellschaft für Ernährung (ÖGE), Schweizerische Gesellschaft für Ernährung (SGE), Schweizerische Vereinigung für Ernährung (SVE). English version published 2002.
- Department of Health (1991) Dietary Reference Values for Food Energy and Nutrients for the United Kingdom, 10th impression (with revised section on fluoride) Report on Health and Social Subjects no. 41. London: HMSO.
- Department of Health (1998) Nutrition and Bone Health: With Particular Reference to Calcium and Vitamin D. Report on Health and Social Subjects no. 49. London: The Stationery Office.
- Department of Health (1999) Dietary Reference Values for Food Energy and Nutrients for the United Kingdom, 10th impression (with revised section on fluonde). London: The Stationery Office.
- Department of Health (2000) Folic Acid and the Prevention of Disease. Report on Health and Social Subjects no. 50. London: The Stationery Office.
- Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization/ Ministry of Trade and Industry, Finland (1988) *Recommended Nutrient Reference Values for Food Labelling Purposes*. Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation, Helsinki, Finland, 12–16 September. Geneva: WHO.
- Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization/ International Atomic Energy Agency (1996) *Trace Elements in Human Nutrition and Health*. Geneva: WHO.
- Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization (1998) Preparation and Use of Food-based Dietary Guidelines. Technical Report Series no. 880. Geneva: WHO.
- Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine (1989) *Recommended Dietary Allowances*, 10th ed. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine (1997) Dietary

- Reference Intakes for Calcium, Phosphorus, Magnesium, Vitamin D and Fluoride. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine (1998) *Dietary Reference Intakes for Thiamin, Riboflavin, Niacin, Vitamin B₆, Folate, Vitamin B₁₂, Pantothenic Acid, Biotin and Choline.* Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine (2000) Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin C, Vitamin E, Selenium and Carotenoids. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine (2001) Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin A, Vitamin K, Arsenic, Boron, Chromium, Copper, Iodine, Iron, Manganese, Molybdenum, Nickel, Silicon, Vanadium and Zinc. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine (2002) Dietary Reference Intakes for Energy, Carbohydrate, Fiber, Fat, Fatty Acids, Cholesterol, Protein, and Amino Acids (Macronutrients). Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Food Safety Authority of Ireland (1999) *Publication on Recommended Dietary Allowances for Ireland*. Dublin: Food Safety Authority of Ireland.
- Forsen T, Eriksson J, Tuomilehto J, Osmond C & Barker D (2000) The fetal and childhood growth of persons who develop type 2 diabetes. *Ann Intern Med* **133**, 176–182.
- Golden MH (1988) The role of individual nutrient deficiencies in growth retardation of children as exemplified by zinc and protein. In *Linear Growth Retardation in Less Developed Countries*, pp. 143–163 [JC Waterlow, editor]. New York: Raven Press.
- Golden MH (1994) Is complete catch-up possible for stunted and malnourished children? *Eur J Clin Nutr* **48**, 59S-71S.
- György B & Károly L (1999) Tápanyagtáblázat (Dietary Recommendations in Hungary Nutrient Tables Dietetics and Nutrient Composition). Budapest: Medicina Könyvkiadó RT.
- Haschke F & van't Hof MA (2000) Euro-Growth references for length, weight, and body circumferences. Euro-Growth Study Group. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 31, Suppl. 1, S14–S38.
- Health Council of The Netherlands (2000) *Voedingsnormen Calcium, Vitamine D, Thiamine, Riboflavine, Niacine, Pantotheenzuur en Biotine. Publication* no. 2001/12. The Hague: Health Council of The Netherlands.
- Health Council of The Netherlands (2001) Dietary Reference Intakes in The Netherlands: Energy, Proteins, Fats, and Digestible Carbohydrates. Publication no. 2001/19. The Hague: Health Council of The Netherlands.
- Institute of Public Health, Ministry of Health and Family (1990) Food-Based Recommendations in Children and Adolescents in Romania. Bucharest: Ministry of Health and Family.
- Joint Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization/United Nations University Expert Consultation (1985) Energy and Protein Requirements. Technical Report Series no. 724. Geneva: WHO.
- Joint Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization Expert Consultation (2002) Human Vitamin and Mineral Requirements. Rome: FAO.
- Kuivjogi K, Saava M, Liebert T & Teesalv S (1995) Recommended Dietary Allowances in Estonia. Tallinn: Department of Food Processing, Tallinn Technical University (14 Dec – Decree 62).
- Matkovic V & Heaney RP (1992) Calcium balance during human growth: evidence for threshold behavior. *Am J Clin Nutr* **55**, 992–996.
- Ministry of Health. Dietary Recommendations in Children in Ukraine (source from Ministry of Health, no reference specified)

- Ministry of Heath (1994) Regulations Nr 16/16 July 1994 concerning Physiological Norms/requirements for the Nutrition of the Bulgarian Population. State Newspaper no. 64, p. 10, Sofia.
- Ministry of Health, Republic of Lithuania, National Nutrition Centre (2000) *Recommended Dietary Allowances in Lithuania* – *Lithuanian Population*. Vilnius: Solidarity.
- Ministry of Health Care (1991) Ministry of Health Care Dietary Recommendations for Russian Children, 28 May 1991. Regulation no. 5786-91. Moscow: Ministry of Health Care.
- Ministry of National Health and Welfare of Canada (1990)

 Nutrition Recommendations The Report of the Scientific Review Committee. Ottawa, Ont., Canada: Canadian Government Publishing Centre Supply and Services.
- Ministry of Public Health (2000) *Dietary Recommendations in Turkey*. The Turkish Health Inventory Study. Ankara: Ministry of Public Health.
- Ministry of Welfare of the Republic of Latvia (2001) *Dietary Recommendations in Latvia Decree 233*, August. Riga: Ministry of Welfare of the Republic of Latria..
- Netherlands Food and Nutrition Council (1992) *Dietary Recommendations in The Netherlands*. The Hague: The Health and Nutrition Council of The Netherlands.
- Nordic Council of Ministers, Nordic Working Group on Diet and Nutrition (1996a) Nordic nutrition recommendations. *Scand J Nutr/Näringsforskning* **40**, 161–165.
- Nordic Council of Ministers (1996b) Nordiska närings-rekommendationer 1996 (Nutrient Recommendations for the Nordic Countries). Nord 1996:28. Copenhagen: Nordic Council of Ministers.
- Nordin BEC & Marshall DH (1988) Dietary requirements for calcium. In *Calcium in Human Biology*, pp. 447–464 [BEC Nordin, editor]. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Departamento de Nutrición de la Universitad de Complutense (1995) *Tablas de Ingestas Recomendadas en Energia y Nutrientes par la Poblacion Espanola*. Madrid: Departamento de Bromatologia, Universitad Complutense de Madrid.
- Official Paper of Republic of Serbia (1996) National References for Social Nutrition for Children in Preschool Child Centres 50/94, 1643–1656.
- Ritz P & Coward WA (1995) Doubly labelled water measurement of total energy expenditure. *Diabete Metab* **21**, 241–251.
- Scientific Committee on Food (1993) *Nutrient and Energy Intakes for the European Community. Report Series* no. 31. Brussels: Commission of the European Communities.
- Scientific Committee on Food (2000) Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food on the Tolerable Upper Level Intake of Folate, expressed on 19 October. Brussels: European Commission, Health & Consumer Protection Directorate General.
- Società Italiana di Nutrizione Umana (1996) Livelli di Assunzione Giornallieri Raccomandati di Nutrienti per la populazione Italiana (LARN) (Tables Dietary Recommendations in Italy). Milan: EDRA.
- Società Italiana di Nutrizione Umana (1998) Livelli di Assunzione Raccomandati di Energia e Nutrienti per la populazione Italiana (LARN). Milan: EDRA.
- Trichopoulou A & Vassilakou T (1990) Recommended dietary intakes in the European Community Member States: an overview. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **44**, Suppl. 2, 51–126.
- Ziemlanski S, Bulhak-Jachymczyk B, Budynska-Topolowska J, Panczenko-Kresowska B & Wartanowicz M (1996) Recommended Dietary Allowances for the Polish Population (energy, protein, fat, vitamins and minerals), pp. 1–27 [S Ziemianski, editor]. Warsaw: New Medicine.

Description of the used recommendations

st
reference
the
id in
four
S
references
₫
The

		No.	Author	Landuade	Description	Recommendation source*
Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina	040	Catovic et al. (2000a)		Table from book	USA (1953/58)
	Slovenia	021	Battelino (1998)	Slovenian	Published paper	
	Yugoslavia/Serbia	047	Official Paper of Republic of Serbia (1996)	English	Table with no explanation	No criteria specified
Baltic Countries	Estonia	023	Kuivogi et al. (1995)	English	Table with no explanation	
	Latvia	046	Minstry of Welfare (2001)	English	Table	
	Lithuania	022	Ministry of Health (2000)	Lithuanian€	Leaflet	WHO (1988), Nordic Countries (1989), EU (1992, 1994), United Kingdom (1991), Germany (1991), USA (1989), Poland (1996), Russia (1991) and older references from Lithuania (1997)
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	044	Ministry of Heath (1994)	English	Table	USA, EU countries
Europe Countries	Hungary	050	György & Károly (1999)	Hungarian	Table with no explanation	
	Poland	019	Ziemlanski et al. (1996)	English	Published paper	USA (1989), United Kingdom (1991), EU (1992) and older recommendations from Poland
	Romania	015	Institute of Public Health (1990)	English	Table with no explanation	
	Russian Federation	900	Ministry of Health Care (1991)	Russia	Table with no explanation	
	Ukraine	012	Ministry of Health	English	Table with no explanation	
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland	010	Nordic Council of Ministers (1996a)	English	Published paper based on book	National and international recommendations and expert reports"
4	Norway, Sweden				(senal no. 003) in Swedish	
Southern Europe	Italy	030	Società Italiana di Nutrizione Umana (1996)	Italian	Book	Original elaboration also with reference to other recommendations
Coutries	Portugal		Recommended Dietary Allowances under process			
	Spain	024	Nutricion y Dietetica (1994)	English	Table from book	No criteria specified
	Turkey	041	Ministry of Public Health (2000)	Turkish	Table with no explanation	FAO/WHO/UNU (1985), USA (1989)
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	004		German	Book	Different sources is refered to:
Coutries	Belgium	900	Conseil Supérieur d'Hygiène (2000)	Belgian	Book	combination of Belgian and other European countries recommendations
	France	005	CNERNA-CNRS (2001)	French	Book	
	Ireland	011	Food Safety Authority of Ireland (1999)	English	Book	For must nutrients (all except folate, iron, calcium, and vitamin C) the EU (1992)
		9		23/65 514.0		recommendations were adopted
	Netherlands	029	Health Council of The Netherlands (2000)	Dutch	Book	Different sources is refered to*
		045	Netherlands Food and Nutrition Council (1992)	English	Book	Different sources is refered to."
		045	Health Council of the Nederlands (2001)	English	Book	Different sources is refered to*
	United Kingdom	028	Department of Health (1999)	English	Book	Different sources is refered to:
		031	Department of Health (1998)	English	Report	UK 1991 (serial no. 028)
		032	Department of Health (2000)	English	Report	UK 1991 (serial no. 028)
	* 1814 11-12					
Institutions &	EU	001	Scientific Committe on Food (1993)	English	Book	Different sources is refered to*
Other Countries	USA	016	FNB - IOM (2001)	English	Unpublished book	Different sources is refered to*
	USA	033	FNB - IOM (2000)	English	Book	Different sources is refered to*
	USA	034	FNB - IOM (1997)	English	Book	Different sources is refered to*
	USA	035	FNB - IOM (1998)	English	Book	Different sources is refered to:
	US DRIs	980		English	Book	(22)
	Canada	037	Ministry of National Health and Welfare of Canada (199 English	English	Book	Different sources is refered to*
	USA, Canada	052	FNB - IOM (2002)	English	Book	Different sources is refered to*
	FAO/WHO	038a	Joint FAO/WHO/UNU Expert Consultation (1985)	English	Book	Different sources is refered to*
	FAO/WHO	039			Book	Different sources is refered to*
	FAO/WHO	048	Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation (2002)	English	Report	Different sources is refered to*
*Only for recommends	Only for recommendations exactly stating this			53		
* Full references are or	 Central sections have been translated into English Full references are given in the book for each nutrient 					
I dil total di total di total	Well III the work for water branch					

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1
1
Name of Expert: Dr. P. Guesry

	Energy MJ/d Female													
	323	No.	Dietary reference value	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12
Reference Intake		2001		100000						200			2 2 2 2 2 2	
Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina	040	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	5.4	5.4	7.1	7.1	7.1	8.8	8.8	8.8	10.5	10.5	10.5
	Slovenia	021					10.00		- 707					
	Yugoslavia/Serbia	047	[Average Recommendation]	5.4	6.7	6.7	7.5	7.5		7.5	7.5		8.2	8
Baltic Countries	Estonia	023	Average Recommendation)	5.5	5.5	7.1	7.1		8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.4	8.4
	Latvia	046	Recommended Reference Value	5.4	5.4	7.5	7.5			8.4	8.4		9.6	6
	Lithuania	022	Recommended Dietary Allowance]	5.8	5.8	7.1	7.1	7.1	Ь	8.5	8.5		9.2	6
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	044	[Requirement]	5.2	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	-	7.9	7.9		8.4	8
European Countries	Hungary	020	[Recommended Daily Intake]	5.5	5.5	7.1	7.1	7.1		9.2	9.5		10.0	10
	Poland	610	Intakes (interval dependent on weight)]	4.2-6.3	4.2-6.3	6.3-8.0	6.3-8.0	6.3-8.0		8.0-9.2	8.0-9.2	7.4	7.4-9.0	7.4-9.0
	Romania	015	Recommended Quantities]	5.4		7.5	7.5	7.5	9.5	9.2	9.5		10.5	10
	Russian Federation	900	Recommended Intake)	6.4	6.4	8.2	8.2	8.2[8.4]*	8.6	8.6	9.8	9.8	10.4	10
	Ukraine	012	[Daily Requirement]	6.4		8.4	8.4	8.4[9.2]*	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.7	10.7
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland Norway, Sweden	010	[Reference Values]	6.9		60,00	6.8	6.8		7.5	7.5	7.5	8.4	ω
Southern European	Italy	030	Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake	4.7	5.8	6.0	6.5	6.9		7.6	7.8	7.7	7.7	8
Countries	Portugal	800	Recommended Dietary Intake	5.4	5.4	7.1	7.1	7.1	9.2	9.2	9.5	9.2	9.7	9.7
	Spain	024	Recommended Intake]	5.2	5.2	7.1	7.1	8.4		8.4	8.4	9.6	9.6	6
	Turkey	041	Average Recommendations]	5.4	5.4	7.1	7.1	7.1		8.8	8.8	8.4	8.4	8
Western European	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	004	[Guiding Values]	4.4	4.4	5.8	5.8			7.1	7.1	8.5	8.5	8
Countries	Belgium	900	[Energy Requirements]	4.8	5.6	6.2	6.8	7.0	7.3	7.4	7.5	7.6	7.9	8
	France	005	Average Energy Requirements)	4.8	5.1	5.6	6.0			8.3	8.8	8.9	9.3	10
	Ireland	011	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	5.6	5.7	6.2	6.8			7.4	7.5	7.6	8.0	8
	Netherlands	045	Dietary Reference Intake	4.7	4.7	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	9.6	9.5	9.5	6
	United Kingdom	028	Estimated Average Requirement]	4.9	4.9	6.5	6.5		Ц	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.7	7
Institutions &	EU	100	[Estimated Energy Requirement]	4.8		6.2	6.8	7.1	7.3	7.4	7.4	7.6	8.0	8
Other Countries	USA	980	Recommended Dietary Allowance]	5.4	5.4	7.5	7.5		8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	9.0	6
	Canada	037	[Average Energy Requirement]	5.6		7.6	7.6	7.6		8.0	8.0	9.5	9.2	9.5
	FAO/WHO	038a	[Estimated Energy Requirement]	5.5		6.4	6.8			7.6	7.9	9.6	9.8	10

"Per school children
"Dependent on weight

***Sedentary work and regular physical activity level # Dependent on weight and physical activity level

Dependent on weignt and physical activity level

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1
2
Name of Expert: Dr. P. Guesry

Energy	MJ/day	Male
	Unit:	Sex:

National Color Precommended Daily Allowance 5.4 5.1			No.	No. Dietary reference value	2	3	4	2	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Storential Control C	rence Intak	9	G.	8			Ů.				7	-										
Silvania Continue Continue	1 Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina	040	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	5.4	5.4	7.1	7.1	7.1	8.8	8.8	8.8	10.5	10.5	10.5	13.0	13.0	13.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	
Vugoslavukviserbia O47 Average Recommendation 5.4 6.7 6.7 Estonia 0.46 Recommended Retenero Value 5.4 5.4 7.1 Larvia 0.46 Recommended Delatary Allowance 5.8 7.1 Bulgaria 0.47 Recommended Delatary Allowance 5.8 7.1 Hungary 0.20 Recommended Delatary Allowance 5.8 7.1 Hungary 0.20 Recommended Delatary Allowance 5.2 6.7 6.7 Romania 0.03 Recommended Delatary Allowance 5.2 6.7 6.7 Romania 0.01 Interest (intered Delatary Allowance) 6.4 8.2 6.3 6.0 Romania 0.01 Interest (intered Delatary Allowance) 6.4 8.4 8.2 6.3 6.0 6.0 6.3 6.0 6.0 6.2 6.2 6.0 6.0 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0		Slovenia										-										
Estonia Q22 Recommendation 5.5 5.1 Latvia Q28 Recommended Paternee Value 5.4 5.4 5.4 Lithuania Q22 Recommended Delatary Allowance 5.8 5.7 6.7 Buganria Q20 Recommended Delatary Allowance 5.2 5.7 6.7 Hungary Q20 Recommended Delaty Intake 5.4 5.8 7.1 Romania 019 Illuthee (interval deparatient on verigint) 4.26.3 4.26.3 8.8 0.7 Romania 010 Recommended Unitake 6.4 6.4 8.2 0.5 Rowards 010 Recommended Unitake 6.4 6.4 8.4 Norway Swidden 010 Recommended Unitake 5.9 7.1 Intay Norway Swidden 5.9 7.1 Austria 000 Recommended Unitake 5.9 7.1 Austria 000 Recommended Unitake 5.4 5.4 7.1 Austria <td></td> <td>Yugoslavla/serbia</td> <td>047</td> <td>[Average Recommendation]</td> <td>5.4</td> <td>6.7</td> <td>6.7</td> <td>7.9</td> <td>7.9</td> <td>8.8</td> <td>8.8</td> <td>8.8</td> <td>9.2</td> <td>9.2</td> <td>10.0</td> <td>10.0</td> <td>10.0</td> <td>200</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		Yugoslavla/serbia	047	[Average Recommendation]	5.4	6.7	6.7	7.9	7.9	8.8	8.8	8.8	9.2	9.2	10.0	10.0	10.0	200				
Lativia O44 Recommended Peterence Value) 5.4 7.5 Bulgaria 044 Recommended Delary Allowance) 5.8 5.9 7.1 Bulgaria 044 Recommended Delary Allowance) 5.5 5.5 5.7 Humpary 020 Recommended Delary Minker 5.5 5.8 5.7 Poland 019 Interest (intered Calantities) 6.4 6.4 7.5 Romania 019 Recommended Charities) 6.4 6.4 6.4 Stovakia 010 Recommended Untake) 6.4 6.4 6.4 Norway, Sweden 020 Recommended Delary Intake) 5.9 5.9 7.1 Norway, Sweden 020 Recommended Delary Intake) 5.0 6.0 6.0 Portugal 020 Recommended Delary Intake) 5.2 7.1 All Arestria 020 Recommended Delary Intake) 5.4 5.4 5.4 All Arestria 020 Recommended Delary Intake) 5.0 6.1 6.4 <	Countries	Estonia	023	[Average Recommendation]	5.5	5.5	7.1	7.1	7.1	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6	
Ultimatina 0.22 Recommended Delatay Allowance 5.2 6.7 Hungany 0.24 Recommended Delaty Intake 5.2 6.7 Hungany 0.20 Recommended Delay Intake 5.2 6.7 Formand 0.15 Recommended Delay Intake 5.4 5.4 5.4 Formand 0.15 Recommended Delay Intake 6.4 6.4 8.2 Russian Federation 0.06 Recommended Unitake 6.4 6.4 8.4 Russian Federation 0.15 Recommended Unitake 6.4 6.4 8.4 Russian Federation 0.16 Recommended Delay Intake 6.5 6.5 7.1 Russian Federation 0.10 Reference Values 7.1 Russian Federation 0.10 Reference Values 7.1 Spain 0.20 Recommended Delay Intake 5.4 5.4 7.1 Spain 0.20 Recommended Delay Intake 5.2 7.1 Spain 0.21 Recommended Delay Intake 6.4 6.4 6.4 Spain 0.21 Recommended Delay Intake 6.5 6.1 6.5 Fance 0.21 Recommended Delay Requiremental 6.5 6.5 7.2 Estimated Kingdom 0.22 Estimated Energy Requiremental 6.5 6.5 7.2 Estimated Energy Requiremental 6.5 6.5 7.5 Estimated Energy Requiremental 7.5 6.5 7.5 Estima		Latvia	046	[Recommended Reference Value]	5.4	5.4	7.5	7.5	7.5	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	100
Bulgarina 0.04 Requiremental 5.2 6.7 6.7 Hungary 0.02 Recommended Daily Intake) 5.5 5.3 6.3-6.0 Polland 0.19 Ilinakes (interval deparatient) 5.4 7.5 Romaniana 0.15 Recommended Obantities) 6.4 6.4 7.5 Roussian Federation 0.05 Recommended Daily Nutrient 6.4 6.4 8.4 7.5 Slovakia 0.10 Daily Requirement 6.4 6.4 6.4 8.4 Denmarak, Finland, Icoland 0.10 Reference Values) 5.9 7.1 8.4 7.1 Norway, Sweden 0.20 Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake) 5.0 6.0 6.3 7.1 Islay 1.00 Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake) 5.0 6.0		Lithuania	022	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	5.8	5.8	7.1	7.1	7.1	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	11.0-11.9
Hungary Coo Recommended Dally Intake 5.5 5.7	al & Eastern	Bulgaria	044	[Requirement]	5.2	6.7	6.7	6.7	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	10.0	10.01	10.0	10.0	11.7	11.7	11.7	11.7	11.7	
Poland Org Intakes (interval dependent on weight) 4.2-6.3 4.2-6.3 6.3-6.0 Poland Org Intakes (interval dependent on weight) 4.2-6.3 4.2-6.3 6.3-6.0 Bussian Federation Org Recommended Intake 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4 Storakia Organization Org Recommended Intake 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4 Storakia Organization Org Recommended Intake 6.9 6.9 7.1 Intake Organization Org Recommended Intake 5.9 7.1 Intake Organization Org Recommended Intake 5.9 7.1 Intake Organization Org Recommended Intake 5.9 5.9 7.1 Intake Organization Org Recommended Intake 5.0 5.0 7.1 Intake Organization Organization Organization 0.0 Recommended Intake 0.0 Recommended Intake 0.0	e Countries	Hungary	050	[Recommended Daily Intake]	5.5	5.5	7.1	7.1	7.1	9.2	9.2	9.2	9.2	11.3	11.3	11.3	11.3	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	
Russian Federation 005 Recommended Ouantities 5.4 5.4 Russian Federation 006 Recommended Intake 5.4 5.4 Slovakia Ukraine 012 Dally Requirement 5.9 5.9 Norman, Finland, Iceland 010 Reference Values 5.9 5.9 Norman, Sweden 020 Recommended Dally Nutrient Intake 5.4 5.4 Definition 020 Recommended Dally Nutrient Intake 5.0 5.9 Spain 020 Recommended Dally Nutrient Intake 5.4 5.4 Turkey 020 Recommended Dally Nutrient Intake 5.5 5.4 Turkey 020 Recommended Dally Nutrient Intake 5.4 5.4 Turkey 020 Recommended Dally Nutrient Intake 5.5 5.4 Farine 020 Recommended Dally Nutrient Intake 5.6 5.4 Farine 020 Average Tengry Requirements 5.6 5.1 Farine 020 Average Tengry Requirement 5.5 5.5 Unlied Kingdom 020 Estimated Average Requirement 5.2 5.2 Et		Poland	019	[Intakes (interval dependent on weight)]		4.2-6.3	6.3-8.0	6.3-8.0	6.3-8.0	8.0-9.2	8.0-9.2	8.0-9.2	8.0-9.9	8.0-9.9	8.0-9.9	9.2-12.0	9.2-12.0	9.2-12.0	9.7-13.4	9.7-13.4	9.7-13.4	9.5-1
Student Stud		Romania	015	[Recommended Quantities]	5.4	5.4	7.5	7.5	7.5	9.2	9.2	9.2	10.5	10.5	10.5	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.8	13.8	13.8	
Slovakia Dispute Dis		Russian Federation	900	[Recommended Intake]	6.4	6.4	8.2	8.2	8.2[8.4]*	9.8	9.8	9.6	9.6	11.5	11.5	11.5	12.5	12.5	12,5	12.5		
Ukraine Outmark, Finland, Joeland 012 Dally Requirement 6.4 6.4 6.4		Slovakia																				
Europe Fundark, Finland, Iceland 1010 [Reference Values] 5.9 5.9 5.9 Europe Horvay, Sweden 030 [Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake] 5.0 6.0 6.0 Italy Dod [Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake] 5.4 5.4 5.4 Portugal 080 [Recommended Daily Nutrient] Intake] 5.4 5.4 5.4 Spain 220 [Recommended Daily Nutrient] 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 Turkey 041 [Average Recommendation] 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 Belgium 050 [Energy Requirements] 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 Belgium 010 [Energy Requirements] 5.0 6.1 6.1 6.1 Innied Kingdom 020 [Rergy Requirements] 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 Innied Kingdom 020 [Resign Recommended Dietary Allowance] 5.0 6.0 5.0 6.0 Innied Kingdom 020 [Estimated Average Requirement] 5.0 6.0 6.0 Innied Kingdom 030 [Recommended Dietary Allowance]		Ukraine	012	(Daily Requirement)	6.4	6.4	8.4	8.4	8.4[9.2]*	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	11.7	11.7	11.7	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4		
Norwey, Sweden 200 Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake 5.0 6.0	: Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland	010	[Reference Values]	5.9	5.9	7.1	7.1	7.1	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	9.8	8.6	9.6	9.8	11.3	11.3	11,3	11.3	
Turkey 1000 Recommended Dately Nutrient Intake 5.0 6.0		Norway, Sweden		No. of the Market State of the				0.000	4000				700000			0.					1000	
Portugal Ded Recommended Detary Intake 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4	ern Europe	Italy	030	[Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake]	5.0	6.0	6.3	6.7	7.5	8.0	8.4	8.8	8.8	8.8	9.2	6.6	10.4	8.6	11.4	11.8	12.7	8.9-16.
Spain 1024 Recommended Intake 5.2 5.2 Turkep Austria, Germany, Switzerland 0041 Guiding Values 6.4 4.7 Turkep Austria, Germany, Switzerland 0041 Guiding Values 6.0 6.1 Falace 0051 Energy Requirements 6.0 6.1 Falace 0051 Average Energy Requirements 6.0 6.1 Falace 0051 Average Energy Requirements 5.6 6.1 Inteland 0171 Recommended Dataly Allowance 5.6 6.1 Inteland Kingdom 0258 Estimated Average Requirement 5.2 5.2 EU 001 Estimated Energy Requirement 5.4 5.4 Inteland Kingdom 036 Recommended Dataly Allowance 5.6 6.0 Inteland Kingdom 037 Resturated Energy Requirement 5.6 5.6 Canada 037 Average Energy Requirement 5.6 5.6 Canada 037 Canada 037	es	Portugal	080	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	5.4	5.4	7.1	7.1	7.1	9.2	9.2	9.2	9.2	11.7	11.7	11.7	11.7	12.6	12.6	12.6	12.6	
Turkey Turkey Out Average Recommendation 5.4 5.4 5.4		Spain	024	[Recommended Intake]	5.2	5.2	7.1	7.1	7.1	8.4	8.4	8.4	10.2	10.2	10.2	11.5	11.5	11.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	
Austriat Germany, Switzerland 004 Guiding Values 4.7 4.7 4.7 Balgum 002 Energy Pequirements 6.0 6.1 France 002 Energy Pequirements 6.0 6.1 France 003 Energy Pequirements 5.0 6.1 France 004 Guiding Values 5.0 6.1 Inhied Kingdom 028 Estimated Average Requirement 5.2 5.2 EU 001 Estimated Energy Requirement 5.0 6.0 Innied Kingdom 030 Recommended Delary Allowance 5.4 5.4 Canada 037 Average Energy Requirement 5.6 5.6 Canada 037 037 037 037 037 037 037 037 037 037 037 037 037 037 037 037 037 037 037		Turkey	041	[Average Recommendation]	5.4	5.4	7.1	7.1	7.1	8.8	8.8	8.8	9.5	9.2	9.2	10.9	10.9	10.9	11.7	11.7	11.7	
Belgium 1005 Energy Requirements 5.0 6.1 France	rn Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	004	[Guiding Values]	4.7	4.7	6.4	6.4	6.4	7.9	7.9	7.9	9.4	9.4	9.4	11.2	11.2	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	
France 002 Average Energy Requirements 4.8 5.1 Ireland 011 (Recommended Detary Allowane) 5.6 6.1 Inheritands 045 (Detary Relearmen Intake) 5.0 5.0 United Kingdom 028 (Estimated Average Requirement) 5.2 5.2 EU	ries	Belgium	900	[Energy Requirements]	5.0	6.1	6.5	6.9	7.7	8.1	8.2	8.5	8.6	9.0	9.5	10.3	10.3	10.9	11.4	11.7	10.4-15.0	10.4-15
		France	005	[Average Energy Requirements]	4.8	5.1	5.6	0.9	7.3	7.8	8.3	8.8	9.5	9.7	10.1	11.0	11.6	12.2	12.7	13.1	13.4	10.0-14
Netherlands Obliary Petierence Intake 5.0 5.0		Ireland	011	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	5.6	6.1	6.6	7.1	7.7	8.1	8.3	8.6	8.7	9.2	9.8	10.6	10.9	11.4	11.9	12.0	11,9-13,4#	11.9-13.4#
United Kingdom 1028 Estimated Average Requirement 5.2 5.2 United Kingdom 1028 Estimated Energy Requirement 5.0 6.0 EU 001 Estimated Energy Requirement 5.0 6.0 Canada 005 Recommended Delary Allowance 5.4 5.4 Canada Canada Average Energy Requirement 5.6 5.6		Netherlands	045	[Dietary Reference Intake]	5.0	5.0	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2	10.6	10.6	10.6	10.6	10.6	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	
EU 001 [Estimated Energy Requirement] 5.0 6.0 10.5A 10.5B [Recommended Dietary Allowance] 5.4 5.4 5.4 10.5B 10.5		United Kingdom	028	[Estimated Average Requirement]	5.2	5.2	7.2	7.2	7.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	9.3	9.3	9.3	9.3	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5	
EU 001 [Estimated Energy Requirement] 5.0 6.																						
USA 036 [Recommended Dietary Allowance] 5.4 5.4 Canada 037 [Average Energy Requirement] 5.6 5.6	tions &	EU	100	[Estimated Energy Requirement]	5.0	0.9	9.9	7.1	7.7	8.1	8.3	8.6	8.7	9.2	8.6	10.6	10.9	11.4	11.9	12.0	11.9-12.5"	11.9-12.5
037 [Average Energy Requirement] 5.6 5.6	Countries	USA	980	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	5.4	5.4	7.5	7.5	7.5	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	
		Canada	037	[Average Energy Requirement]	5.6	5.6	7.6	7.6	7.6	9.2	9.2	9.2	10.4	10.4	10.4	12.0	12.0	12.0	13.2	13.2	13.2	700
		FAO/WHO	038a	[Estimated Energy Requirement]	5.9	6.5	7.1	7.6	7.9	8.3	8.7	9.0	10.5	10.9	11.3	11.7	12.1	12.5	12.8	13.0	13.0	

For school children
"Dependent on weight
«Sedentary work and regular physical activity level
Dependent on weight and physical activity level
"Dependent on physical activity level
"Dependent on physical activity level

NUTRIENT: Unit: Sex:	Protein g/day Females													
		No.	Dietary reference value	2	8	4	50	9	7	8	6	10	=	12
Reference Intake														
Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina	040	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	40	40	90	90	20	09	09	9	20	20	70
	Stovenia	021	[Lowest Threshold Intake]											
	Yugoslavia/Serbia	047	[Daily Needs]	32	33	39	44	44	44	44	44	48	48	51
Baltic Countries	Estonia													
	Latvia	046	[Recommended Reference Value*]											
	Lithuania	055	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	45	45	55	55	55	99	65	65	65	70	70
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	044	[Daily requirement]	17	23	23	23	30	30	30	30	46	46	46
Europe Countries	Hungary	050	[Daily requirement]	41	41	54	54	54	70	70	70	20	92	92
	Poland	019	[Safe Intake Level (Recommended Intake Level)#]	13-20 (45)	13-20 (45)	20-25 (55)	20-25 (55)	20-25 (55)	28-33 (65) 2	28-33 (65) 28	28-33 (65) 39	39-46 (75) 39	39-46 (75) 38	39-46 (75) 45-55 (8
	Romania													
	Russian Federation	900	2000	53	53	89	69	68[69***]	77	11	77	77	82	82
	Ukraine	012	[Daily requirement]	53	53	92	99	65[72***]	78	78	7.8	78	83	83
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, foeland Nooway, Swedon	010	[Recommended Intake*]											
Southern Europe	Viell	030	Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake	13-23	13-23	21-28	21-28	21-28	29-42	29-42	29-42	29-42	43-58	43-58
Coutries	Portugal	080	Recommended Dietary Intake	40	40		45	45	255	22	55	22	92	99
	Spain	024	[Recommended Intake]	23	23	30	30	36	36	38	36	41	41	41
	Turkey	041		25	25		35	32	42	42	42	53	53	53
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	004	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	13	13		17	17	24	24	24	35	35	32
Coutries	Belgium	900	[Recommended Intake]	15.5	17.0	18.5	20.0	22.0	24.5	27.5	29.5	34.0	37.0	41.5
	France	200	[Safe Level of Intake]	11.7	12.8	14	15	17	19	21	25	- 27	59	32
	Ireland	011	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	15.5	17	18.5	20	22	24.5	27.5	29.5	34	37	41.5
	Netherlands	045	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	13	13	21	21	21	21	21	37	37	37	37
	United Kingdom	031	[Reference Nutrient Intake****]	14.5	14.5	19.7	19.7	19.7	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	41.2	41.2
Institutions &	EU	001	Population Reference Intake*****	15.5	17.0	18.5	20.0	22.0	24.5	27.5	29.5	34.0	37.0	41.5
Other Countries	USA	036	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	13	13	191	18	19	19	191	34	34	34	34
	Canada	037	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	16	16	19	19	19	26	98	58	36	36	88
	FAO/WHO	038	[Safe Level of Intake]	15.5	17.5	17.5	21	21	27	27	27	36	36	44
Upper Limit of	United Kinodom	000	Company for adults			Ī	Ì	Ī	İ	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	İ	Ī
CONCELL THE CONCE								•						

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1

* For all age groups 10-15 PE% is recommended

** Denanding on weight

^{**} Depending on weight

^{*****}Milk or egg protein. For diets based on high intakes of vegetable proteins, a corr

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 4 Name of Expert: Dr. Vidailhet

Protein g/day Males

NUTRIENT: Unit: Sex:

A. Prentice et al.

Reference Intake Balkan Countries Baltic Countries Central & Eastern Europe Countries																					
Balkan Countries Baltic Countries Central & Eastern Eurobe Countries						_				-			-		-	-					
Baltic Countries Central & Eastern Europe Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina	040	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	40	40	20	99	20	90	09	09	202	70	70	85	88	88	100	100	100	
Baltic Countries Central & Eastern Europe Countries	Slovenia	021	[Lowest Threshold Intake]							-	-	-	-			-					
Baltic Countries Central & Eastern Europe Countries	Yugoslavia/Serbia	047	[Daily Needs]	35	39	39	46	46	51	51	51	54	54	58	28						
Central & Eastern Europe Countries	Estonia																				
Central & Eastern Europe Countries	Latvia	046	[Recommended Reference Value*]		70.5	2000	1	4		2.00	2000	B Transit	8 87.00	100	0.00	700	2000	500	2000	2.010	5 11 16 17
Central & Eastern Europe Countries	Lithuania	022	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	45	45	55	555	55	65	65	58	65	75	75	75	75	35	35	96	96	.12-99
Europe Countries	Bulgaria	044	Daily requirement]	17	23	23	23	30	30	30	30	47	47	47	47	64	64	84	64		
	Hungary	020	[Daily requirement]	41	41	54	54	54	202	70	70	70	98	98	86	98	89	89	88	88	
	Poland	610	Safe Intake Level (Recommended Intake Level)#	13-20 (45)	13-20 (45) 2	20-25 (55) 2	20-25 (55) 20	20-25 (55) 21	28-33 (65) 28-	-33 (65) 28-	-33 (65) 39-	46 (75)	39-46 (75) 39-	-46 (75) 52-	65 (95) 52-	65 (95)	32-65 (95) 55	(1001) 22-55	55-77 (100)	55-77 (100)	48-64 (75-100
	Romania	2000	147		600	188	118	San Source	10000	2000	1		i i	77			1000				
	Russian Federation	900		53	53	89		68[69***]	77	77	11	11	06	06	06	86	86	86	96		
	Ukraine	012	[Daily requirement]	53	53	65	99	65[72***]	78	78	78	78	16	16	16	104	104	104	104		
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland Norway, Sweden	010	[Recommended Intake*]												3						
Southern Europe	Italy	030	[Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake]	13-23	13-23	21-28	21-28	21-28	29-42	29-42	29-42	29-42	44-65	44-65	44-65	44-65	64-72	84-72	64-72		62
Coutries	Portugal	080	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	40	40	45	45	45	99	55	92	70	70	70	70	70	75	75	75	75	98
	Spain	024	[Recommended Intake]	23	23	30	30	36	36	36	36	43	43	43	54	54	54	99	56		20
	Turkey	140		52	52	32	32	35	42	45	42	53	53	53	76	92	2/2	06	06		8
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	004	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	14	14	18	18	18	24	24	24	34	34	34	46	46	46	9	99	09	40
Coutries	Belgium	900	[Recommended Intake]	15.5	17.0	18.5	20.0	22.0	24.5	27.5	29.5	32.5	36.0	41.0	45.5	51.0	53.5	56.5	55.5		
	France	005	[Safe Level of Intake]	11.7	13.5	15	16	18	50	22	24	27	29	31	36	41	47	90	51		20
	Ireland	110	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	15.5	17	18.5	20	22	24.5	27.5	29.5	32.5	36	41	45.5	51	53.5	56.5	55.5		99
	Netherlands	045	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	14	14	22	22	22	22	22	36	36	36	36	98	99	999	99	26	56	. 61
:40	United Kingdom	028	(Reference Nutrient Intake****)	14.5	14.5	19.7	19.7	19.7	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	42.1	42.1	42.1	42.1	55.2	55.2	55.2	55.2	40
Institutions &	EU	100	[Population Reference Intake****]	15.5	17	18.5	20.0	22.0	24.5	27.5	29.5	32.5	36.0	41.0	45.5	51.0	53.5	56.5	55.5	56	9
Other Countries	USA	960	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	13	13	19	19	19	19	19	34	34	34	34	34	52	52	52	52		u)
	Canada	780	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	16	16	19	19	19	26	26	26	34	34	34	49	49	49	99	58	28	9
	FAO/WHO	038	[Safe Level of Intake]	15.5	17.5	17.5	21	21	27	27	27	34	8	43	43	52	52	26	35	96	40
Upper Limit of	United Kingdom	028	Guidance for adults							-	-										2xBNI
Intake																					

2		
5		
¥		
5		
ĸ		
ш		
٠.		
n.		
Ē		
Ë		
5		
Ī		
3		
ī		
0		
ä		
щ		
NEED		
٦.		
4		
Ž		
0		
Ε		
Ŧ		
=		
≓		
Z	2	۲

Protein	q/kq/day
NUTRIENT:	Unit:
	-

		No.	Dietary reference value	2	3	4	2	9	7 8	6	10	=	12	13	14	15	16		17	18	_
Reference Intake		Contractor to			_	_	_			_								_	_		_
Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina	040	[Recommended Daily Allowance]																		L
	Slovenia	021	[Lowest Threshold Intake]	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	Ш
	Yugoslavia/Serbia	047	[Daily needs]	1.73	1.65	1,65	1.5	1.5	1,5	1.5	1.5			-							_
Baltic Countries	Estonia		Contraction (Contraction of Contraction of Contract																		Ц
	Latvia	046	[Recommended Reference Value*]																		L
	Lithuania		No. of the Control of												-	1000					Ш
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	044	[Daily Requirement**]	1.4	1,2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1,2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		_
Europe Countries	Hungary	020	[Daily Requirement**]	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.3	1.3	1.3		_
	Poland	019	[Safe Intake Level]	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	T.	17	1.1	1.1	177	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	_
	Romania																		-		_
	Russian Federation	900	Ξ	4.0	4.0	3.6	3.6	3.6	5.9	2.9	5.9	2.9	2.1	2.1	2.1	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6		_
	Ukraine	0							_	_						_					_
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland Norway, Sweden	010	[Recommended Intake]																		_
Southern Europe	Italy	030	[Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake]	1.43	1.38	1.34	1.29	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.25	1 1	124	. 55	1.19	1.14	1.10	1.05	1.01	0.95	_
Coutries	Portugal							100			_										
	Spain				_			_		_											_
	Turkey	041	20 20 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	2.1	2.1	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	_
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	004	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	1.0	1.0		6.0	6.0								L		0.8	8.0	0.8	_
Coutries	Belgium	900	[Recommended Intake]	1.13	1.09		1.02	1.01									0.87	0.83	0.80	0.75	-
	France	005	[Safe Level of Intake]	0.97	0.93		0.85	0.85										0.81	0.79	0.78	_
	Ireland	011	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	1.13	1.09	1.06	1.02	1.01	1.01	1.01	0.99		0.98	96.0	0.94	06.0		0.83	0.80	0.75	_
	Netherlands	045	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	0.9	6.0		6.0	6.0				6.0				0.8		0.8	0.8	0.8	_
	United Kingdom	028	[Reference Nutrient Intake**, ****]	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		6.0	6.0	6.0	0.8	8.0	0.8	9.0	ш
Institutions &	EU	100	[Safe Level of Intake]	1.13	1.09	1.06	1.02	1.01	1.01	101	0.99	0001			0.94	0.90	0.87	0.83	0.80	0.75	-
Other Countries	USA	036	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	0,	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1		1.0								0.8	0.8	0.8	_
	Canada	037	[Safe Level of Intake]	1.16	1.16	1.06	90.1	1.06	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.01	1.01	1.01	0.95		0.95	0.88	0.88	0.88	_
	FAO/WHO	038	[Safe Level of Intake]	1.15	1.10	1.10	-	4	-	-	1	F	-		Ц	6:0		0.8	0.8	0.75	ш
Lowest threshold	EU	100	[Lowest Threshold Intake]	H	H	H	H	H	H	H								H	H	П	ш
Intake																					
Upper Limit of	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	900	Tolerable Upper Intake Level (g/kg/day)		-					_		_			_		_				L
-	United Kingdom	000	Contribution (adulta)																		L

^{*} For all age groups 10-15 PE% is recommended **Caclaudo** Caclaudo** Caclaud

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 6 Name of Expert: Dr. Vidailheit

Sex:	Males																				
		No.	Dietary reference value	2	3	4	2	9	7 8	on .	10	11	12	+	13 1	14 1	15 1	16	17 1	18	Ц
Hererence Intake	- 7																				_
Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina	040	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	7.0														.50			_
	Slovenia	021	[Lowest Threshold Intake]	1:1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1:1		5		1.1	1.1	1.1	13	171	131	1.1	1.1	1.1	
	Yugoslavia/Serbia	047	[Daily needs]	1.73	1.65	1.65	1.5	1,5	1.5	1.5	1.5					000					
Baltic Countries	Estonia																				Ц
	Latvia	046	[Recommended Reference Value"]		6			ob			-										Ш
	Lithuania																				L
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	044	[Daily requirement**]	4.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2				1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		L
Europe Countries	Hungary	020	[Daily requirement**]	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4		L
	Poland	019	[Safe Intake Level]	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1,2				1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	
	Romania																				L
	Russian Federation	900	Σ	4.0	4.0	3.4	3.4	3.2	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.4	2.4	2.4	1.5	1.5	1,5	1.5		_
	Ukraine	1																			L
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland Norway, Sweden	010	[Recommended Intake]																	-	
Southern Europe	Italy	030	[Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake]	1.43	1.38	1.34	1.29	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28	25	1.24	1.27	1.23	1.22	1.17	1.14	1.09	0.95	L
Coutries	Portugal																				Ц
	Spain	50										_					_				
	Turkey	041	New York Control of the Control of t	2.1	2.1	1.8	1.8	1.8						1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	L
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	004	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	1.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	L					6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	L
Coutries	Belgium	900	[Recommended Intake]	1.13	1.09	1.06	1.02	1.01						1.00	76.0	0.96	0.92	6.0	0.86	0.75	L
	France	000	Safe Level of Intake	0.94	0.93	0.89	0.87	0.86						0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.84	0.84	0.81	L
	Ireland	110	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	1.13	1.09	1.06	1.02	1.01	1.01	1.01	0.99	66.0	0.98	1.00	0.97	96.0	0.92	0.90	0.86	0.75	L
	Netherlands	045	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0						6.0	6.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	8.0	L
	United Kingdom	028	[Reference Nutrient Intake**, ****]	1,2	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	Ш					1.0	1.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	Ш
Institutions &	EU	001	Safe Level of Intakei	1.13	1 09	1.06	1.00	1.01	L				96.0	1.00	26.0	96.0	0.92	06.0	0.86	0.75	L
Other Countries	USA	980	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	1.2	1,2	-		1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	L
	Canada	037	[Safe Level of Intake]	1.16	1.16	1.06	1.06	1.06	L				1.01	1.01	0.98	0.98	96.0	0.93	0.93	0.93	L
	FAO/WHO	038	[Safe Level of Intake]	1.15	1.10	1.10	+	-	Ш			-	-	-	-	0.95	0.95	06.0	06:0	0.75	Ш
Lowest Threshold	EU	100	Lowest threshold intake	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	_	-			-	-	-	-		L
Intake																					
Upper Limit of	Austria Germany Switzerland	004	[Tolerable Uncer Intake Level (ofko/day)]	N			-								=		-			Ī	L
	The second secon		The second of th								2				-				_		

For all age groups 10-15 PE% is recommended
 Exclusional variable of the properties of the properties a correction factor need to be applied.
 Based on milk protein.

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1

7 Name of Expert: Dr. Decsi NUTRIENT: Total fat % energy Sex: Male/Female	10	t	N.	ale
	xpert: Dr. Dec	: Total f		

		So.	No. Dietary Reference Value	2	m	4	2	9	1	8	6	10	=	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	50+
Reference Intake																					
Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina	L																			
	Slovenia																				
	Yugoslavia/Serbia	047	[Daily Needs*]	59	59	53	53	59	58	53	53	59	59	58	58	29					
Baltic Countries	Estonia															5.5					
	Latvia	046	[Recommended Intake]	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	
	Lithuania	022	[Recommended Daily Allowance*]	32	32	32	35	32	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	28
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	44		< 32	< 32	< 35	< 32	< 32	< 32	< 32	< 32	< 32	< 32	< 32	< 32	< 32	< 32	< 32	< 32	< 32	
Europe Countries	Hungary	050	[Daily requirement]	32	35	30	30	30	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	30	30	30	30	30
	Poland	010	[Intakes]	32	32	88	32	32	30	98	300	31/31-32** 3	31/31-32** 31	/31-32**	31-32"/31	31-32*/31	31-32*/31	33	33	33	36
	Romania	L																			
	Russian Federation	900		31	31	31	31	31	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30		ulic
	Ukraine	012	012 [Daily Requirement]	31	31	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26		
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland Norway, Sweden	010	010 [Recommended Intake]	30-35	30-35	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 30	× 30
Southern Europe	Italy	30	[Reference intake]	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	< 30	× 30
Coutries	Portugal																				
	Spain																				
	Turkey				-																
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland 004	1 004	[Guiding Values]	30-40	30-40	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30-35	30	30	30	30	30
Coutries	Belgium	L		<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<36
	France	200	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	< 30-35	< 30-35	< 30.35	< 30-35	< 30-35	< 30-35	< 30-35	< 30-35	< 30-35	< 30-35	< 30-35	< 30-35	< 30-35	< 30-35	< 30-35	< 30-35	< 30-35	< 30-35
	Ireland																-			2	
	Netherlands	045	[Adequate Intake]	25-40	25-40	25-40	20-40	20-40	20-40	20-40	20-40	20-40	20-40	20-40	20-40	20-40	20-40	20-40	20-40	20-40	20-40
	United Kingdom	028	[Population Average]																		33
Institutions &	USA	980	036 [Recommended Daily Allowance]			-															<30
Other Countries	Canada	037	037 [Nutrition Recommendation]		30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 8 Name of Expert: Dr. Decsi

Total PUFA % energy Male/Female NUTRIENT: Unit: Sex:

Reference Intake	Balkan Countries Bosnia-Herzegovina	Yugoslavia/Serbia	Baltic Countries Estonia	Latvia	Lithuania	Central & Eastern Bulgaria 44	020	Poland [019 [Intakes*]	Romania	Russian Federation	Slovakia	Ukraine	Nordic Countries Denmark, Finland, Iceland [Minimal Intakes]	Norway, Sweden	Southern Europe Italy	Coutries Portugal	Spain	Western Europe Austria, Germany, Switzerland 004 [Guiding Value]	Countries Belgium	France	Ireland	Netherlands	United Kingdom [028 [Population Average]	Lowest Threshold [Nordic Countries 010 [Minimal Intake]	Intake	Upper Limit of Netherlands O45 Tolerable Upper Intake Level	
erence value							rement*#]						takes]					alue]					Average]	take]		Jpper Intake Level]	
2					55	3-7	17	۸ ۸			0							7-10			_			H		12	
m					-	3-7	17	> 3										7-10								12	
4				_	2000	3-7	6	× 3										7:10						5-10		12	
2		_	-		9000	3-7	6	v 3										7-10			=	_		6-10		12	
9			100			3-7	6	× 3	20						110			7-10		177				5-10		12	
,						3-7	89	۸ ۵									-	7-10			=			5-10		12	
80		_	-	_	150.0	3-7	8	× 3										7-10			_		Ī	5-10		12	
6					100	3-7	8	× 3										7-10			_			5-10		12	
9		_		_	7.00	3-7	8	v 3										7-10			_			5-10		12	
=						3-7	8	> 3										 7-10						5-10		12	
12						3-7	8	× 3										7-10						5-10		12	
13	Ī		1		200	3-7	8	٧ ع									-	7-10			_		Ī	5-10		12	
41					2000	3-7	8	> 3										 7-10			_			5-10		12	
15						3-7	80	> 3										 7-10			_			5-10		12	
16						3-7	9	× 3							10.00			7-10						5-10		12	
17						3-7		v 3										7-10						5-10		12	
18						3-7	9	y 3										7-10						5-10		12	
19							9											7-10	3.7					5-10		12	

* Recommendation is for essential fatty acids (EFA)

Calculated from energy requirement and EFA requirement in gid
"Intake for Cis-PUFA should not exceede 10 per cent

NUTRIENT: No. bottom: No.	Name or expert	: Dr. Decsi																						
Material Properties without the contraction of th	ITRIENT: it: x:	N- 6 PUFA % energy Male/Female																						
Packache-tragoverial Packache-tragoverial			No.	Dietary reference	e value	2	9	4	2	9	7	8	6	10	=	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
Strong-lettrapoints Strong-lettrapoints	ference Intake	9	_																					_
Special Marker Spec	kan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina	-																					L
Marche M		Slovenia																						
Eachona Each		Yugoslavia/Serbia									7													
Transis Tran	tic Countries	Estonia																						_
Purparian Purp		Latvia									00													
Eastern Registration Eastern Registration		Lithuania																						
Hungary Hung	ntral & Eastern	Bulgaria							500															
Possible Properties Possible Properties	ope Countries	Hungary							0.5														S.T.	
Particular Plant		Poland																						
Paciety Paci		Romania		-							100													
State Stat		Russian Federation																						Ш
Function Commended Intake 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		Slovakia													0						6			
Part Part		Ukraine	L																					
Guode Flags Flags <th< td=""><td>rdic Countries</td><td>Denmark, Finland, Iceland</td><td>010</td><td>[Recommended]</td><td>Intake]</td><td>۸.3</td><td>× 3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	rdic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland	010	[Recommended]	Intake]	۸.3	× 3																	
Figure F	700007	Norway, Sweden	-																					1
Portrogal Port	athern Europe	Italy	030	Hecommended	Daily Nutrient Intake]	2	S	2				2	2	2	2	21	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Statistical Communication Charles Charle	utries	Portugal		STATE OF STA	A STATE OF THE STA																			
Turking General Processing Turking General Processing General Proces		Spain																						
urope Austria, Germany, Switzerfand on Only Instead Transfer and Only Recommended Deliany Allowance Instead on Thresholds 3 3 2 <td></td> <td>Turkey</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td>U</td>		Turkey			5																			U
Elegium OS Recommended Intake Fauton OS Recommended Intake OS Intake Inta	stern Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland		Recommended I	Daily Intake]	9	3	2.5				2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	Ц
Procommended Delitary Allowance Recommended Delitary Allowance 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	nutries	Belgium	900	Recommended I	[ntake]	4	4	4	4		4	4	4	4	5/4	5/4	5/4	5/4	6/5	6/5	6/5			_1
add Kingdom 011 [Recommended Deflay Allowance] 3 5 2 <td></td> <td>France</td> <td>-</td> <td>Recommended L</td> <td>Dietary Allowance]</td> <td></td>		France	-	Recommended L	Dietary Allowance]																			
United Kingdom		Ireland	011	Recommended L	Dietary Allowance]	9	3					2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	CV	N	
and Kingdom Ont Proposition Reference tritiske Ont Proposition Reference tritiske Ont Proposition Reference tritiske Ont	Netherlands			September Septem											G:	5						William.		
ada 001 (Psychiatron Reference finalse) 3 2		United Kingdom																						- 60
ada 037 (Nutriton Recommendation) 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		Н	100	Poculation Refer	ance Intakel	6						6	0	6	6	6	6	6	0	6	0	6	6	Ш
		Canada	037	Nutrition Recomm	mendation	3						0	3	3	8	3	3	3	62	3	8	0	3	Ш
	west Thresho	JE!	100													-						-		L
			1											T	1		1		t		1	1		1

N - EXPERT GROUP 1	
OF CHILDREN	
NAL NEEDS	
NUTRITIO	9

DI. Decsi	N-3 PUFA	% energy (g/d)	Male/Female	
Name of Expert.	NUTRIENT:	Unit:	Sex:	

0.000 months (0.000 months)		S S	. Dietary re	No. Dietary reference value	2	60	4	2	9	7	80	6	10	=	12	13	14	15	57	16	17	
Reference Intake	0.000 0.000000	-																		-		
Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina																			Ш		
	Slovenia						7								200	9	0.7					
	Yugoslavia/Serbia							10								100						
Baltic Countries	Estonia											_	-	-				_				
	Latvia																			ш		
	Lithuania											_										
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	L											-						l			
Europe Countries	Hungary									-		-	-	-								
	Poland						171									20		19				
	Romania																					
	Russian Federation	_														2						
	Slovakia	L											-									
	Ukraine				-							-										
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland	010	0 [Recomm	010 [Recommended Intake]	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	9.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	9.0	0.5	0.5	0.5		0.5	0.5
Couthern Firens	Halo	Oraco	Becomme	030 Becommended Dally Nutrient Intellal	20	0.4	-	-	-	*			,	-	-	-	-	1 5/1	1 5/1		1 5/4	1 5/1
adoing Hall		5	1	divide being regulation maked			1	1														
Coutries	Portugal	1							1			+	1	1	1			1	1	-1	+	
	Spain	-																			_	
	Turkey																		_		_	
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland		4 [Recomme	ended Daily Intake]	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	20	5 0.5
untries	Belgium	_	5 Recomme	005 [[Recommended Diarety Allowance (g/d)]	0.7	0.7	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.5/1	1.5/1	1.5/	-	1
	France		Recomme	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	1000																L	
	Ireland	011	1 [Recomme	ended Dietary Allowance	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	ľ	9.0	0.5
	Netherlands												-								L	
	United Kingdom																		_	П		_
Institutions &	EU	00	1 Population	001 [Population Reference Intake]	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	9.0	0.5	9.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	9.0	L	
Other Countries	Canada	035	7 (Nutrition i	037 [Nutrition Recommendation]	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5		0.5
	ē	000	The second second	1		-		F			-		-	=		-					-	-
Lowest Infestion	200	8	I IFOWER I	OO I FOWER I HERIDIN VAILED															1		4	
per Limit of	EU	100	Possibility	001 [Possibility for metabolic abnormalities]			Ī				-	F		-	-		-	ŀ	-		L	-
interior.																						

Recommendation for alpha-Linolic acid only, no value given for total n-3

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 11
Name of Expert: Dr. Fletcher

*Por actiool chidden **Intake of non-milk extrinsic sugars should not exceed about 60 mg/dsy, 10% of energy intake

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 12

Carbohydrate, sugar % Energy Male/Female
NUTRIENT: Unit: Sex:

		No.	Dietary reference value	2	3	4	ın	9	7	8	o o	10	=	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		20+
Reference Intake																						,0
Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina																					
	Slovenia																				L	:0
	Yugoslavia/Serbia																				L	
Baltic Countries	Estonia																					50
	Latvia	046	[Recommended Reference Value]	50-55	50-55	50-55	50-55	50-55	50-55	50-55	50-55	50-55	50-55	50-55	50-55	50-55	50-55	50-55		100		55-60*
	Lithuania	022	[Recommended Daily Allowance****]										55-75	55-75	55-75	55-75	55-75	55-75	55-75	55-75		55-75
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria									-											L	
Europe Countries	Hungary				12.5					1510					000				oe.		L	
	Poland	019	[Recommended Intake]								-										L	65-70*
	Romania																	i i				
	Russian Federation						-	F	-	_	-										L	
	Ukraine	012	[Daily requirement]		0.0									16-1								
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland Norway, Sweden	010	[Recommended Intake]	50-55	50-55*	-09-95	-29-99	55-60*	.09-99	-09-55	-22-60	-09-99	-22-60	-09-95	55-60	-69-99	-22-60	-09-99	-09-55	55-60*	200	55-60**
Southern Europe	Italy	030	[Dietary Guidelines]			T	t	T	T		T		T	Ī							L	55-65
Coutries	Portugal	1	Bear of the state		200																	
	Spain																				Ш	
	Turkey											1										(1)
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	004	[Guiding Value]	>50	>50	>50	>50	>20	>20	>50	>20	>20	>50	>50	>20	>20	>20	>50	>50	>20	L	>50
Coutries	Belgium	900	[Recommended Intake]		and the second		-							0.0								>55
	France	005	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]				-		-													50-55#
	Ireland	-	E yearsons commenced and		0																	11
	Netherlands	045	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	40	40	40	40	40		40
	United Kingdom	031	[Reference Nutrient Intake]											-							Ш	47
Institutions &	EU	001	[Population Reference Intake]											Ī							L	55-65
Other Countries	USA	036	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	45-65	45-65	45-65	45-65	45-65	45-65	45-65	45-65	45-65	45-65	45-65	45-65	45-65	45-65	45-65	45-65	45-65	L	45-65
	Canada	037	[Recommended Nutrient Intakes]																		L	50-60
	FAO/WHO		[Population Nutrient goal]																		Ц	55-75*
		* 000				10	400	40	-0	la c		L	40	-	-00	100	20	40	-	-	L	L
Lowest Inreshold	Austria, Germany, Switzenand	900	Lowest Threshold Value	52	52	S	SS	52	52	52	62	52	52	52	CZ	52	92	52	52	52	_	52
Intake																						

Sugar not more than 10% of total energy
"Sugar not more than 10% of total energy it total energy intake < 8 MJ
"Sugar not more than 10% of total energy if total energy intake < 8 MJ
"Who 1990, Date, Muhtfer, and the Prevendino of Chronic Dieseases. Technical Report, Series 797. WHO, Geneva
""Ubclear from which age the recommendation applies
""Ubclear from which age the recommendation applies
Sugar and sugary food not more than 10% of total energy

GROUP 1	
- EXPERT	
CHILDREN	
NEEDS OF	
UTRITIONAL	
z	,

*AOAC is the most sure of detany fibre defined by the Association of Official Analytical Chemist in the US. It is now used as standard in many countries and allows for the inclusion of retrograded starch and ignin in its calculation, thus giving higher values than for non starch polysecotanides (NSP).

** For chidren calculated as age+5 to age+10

***Calculated as age+5 # Pediatrics 1995; 96(5); 985-88

-	
GROUP	
· EXPERT	
HILDREN.	
SOFC	
AL NEED	
NUTRITION	14

NOTHING WEEDS OF CHILDREN - EARLEN GROOM		Dr. Ann Prentice	amin	p/6	Male/Female	
EDS OF CHIED		Dr. Ann	T.	E	Male/	
NO. OF THE PART OF	14	Name of Expert:	NUTRIENT:	Unit:	Sex:	

		No.	Dietary reference value	2	3		0	9	,	00		10	-	12	13	14	9	16	11	18	20+
Reference Intake																					
Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina	040	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.6/1.3	1.8/1.3	1.6/1.3	1,9/1,5	1.9/1.5	1.9/1.5	1000
	Slovenia	021	[Daily requirement]	0.5-1.1	0.5-1.1	0.5-1.1	0.5-1.1	0.5-1,1	0.5-1.1	0.5-1.1	0.5-1.1	0.5-1.1	1,1-1,5	1.1-1.5	1,1-1,6	1,1-1,5		1.1-1.5	1.1-1.5	1.1-1.5	1,111
	Yugoslavia/Serbia	047	Daily Needs	0.7	0.9	6.0	6.0	6.0	1.2	1.2	12	1,4/1,1	1,4/1,1	1,4/1,1	1,40.1	1.4/1.1			-		
Baltic Countries	Estoria	023	[Recommended Intake]	0.7	0.7	6:0	6.0	6.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2/1.0	1,2/1,0	1.2/1.0	12/10	1,471,1	1,4/1,1	1,4/1,1	1.4/1.1	
	Landa	046	Recommended Reference Value	0.7	0.7	6.0	6.0	6'0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	5	1.2	1,2	1.2	
	Lithuania	022	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	0.7	0.7	6.0	6.0	6.0	1.2	12	1.2	1.2	1.4/1.1	1.4/1.1	1,4/1,1	1,4/1,1	1,4/1,1	1.4/1.1	1.4/1,1	1.4/1.1	1.6-1,7/12-1.4
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	044	[Daily Requirement]	9.0	0.8	0.8	8.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2/1.0	1.2/1.0	12/10	1.2/1.0	1,4/1,1	1,471.1	1.4/1.1	1,4/1.1		
Europe Countries	Hungary	050	Recommended Daily Intake					-	-												
	Poland	019	Safe intake Level (Recommended Intake Level)#]	(6.0) 7.0	0.7 (0.9)	0.9 (1.1)	0.9 (1.1)	0.9 (1.1)	1.0(1.2) 1	1.0 (1.2) 1.	.0(1.2) 1.3/1	1 (1.5/1.3)	1.3/1.1 (1.5/1.3) 1.	1,3/1,1 (1,5/1,3)	1,5/1,3 (1,7/1,5)	1,5/13 (1,7/1,5)	1,5/13 (1,7/1.5)	1.5/1.4 (1.7/1.8)	1.5/1.4 (1.7/1.8)	15/14 (1.7/1.6)	18-2017-2
	Romania			2000					10000						12 The Control of the	and publication of	100 100 months		58335	Contract of the second	200000000000000000000000000000000000000
	Russian Federation	900	Recommended Dietary Intake	0.8	0.8	60	6.0	0.5(1.0)*	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.4/13	1.4/1.3	1,4/1.3	1,5/1,3	1.5/1.3	1,5/1.3	15/13		-0
	Ukraine	012	[Daily Requirement]	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8(0.9)*	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3/1.1	1.3/1.1	1.3/1.1	15/12		1,5/1,2			
Nordic Countries	Denmark: Finland: loeland	010	[Recommended Intake]	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.27.0	12/10	12/10	12/10	1.471.1	1.4/1.1		1.4/1.1	1.4/1
	Norway, Sweden	0.000	Second and an address of the same were	1000	100	1000	100	1000	1000	1000	200	0.000	Street, or		The second		Carrier Contract Cont	Contract of the Contract of th	000000	1000000	
Southern Europe	Italy	030	Recommended Daily Nutrient Intakes	9.0	9.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	1.1/0.9	1.1/0.9	1.1/0.9	1.1/0.9	1.2/0.9	1.2/0.9	1 2/0.9	120.9	120
Countries	Portugal	900	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1,5/13	1.5/1.3	1.51.3	1.5/1.3	1.8/1.3	1.8/1.3		1,8/1,3	12/
	Spein	024	[Recommended intakes]	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	11	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	
	Turkey	041	[2]	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.7	7.0	0.8	0.8	9.0	1.08	1.0	1.0	1.2/1.1	1.2/1.1	1,271,1	1.4/1.0	1.4/1.0	1.4/1.0	1,2/0,
Western Europe	Austria Germany, Switzerland	\$00 \$	[Recommended Daily Intake]	9.0	9.0	8.0	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2/1.0	1.2/1.0	1.2/1.0	1,4/1,1		1,3/1,0			1.3/1.0	1.3/1
Countries	Belgium	900	Recommended Intakes	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	9.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.00.9	1.0/0.9	1.0/0.9	1.0/0.9	12/0.9			1.2/0.9	1.1/0.
	France	005	Recommended Nutrient Intake	0.4	0.4	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3/1.1	de la	1.3/1.1	1,3/1.1	1.3/1.1	1.3/1.1	13/1
	Ireland	011	[Recommended Dietary Allowance*]	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.0/0.9	1.0/0.9	1.0/0.9		12/0/9			120.9	1,170.
	Netherlands	029	Adequate Intake	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	9.0	0.8	8.0	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.1	1,1	1,1	5
	United Kingdom	028	[Reference Nutrient Intake]	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.9/0.7	0.9/0.7	7.0/6/0	0.9/0.7	1.1/0.8	1.1/0.8	1,10.8	1.1/0.8	1.0/0
Institutions &	EU	100	[Population Reference Intakee]	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.0/0.9	1.009	1.009	1.0/0.9	120.9	1209	1.2/0.9	1.1/0.9	1.18
Other Countries	USA, Canada	038	Recommended Daily Allowance	0.5	0.5	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	0.6	6.0	0.9	6.0	6.0	6.0	12/1.0**	12/1.0**	12/1.0**	12/1.0**	12/1.0**	121.1
	FAOWHO	048	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	0.6	0.5	9.0	9.0	9.0	0.9	6.0	6.0	12/11	1.2/1.1	1.2/1.1	1.27.1	12/1.1	12/1.1	1.27.1	1.21.1	1.2/1.1	12/1
Lowest Threshold	Nordic Countries	010	Lower limit of intake				-	-	-	-	-	-					0.6/0.5	0.6/0.5	0.60.5	0.60.5	0.6/0
Intake	United Kingdom	820	[Lower Reference Nutrient Intake]	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23		0.23		0.23	0
	110	2000																			. 000

-		No.	Dietary reference value	2	m	4	0	9	,	60	0	10	=	15	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Reference Intake						_							-								
Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina	040	[Becommended Daily Allowance]	1	-	1.2	1.2	12	1	1 2	40	1.8	1.8	1.8	2.1/2.0	2.1/2.0	2.1/2.0	2.5/2.0	2.5/2.0	2.5/2.0	
		021	[Daily Requirements]	0.6-1.4 0.6	0.6-1.4 0.6	0.6-1.4 0.6	0.6-1,4 0.6-1,4	1.4 0.6-1.4	4 0.6-1.4	4 0.6-1,4			1.3-1.8	60		1.3-1.8	1.3-1.8	1.3-1.8	1.3-1.8	1.3-1.8	1.3-1
	Yugoslavia/Serbia	047	[Daily Needs]	0.8	-	-	1	-		3.4	1.6/1.	3	1.671.3	1.6/1.3	1.6/1.3	1.6/1.3					
Baltic Countries		023	[Recommended Intake]	0.8	0.8	-	1	-	1.2	21	5	1.2	1,4/1.2	CU	1.4/1.2	1,4/1.2	1,7/1.3	1.7/1.3	1,7/1.3	1,7/1.3	
The second secon		940	[Recrimended Reference Value]	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.1	131	1.2	1.2	5	12	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.8	
	Lithuenia	022	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	9.0	0.8	-	-	-	1.4	1.4	4	1.4 1.6	.6/1.3	1,6/1,3	.6/1/3	1.6/1.3	1,7/1.3	1,7/1.3	1,7/1.3	1,7/1.3	1/2/1
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	240	[Daily Requirement]	9.0	-	-		- 1	-	1.0	1.47	4/1.2	4/1.2	1.4/1.2	4/1.2	1.7/1.3	1.7/1.3	1.7/1.3	1,7/1.3	Ī	
		200		2000	1								2000		2000	1000			STOCKE .		
Europe Countries	Hungary	050	[Recommended Daily Intake]	0.8	6.0	6.0	1	-	1	1,	2	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	
		019	Safe Intake Level (Recommended Intake Level)#	0.8 (1) 0.8	0.8(1) 1.1((1.3) 1.1 (1.3)	(1.3) 1.1 (1.3)	3) 1.2 (1.4)	4) 1.2 (1.4)	4) 12 (1.4)	1,7/1.4 (1.9/1	1.61 1.7/1.4 (1.9/1	11.6) 1.7/1.4 (1.9/1	(9)	1.8 (2)	1.8 (2)	1.8 (2) 2.0/	2.0/1.9 (2.2/2.0) 2	2.0/1.9 (2.2/2.0)	20/19 (22/20)	2.4-2.8/1.6-2.2
	Romania	015															-				
	Russian Federation	900	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	6.0	6.0	-	1 111.27						71.5		1.771.5		1.8/1.5	1,8/1,5	1,87,5		
	Ukraine	012	[Daily Requirement]	6.0	0.9	7	111.		1.2	1,2		1.5	1,5/1,3	1.5/1.3	5,1,3	1.B/1.5	1.8/1.5	1,8/1.5	1,8/1.5		
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland Norway, Sweden	010	[Recommended Intake]	9.0	0.8	5	ā	-	1.1	131					1,4/1,2		1,6/1.3	1,6/1.3	1,6/1.3	1.611.3	1,6,1
Southern Europe		000	[Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake]	0.8	0.8	-	-	-	1.2	1.2		1.2	1,4/1.2	1,4/1.2	4/1.2	1.4/1.2	1.6/1.2	1.6/1.2	1,6/1.2	1.6/1.2	1.6.1
	2000000	2000												The state of	Sylvan				100000	20000	
Coutries	Portugal	090	Recommended Dietary Intake	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.4	125	7	1.4	.8/1.5		1.8/1.5	1,8/1.5	1.8/1.5	1.8/1.5	1.8/1.5	1.8/1.5	1.8/1
	Spain	024	[Recommended Intake]	9.0	0.8	-	-		1.2 1.	12					Ĺ		1.7/1.5	1.8/1.4	1,8/1,4	1.8/1.4	1.8/1
		041	A COURSE CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE P		0.7	0.7	0.7			1.2				3		1,6/1,4	1,6/1,4	1,8/1,3	1.8/1.3	1,9/1.3	1,7/1
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	900	[Recommended Daily Intake]		0.7	6.0					1.4/1.2			2			1.5/1.2	1.5/1.2	1.5/1.2	1.5/1.2	15/1
untries	Belgium	900	[Recommend Intake]	0.8	0.8	-	-	-		1.2				-	DV		1.6/1.3	1,6/1.3	1,6/1.3	1,6/1.3	1.6/1
	France	200	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]		0.8	-			1.3	1,3	3 1.4/1.3		1.4/1.3	1,4/1.3	.6/1.4		1,6/1,4	1,6/1.5	1,6/15	1.6/1.5	1,6/1
	Germany	100	[8]			100	100						1	2000			2000	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	2000000	100000	
	Ineland	011	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.0			1.2		1.2	14/12	1.4/1.2	.4/1.2	1,4/1.2	1.6/1.3	1.6/1.3	1.6/1.3	1.6/1.3	1.8/1
		620	[Adequate Intake]	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	7	-	-	1	1		1.5/1.1	1.5/1.1	1.5/1.1	1.5/1.1	1.5/1.1	
		004	[8]												_	_		_			
	United Kngdom	028	Reference Nutrient Intake	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.8			-	12	2/1.1	1.2/1.1	271.1	1.2/1.1	1.3/1.1	1.3/1.1	1.3/1.1	1.371.1	13/1
-	EU	100	[Population Reference Intake]	9.0	0.8	-	-1					1,2	1.471.2	1.4/1.2	1.471.2	1,4/1,2	1,6/1,3	1.6/1.3	1,6/1.3	1.6/1.3	1.6/1
Other Countries	USA, Canada	035	[Recommended Daily Allowance]		0.5	9.0	0.6	0.6	0.6 0	0.6		0.9	6:0	6.0	0.9 1.3	3/1.0*** 1.3	.3/1.0***	1.3/1.0***	1.3/1,0***	1.3/1.0***	1,3/1,0"
	FAOWHO	048	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	0.5	0.5	9.0					_	3/1.0	3/1.0	1,3/1,0	3/1.0	1.3/1.0	13/10	1.3/1.0	1.3/1.0	1.3/1.0	13/1
Threshold	rdic Countries	П	[Lower Limit of Intake]		H	H	H		Ц	Ц					H	H	H	0.8	0.8	0.8	
Intoka	ī	100	Louiset Threshold Intubel						_												

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1

JTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 mme of Expert: Dr. Ann Prentice	Niacin	E/d (1 mg = 60 mg tryptophan)	Male/Female
NUTRITIONAL NE 16 Name of Expert:	Nutrient:	Unit:	Sex:

		NO.	Dietary reference value	2	en	4	9	9	8	on.	10	=	25	13	14	15	91	17	18	20±
Reference Intake					_															
Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina	040	[Recommended Daily Allowance, Dietary Recommendation]	9	9	8	18	80			59					16/13	19/13	19/13	19/13	
	Stoventa	120	Daily Requirements	9-16	9-16	9-16	9-16	9-16			9-16			100		Ĭ.	15-20	15-20	15-20	- Table
200 - 200	Yugoslavia/Serbia	047	[Daily Needs]	6	- 11	11	11	111	16	16 1	18 18/15	5 18/15	18/15	18/15	18/15	2000	5000	0.00		100
Baltic Countries	Estonia	023	Recommended Intake	6	6	11	11										18/14	18/14	18/14	
	Latvia	940	Recommended Reference Value	6	6	11	11	11								16	16	16	16	
	Uthuania	022	[Becommended Daily Allowance]	6	6	11	11				13 13		16/13	16/13		18/14	18/14	18/14	18/14	17.19/13-
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria				_															
Europe Countries	Hungary	12000	THE TRACE SALES AND TAKEN AND TAKEN	2000	10000		9000	5773	200	(De-1)	STORY CONTRACTOR	St. 100 C. Coll 115	STATE STATE	かんかい とうしゅ	Section Colors	CONTROL OF ACCOUNT	Sandan Marketing	1000 C-1000	Section and a second	
400000000000000000000000000000000000000	Poland	610	Sale Intake Level (Recommended Intake Level)#]	9(11)	9(11)	12 (14)	12 (14)	12 (14) 15	15 (17) 15	15(17) 15(17)	17) 18/16 (20/18)	18/16 (20/18)	18/16 (20/16)	20/18 (22/20)	20/18 (22/20)	20/18 (22/20)	22/18 (24/20)	22/18 (24/20)	22/18 (24/20)	19-23/17-20## (21-25/19-22##
	Romania					-	-	-												
	Russian Federation	900	[Recommended Dietary Intains]	10	10	11	-	(1136)	15	15						20/17	20/17	250/17		
	Ukraine	015	Daily Requirement	10	10	12	12 12	12[136]	15		15	17/15	5 17/15	17/15	20/17	20/17	20/17	20/17	10000	
Nordic Countries	Danmark, Finland, Icaland	010	[Recommended Intake]	e.	6	11	11	11	13	13	13 13					18/14	18/14	18/14	18/14	181
Southern Furnne	Tale of the same o	rear	Recommended Reils National Intalion	0	0	=	1						l	l	L		18/34	18/14	18/14	
Contrine	Doctoral	800	Becommissed Detroy Intake	a	G	0	0	d						ľ			20/16	String	Style	18/31
	Sowin	100	Recommended Interes	0	100	-	11	130	100	13	13 16/15	18/15	16/15	18:17	18/17	1817	20/15	20/15	20/15	20/15
	Turkay	170		8.6	8.6	11.2	11.2	11.2					l	20.0		30.	23.3/18.0	23.3/16.0	23.3/16.0	20.0/14
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	900	Recommended Dietary Intake	7	7	10	101	10								17/13	17/13	17/13	17/13	
Countries	Belgium	900	Recommended Intake	6	6	11	11	11	50				15/14				18/34	18/14	18/14	
	France	000	Recommended Nutrient Intake	9	9	8	8	8	đ	6				13/11	13/11	13/11	14/11	14/11	14/11	100
	Ineland	011	[Recommended Dietary Allowance**]	6	6	11	11	- 11	13	13	13 13	3 15/14	48 15/14				18/14	18/14	18/14	18/14
	Netherlands	620	[Adequate Intake (RDA for adults)§]	4	च	1	7	7.	7	7			11	111		17/13	17/13	17/13	17/13	
-	United Kingdom	820	Reference Nutrient Intake	9	8	11	11	1	12	12	12	15/12	2 15/12	15/12			18/14	18/14	18/14	
a contractor	ō	100	3.0	la la		**		**	100				20100			20101	10000	1000	******	000
	0.00	3	Common material and a support	510					2 0	2			5		100	1	1000	1		
Other Countries	USA, Canada FAD/WHO	048	Peccernanded Nutrient Intake)	p up	0 0	0 00	B 0	0 00	10 or	12 8	12 15	15 16	16	22			16/14	18/14	16/14	16/14
1 Threshold	Nordic Countries	010	[Lower limit of intake]	-	-	-	-	L								11/9	11/9	11/93	11/9	
Intake	United Kingdom	670	Lower Reterence Nutrient Intake	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	
-	EU	100	[Lowest threshold intake]				-													11/8
_	Nordic Countries	010	[Upper Limit of Intake]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							Ī	Ī	
Intake	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	900	Tolerable Upper Intake Level****			-	-													
	Netherlands	670	Tolerable upper intake level §	35	35	35	38	35	36				35		35	35	38	35	38	
	USA, Canada	035	[Tolerable Upper Intake Level]	10	10	15	15	15	15	15	20 20	0 20		20	30	30	30	30	30	
	the same and a same and	40.0	1777 1777 1777									0.550		0.00		China China	145	Contract of the Contract of th		

constant interior							İ	,						,	2			2	0		0	
9	The state of the s																					
Balkan Countries	Bosna-Herzegownia	040	[Dietary Recommendation]		1000000			Section 1			1000000	the second of				10000	2 20.10	The same of the sa		10000	1000000	1.5-2.
Contratace Mental	Slovenia	150	[Daily Requirements]	0.8-1.4	0.8-1.4	0.8-1.4	0.8-1.4	0.8-1.4	0.8-1.4	0.8-1.4	0.8-1.4	0.8-1.4	1,4-2,0	1,4-2.0		1.4-2.0	1.4-2.0	1.4-2.0	1.4-2.0	1,4-2.0	1.4-2.0	
	Yugoslavla/Sorbia	740	[Daily needs]	0.9	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.8		1.8	1.8	1.8					
Baltic Countries	Estonia	023	[Recommended Intake	60	0.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.7/1.5	1,7/1.5		1.7/1.5	1.7/1.5	2.0/1.6	2.0/1.6	2.0/1.6	2.0/1.6	
	Latvia	046	[Recommended Reference Value]		-	111	1.1	1:1	1,4	5.4	1.4	1.4	13	2	7.8	1.7	1.7	O.	62	2	22	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Lithuania	220	Recommended Daily Allowance	6.0	0.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.7/1.5			1,7/1.5	1.7/1.5	2.0/1.6	2,0/1,6	2.0/1.6	2,071.6	1.0-1.1/0.7-0.8
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	044	[Daily Requirement]	0.8	-	-	-	1,28	1.2	12	1.2	1.6/1.3	1,6/1.3	1.6/13		1.6/1.3	1,81,4	1,8/1,4	1,8/1,4	1,8/1,4		
Europe Countries	Hungary																					
	Poland	010	Safe Intake Level (Recommended Intake Level)#]	1.0 (1.2)	1.0 (1.2)	12(1.4)	1.2 (1.4)	1.2 (1.4)	1.4 (1.6)	1.4 (1.6)	1.4 (1.6) 1.7	1.7/1.4 (1.8/1.8)	1,7/1,4 (1,8/1.6)	1,271.4 (1.8/1.6)	6) 1.8/1.5 (2.0/1.7)		1.8/1.5 (2.0/1.7) 1.8/1	1.8/1.5 (2.0/1.7) 2.	2.2/1.6 (2.4/1.8)	2.2/1.6 (2.4/1.8)	2.2/1.6 (2.4/1.8)	2.0-2.4/1.6-2.0##(2.2-2.8/1.8-2.2##
	Romania	1			20,515	1000			2000				1000		-		County		Part Control	111111111111111111111111111111111111111		
	Russian Federation	900	[Recommended Dietary Infake]	6.0	6.0	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.6	1.8	1,6	1.6	1,8/1,6			8/1.6	2.07.6	2.0/1.8	20/1/6	2.0/1.6		
TO T	Ukraine	012	[Daily Regulement]	6.0	0.0	1.3	1.1	1.1[1.2]*	1.4	1.4	1.4	1,4	1.7/1.4			1,7/1.4	2.0/1.5	2:0/1.5	2 0/1.5	2,0/1.5		
Nordic Countries	Dermark, Friand, losland Norway, Sweden	010	[Recommended Intake]	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.0	0.9	1.1	171	1.1	131	1.3/1.	1.31.1		371.1	1.34.1	1.5/1.2	1.5/1.2	1.5/1.2	1.5/1.2	1.5/1
Southern Europe	ttahy	000	[Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake]	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.9	6.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1,1	1,371,1	1,3/1,1		1,3/1,1	1,3/1,1	1.5/1.1	1,571,1	1,5/1,1	1,5/1,1	1.5/1
Coutries	Portugal			2001	2245											-	200000	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	100000			100
	Spain																					
Section of the last	Turkey	041		-	Ŧ	1	1	+	1.4	1.4	1.4	1,7/1.4	1.7/1.4	1,771.4		2.01.5	2.0/1.5	2.0/1.5	2.0/1.5	2.0/1.5	2.0/1.5	2.01
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	900	[Recommended Disity Intake]	0.4	0.4	0.5		0.5	0.7	0.7	0.7	100	2000	1	-	1.4	1.4	1.6/1.2	1.6/1.2	1.6/1.2	1.6/1.2	1.5/1
Coutries	Belgium	900	[Recommended Intake]	0.7	0.7	6.0	0.9	6:0	171	1.1	1.1	111	1,3/1,1	1.3/1	1	1.37.1	13/11	1.5/1.1	1.5/1.1	1.5/1.1	1.5/1.1	1,7,1
	France	200	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	9.0	9.0	0.8		0.8	1	-	-	1.3	1.5			61.5	1,6/1,5	1.6/1.5	1.8/1.5	1.8/1.5		1.8/1
	Instand	011	[Recommended Dietary Allowance***]	0.7		0.9		0.9	1.1	171	1.1	1.1	1.3/1.1	1.371.1		3/1.1	1.3/1.1	1.5/1.1	1.5/1.1	1.571.1	1.	1.5/1.
	Netherlands	042	[Adequate Level of Intake (mg/g protein)]	0.02		0.02		0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02			0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02		000
.01	United Kingdom	028	[Reference Nutriers Intake**]	0.7	0.7	0.9		6.0	1	-	-	-	1,2/1.0			27.0	12/10	1.54.2	1.5/1.2	1.5/1.2	1,5/12	1,4/1
Institutions &	EU	100	Population Reference Intakes***	0.7	0.7	0.9		0.0	1.1	1.1	5.1	1.1	1,3/1,1	1,3/1,1		1,3/1,1	1.3/1.1	1.5(1.1	1,5/1,1	1.54.1		1.5/1
Other Countries	USA, Canada	035	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	0.5		9.0	9.0	9.0	970	9.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.1	000	1.0	1.3/1.26	13/1.26	1.3/1.2€	1.3/1.26	1.3/1.26	3
	FACWHO	048	[Recommended Nument Intake]	0.5		0.6		9.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3/1.2	13/12			1.3/1.2	13/12	13/12	1.3/1.2	1.3/1.2		
Lowest Threshold	Nordic Countries	010	[Lower Limit of Intake]	Ī					-	-	-				_		-	1.0/0.9	1,00.9	1.00.0	1,00.9	1.0/0
Intake	Netherlands	042	Minimum Amount																			1/1-1 5##
n-cl	United Kingdom	820	[Lower Reference Nutrient Intake (mg/g protekt)]	1100	0.011	0.011	1100	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0001	0.011	0.011		0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	100
Upper Limit of	Nordic Countries	010	Upper Limit of Intake					Ī	-	F	-						_					
83	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	900	[Tolerable Upper Intake Level]																			30
140	USA, Canada	035	[Tolorabile Upper Intake Level]	30	30	40	40	40	40	40	60	09	09		90	60	80	90	80	190	80	30
	EU	100	[Harmful Level]									7.2									31.	>50 mg as pyridoxine
	FACIWING	048	[Upper Limit]							_	_				_	20						The control of the co

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 17 Name of Expert: Dr. Ann Prentice

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 18 Name of Expert: Prof. Vidailhet

		No.	Dietary reference value	2	8	4	9	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15
Reference Intake							74						c)				
Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina	040	[Dietary Recommendation]														
	Slovenia	021	[Daily Requirements]	0.7-1.4	0.7-1.4	0.7-1.4	0.7-1.4	0.7-1.4	0.7-1.4	0.7-1.4	0.7-1.4	0.7-1.4	2	53	2	2	2
	Yugoslavia/Serbia	240	[Daily Needs]	2	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	9	9	3	3	3	6	es	3	100
Baltic Countries	Estonia	023	[Recommended Intake]	2	2	2.5	2.5	2.5	0	e	es	3	3	0	3	0	3
	Latvia	046	[Recommended Reference Value]	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	2	2	2	2	8	6	6	9	9
	Lithuania	022	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.5	2.5	0	60	(5)	3	6	6	3	8	6
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	044	[Daily Requirement]	0.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1,4	1.4	4.6	1.4	04	O.	C)	CV	Cu	2
Europe Countries	Hungary	17000	Sear Blooding and a season of the	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	2000	100000	Statement of the statem	Section 1	2000000	10000			100000	-0.000	7	100	100000
	Poland	610	[Safe Intake Level (Recommended Intake Level)#]	0.7 (2)	0.7 (2)	1 (2.5)	1 (2.5)	1 (2.5)	1.4 (3)	1.4 (3)	1.4 (3)	2 (3)	2 (3)	2 (3)	2 (3)	2 (3)	2 (3)
	Romania																
	Russian Federation	900	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	1.0	1.0	1.5		1.5	23	CV.	2	2	8	m	7	m	17
	Ukraine	012	[Daily Requirement]	0.7	0.7	1.0	1.0	1.0(1.2*]	4.1	1.4	1.4	1.4	2	2	2	5	2
Nordic Countries Southern Europe	Denmark, Finland, Iceland Norway, Sweden	010		1.0	1.0	11	7	5	4.1	4.4	4,4	1,4	2	2	2	2	CI
Contries	Italy	030		7.0	0.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.4	4.1	1.4	1.4	Cu	cu	Ci	2	2
	Portugal	The second		1 . com		10000				10000							
	Spain	024	[Recommended Intake]	6.0	0.9	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	2	2	CI	64	04	2
	Turkey	041		0.7	0.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.4	1.4	1.4	2	2	CI	2	CA	2
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	9004	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.8	1.8	1.8	2.0	2.0	5.0	6	60	3
Countries	Belgium	900	[Recommended Intake]	0.7	0.7	6.0	6.0	6.0	1	1	1		1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4
	France	000	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	0.8	0.8	1.1	13	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4
	Ireland	011	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	0.7	0.7	6.0	6.0	6.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4
	Netherlands	042	[Adequate Intake]	9.0	9.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1,5/1.6	1.5/1.6	1.5/1.6	2.2/2.0	2.2/2.0	2.2/2.0
	United Kingdom	028	[Reference Nutrient Intake]	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.8	0.8	-	F	1	-	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.5
Institutions &	EU	100	[Population Reference Intake]	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.9	6.0	-	+	-	*	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4
Other Countries	USA, Canada	035	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	6.0	6.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.8	1.8	1.8	8.1	1.8	2.4**	2.4**
	FAOWHO	048	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	6.0	6.0	1.2	1.2	1,2	1.8	1.8	1.8	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4
Lowest Threshold	Nordic Countries	010	[Lower Limit of Intake]						-		-						-
Intake	Netherlands	045	[Minimum Requirement]														
	United Kingdom	028	[Lower Reference Nutrient Intake]	0.3	0.3	9.0	0.5	0.5	9.0	9.0	9.0	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	13
	EU	100	[Lowest Threshold Intake]														
Honer Limit of	Morelia Countries	010	Il loose limit of Intakai	Ī		-					-	-		y.	•	-	

* For school children **pregnant women 2.8 **pregnant women 2.8 **

NUTRIENT: Unit: Sex:	Folata/Folic Acid µg/day Male/Female														
		No	Dietary reference value:	2	e	7	10	9	7	100	6	11 01	12	13	
Reference Intake						H	-			L					
Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina			100					-				40		
	Skovens	051	Carry Requirements	20-100	-	=	20-100	4	8	8	90	8		190	150-200
	Yugoslavia/Serbia	047	Daily Needs	100	300	300	500	200							
Baltic Countries	Estona	023	Recommended Intake	90	40	200	000	90	Ц						
	Layes	960	Decembered Patertings Value	90	8 9	200	7.0	0 0	1	1					
Central & Eastern	Buloada	044	Daly requirement	70	100	1001	100	150	150	150	150	200	200	200	
Europe Countries	Hungary			-	-										
	Poland	610	Safe Intake Level (Recommended Intake Level)#	55 (70)	55 (70)	75 (90) 7	75 (90)	75 (90) 85	65 (105) 85 (105)	06) 85 (106)	26) 170/160 (200/190)	0) 170/180 (200/190)	170/160 (200/190)	180/170 (220/200) 1	1801170 (220/200)
	Romania	015													
	Russian Federation	900	Recommended Dietary Intake	1001	8	200	500	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	
	Ukraine	210	Daily requirement	20	2	80	ш		1						200/180
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Ideland	010	Recommended Intake	75	22	100	_	100						240	
	Norway, Sweden		And the second s												
Southern Europe	Wally	030	Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake	100	9	130	130	130	150	150	150	150	180	180	
Coutries	Portugal				1			-	1	4					
	Sperin	920	Hecommended Intake	000	8	801	100	100	1	4				002	
Andrews of the last of the las	Turkey	150		8	8		7.00	GJ C	1	300					COUNTBO
Mesenti Europe	Acetta, Carmany, Smicanara	200	December of participal	100	3 6	-	300	300		- 1					
and the	France	200	Recommended Mydical Intake	100	48	-	150	150		1					
	personal	011	Recommended Dietary Althonoce	1001	100	900	500	5000	900			300			
	Merbedante	042	Achecuate Intake	06-09			5-100		-150 100-						-
	United Kingdom	031	Reference Nutrient Intake	20	R	100	100	100	150 150	150	50 150	50 200	200	200	300
Institutions &	EU		Population Reference intake	1001	100	130	130	130	Н					180	
Other Countries	USA, Canada	035	Recommended Dietary Allowance	150	150	200	200	200				300		300	
	FACWHO	\Box	Recommended Nutrient Intake)	160	160	200	500	500	300	300	300	00 400	009	900	
Lowest Threshold	Nordic Countries	010	[Lower Limit]		t	-	-	i	H	L		000			
Intako	United Kingdom	028	Lower Reterance Mutrient Intake	32	18	90	20	20	75	72	75	75	100	100	
	-														
Upper Limit of	Nordic Countries	010	Upper Limit]				_		-						
Intake	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	004	Talerable Upper Intake Level*						Н						
	10 A Change de	4000	100												

""Comment in Begign
""Comment in Begign
and provided and actualing women to
Programst women 600 and lactating women to
Programst and lactating women 1800
Tregovant and lactating women 1800

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1

Prof. Vidailhet	Panthothenic acid mg/day Male/Female
Name of Expert:	NUTRIENT: Unit: Sex:

9	govina									,		2		4	2		0	0	,,,	2	404
Balkan Countries Gorale-Herzeg Slovenia - Slovenia - Vigoslavia Servina Baltic Countries Estoria Latvia Latvia Estoria Bulgarda Hungary Poland Poland Romarias Romaria Romaria Romaria Romaria	govina																				
E 99																					
E sa		021	[Daily Requirements]	4/7	47	4/7	4/7	4/7	47	4/7	4/7	4/7	4/7	4/7	4/7	4/7	4/7	4/7	4/7	4/7	
E or	rbia																				
1727/09/17		1											17.					-			
1/27/24/97		046	[Recommended Intake]	9	8	4	4	4	2	9	2	S	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	
727.5964.T						100															
351																					
Poland Romania Russian Feder																					
Romania Russian Feder						00															
Russian Feder											F										
-	Tation																				
SIOVERIE																					
Ukraine	000000000000000000000000000000000000000																				
Nordic Countries Denmark, Finland, Iceland	and, loeland																				
Norway, Sweden	len	1000000	On 102 Charles - 1025 Ch.																		-
Southern Europe Italy	2000	030	[Safe and Adequate Range]							-											3-15
Coutries Portugal																					
Spain						y		1									1				
Turkey																					
urope	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	004	[Estimated Values]	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	5	2	9	5	9	9	9	9	9	9	
Countries Belgium		900	[Recommended Intake]	3-5	3-5	8-9	5-8	5-8	9-9	5-8	5-8	5-8	8-10	8-10	8-10	8-10	8-10	3-12	3-12	3-12	9-5
France		200	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	2.5	2.5	3	8	3	3.5	3.5	3.5	4	4	4	4.5	4.5	4.5	5	9	5	
Ireland										100000											
Netherlands		029	[Adequate Intake]	2	2	6	8	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	IO.	5	5	5	5	
United Kingdom	E	028	[Safe Intake]																		
Destinations &		000	Acceptable Bases of Intake						F		-										
98		035	[Adequate Intake]	2	2	69	3	3	3	60	4	4	4	4	4	25	2*	10	2.	25	
		048	[Recommeded Nutrient Intake]	2	2	es	60	to.	4	4	4	2	10	ın	10			20		10	

Pregnant women 6 mg/d and lactating women 7 mg/d

		NO.	Dietary reference value	7	,		0	0	,	0	n	2	***	71	2	+	0	01		0	407
Reference Intake				3									100								
Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina								-				-								
	Slovenia	021	[Daily Requirements]	30-100	30-100	30-100	30-100	30-100	30-100	30-100	30-100	30-100	30-100	30-100	30-100	30-100	30-100	30-100	30-100	30-100	30-100
	Yugoslavía/Serbia																				
Baltic Countries	Estonia	9																0			
	Latvia										_	-	-								
	Lithuania												Vel								
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria																				
Europe Countries	Hungary				20			50					2								
	Poland																				
	Romania			3				5V										25			
	Russian Federation	9.											0					0			
	Ukraine												3					100			
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland																				
	Norway, Sweden		The state of the s																		
Southern Europe	Italy	030	[Safe And Adequate Range]										i de					ii)			15-100
Coutries	Portugal																				
	Spain	6.		30					10				28.2								
	Turkey												-								
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	004	[Recommended Daily Intake]	10-15	10-15	10-15	10-15	10-15	15-20	15-20	15-20	20-30	20-30	20-30	25-35	25-35	25-35	30-60	30-60	30-60	30-60
Countries	Belgium																				
	France	002	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	12	12	20	20	20	25	25	25	35	35	35	45	45	909	20	20	20	300
	Ireland													720							
	Netherlands	1000000	Control of the contro	70														3			2000000
	United Kingdom	028	[Safe Intake]	40					99		5.0		0.5					8			10-200
Institutions &	En	100	[Acceptable Intake]				-	-	-	-		-		-							15-100
Other Countries	USA, Canada	035	[Adequate Intake]	80	8	12	12	12	12	12	50	50	50	50	50	25*	25.	25*	25*	25*	30
	FAD/WHO	048	[Reference Nutrient Intake]	æ	8	121	12	45	30	300	90	96	36	25	25	25	25	35	96	96	30

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 21 Name of Expert: Prof. Vidailhet

NUTRIENT: Unit: Sex:

regnant women 30 and lactating women 35

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 22

Dr. KF Michaelsen	Vitamin C	p/6m	Male/Female	
Name of Expert:	NUTRIENT:	Unit:	Sex:	

Particular Hamping Particu			No.	Dietary referencevalue	2	3	4	2	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	20÷
Mathematical Day Movement Day Day Movement Day Day Day Day Day Day Day Day Day Day	Reference Intake												2000				No bear and					10000
Symptomic Symbol	Ilkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina	040	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	35	35	20	20		90	09	09	75	75	75	90/80	90/90	100/80	100/80	100/80	100/80	
Viginalized Serbit Color		Slovenia	021	[Daily Requirements]	40-50	40-50	40-50	40-50	-50	40-50	40-50	40-50	40-50	20-60	90-09	50-60	50-60	20-60	20-60	20-60	20-60	20-90
Europsi		Yugoslavia/Serbia	047	[Daily Needs]	45	45	45	45		45	45	45	90	909	20	50	20				110	
Libration Control of Procuration	Itic Countries	Estonia	023	[Recommended Intake]	40	40	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	99	20	50	90	9	9	9	9	
Hamming Cong Dispositive Cong	Latvia	046		40	40	45	45	45	50	95	20	50	75	75	75	75	100	100	100	100		
Particular Par		Lithuania	025		40	40	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	209	20	20	20	09	09	99	09	
Publication Publication	ntral & Eastern	Bulgaria	044	[Daily Requirements]	40	45	45	45	20	50	20	20	09	09	09	9	70	20	20	70		es c
Polichard Poli	Iropean Countries	_																				
Procession Frequency Procession Frequency			019	[Safe Intake Level (Recommended Intake Level)#]			(09)	(09)	(20)	(65)				(02)			(02) 09		(02)	(02) 08		60 (7(
Publish Publ		Romania			-		-		-	-				-						-		
Microsian Swettern Clay Perguinement 45		Russian Federation	900	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	45	45	20	L	50160"1	9	09	09	9	70	70	70	70	70	70	70		
Open Mark Finland, Volland Commended brisked 40 40 45 4		Ukraine			45	45	20	L	50[55*]	09	09	09	9	75/70	75/70	75/70	80/75	80/75	80/75	80/75		
Novelet Nove	ordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland			40	40	45	L	45	45	45	45	45	909	20	50	20	09	09	9	09	
Partial Color Recommended Clearly Native Lay Color Recommended Clearly Native Lay Color Color Recommended Clearly Native Lay Color Color Color Recommended Clearly Native Color Colo		Norway, Sweden				100	100	A.	ð		ď.			3.		7.	_					
Portugation Course Recommended Delary Intake Sis	uthern European	Italy	030	[Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake]	40	40	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	20	20	50	90	9	09	09	9	
Sepair Color Col	untries	Portugal	800	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	38	35	40	40	40	55	55	55	55	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	72	-
Turkey Other Parties Oth		Spain	024	[Recommended Intake]	55	55	55	52	55	55	53	52	9	09	9	9	9	9	09	09	9	
Austria, Germany, Switzerland Ox4 Recommended Daily Allowance) Austria, Germany, Switzerland Ox4 Recommended Daily Allowance) Austria, Germany, Switzerland Ox4 Recommended Daily Allowance) Austria, Germany, Switzerland Ox4 Recommended Daily Allowance) Austria, Germany, Switzerland Ox4 Recommended Daily Allowance) Austria, Germany, Switzerland Ox4 Recommended Daily Allowance) Austria, Germany, Switzerland Ox4 Recommended Daily Allowance) Austria, Germany, Switzerland Ox4 Recommended Daily Allowance) Austria, Germany, Switzerland Ox4 Recommended Daily Allowance) Austria, Germany, Switzerland Ox4 Recommended Daily Allowance) Austria, Germany, Switzerland Ox4 Recommended Daily Allowance) Austria, Germany, Switzerland Ox4 Recommended Daily Allowance) Austria, Germany, Switzerland Ox4 Recommended Daily Allowance) Austria, Germany, Switzerland Ox4 Recommended Daily Allowance) Austria, Germany, Switzerland Ox4 Recommended Daily Allowance) Austria, Germany, Switzerland Ox4 Recommended Daily Allowance) Austria, Germany, Switzerland Ox4 Recommended Daily Allowance) Austria, Germany, Switzerland Ox4 Recommended Daily Allowance Austria, Carlowance Ox4 O		Turkey	041		20	20	90	20	20	50	20	20	90	20	20	20	50/60	50/60	50/60	20/90	50/60	2/09
Encycle Continued Group	stern European	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	900	[Recommended Daily Intake]	09	90	70	70	20	80	80	80	06	06	90	100	100	100	100	100	100	10
Frenche	untries	Belgium	900	[RecommendedIntake**]	40	40	45	45	45	20	20	20	50	99	99	92	92	70	20	70	70	-
Figure Countries Countri		France	005	[Recommended Nutrient Intake***]	9	9	75	75	75	06	90	90	100	1001	100	110	110	110	110	110	110	-11
Participants Continue		Ireland	110	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	909	209	50	50	09	09	9	9	
Figure Countied Kingdom Coor Propertication Perference Nutrient Intake Counties Co		Netherlands	045	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	40	40	45	45	45	50	909	20	55	99	55	55	65	99	65	70/65	70/65	
EU Canada OO1 Population Relevance Intake 25 25 25 25 25 25 25		United Kingdom	028	[Reference Nutrient Intake]	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	35	35	35	35	40	40	40	40	7
USA, Canada 033 Recommended Daily kilowance) 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	distinct 8.		100	[Doculation Beforeson Intake]	36	36	36	96	96	30	30	06	98	35	35	35	35	V	V	AE	AR	
FADWHO	her Countries	USA. Canada	033	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	100	15	25	32	25	25	32	45	45	45	45	45	75/65	75/65	75/65	75/65	75/65	2/06
Out Methodiscountries Oth Countries		FAO/WHO	048	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	30	30	30	30	30	35	35	38	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	4
Netherlands Odd United Kingdom Odd United Kingdom Odd Molimum Regulement III No. III 10 <td>west Threshold</td> <td></td> <td>010</td> <td>[Lower Limit of Intake]</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>	west Threshold		010	[Lower Limit of Intake]																-		
United Kingdom CGB Lover Reference Nutrient Intake E E E E E E E E E	ake		045	Minimum Requirement																		50-65
EU Conset Threshold Intake] Austria Germany, Switzerland OM Tokerable Upper Intake Level 400 400 650 650 650 650 1200 1200 1200 1500 1600 1600 1600 1600 1600 1600 16			028	[Lower Reference Nutrient Intake]	88	8	80	8	89	89	8	8	8	6	đ	chi	6	101	10	10	10	
Austria_Germany,Switzerland 004 [Tolerable Upper Initiale Level] 400 650 650 650 650 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200 1800 1800 1800 FAOWHO 048 [Upper Limit] 048 048 048 048 048 048 048 048 048 048 048 048 048 048 048 048 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>100</td><td>[Lowest Threshold Intake]</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>			100	[Lowest Threshold Intake]			-															
Austria_Germany_Switzerland 0.04 Tolerable Upper Intake Level 400 400 650 650 650 650 650 1200 1200 1200 1800 1800 1800 FAOWHO 048 [Upper Limit Upper Limit		STORY OF STREET		1000 April 1000 April				-					-						200	3		
USA, Canada 033 [Tolerable Upper Intake Level] 400 650 650 650 650 650 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200 1800 1800 180	oper Limit of	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	004	[Tolerable Upper Intake Level]	-						_	-										100
	ake	USA, Canada	033	[Tolerable Upper Intake Level]	400	400	650	650	650	650	650	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	200
		FAO/WHO	048	[Upper Limit]								0000000				1000						1000

For school children

"Smoking autobecomt and adults need 150 mg/day
"A 20're, higher intake is recommended if the person is smoking more than 10 cigerattes per day

Reference Intake		No.	Dietary reference value	es .	2	•	0	0		0	b	2		9	2	*	2	-	Ď.	10
Balkan Countries	Bosvia-Herzagovina	040	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	009	9009	750	750	L		ш.			1350	350	1500	1500	1500	ш	1500	
	Slovenia	120	[Adequate Intake]	500-700	500-700	007-00	500-700 500-	700 500	700 800	007-005 007	002-000					10001	1000	Ш	1000	1000
	Yugoslavia/Serbia	047	[Daily Needs]	400	200	900	900				5419	1000/800				00800				
Baltic Countries	Estoria	053	[Recommended Intake]	400	400	900	200			Ш						1000/300	10000.0001		1000/800	
	Latvia	046	[Recommended Reference Value]	000	400	200	500			ш	l		10001	000	10001	1000	1000		1000	1000
	Limuania	220	Recommended Daily Allowance)	400	400	200	200		1	700		•				000,000	1000/800	10	008000	
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	044	[Daily Requirement]	450	200	9009	9009			_		008/006	008/006		900/800	100080001	1000/800	10	008/00	1000.800
European Countries	Hugary	050	[Recommended daily Intake]	300	300	300	300			ш	575			52	725	750	750	28.8	750	750
	Poland	019	(Safe Intake Level (Recommended Intake Level)#]	ns (400)	ns (400) r	an (500) an	s (500) ns	(200) us (700) 12 (7	700) ns (700)	(1008/000)	(1000/000/800)	(1000/BDD) (0	700/600	1000/800) 700/800 (100/	1000/800) 700/600	(1000/0001)	700/500 (100g	(1000/800)	/800/ 700/900 (1000/800)
	Romania							-												
	Russian Federation	900	Recommended Distary Intake	450	450	200		200				1100/900	1100/800		1100	1000	1000		1000	
	Ukraine	012	[Daily Requirements]	009	009	009	009 009	1.099	200	700	700	0			1000/800	00800	1000/800	1000%	900	1000/900
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Ideland Norway, Sweden	010		400	400	000	Ĺ.,	200				900/800	008,006 00			9001006	900/900	008006	8	
Southern European	Italy	000	[Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake]	400	400	400	400	400		ľ	L			000		900	700/600	700/600	Q	
Countries	Portugal	900	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	400	400	900	500	200						000	10001	1000	1000	1000	15	1000
	Spain	024	[Recommended Intake]	300	300	300	300	400				1000			1000/8001	1000/300	1000/800	1000/800		1000.000
	Turkey	120		009	009	9009	900	9009						200	1450	1450	1450	1500		1500
Western European	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	100	Recommended Daily Intake***	000	909	2007	700	200	8000	900	800		900		100/1000	100/1000	1100/900	1100/900	L	1100/900
Countries	Belgium	900	[Recommended intake]	400	400	400	400	400								900	700/600	200,600		700/600
	France	000	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	400	400	480	450	480							700/600	700/600	700/600	009/008		900/800
	Ireland	110	(Recommended Daily Allowance)	000	400	400	400	400			l,					900	700/600	200/600		700/600
	Netherlands	620	Adequate Intaka	400	400	200	200	500				1000/	1000/300		1000/1001	1000/800	1000/800	1000/800		1000/800
	United Kingdom	970	Reference Nutrient Intake	009	400	400	400	400					009		009	009	700/600	200,600		700/600
Institutions &	EU	100	Population Reteronce Intake	400	400	400	400	400		L				100	900	009	700/600	2008007		700/600
Other Countries	USA, Canada	016	Recommended Dietary Allowanoal	300	300	400	400	400	400	400	909		009	009	900	165	**007009	**000/0008		900/100
	FAOWHO	948	[Recommended Sale Intake]	400	400	450	450	450						000	000	900	9009	009	Ш	900
Lowest Threshold	Nordio Countries	010	[Lower Limt of Intake]	İ	ŀ	ŀ	-	ŀ	-	L				-	-		9009	009	L	009
Intake	Nethsirlands	042	[Minimum Requirement]					-												
	United Kingdom	870	[Lower Reference Nutrient Intake]	300	200	500	200	200	092	250 29	250 250		250	250	250	580	250	300/250		300/250
	EU	100	[Lowest Threshold Intake]		H			H											Ш	
Upper Limit of	EUE	100	[Upper Limit of Intake]	1800	1800	3000	3000	3000	4500	4500 4500	0 4500	0009		0009	0009	0009	6000	0009		8000
Intake	Nordio Countries	010	[Upper Limit of Intake]																	
	Austria, Germany, Swizzerland	100	[Tolerskie Upper Intake Level]					_												
	United Kingdom	970	[Regular Daily Intake Should Not Exceed]	1900	1800	3000	3000	4500	4500 4	4500 4500	0004		4500	1900	0009	0009	0009	0009	Ш	0000
	USA Canada	018	Principle liverage beliefed a second franchiser of principle d.	600	000	ann	4444										4444			

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1
23
Name of Expert: Prof. Vidailhet

Tot accord trialing women>14 y; 780, pegnant worsers 19 y; 770, lactaling women>14 y; 1200, lactaling women>19 y; 130 ""ing accordinated in the second seco

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1
24
Name of Expert: Dr. Ann Prentice

Vitamin D µg/d (1µg = 40 i.u.) Male/Female Dr. Ann Prentice NUTRIENT: Unit: Sex:

		No	Dietary reference value	0	e	4	¥	4	7	8	o	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Reference intake			$\overline{}$																		
Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina	040	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10/11	10/11	10/11	10/11	10/11	10/11	
	Slovenia	021	[Adequate Intake]	101	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	101	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
	Yugoslavia/Serbia	047	[Daily Needs]	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	101	10	10	10	10					
Baltic Countries	Estonia	023	[Recommended Intake]	10	10	9	ıs.	S	9	S	9	5	5	9	9	5	ıs.	ıs	ın	ß	_
	Latvia	046	[Recommended Reference Value]	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	101	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
	Lithuania	022	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	10	10	9	0	2	2	20	9	5	9	2	9	5	2	9	2	2	_
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	044	[Daily Requirement]	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	2	_
European Countries	Hungary								de	20			9000								
	Poland	019	[Safe Intake Level (Recommended Intake Level)#]	10 (15)	10 (15)	10 (15)	10 (15)	10 (15)	10 (15)	10 (15)	10 (15)	(10) su	(10) su	ns (10)	ns (10)	ns (10)	(10) su	(10) su	ns (10)	ns (10)	
	Romania				200	10.20				200		77				9	200				
	Russian Federation	900	_	10	10	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5		
	Ukraine	012	[Daily Requirements]	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	101	101	10	10	10	101	10	101	10		_
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland	010	[Recommended Intake]	10	10	9	9	2	9	9	2	2	9	9	2	2	S	IO.	1D	5	_
	Norway, Sweden																	-			_
Southern European	Italy**	030	[Recommended Daily Nutrient Intakes]	101	10	0.10	0-10	0-10	0-10	0-10	0-10	0-10	0-15	0-15	0-15	0-15	0-15	0-15	0-15	0-10	
Countries	Portugal				300			_				_									
	Spain	024	[Recommended Intake]	10	10	10	10	2	10	10	2	5	5	2	10	5	ıo	ıc	co	S	
	Turkey	041		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
Western European	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	004	[Recommended Daily Intake]	5	ıs	S	in	S	15	5	5	5	5	IS.	10	5	ß	S	15	10	_
Countries	Belgium	900	[Recommended Intake]	5-10	5-10	5-10	5-10	5-10	2.5-10	2.5-10	2.5-10	2.5-10	2.5-10	2.5-10	2.5-10	2.5-10	2.5-10	2.5-10	2.5-10	2.5-10	_
	France	005	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	10	10	5	2	5	2	5	5	5	5	ID.	5	5	2		5	5	
	Ireland	011		10	10	0-10	0-10	0-10	0-10	0+10	0-10	0-10	0-15	0-15	0-15	0-15	0-15	0-15	0-15	0-15	
	Netherlands	029	[Adequate Intake\$]	5, 10	5, 10	2.5, 5	2.5, 5	2.5, 5	2.5, 5	2.5, 5	2.5, 5	2.5, 5	2.5, 5	2.5, 5		2.5, 5	2.5, 5		2.5, 5	2.5, 5	
	United Kingdom	031	[Reference Nutrient Intake§]	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ш	0	0	_
Institutions &	03	100	+	10		0-10	0-10	0-10	0-10	0-10	0-10	0-10	0-15	0-13	0-13	0-15	0-15	5	0-15	0-10	_
Other Countries	USA, Canada	034	[Adequate Intake#]	5	2	c)	0	9	2	2	2	9	2	2	2	2	2	S	2	2	_
	FAO/WHO	048	Recommended Nutrient Intake	2		ū	0	2	C)	9	2	5	2	ın	2	2	O		2	2	_
		0,0				-		-									1	-		-	
Lowest Threshold	Nordic Countries	010	010 [Lower Limit of Infake*]														2.5	2.5	2.0	2.5	_
Intake																					
Upper Limit of	Nordic Countries	010	[Upper Limit of Intake]																ľ		_
Intake	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	900	[Tolerable Upper Intake Level (adults only)]											-							
	Netherlands	029		909	20	20	20	90	20	20	20	909	20	20	909	909	20	20	90	20	-
	USA	034		90	20	20	90	90	20	90	90	90	20	90	90	90	20	90	900	50	_

[#] US/Canada At: to cover the needs of all persons regardless of exposure to sunlight.

1 Sto Upda is such as the product regardless of exposure to sunlight.

1 Sto Upda is such as the product regardless of the person of the pe

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 25

NUTRIENT: Unit: Sex:	Vitamin E mg/d Male/Female													
		No.	Dietary reference value	2	8	4	6	9	9		8	10		=
Reference Intake														
Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina	040	[Dietary Recommendation]											П
	Slovenia	021	(Daily Requirements)	5-7	5-7	5-7	5-7	5-7	2-5	7-5	5-7	5-7		0
	Serbia	047	[Daily Needs]	ιΩ	9	9	9	9		2	7			8
Baltic Countries	Estonia	023	[Recommended Intake]	5	5	9	9	9		1	7			8
	Latvia	046	[Recommended Reference Value]	5	5	9	9	9		2	7			10
	Lithuania	055	(Recommended Daily Allowance)	5	5	9		9	9	2	7			80
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	044	[Daily Requirement]	9	7	7	7	ω.	8	8	_	ĵ.		10
Europe Countries	Hungary		Section Section Section Section Section Section	Contract of	A CONTRACTOR							3		
	Poland	019	[Safe Intake Level (Recommended Intake Level)#]	5 (6)	5 (6)	6 (7)	6 (7)	6 (7)	6(7)	(2)9	6(7)	10/8 (10)	10/8 (10)	3
	Romania		CONTROL STATEMENT CONTROL CONT											
	Russian Federation	900	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	5	5	7	7	7(10")	1	10 10	10	10	12/10	0
	Ukraine	012	[Daily Requirement]	9	9	7	7	7[8"]		10	Ġ.	8 0		0
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland	010	[Recommeded Intake]	5	5	9	Ψ	9		-	7			8
	Norway, Sweden													Ħ
Southern Europe	Italy					local di						274	22-1	
Coutries	Portugal													П
	Spain					1916								
	Turkey	041		9	9	7	7	7		7	7	10/8		10/8
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	004	[Estimated Values	6/2	6/5	8	80	8	10/9				j .2-0	-
Countries	Belgium	900	[Recommended Intake]	9'0	0.6**	0.6**	0.6**	.9.0	9.0	.9.0	9'0	9'0	0.6**	:
	France	005	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	9	9	7.5	7.5	7.5		5	6	1		
	Ireland													Π
	Netherlands	042	[Adequate Intake]	0.67**	0.67**	0.67**	29'0				0.67**	0.67**	0.67**	:
	United Kingdom	028	[Safe Intake]											П
														- 1
Institutions	EU	100	[Requirement]	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4**	0.4	0.4**	0.4	0.4**	:
& Other Countries	USA, Canada	033	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	9	9	7	7	7		7	F	-		-
Lowest Threshold	Nordic Countries	010	[Lower Level of Intake]					L						
Intake	Netherlands	045	[Minimum Requirement]			act o								
Upper Limit of	Austria, Germany, Switzerland		[Tolerable Upper Intake Level (adults)]											
Intake		033	Tolerable Upper Intake Level	200	200	300	300	300	300	300	009	009		009

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 26

Dr. Ann Prentice	Vitamin K	ug/d (µg/kg)	Male/Female
ert:	NUTRIENT:		

		No.	Dietary reference value	2	3	4	2	9	7	8		10	1	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Reference intake	e e						_					_									
Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina	040	[Dietary Recommendation]	-																	1000-2000
		021	[Daily Requirements]	15-30	15-30	15-30	15-30	15-30	15-30	15-30	15-30	15-30	45-65	45-65	45-65	45-65	45-65	3 45-65	5 45-65	5 45-65	
	Yugoslavia/Serbia																	- 3			
Baltic Countries	Estonia			-																	
	Latvia	046	[Recommended Reference Value]	15	15	20	50	50	30	30	30	90	45	. 45	45	45	909		909	99	
	Lithuania	0			1	-								100							
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	044	[Daily Requirement]	12	50	20	50	30	30	30	30	45	45	45	45	65/22	65/55	5 65/55	5 65/55	10	
Europe Countries	Hungary																				
	Poland	019	[Safe Level and Recommended Intake (ug/kg/)]	11	-	1	1	1	1	1	1.	1	1	1	1	1		SU	su us	su us	
	Romana	1000									di	0.00								200	
	Russian Federation																				
	Ukraine	012	[Daily Requirement]	13	131	20	50	20(25)*	30	30	30	30	45	45	45	85/22	65/55	5 65/55	5 65/55	10	
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland Norway, Sweden									ò				Ž.	-						
Southern Europe	Baly	030	[Safe And Adequate Range]																		
Coutries	Portugal																				
	Spain							_													
	Turkey	041						18.00			7							6.0			
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	000	[Estimated Values]	15	15	20	8	20	30	30	30	40	40	40	90	50	70/60	70/60	70/	70/60	
Countries	Belgium	900	[Recommended Intake]	15	15	25	52	25	52	25	52	52	36	35	35	35	35		5 35	35	
	France	000	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	15	15	20	50	20	30	30	30	40	- 40	40	45	45	8		9 9	99	
	Instand	2225				100							5								
	Netherlands																				
	United Kingdom	028	[Safe Intake (µg/kg)]																		
Institutions &	EU	100		-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	_									
Other Countries	USA, Canada	016	[Adequate Intake]	30	30	55	92	55	92	35	09	9	09	09	09	75	75		75	75 75	
	FACWHO	048	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	151	151	20	50	20	25	25	25 35-650	35-65/35-55** 35-6	35-65/35-55** 36	35-85/35-55**	35-65/35-55**	35-65/35-55**	35-65/35-55**	_	35-65/35-55** [35-65/35-55**	35-85/35-55**	

Tot school children
The source document the values for males aged 10-18 are inconsistent. Table 29, p.144 gives 35-55 µg/d. Table 2 appendix 1 gives 35-65 µg/d.

UP 1	
ERT GRO	
N-EXP	
HLDRE	
DS OF	
IAL NEE	
UTRITION	1
_	٠

	Prentice	
	Dr. Ann	
	of Expert:	
27	Name of	

Mathematical Math			No.	Dietary reference value	2	3	4	ı,	9	7	8	6	10	1	12	13	14	12	16	11	18	50÷
Decomposition Columnic Colu	Reference Intake										_	-	-						_			
Company Section Col. Dish Negatimened Col.	Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina	040	[Recommended Daily Allowances]	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1200	1200		Ľ			Ľ	10001300	400/1300	
Particular 10.1 Chical Billione 10.1		Slovenia	120	[Daily Requirements]	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	800-1200
Exchange O. C. Recommended installed O. C. O		Yugoslavia/Serbia	047	[Daily needs]	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200					
Marie Color Colo	Baltic Countries	Estonia	023	[Recommended Intake]	9009	009	009	9009	9009	800	800	800	800	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	
Participation 1.00		Latvia	046	[Recommended Reference Value]	800	800	800	800	800	006	900	006	006	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1000/1200
Particular 10		Lithuania	022	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	2007	2007	700	700	700	200	700	700	200	800	800	800	800	800	900	800	800	1000
Particular 10.00 Elegenterinarido Diagram 10.00 Elegenterinarido Diagram 10.00 Elegenterinarido Diagram 10.00 Elegenterinarido Diagram 10.00 Elegenterinarido Diagram 10.00 Elegenterinarido Diagram 10.00 Elegenterinarido Diagram 10.00 Elegenterinarido Diagram 10.00 Elegenterinaria 10.00 Elegenterinarido Diagram 10.00 Elegenterinarido Diagram 10.00 Elegenterinarido Diagram 10.00 Elegenterinaria 10.00	Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	044	[Daily requirement]	9009	200	700	200	800	800	800	800	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	000	
Political Continue	Europe Countries	Hungary													1							
Purple P		Poland	610		ģ	800-1000#	8000	#00B	#008	#008	#008		1200)	500)	-	-	-	1200)	1200)	1200)	(100 (1200)	1100 (1200)
Particular Par		Romania	015		2000	1000	348	7.00	S STATE OF		0.00			2000	10000			10.50		855		
Purpara Friend, beined 10.2 Dual-vegialmented 20.0 20.		Russian Federation	900	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	900	800	006		2	1100	1100	1100	1100	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200		
Purple P		Ukraine	015	[Daily requirement]	900	900	800	800	800	1000	1000	10001	1000	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200		
Period Coro Recommended Dually Nativiery (trained) Sign	Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland Norway, Sweden	010	[Recommended Intake]	900	009	009	009	009	200	200	200	200	D06	006	006	006	006	006	006	006	800
Polymetical Design Front Countries Color Recommended Design Virtuals) 800 800 800 800 800 800 1000	Southern Europe	haiv	030	[Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake]	800	800	800	800	800	1000	1000	1000	1000	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1000	1000
Spain	Courries	Portugal	800	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	800	800	800	8008	800	800	800	800	800	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	800
Authits, Generality, Switzerland OM Recommended Detary Virthely 600 600 600 600 600 900 900 900 1700 1700 1700 1700 1700		Spain	024	[Recommended Intake]	800	900	800	900	800	800	900	800	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	800
Martin, Germann, Switzerland OGS Recommended Detarty Vitable 600 800 800 800 800 800 800 900 900 900 9		Turkey	041		200	200	200	900	200	200	200	900	700	200	700	700	700	700	700	200	700	500
Pagginth Cook Recommended National Page Recommende	Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	500	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	900	009	200	2008	700	006	006	006	1100	1100	1100	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1000
France COS Recommended Nativet Intake SSO SOO TOO T	Coutries	Belgium	900	[Recommended Intake]	800	900	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	1000	1000	1000	1000	1200	1200	1200	1200	900
Public Heliant Cara Alexandra Cara C		France	005	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	200	200	200	200	200	006	006	900	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	800
United Kraypham G23 Adequate trained S50 S50 T50	Ireland	011	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	800	800	800	8008	800	800	800	008	800	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	800	
United Kraychm Otal Relativistic Martinet Initiate Casal Relativistic Martinet Initiate		Netherlands	620	[Adequate Intake]	9009	200	200	200	700	200	700 120	-	1100						-	1 0011100	200/1100	1000
E.J. Charlet O.G. Projution Relevance Intake Sto. 450		United Kingdom	031	[Reference Nutrient Intake]	380	350	450	450	450	220	920	920	989		ы		ш	Ш		000/8000	1000/800	700
USA Caracter USA	Institutions &	EU	100	[Pooulation Reference Intake]	400	400	450	450	450	550	250	550	550	1000/8001	1008/0001	1009/0001	1000/8001	Ĺ	L	008/000	700	200
FAOWHO Charles Charl	Other Countries	USA, Canada	034	[Adequate Intake]	200	9009	900	800	800	900	800	1300	1300	1300	1300	1300	1300	L	L	1300	1300	1000
Nortic Countries O10 Lower Line Lowe		FAOWHO	048	[Recommended Intake]	200	200	009	8009	900	200	700	200	1300	1300	1300	1300	1300	1300	Ш	1300	1300	1000
United Kropskin City Lover Registration Living City Lover Registration Living City Lover Registration Living City Lover Registration Living City Cit	Lowest Threshold	Nordic Countries	010	[Lower Linit]	r	Ī	F	Ī	F	_	-	-	F	-	-	-	-	400	400	400	400	400
EU Courties Cour	Intake	United Kingdom	1031	[Lower Reference Nutrient Intake]	200	500	275	275	275	325	325	325	325	480	480/450	480/450	480/450	480/450		480/450	480/450	400
Nonda Countries 1010 Ubper Limit Upper Limit Assistance Limit 2550 </td <td></td> <td>EU</td> <td>100</td> <td>[Lowest threshold intake]</td> <td></td> <td>400</td>		EU	100	[Lowest threshold intake]																		400
Austria, Cerrmann, Switzenfand Ozy Tolerable Upper frintee Level 2550	Upper Limit of	Nordic Countries	010	[Upper Limit]						-							300	-				2500
3 Q29 Toterable Upper Intities Level 2500 2500 2500 2500 2500 2500 2500 250	Intake	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	900	[Tolerable Upper Intake Level]												-	30	_		_		2000
is 0.48 [Tolerable Upper Insiste Level] 2550 2550 2550 2550 2550 2550 2550 255		Netherlands	028	[Toterable Upper Intake Level]	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500
048		USA, Canada	034	[Tolerable Upper Intake Level]	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500
		FAOWHO	048	[Upper Limit]	1	South Control	200.000		25757	200000	T BEAUTIE	1000000	50200	6000	0.000	5,000	-		20000	00000	720000	3000

§ values are stated to be g per kg body weight

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1
28
Name of Expert: Dr. Ann Prentice

	Name of Expert: Dr. Ann Prentice														
NOTRIENT: Sex:	Phosphorus mg/d (<i>mg/kg</i>) Male/Female														
		Ñ	Dietary reference value	2	3	F	2	9	8	8	10	=	12	13	14
Reference Intake			0	H	H	\parallel	H		Ц	Н					
Balkan Countries	EOSTHA-HGIZEGOWITH	200	Liberary Recommendation	000	000	000	100	000	000					0007	0000
	Yungalayia/Sarbia	047	[Daily Requirements]	800	800	900	800	800	800	800	800	1200	1200	1200	1200
Baltic Countries	Estonia	023													
	Latvia	970	[Recommended Reference Value]	800	800	800	800	800						1200	1200
	Lithuania	022	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	900	800	800	300	900	800	800	800	800	1200	1200	1200
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	044	[Harmless Supply]	900	200	200	200	800						1000	10001
Europe Countries	Hungary	0.00		1000	0000								0000		0000
	Poland	610	Sale Intake Level (Hecommended Intake Level)#	#000	#000	8008	#009	#008	8008	800#	800 (800	(006) 008	(006) 00B	800 (800)	800 (800)
	Russian Federation	900	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	800	800	1350	1350 1350	Ĺ						1800	1800
	Ukraine	012	[Daily Requirement]	800	800	008	800	-10081008						1200	1200
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland Norway, Sweden	010	[Recommended Intake]	470	470	470	470		540	540 5	540 5	540 700	7007	700	700
Southern Europe	Italy	090	[Livelii di assunzione giornalieri raccomandati di nutrienti]	800	900	900	908	800	1000	10001	1000	1000	1200	1200	1200
Contries	Portugal				H			L		L					
	Spain				H	\vdash				H					
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	004	[Recommended Daily Intake]	200	200	909	900	900	800					1250	1250
Countries	Belgium	900	(Recommended Intake)	200	200	700	200	200	200	700	700	200	008	006	006
	France	200	Hecommended Nutrient Intake	200	200	450	355	250	200					900,000	630/300
	Nethorlande	000	owa ncc)	+	_	1		9	1000	S S	QCO.1000/200	000.14007.0001.000	000.10000700.1000	+	000.1900/2001.000
	United Kingdom	970	[Reference Nutrient Intake]	ш	270	350	Ш	350	450		450	775/625			
Institutions &	EU	100	[Population Reference Intakes]	300	300	350	350	350					775/825		775/625
Other Countries	USA, Canada	034	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	460	460	200	200	200	200	500 12	1250 128	1250 1250	1250	1250	1250
	FAO/WHO														
Lowest Threshold	Nordic Countries	900	[Lower Limit]	-	F		L	-	L						
Intake	Netherlands	045	[Lower Limit]	400	400	400	400	400	600	600	900/200	00 900/200	900/700	900/100	900/700
	EU	100	[Lowest threshold intake]	\parallel	H		\parallel	H	Н	Н					
Unner Limit of	Nordio Combridos	010	[(I loner Limit (mo\$ex)]	-	F	-	-	-	-	-					
Intake	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	004	Tolerable Upper Intake Level	I	H		L	_	L						
		028	[Maximum Tolorable Dietary Intake (mg/kg1)]	H	H	H	L	П	П	П					
	USA, Canada	934	[Tolerable Upper Intake Level]	3000	3000	3000	3000	3000	3000	3000 40	4000 400	4000 4000	4000	4000	4000

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 30 Name of Expert: Dr. Manz

NUTRIENT: Sodium Unit: mg/d Sex: Male/Female

		No	No. Dietary reference value	2	က	4	10	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	20+
"Reference Intake"	** ev	_															100000				
Balkan Countries	Bosnia & Herzegovina	040	0 [Dietary Recommendation]								129			10				211			2000-2400
	Slovenia	021	1 [Minimal intake]	250-300	250-300	250-300	250-300	250-300	250-300	250-300	250-300	250-300	300-200	300-500	300-200	300-500	300-500	300-500	300-500	300-500	400-500
							100				137		1111	100							34.
Baltic Countries	Estonia																				
	Latvia	046	 [Recommended Reference Values] 	650	650	300	006	900	1200	1200	1200	1200	1800	1800	1800	1800	2200	2200	2200	2200	3300
	Lithuania	022	2 [Recommended Dietary Allowance]																		1500
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	94	044 [Harmless Supply]	220-975	300-1350	300-1350	300-1350	400-1800	400-1800	400-1800	400-1800	500-2500	500-2500	500-2500	500-2500	575-2500	575-2500	575-2500	575-2500		
Europe Countries	Hungary				SOLUTION STATES	4-0-10-0	The same			To contract	Carrier Carrier		To contract	Section Section			To over the contract		Contract Contract		
	Poland	018	019 [Minimal intake/Safe Level]	550/1650	550/1650	550/1650	550/1650	550/1650	1000/30001	10000/30001	1000/3000	-/009	500/-	-/009	-/009	-2005	-/009	-575/-	-/5/2	575/-	575-625*/-
	Romania	-									100			7.00							
	Russian Federation																				
	Ukraine		See Long Strangers and See Long See Lon																		100000
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway, Swedon	010	010 [Recommended Intake]																		2000
Southern Europe	Italy	030	i Safe And Adequate Rangel		Ī				İ					Te						Ī	575-3500
Coutries	Portugal	-					Ī		Ī	Ī			Ī	Ī	Ī						
	Spain																				
	Turkey	041	1 [Recommended minimumIntake]	300	300	300	300	400	400	400	400	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200
Western Furope	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	400	4 [Estimated Minimal Values]	300	300	410	410	410	460	460	460	510	510	510	550	550	550	920	920	550	550
Countries	Belgium	00	005 [Apport Jugé Satisfaisant]	225-500	225-500	300-700	300-700	300-700	400-1200	400-1200	400-1200	400-1200	500-1600	500-1600	500-1600	500-1600	500-1600	500-1600	500-1600 500-1600	500-1800	575-3500
	France	000	002 [Target to reduce high consumption]																		
	Ireland	011	 [Recommended Daily Allowance] 																		575-3500
	Netherlands																				Character Community of the Community of
	United Kingdom	028	8 [Reference Nutrient Intake]	200	200	700	200	200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1600	1600	1600	1600	1600	1600	1800	1600	1600
Institutions &	EU	100	1 [Acceptable Range of Intake]		Ī			Ī	T	Ī			Ī	Ī	Ī						575-3500
Other Countries	USA	036	036 [Estimated Minimum Requirements]	300	300	300	300	400	400	400	400	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200
	Canada	037	7 [Practical Requirement (children)]	138-184	138-184										2000						
			[Minimal Requirements (adults)]	_																	
"Lowest Threshold	United Kingdom	028	8 [Lower Reference Nutrient Intake]	200	200	280	280	280	350	350	350	350	460	460	460	460	575	575	575	575	575
Intake"	Nordic Countries	003	3 [Minimal Need]																		200
"Upper Limit of	EU	00	001 [Upper Limit]																		3500
intake*	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	900	4 [Maximum Guiding Value]]																		2400
	USA	036	036 [Upper Tolerable Intake Level]																		2400

*A decrease in the current sodium intake is recommended *In order to reduce the number of high consumers an intake of NaCl of 6-8 giday in adults is recommended. § 6 g NaClid (se 2400 mg Na)

EXPERT GROUP 1		
S OF CHILDREN -		Dr. Manz
NUTRITIONAL NEED	31	Name of Expert:

		No.	Dietary reference value	2	9	4		9	7	100	6	10	=	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	50+
Reference Intake																					
salkan Countries	Bosnis & Herzegovina	040	[Dietary Recommendation]																		1000-2000
	Slovenia	120	[Minimal intake]	009-009	900-900	600-800	900-900	009-009	600-800	900-900	000-009	600-800	900-900	600-600	008-009	900-900	000-000	900-900	600-800	600-600	900-900
	Yugoslavia/serbia	047	[Daily Needs]	1100	1550	1550	1550	1550	2000	2000	2000	3050	3050	3050	3050	3050		2000			
Baltic Countries	Estonia	023	[Recommended Intake]	990	550	775	775	775	1000	1000	1000	1000	1500	1500	1500	1500	1900	1900	1900	1900	000
	Lahka	046	Recommended Reference Values	1100	1100	1500	1500	1500	2000	3300	2000	2000	3000	3000	3000	3000	3700	3700	3700	3700	400
	Lithuania	002	[Becommanded Daily Allowance]																		2500
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	044	Harmless Supply	1000-1600	400-2300	1400-2300	1400-2300	1600-3000	1600-3000	0006-0091	600-3000	600-4500	600-4500	600-4500	600-4500	2000-5600	2000-5600 2000-5600		2000-5600		
Lurope Countries	Hungary																				
	Poland	019	[Mnimum recommended intake/ Safe Level]	325/375	325/375	325,376	325/375	325/375	600/1800	600/1900	600/1800	2000/-	-50002	2000-	2500-	2500/-	25000-	25001-	2500/-	2500	3500/-
	Romania	L																			
	Russian Federation																				
	Ukraine	-	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	1000	-		2000			2000			3				20000000	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	The same of	The same of	Succession St
lordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland Norway, Sweden	010	[Recommended Intake]	800	800	1100	1100	1100	2000	3000	2000	2000	3100	3100	3100	3100	3500/3100	35003100	9500/3100 (3500/3100 (3500/3100 (3500/3100	8500/3100	3500/3100
Southern Europe	Italy	080	[Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake]	800	800	1100	1100	1100	2000	2000	2000	2000	3100	3100	3100	3100	3100	3100	3100	3100	310
Coutries	Portugal	H																			
	Spain	L																			
	Turkey	150	[Recommended minimum intake]	1400	1400	1400	1400	1600	1600	1600	1600	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000
festern Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	100	Estimated Minimum Values	1000	1000	1400	1400	1400	1600	16001	1600	1700	1700	1700	1900	1900	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000
Countries	Beigium	900	[Appert Jugé Satisfaisant]	800-1000	800-1000	1100-1400	1100-1400	1100-1400	1600-2000	1600-2000	1600-2000	1600-2000	3000-3100	000-3100	0000-3100	0000-3100	3000-3100	2000-3100	2000-3100	9000-3100	2000-4000
	France	000	[Minimum Requirement Values]	1338 Jan 18	100 mm	2000	SY45	6000	1333000	3000	100 May 100 Ma	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	300000	200000	10000		10000	W. C	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		390-585
	Instand	011	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	800	800	1100	1100	1100	2000	3000	2000	2000	3100	3100	3100	3100	3100	3100	3100	3100	310
	Netherlands					2000				2000		10.65	8000	1888	Ser.	0.000		3000			
	United Kingdom	820	Reference Nutrient Intake)	900	800	1100	1100	1100	2000	2000	2000	2000	3100	3100	3100	3100	3500	3600	3500	3500	3500
	i	100	Description Defendance inferior	400	000	1000	11000		20000	00000	0000	9000	1000	1000	0010	1	0.1000	0010	2000	2000	2010
manufacture &	486	3 8	Hetimotal Alexanto Danisanard	000	000	4 100	0000	0000	2000	4000	2000	0000	2100	2000	00000	2000	2100	0000	2000	2000	2000
a commune	CAUMUND	200		200	NA.	1100	400	000	1000	000	000	WWW	*****	KOON	KUVU	*****	SOUN S	NAMP.	and a	WAY TO A	600
	- Services	-	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C																		
Lowest Threshold	Norde Countries	010	[Lower Limit]						Ī	Ī	Ī	Ī	Ī	Ī	Ī	Ī	Ī		Ī		1600
Intries	Limited Kinordom	600	Loner Reference Intake	029	450	600	CUS	BDD	USB	950	020	OSC	1600	1600	10031	1600	CCCC	DUUG	SOUR	COUC	200

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 32 Name of Expert: Dr. Manz

Unit:	Chloride mg/day Male/Female																			
		No.	Dietary reference value	2	6	4	6	9	7	80	6	10	=	12	- 1	13	13 14	-	14	14 15
"Reference Intake"	ke"		1														-			
Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina	040	Г								Committee of the commit					г	L			
	Slovenia	021	[Minimal Intake]	300-500	300-500	300-500	300-500	300-500	300-500	300-500	300-500	300-500	500-700	500-700	500-700		500-700	500-700 500-700		500-700
	Yugoslavia/Serbia																			
Baltic Countries	Estonia								99			in a				111				
	Latvia																			
	Lithuania								_											
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	044	[Harmless Supply]	350-1500	460-2000	460-2000 4	460-2000	600-2800	600-2800	900-2800	600-2800	800-3800	800-3800	800-3800	800-3800	8	900-3800	0-3800 900-3800		900-3800
Europe Countries	Hungary	2000	Commence of the commence of th	100000	Section Section		S. S. Section S.		-	- Contract	Section Section	2000	200000	20000	200000				230000	S SCOOL STORY
	Poland	010	Minimal Recommended Intake/Safe Level]	500/1500	500/1500	500/1500 5	500/1500	500/1500	925/2775	925/2775	925/2775	-,059	-/059	650/-	-/059		-,059	-/059 -/059		-/059
	Romania							Ĭ	20											
	Russian Federation																			
	Ukraine		The second secon		35	al C											_			
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland Norway, Sweden	010																		
Southern Europe	Italy	025	[Safe and Adequate Range]														П			
	Portugal																П			
	Spain											12.0				1555				
	Turkey		П							-					-			-		
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	004		450	450	620	620	620	690	069	690	770	770	770	830	830	0	0 830	_	830 830
	Belgium	900		350-800		500-1100	500-1100			800-2000	600-2000	600-2000	600-2000	750-3100	750-3100	750-3100	00		750-3100	750-3100
	France	005				.25		-							151					3.0
	Ireland	011	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]														П			
	Netherlands	-	Starte Constitution Constitution	500	1000	0.000	200000	0.00	Section 1		70		1		200				200000	Section 2
	United Kingdom	028	[Reference Nutrient Intake]	800	800	1100	1100	1100	1800	1800	1800	1800	2500	2500	2500	52	2500	00 2500		2500
Institutions &	EU	100	[Acceptable Range of Intake]							ľ							Г			
Other Countries	USA	960	[Estimated Minimum Requirement]	200	200	200	200	9009	009	009	900	750	750	750	750		750	750 750		150
"Lowest Threshold	United Kingdom	028	[Reference Nutrient Intake]	320	320	425	425	425	535	535	535	535	710	710	710		710	710 890		068
"Honor I lead of	A contract of the contract of	L															ı			

Calculated from a NaCl intake of 6 g/day

No. control	1 DOMANNO		No.	Dietary reference value	~	n	2	0		0		10		2	22	ž	0	91	-	180	-
State Particular Particul	9		HISTORY							000		0.000	2000		1000		-	5 000	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	1000	110000
Second Column Second Colum		Bosnia & Herzegovina	040	[Recommended Daily Allowance]	. 7	7	8	8	8			15	40				15	15		100	
Companie Weekee Companie W		Slovenia	021	[Daily Requirements]								00								10-18	
Experiment Control C	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	Yugoslavia/Serbia	047	[Daily Needs]	15	10	101	10	10	L							5375	2000		10.00	
Empire Color Col		Estonia	023	[Recommended Darly Intake]	10	10	101					10	12/18				12/18			12/18	
Chapter Color Co		Latvia	046	[Recommended Reference Values]	10	10	10					10	12	12			15	15	15	15	10/18
Particular Continue Continu		Liftuania	055	[Recommended Dally Allowances]	101	10	10					10	12/18							12/18	
Part Part	al & Eastern	Bulgaria	044		101	10	101														
National	pe Countries	Hugary	0.00	- CANADA CARACTER CONTRACTOR OF THE CANADA C			1					Section Control	Total Control of	Specialization	5000 May 1000 CO	STATE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY	2 186 march 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	The Common of Street	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Contraction of the contraction o	STATE OF THE STATE
Note: Particular Particular		Poland	019	[Safe Intake Level (Recommended Intake Level)#]	104	401	104					12/14 (14/16)	12/14 (14/16)	12/14	12/15 (15/17)	12/15	1215 (15/17)		12/15 (15/17)	(12/15 (15/17)	11/14 (15/18
Maintent Federation O.C. Recommended Classy Value O.C. O.C. C.C. O.C.		Romania																			
December December		Russian Federation	900	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	101	10	10					12	15/18							-	
December Common Friend Colored Common		Ukraine	012	[Daily Requirement]	101	10	10	Ĺ				12	12/15							1	
Parish P	c Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland	010	[Recommended intake]	16	80	10	8	80			10	12/12/18				12/12-18			12/12-18	10/12/1
Second Courts		INCHARY, CHELDEN	000			1	1	-		ľ	1		-			-	27.07				
Serving Color Recommended Delay Intake Color	sern Europe	liary	030	Hecommerced Dary Numera make)	1	1	00	00	0			26	12	25		21	12/16			10/18	
Second Procuremedy Frame Color Procuremedy Frame	ies	Portugal	900	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	7	1	8	B	80												
Finding Americal Color Finding Americal Co		Spein	024	Recommended Intake	-	-	0	6	0												10/18
Adminitry General Control Co	2000000000	Turkey	041		8	89	Ø.	6	5					10	15/20					15/20	10/22
Figure Cook Recommended Materia Italian Cook Recommended Materia Italian Cook Recommended Materia Italian Cook Recommended Materia Italian Cook	ern Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	100	[Recommended Detary Intake]	89	60	9	60	8						12/15						
Procession of the Communication Language		Belgium	900	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	10	10	10	10	10			10	10	10	10	10	13/9				
Professionary Control Processionary Processionary Control Processionary Proces		France	000	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	7		2	2.5	7	7	7	8	80	8	12/14		12/14			12/14	12/14
New Halling Oct Recommend Clark All All All All All All All All All Al		Ireland	011	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	8	8	8	8	6			10	13/14	13/14	13/14	0.	14		14		
United françam Cook Reference Nutrient lates Cook		Netherlands	042	[Recommended Cletary Allowance]	. 7	7	7	7	7	8	8	10/11	10/11	10/11	10000		15/12		15/14		- P
E. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C.	-	United Kingdom	028	[Reference Nutrient intake]	6.9	6.9						8.7	11.3/14.8	11.3/14.8			11:3/14.8		11.3/14.8	11.3/14.8	89
Floating Control Con	utions &	ng,	001	[Population Reference Intake]	4	4	4	7	10	9	9	9	10/22*	10/22*	10/22*		13/21*	1321*	1321	9/20*	
Factor Color Countries	USA, Canada	016	(Recommended Dietary Allowance (s18% absorption))	-	3	L	L	100	L	8	8	8	8	11/15							
FAOWHO 0-06 Recommended Mather Pales, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19		FADWHO	048	[Recommended Nutrient Intake, 15% bioavailability]	3.0		4.2		2				9.7/9.3(21.8)**	9.7/9.3(21.8)**	9.7/9.3[21.8]**	9.7/9.3(21,	12.5/20.7	12.5/20.7		9.1/19.6	9.1/19
FAOWHO		FADWING	048	[Recommended Nutrient Intake, 12% bioavailability]	4.8	4.8	Ĭ				7.4	12.2/11.7/27.71**	12.2/11.7/27.7		-	12.211.727.	15,7/25.8	15,7/25.8	15.7/25.8	11,4/24.5	11.4/24
FAOVWHO 0-66 Recommended Mathern House, 0's, bloaned backers 12-65 and to contract to the contract to contr		FADWHO	048	[Recommended Nutrient Intake, 10% bloavaitability]	5.8	5.8						14.6/14.0(32.7[**]			_	14.6/14.0(32.	18.8/31				13,7/29
Notice Counties Cold Cander and Part Can		FADWHO	048	[Recommended Nutrient Intake, 5% bioavalability]	11.6	11.6								-	_	29.2/28.0/65	37.6%2			27,4/58.8	27,4/58
Well-religions Qp. 2 Market Qp. 2	-	Nordic Countries	003	[Lower Limit for Intake					_												7/2
United Kingdom (208 [Lower Presence Nutrient Intales] 3.7 3.5 3.5 3.5 4.7 4.7 4.7 6.18.0**** 6.18.0**** 6.18.0**** 6.18.0***** 6.18.0*** 6.18.0*** 6.18.0**** 6.18.0**** 6.18.0**** 6.18.0**** 6.18.0**** 6.18.0*	_	Nemerlands	042	[Minimum Quantities (12-15% absorption)]	19	9	9	10	9	7	7	8.8			L				13	13	
EU COI [Lowest Threshold Inflate]		United Kingdom	920	[Lower Reference Nutrient Intaks]	3.7	3.7	3.3					4.7			6,1/8.0****			6.1/8		6.1/8.0***	4.7.8
2		EU	100	[Lowest Threshold Intake]	+																57(4"
100 Oct. Oct.		Nordic Countries	010	[Upper Limit]			-														
Contracting and the long and th		USA, Canada	016	[Tolerable Upper Intake Level]	4D	40	40	40	40	0 40	90	40	40	40	40	45	45	45	45	346	

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 33 Name of Expert: Dr. KF Michaelsen

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 34

Dr. KF Michaelsen	Zinc	p/bw	Male/Female	
Name of Expert:	NUTRIENT:	Unit:	Sex:	

		No.	Dietary reference value	23	m	4	2	9	1	8	10	-	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	20+
	ē			2000		300													-	
Balkan Countries	Bosnia & Herzegovina	043	[Dietary Recommendation (minimum)]	100	0 10				2000			2000				-		10000		2.2
	Slovenia	150	[Daily Requirements]	10	101	10	10	101	10	10		10.00				15	15	15	15	15
	Yugoslavia/Serbia	047	[Daily needs]	10	10	10	101	10	10	10	10	15.00	15 15							
Baltic Countries	Estonia	023	[Recommended Daily Intake]	40	10	9	9	9	7	7		7.00	15 15	5	- 15	15	15	15	15	
	Latvia	046	[Becommended Beterence Values]	101	101	101	101	10	10	10	101	10.00	12 1	12	12	14	14	14	14	14
	Limania	055	[Recommended Daily Allowanoss]	N)	5	9	9	9	7	1			15/12	15/12	15/12	15/12	15/12	15/12	15/12	12
Central & Eastern	Bulgarta	044		4	4	4	4	4	4	95	4	10.00	10 10	0 10	10	10	10	10		
Europe Countries	Hungary							1000	10000										100000000000000000000000000000000000000	Construction of the last
	Poland	610	(Safe Intake Level (Recommended Intake Level)#]	10#	101	108	10#	10#	104	10#	10# 12/10 (14/10)	4/10) 12/10 (14/10)	12/10 (14/10)	12/10 (14/10)	12/10 (14/10)	12/10 (14/10)	12/10 (14/10) 1	12/10 (14/10) 1.	(14/10)	14/10 (16/13)
	Romania																			
	Russian Federation	900	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	5	10	8	8	8	10	10					15/12	15/12	15/12	15/12		
	Ukraine	012		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10.00	15/12 15/12	2 15/12		15/13	15/13	15/13	1000	
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland Norway, Sweden	800	[Recommended Intake]	S	40	9	9	40	7						11/8	12/9	12/9	12/9	12/9	7/6
Southern Europe	Illahy	080	[Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake]	4	4	9	9	9	7	7	7	7.00	8	6	(C)	9.7	2/8	9.7	10/7	10/7
Coutries	Portugal											200								
	Spain	650	[Recommended Intake]	10	101	10	101	10	10	10		10.00	15	15	15	15	15	15		
	Turkey	140		10	101	101	10	10	10	10	10	15/12 15				15/12	15/12	15/12	15/12	15/12
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	9004	[Recommended Daily Intake]	50	9	'n	ID.	ı	7	7			7/8	7 9.57	9.57	107	10.7	10.7	10/7	10/7
Coutries	Belgium	900	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	4	4	9	9	9	7	7	7	2.00	6	6	a	7/6	2/6	9/7	9.7	9.5/7
	France	0005	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	80	8	11	11	11	11	11		14/13	14/13 14/13	3 14/11	14/11	14/11	14/11	14711	14/11	14/11
	Ireland	011	[Recommended Dietary Allowances]	10	10	101	10	10	10	10	10	10.00	15	15 15	15	15	15	15	15	15
	Luxemburg	1000		200	-	10.00	100			1977	18.0		250		2000	32.00	- 100 CO S	100000	Section 6	Section 1
	Netherlands	045		3-4	3.4	4-5	4-5	4-6	4-6	4-6	4-6		5-7	7 7-10	7-10	7-10	8-11/6-9	8-11/6-9	8-11/6-9	7-10/6-9
	United Kingdom	028	[Reference Nutrient Intake]	N7	40	(C)	6.5	6.5	7	7	7	7.00	6	6	6	9:57	9.57	9.57	9.5/7	9.5/7
		100	Control of the Contro	-	-	-	100		T	7	7	200			To the second	100		100	100	1
Other Countries	ISA Canada	946	Decommended Distract Microscope 1		0	0 40	0 16	0 4	4	4	0	000		0 0	100	1170	14.0	1100	1100	10.0
Contra Countries	FAOWHO	048**	+-	2 4 6	2.4	200	2.0	200	0.00			51/43 51/43	6.3 5.1/4.3	5.1/4.3	5,1/4.3	5.1/4.3	5.1/4.3	5 1/4 3	6.1/4.3	42/3.0
	FADWHO	048**	+-	4.1	4.1	8 4	4.8	8 4	10.10	90	5.6				8.6/7.2	8.67.2	8.67.2	867.2	8.67.2	7.0/4.9
	FAOWHO	048**	[Recommended Nutrient Intake, Low Bioavailability]	8.3	8.3	9.6	9.6	9.6	11.2			17.1/14.4 17.1/14.4	6.4 17.1/14.4	1731/1434	17.1/14.4	17,1/14.4	17.1/14.4	17.1/14.4	17.1/14.4	14.0/9.8
Lowest Threshold	Nordic Countries	003	[Lower Limit for Intake]																	5/4
		042		2.28	2-2.8	2.4-3.2	2.4-3.2	24-32	28-40 2	28-40 28	2.8-4.0	4.0-5.2/3.6-5.	52		52-7.2/48-6.8		L.	6-8.0/4.4-6.4		52-7.6/4.4-8.B
	United Kingdom	820		.3	9	4	4	4	4	4	4	4.00	5.3	3 5.3	5.3	5.5/4	5.5/4	5,5/4	5.5/4	5.5/4.0
	EU	100	[Lowest Threshold Intake]																	5/4
Unner Limit of	Norde Countries	003	[Hobest Intake]	18	8	8	8	8	K	18	e lise	25.00	25	36	28	16	8	35	36	45
The same of the sa	Australia Commons Bullianshood	2000	Totacollo Importante and	3	2				2									2		9
MINISTER	USA. Carada	016		7	7	12	12	12	12	П		3.00	23	23		34	25	34	34	8 9
	FAOWHO	950	F	23-28	23-28	23-28	23-28	23-28	23-28	23-28 23	23-28	23-28 23	23-28 23-28	23	23-28	23-28	23-28	23-28	23-28	45
			п							l										

The recommended upper limit are stated to be for children and solut men respectively.

"In the source document the values are inconsistent those over in table 15 a. 265 are presented. They differ in some respects at all ages from those given in table 1 appendix 1.

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 35 Name of Expert: Dr. F. Branca

		No.	Dietary reference value	2	3	4	c	9	,	8	D	10	E	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	8
Reference Intake	ke																				200
Balkan Countries	Bosnia & Herzegovina	040	[Dietary Recommendations]																		.9.0
	Slovenia	051	[Daily Requirements]	1-2.5	1-2.5	1-2.5	1-2.5	1-2.5	1-2.5	1-2.5	1-2.5	1-2.5	2-3	2-3	2-3	2-3	2-3	2-3	2-3	2-3	
	Yugoslavia/Serbia	047	[Daily Needs]	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.25	2.25	2.25	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5				
Baltic Countries	Estonia	3	The same of the sa					1000				7							7	1	
	Latvia	046	[Daily recommended Reference Values]	0.9	6.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	2	2	2	23	2	64	2	2	
	Lithuania			-				-				-					7				
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria																				
Europe Countries		The state of the s																		(a a a a	
		019	[Recommended Intake/Recommended Safe Level] 0	0.7-1.0	0.7-1.0	1.0-1.5	1.0-1.5	1.0-1.5	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	2.0-2
	Romania																				
	Russian Federation																				
	Ukraine	012	[Daily Requirement]	0.3-0.7	0.3-0.7	1.2	1.2	2 [1.5**]	1.5	1.5	1.5	1,5	2.0/1.5	2.0/1.5	2.0/1.5	2.5/2.0	2.5/2.0	2.5/2.0	2.5/2.0		
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland Norway, Sweden	010	[Recommended Intake]	0.4	0.4	9'0	9.0	9.0	2.0	2'0	2.0	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.1	1.0	1,0	1.0	
Southern Europe	Italy	030	[Recommended Daily Nutrient Intake]	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.6	9.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	
Countries	Portugal																				
	Spain			_				-		_	-	-									
	Turkey																				
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzertland	004	[Estimated Values]	0.5-1.0	0.5-1.0	0.5-1.0	0.5-1.0	0.5-1.0	1.0-1.5	1.0-1.5	1.0-1.5	1.0-1.5	1.0-1.5	1.0-1.5	1.0-1.5	1.0-1.5	1.0-1.5	1.0-1.5	1.0-1.5	1.0-1.5	1.0-1
countries	Belgium	900	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	0.4-1	0.4-1	0.6-1.5	0.6-1.5	0.6-1.5	0.7-2	0.7-2	0.7-2	0.7-2	0.8-2.5	0.8-2.5	0.8-2.5	0.8-2.5	1.0-2.5	1.0-2.5	1.0-2.5	1.0-2.5	
	France	005	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	0.75	0.75	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.5	1,5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1,5	2.0/1
	Ireland	110	[Recommeded Dietary Allowance]	0.4	0.4	9.0	9.0	9.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	
	Netherlands	045		2.3-0.7	0.3-0.7	0.5-1.0	0.5-1.0	0.5-1.0	0.6-1.4	0.6-1.4	0.6-1.4	1.0-2.5	1.0-2.5	1.0-2.5	1.5-3.0	1.5-3.0	1.5-3.0	1.5-3.5	1.5-3.5	1.5-3.5	1.5-3.
	United Kingdom	920	[Reference Nutrient Intake]	0.4	9.4	9.0	9.0	9.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	1:0	1.0	1.0	1.2	
Institutions &	EU	100	[Population Reference Intake]	0.4	0.4	9.0	9.0	9.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.5
other countries	USA, Canada	016	[Recommeded Dietary Allowance]	0.34	0.34	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.7		0.7	0.7	2.0	0.89#	#68.0	968'0	#68'0	0.898	#06.0
	FAOWHO	680	[Normative Dietary Requirements]	95.0	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.73/0.77	0.73/0.77	1.00	1.00	1.00 1	33/1,115	1.33/1.15	.33/1.15	35/1.15	1,35/1.15
Lowest Threshold	EU	100	[Lowest Threshold Intake]	H								Ħ		H					Ħ	П	
Intake																					
per Limit of	EU	001	[Upper Limit]	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	=								
Intake	USA, Canada	910	[Tolerable Upper Intake Level]	-	-	6	3	0	e	6	so	ıΩ	20	S	9	8	8	8	8	æ	
	CHACAMILO	0000			,											-			00100	00,000	1

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 36 Name of Expert: Dr Vidailhet

NUTRIENT: Selentum
Unit: µg/day
Sex: Male/Female

Second National Percentage Column			No.	Dietary reference value	2	en	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	11	18	
Suppose Control Memory Control Mem	Intake					L						Ī										Г
Secretary Control Co	untries	Bosnia & Herzegovina	040		_									5.5								
Particular Par		Slovenia	021	Т	20-10	8	50-	20-1			20-100	20-100	20-100	50-100	50-100	50-100	50-100	50-100	50-100	50-100	50-100	90
Elabolari Color		Yugoslavia/Serbia				200	20000	200000		200	0.00	Contract.	0000000	3100000	1000000	10.00	200000	200000	0.000.0	Sections		
Uniformative black bla	utries	Estonia	023		15-3			_			15-30	15-30	15-30	30-60	30-60	30-80	30-60	30-60	30-60	30	30-60	90
Hammatis Hammatis		Latvia	046								30	30	30	40	40	40	40	20	90			20
Holyaptida Hol		Lithuania							100													
Purphyshops Communication of this layer of the communication transity Communication of the communication transity Communication of the communication	astern	Bulgaria								3					77							
Political and another control and a contro	untries	Hungary	-				7		Parent I		-	-				-			Concession to the Concession of the Concession o	A Contract of the Contract of		
Parasier Periode Parasier Pe		Poland	010	[Safe Intake Level (Recommended Intake					20#	30#	30#	30#			40 (45)				60/50 (70/60)	60/20 (70/60)	60/50 (70/60	6
Parisian Federation Parisian Federation		Romania																				
Secretary Computer		Russian Federation										Ī										
United Charlet Charl		Slovakia							Contraction of					200000	-		-		1000	50.00		
Parking Park		Ukraine	015	Г	10-3					30	30	30	30	40/45	40/45	40/45	20	90	90	50		
No. No. No. Sweden	untries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland	010		C				25	30	30	30	30	40	40	40	40	50/40	50/40	50/40	50/40	01
Particular Counting Countin		Norway, Sweden		A STATE OF THE CONTRACTOR SECURED				0			377		250	200					1000	10 S. M.		
Portiguial Speint Spe	adoun	Italy	030						15		25	52	25	35	35	35	36	45	45	45		55
System Charles Charl		Portugal																				
Tukeful Germany Switzerland Old Estimated Values 1940 19		Spain	Last					100	0.70		100		2000	Constant of the last	Constant		2000	-	10000	-0310-0		
Authority Switzerland Oth Elementa Value 1940 15-45 15-45 20-50		Turkey	041	6	2							30	40/45	40/45	40/45	909	20	90	70/55		70/	92
Periode Contines	adour	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	004	F	10-4							20-50	25-60	25-60	25-60	25-60	25-60	30-70	30-70	30-70	30-70	0,
Final Formation Continue Co		Belgium	900						1			30	30	40/45	40/45	40/45	40/45	20	20	100		20
Figure 1 Figure 1		France	005			0.00						40	45	45	45	90	20	90	90	50		20
Waterfulfuluide Oct Adequate Intake 103 10-30 15-45 15-45 20-60 20-60 20-60 30-60 30-60 30-60 40-110 40-110 40-110 70-80 7		Ireland	011								П	25	25	35	35	38	35	46	45	The second second		55
United Kingdom City Teleferorce Nutrient Intake 15 15 20 20 20 30 30 445 45 45 45 7080		Netherlands	042		10-3	Ĺ					ï	20-60	30-80	30-80	30-80	40-110	40-110	40-110		50-140/45-140		
EU Claridit Continues Co		United Kingdom	031	П					20	30	30	30	30	45	45	45	45	70/80	70/60	70/60	70/60	00
USA Centrals USA	Institutions &	EU	001	-					15		25	25	52	38	35	35	36	45	45	45		25
FAO/WHO CHAPTER CHAP	ntries	USA, Canada	033		CS				30		30	40	40	40	40	40	25	99	55			55
Notice Countries COO Converting Coop Converting Countries Coop		FAD/WHO	048						22		21	21	21	32/26	32/26	32/26	32/26	32/26	32/26	32/26	32/26	93
Unfield Kingdorm CDS (Lower Pletereroe Nutrient Intake) Nordic Countries Nordic Co	reshold	Nordic Countries	003		L	L					Ī	r	Ī	r	Ī	Ī	r	Ī				Г
Oct Upper Limit Convest Threshold Intake Convest Threshold Intake Convest Threshold Intake Convest Threshold Intake Convertigation Convertigati		United Kingdom	028			7	7 10		10	16	16	16	16	52	52	52	25	40	40	40	8	01
Nordic Countries On Old Upper Limit Austral, Germany, Switzerland 0x0 (Upper Limit Limit) Namerianise 0x2 (Nordical procopognal Riak) Namerianise 0x2 (Nordical procopognal Riak) Indicate Limit (adults only)		EU	001	П																		П
Authority Switzerland	it of	Nordic Countries	010																			
8 042 Avoiding Taxocoopical Risk Jacob 2033 Toterdate Level 5 0 150 150 150 150 150 280 280 280 280 400 400 Odd* (Upder Link Idaulia sovij)		Austria, Germany, Switzerland																				
da 033 (Tokerable Upper Initiate Level) 90 150 150 150 150 280 280 280 280 400 400 Od8*** [Unit (adults only)] 0.048*** [Unit (adults only)] 0.048**** [Uni		Netherlands	045																			
048**		USA, Canada	.033		6	133			150	150	150	280	280	280	280	280	400	400	400	400	40	00
		FAO/WHO	048**				Socret S	2000	Sec	5000	1000	To Color	0.00	E was	Same	1500	2 0000	2000	2000	25066		

school childram. The values are increasised three niver in teles 20 n 245 are researced. They differ in come research at all area from three niver in table 1 annerolds 1.

P 1	
T GRO	
EXPER	
DREN-	
JF CHIL	
NEEDS (
TIONAL	
NUTR	37

Dr. P. Guesry	Molybdenum µg/day (<i>µg/kg/d</i>) Male/Female	
Name of Expert:	NUTRIENT: Unit: Sex:	

Reference Intrake Balkan Countries Sovernia Vogoslausa-Berbia Pugoslausa-Serbia Patro Countries Batto Countries Europe Countries Funda Bastern Fundary Fundary Fundary Fundary Fundary Foreigned	vina	No.	No. Dietary reference value	8	m	4	0	9	,	80	0	10	=	12	13	4	15	16	17	9	20÷
	vina		2						iene												
END ANNER	vina																61				
o Angelo		245	[Dietary Recommendation]																		150-500
A049505																					
ASA/CU.		r																			
10 mm.	-									163								100			
A34%QU	4	046	[Recommended Reference Values]	40	40	20	20	90	100	100	100	100	150	150	150	150	200	200	0 200	200	0 250
A34450			200																		
0.535																					
9				-				-													
Romania																					
-	200																	10			
Russian Federation	deration																				
Ukraine		T																			L
Nordic Countries Denmark, F	Denmark, Finland, Iceland																				
		010	[Safe and Adequate Intake]	50-100	50-100	60-150	60-150	60-150	100-300	100-300	100-300	100-300	150-500	150-500	150-500	150-500	150-500	150-500	150-500	150-500	150-500
Southern Europe	9	000	[Safe and Adequate Range]																		50-100
Coutries Portugal																					
Spain																					
Turkey						-															
Western Europe Austria, Ger	Austria, Germany, Switerland 0	004	[Estimated Values]	25-50	25-50	30-75	30-75	30-75	40-80	40-80	40-80	50-100	50-100				50-100	50-100		L	
	Н	900	[Apports Quotidiens Jugés Satisfaisant]	25-50	25-50	30-75	30-75	30-75	50-150	50-150	50-150	50-150	75-250	75-250	75-250	75-250	75-250	75-250	0 75-250	50 75-250	0 75-250
France																					
Ireland																					
Netherlands																					
United Kingdom		028	[Safe Intake (µg/kg/day)]	0.5-1.5	0.5-1.5	0.5-1.5	0.5-1.5	0.5-1.5	0.5-1.5	0.5-1.5	0.5-1.5	0.5-1.5	0.5-1.5	0.5-1.5	0.5-1.5	0.5-1.5	0.5-1.5	0.5-1	5 0.5-1.5	5 0.5-1.5	50-400 µg/day
Institutions & USA, Canada	da		[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	17	17	22	22	22	22	22	34	34	34	34	34	43*	43*	43.	43.	3* 43*	45
Other Countries FAO/WHO		980	[No recommended requirement (µg/kg/day)]	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4		0.4 0.4	0
Upper Limit of USA, Canada		016	016 [Upper Tolerable Intake Level]	300	300	009	009	900	009	909	1100	1100	1100	1100	1100	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	0 2000

*Prement women 40 and lastation women 50

>0.016 >0.016 1-10 1-10 2.271.6* 2.271.6*

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 38 Name of Expert: Dr. P. Guesry

Name of Expert: Dr. P. Guesry
NUTRIENT: Manganese
Unit: mg/day (ng/kg/d')
Sex: Male and Female

Contract Contract	Reference Intake	Balkan Countries			Baltic Countries			Central & Eastern	Europe Countries						Nordic Countries		Southern Europe	Countries			Western Europe	Countries					Institutions &	Other Countries	long! Imil of
		Bosnia & Herzegovina	Slovenia	Yugoslavia/Serbia	Estonia	Latvia	Lithuania	Bulgaria	Hungary	Poland	Romania	Russian Federation	Slovakia	Ukraine	Denmark, Finland, Iceland	Norway, Sweden	Italy	Portugal	Spain	Turkey	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	Belgium	France	Ireland	Netherlands	United Kingdom	EU	USA, Canada	ISA Canada
No.					20000	046										2000000	030				004	900				028	100	016	016
Dietary reference value					Place on cognition appet on	[Recommended Reference Values]										Section (Section Control of the Control	[Safe and Adequate Range]				[Estimated Values]	[Apports Quotidiens Jugés Satisfaisants]				[[Safe Intake (mg/kg/day)]	[Acceptable Range of Intakes]	[Adequate Intake]	Il force Tolerable intelled and
N					1000	1.2										2007	1-10				1.0-1.5	1.0-1.5				>0.016	1-10	1.2	c
					Print.	1.2											1-10					1.0-1.5				>0.016	1-10	1.2	
4					10000	1.7											1-10				1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0				>0.016	1-10	1.5	0
0						1.7										110.2011	1-10				1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0				>0.016	1-10	1.5	10
0			_		2000	1.7									Ħ	10000	1-10				1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0				>0.016	1-10	1.5	-
,			_		2.5.4	2.0											1-10				2.0-3.0	2.0-3.0				>0.016	1-10	1.5	10
80					1000	2.0											1-10				2.0-3.0	2.0-3.0				>0.016	1-10	1,5	0
n						2.0								_			1-10				2.0-3.0	2.0-3.0				>0.076	1-10	1.9/1.6	To the
01		2	5		7 19 19	2.0		7.7		70		_		-	Ħ	100000	1-10				2.0-5.0	2.0-3.0		0		>0.016	1-10	1.9/1.6	10
=						2.5						_			H		1-10				2.0-5.0	2.0-5.0				>0.016	1-10	1.9/1.6	10
12						2.5										2000	1-10				2.0-5.0	2.0-5.0		20		>0.018	1-10	1.9/1.6	10
13						2.5	_					_					1-10					2.0-5.0				>0.016	1-10	1.9/1.6	
14					20.00	2.5										0.000	1-10		_			2.0-5.0				>0.016	1-10	2.2/1.6*	10
15			_	_	100	3.0										Contract of	1-10	_	_		2.0-5.0	2.0-5.0		_		>0.016	1-10	2.2/1.6*	0
16					7.00	3.0										1	1-10				2.0-5.0	2.0-5.0				>0.016	1-10	2.2/1.6*	

Pregnant women 2. lactating women 2.

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 39

Dr. P. Guesry	Chromium	µg/day (µg/kg/d)	Male/Female
Name of Expert:	NUTRIENT:	Unit:	Sex

-		Š	No. Dietary reference value		2	m	4	9	9	7	80	6	9	=	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Reference intake	ve-																					
Balkan Countries	Bosnia-Herzegovina																					1.7
	Slovenia																					
	Yugoslavia/Serbia															8				02		
Baltic Countries	Estonia																					
	Latvia	046	046 [Daily Recommended Reference values]		20	20	20	75	75	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	
	Lithuania	L								-	-											
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria																		22.0			
Europe Countries	Hungary						_															
25	Poland											100							1571	-		
	Romania																					
	Russian Federation	L					L			-												
	Ukraine	L				_																
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland									200000		325.00	Services.	7000 S	200000	A PERSONAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO PERSON NAMED IN C	2000				0.000	36
	Recommended Dietary Allowand 010 [Recommended Intake]	010	[Hecommended Intake]	20-80	90 20-80	80 30-	50	30-120	30-120	50-200	50-200	50-200	50-200	50-200	50-200	50-200	50-200	50-200	50-200	50-200	50-200	50-200
Europe	Italy	030	030 [Safe and Adequate Range]	_		_						G.										50-200
Coutries	Portugal		STORES OF THE ST																(1.0			
	Spain	L																				,,,,
	Turkey	L																				
Western Europe	Austria, Germany, Switzerland	004	004 [Estimated Values]	20-60	30 20-60		20-60	20-80	20-80	20-100	20-100	20-100	20-100	20-100	20-100	20-100	20-100	20-100	30-100	30-100	30-100	30-100
Coutries	Belgium		100 C - 100 C	100000		1 3/4	87		1 1 1 1 1 1 1	200	Discourse of	20000	Contract of	S CHICAGO S	Security	STATES OF S	STORES AND S			1000000	Section 2	
	France	200	[Recommended Intake]	2	25	25	35	35	35	40	40	40	45	45	45	90	20	20	909	20	50	65/55
	Ireland		2014 AT 11 F. M. C. B. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C.				500	5	8						3	Sec. 19	2001					
	Netherlands								1						2000 000							
0000	United Kingdom	028	[Safe Intake (µg/kg/day)]	0.1-1.0	0.1-1.0		0.1-1.0 0.	0.1-1.0	0.1-1.0	0.1-1.0	0.1-1.0	0.1-1.0	0.1-1.0	0.1-1.0	0.1-1.0	0.1-1.0	0.1-1.0	0.1-1.0	0.1-1.0	0.1-1.0	0.1-1.0	≥ 25
Institutions &	USA, Canada	016	016 [[Adequate Intake]	_	11	11	15	15	15	15	15	25/21	25/21	25/21	25/21	25/21	35/24*	35/24*	35/24*	35/24*	35/24*	35/25*
Other Countries	EACAMUC	030	039 [Normative Needs]																			App. 33

*Pregnant women 29, lactating women 44
**Prennant women 30 and lactating women 45

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1 40

	Dr. F. Branca
40	Name of Expert:

NUTRIENT: Unit: Sex:

## Reference Intake ### Reference Intake ### Reference Intake ### Countries #	5	No.	Dietary reference value	2	3	4	2	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	20÷
Bosenia & Ferzegovina Odd Dially Pleaconmentation (garma/day) 90-120																					
Severing Severing	a & Herzegovina	040	[Dietary Recommendation (gama/day)]							100											75-14(
Vayoglasiva/Seche O47 Daily Medical intakel 70 90 120 Humand Colar Recommended Daily Allowances 770 90 90 90 120 </td <td>nia</td> <td>021</td> <td>[Daily Requirements]</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>90-120</td> <td>90-120</td> <td>90-120</td> <td>90-120</td> <td>90-120</td> <td>90-120</td> <td>150</td> <td>150</td> <td>150</td> <td>150</td> <td>150</td> <td>150</td> <td>150</td> <td>150</td> <td>-</td>	nia	021	[Daily Requirements]				90-120	90-120	90-120	90-120	90-120	90-120	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	-
Estimate Coccession Cocce	slavia/Serbia	240	[Daily Needs]	70	06	06	06	06	06	120	120	150	150	150	150	150	150				L
Linkwist	ia	023	[Recommended Intake]	20	70	06	90	06	90	120	120	120	120	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	
University Countries Cou		046	[Recommended Reference Value]	70	202	06	06	06	120	120	120	120	150	150	150	150	200	500	200	200	200
Budgarian	inia	022	[Recommended Daily Allowances]	70	20	90	90	90	120	120	120	120	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	-
Politografy 019 State Intable Level (Recommended Intake Level) HI 70h 90h 90h 120h 170h	ría	044		70	06	90	90	120	120	120	120	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150		
Politarid Politarid Commended Protection Total Politarid Tot	ary			100				700					33.5		Series .						
Promitting Promitted P	p	019		40Z	#02	#06	#06	#06	120#	120#	120#	130 (150)	130 (150)	130 (150)	130 (150)	130 (150)	130 (150) 1	(180)	140 (180)	140 (160)	140 (160
Housein Federation Oxide Recommended Delaty Intake Oxide Ox	nia	3000	The second of the second					200000			1000	8	2011	1000							
Victories Cutarine	an Federation	900	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	9	9	70	_	70(80)7	100	100	1001	100	100	100	100	130	130	130	130		
Promark Friend, Colaure Colaure	96	012	[Daily Requirement]	70	20	06		90(1001)	120	120	120	120	150	150	150	200	200	200	200	2000	
Furney Portugat Co20 Recommended Daily Natrient Intakes 70 70 90 90 120	ark, Finland, Iceland av. Sweden	010	[Recommended Intake]	70	70	8	06	8	120	120	120	120	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	
Perfugal Speak Compared C		000	[Recommended Daily Nutrient Intakes]	70	70	06	06	06	120	120	120	120	150	150	150	1501	150	150	150	150	
Experiment	gal																				
Little Chicago Color Chicago Color Chicago Color Chicago Color Chicago Color Chicago Chica		2100				3	2			000	0.00						8.5	100		2007	
Land Authorities Cerminary COD of Recommended Detaty Intake) 100 100 120 120 140 Belgulamed CODS Recommended Detaty Intake) 90 90 90 90 120 Figure CODS Report Conclided Authority Intake) 90 90 90 90 120 Figure CODS Recommended Detaty Allowance) 70 70 90 90 90 120 Interest CODS Recommended Muterint Intake) 70 70 100 100 110 Interest CODS Recommended Detaty Allowance) 70 70 100 100 110 Interest CODS Recommended Detaty Allowance) 70 70 100 100 110 Interest CODS Recommended Detaty Allowance) 90 90 90 90 100 Interest CODS Recommended Detaty Allowance) 90 90 90 90 100 Interest CODS	^	81		70	20	06	06	06	120	120	120	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	_
Selection	a, Germany	004	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	100	100	120	120	120	140	140	140	180	180	180	200	200	200	200	200	200	200
Figure	erland	900	[Recommended Dietary Intake]	06	06	06	96	96	120	120	120	120	120	120	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
France	ш	900	[Apports Quotidiens Jugés Satisfaisants]	06	06	06	06	30	120	120	120	120	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
Nettree in the Indian Kingdom	9	200	[Recommended Nutrient Intake]	80	80	06	90	90	120	120	120	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
Horied Kingdom Cook Projection Relevance Nutrient Frailes Cook Projection Relevance Intake Cook Projection Relevance Intake Cook Projection Relevance Intake Cook Cook Cook Projection Relevance Intake Cook	q	011	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	70	70	90	06	06	100	100	100	100	120	120	120	120	130	130	130	130	_
United Kingdom CO28 Reference Nutrient Intake 770 770 100 110 1	sfands	A005				2000	20000		1000	500	0.000	200	1000	1000	1000	2000	1000	2000	1000	2000	
Left at the control of the control	d Kingdom	028	[Reference Nutrient Intake]	20	02	100	100	100	110	110	110	110	130	130	130	130	140	140	140	140	
USA. Caractal Orio Franchische Dietery Allowance 290 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 9		100	[Population Reference Intake]	70	70	06	06	06	100	100	1001	100	120	120	120	120	130	130	130	130	
FAOWHO Countries Constitution	Canada	910	[Recommended Dietary Allowance]	06	06	06	96	06	06	06	120	120	120	120	120	150**	150**	150**	150**	150**	150
United Kingdom	WHO	048	[Recommended Nutrient Intake (proposed)]	06	06	06	06	06	120	120	120	120	120	120	150	150	150	150	150	150	
United Kingdom	Countries	010	[[Lower Limit of Intake]						-												
EU Nordic Countries CO3 (Upgor Limit for Average Daily Intake) Limit of Advances CO3 (Upgor Limit for Average Daily Intake) Limit of Advances CO3 (Upgor Limit for Average Daily Intake) Limit of Armany, Switzerland CO4 Contactible Upgor Limit for Average Daily Intake (Average Daily Intake) Limit of Armanda CO4 CO4 Soi	d Kingdom	028	[Lower Reference Nutrient Intake]	40	40	20	20	20	55	99	55	55	92	92	99	92	20	70	70	70	
Limit of Mordic Countries OSG (Upper Limit for Average Daily Intake) Limit of Mordic Countries 004 (Topice Limit for Average Daily Intake) Limited Mingram 004 (Topice Limit for Exercise) United Mingram 008 (Safe Upper Limit exercise) United Mingram 000 (Stop 300 300 300 300 FGA) FAOWHO 048 (Upper Limit Laphadery) 501 501 501 501 501 501 501 501 501 501		100	[Lowest Threshold Intake]																		
Autstr., Germann, Switzerland Obs. Totalette United United United Williams 200 200 300 300 United Kingdom 016 Trichted Upper Limit Landsburden Villiams Levell 200 200 300 300 FAOWHO 048 Ultroper Limit Landsburden Villiams 50	c Countries	0003	[Upper Limit for Average Daily Intake]	Ī	F		-	-	-	-	-	F	-	-	-	F	=	-			1000
tdom 028 (Sate Upper Limit) 200 300 300 300 300 300 400 048 048 048 049 048 (Upper Limit Lux/Raz/Arx) 501 501 501 501 501 501 501 501 501 501	a, Germany, Switzerland	904	[Tolerable Upper Intake Level]								_	22									20
da 016 (Toterable Upper Intake Level) 200 200 300 300 300 300 300 000 000 000	d Kingdom	028	[Safe Upper Limit]																		1000
048 [Upper Limit (up/kg/day)] 50 50 50 50 50	Canada	016	[Tolerable Upper Intake Level]	200	200	300	300	300	300	300	900	900	009	900	900	006	900	006	900	900	1100
	WHO	048	[[Upper Limit (µg/kg/day/)]	90	20	20	20	90	90	20	20	20	20	20	30	30	30	30	30	30	

*For school children
** Pregnant women 220 and lactation women

NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF CHILDREN - EXPERT GROUP 1

41 Name of Expert:

		No	No. Dietary reference value	2	3	4	20	9	7	8	6	10	=	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	20+
Reference Intake	03	H	10 Cart							_					_						
Balkan Countries	Balkan Countries Bosnia & Herzegovina	040	040 [Dietary Recommendations]																		1-1.5
	Sloveriia								-												
	Yugoslavia/Serbia								-									_			
Baltic Countries	Estonia						-			-	-				-	-		0.000			
	Latvia	94	046 [Recommended Reference Value]	1,5	1,5	2.0	2.0	5.0	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	1.5
170000000000000000000000000000000000000	Lithuania	-																			
Central & Eastern	Bulgaria	L							-												
Europe Countries	Hungary	F						-													
	Poland	018	019 [Recommended Intake*]	0.5-1.5	0.5-1.5	1.0-2.5	1.0-2.5	1.0-2.5	1.5-2.5	1.5-2.5	1.5-2.5	1.5-2.5	1,5-2,5	1.5-2.5	1.5-2.5	1.5-2.5	1.5-2.5	1.5-2.5	1.5-2.5	1,5-2.5	1.5-4.0
	Romania																				
	Russian Federation												0.10	-	_						10
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	Ukraine	H																			
Nordic Countries	Denmark, Finland, Iceland								-			-									
	Norway, Sweden										_			_	_	_					
Southern Europe	Italy	030	030 [Safe and Adequate Intake]						_			3		_	-						1.5-4.0
Coutries	Portugal	_									_	_		_	_			_	_		
	Spain								_		-	_		_	_			_	-		÷.
	Turkey								_		_	_		_	_	_		_	_		
Western Europe	Austria/Germany/Switzerland 004 [Guiding Value**]	00	f [Guiding Value**]	0.7	0.7	1.1	1.1	1.1	111	111	111	2	2	2	3.2/2.9	3.2/2.9	3.2/2.9	3.2/2.9	3.2/2.9	3.8/3.1	3.8/3.1
Countries	Belgium												9			-			100000000000000000000000000000000000000		
	France	000	002 [Recommended Nutrient Intake]	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.5	1.5	2	2	2	2	2	2	2.5/2.0
	Ireland					The second															
	Netherlands														_						30
	United Kingdom								_					-	_		- 1	-	_		
Institutions &																					
55	USA, Canada	03	034 [Adequate Intake**]	0.7	0.7	+	+	F	F	Ξ.	2	2	2	2	2	8	3	3	8	3	4/3
Upper Limit of	Austria/Germany/Switzerland	000	O04 [Tolerable Upper Intake Level (mg/kg/d)]	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		-	-	F	-		-	F				
Intake	USA, Canada	034	034 [Tolerable Upper Intake Level]	1.3	1.3	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	FAO/WHO	038		1.0	1.5	1		20000			10000				Access to			0.00			3000
	United Kingdom	028	028 [Safe intake (mg/kg/day)***]	<0.12	<0.12	<0.12	<0.12	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05

From 10 years of age the recommendation is "Recommended Safe Intake".

"More specific recommendation (year for supplementation for waying drink water Fluoride content.

""With not note than 75%, in the form of the highly soluble fluorides of drinking water.

""" a recommendation also given for maximum F supplement level according to F in drinking water.

Figure Water Wat																						
No. Detarty reference value 2 3 4 5 6 7 6 7 10 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	NUTRIENT: W Unit: ml/d, or spe	Vater scially designate	d: ml/kg	cal, ml/kg*24h																		
Silverida Silv	ference Intake"		No.	Distary reference value	2	8	4	50	9	7	8	o o	10	=	12	13	14	15	16	11	18	20+
Silvenionis Silvenionis	110	povina							Ī	Ī							100					
Estocials Estocials <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Ī</td><td>T</td><td></td><td>r</td><td></td><td>H</td><td>F</td><td>F</td><td>F</td><td>F</td><td>H</td><td>F</td><td></td><td></td></t<>									Ī	T		r		H	F	F	F	F	H	F		
Effectivity Effectivity	Yugoslavia/Se	rbia												_		-			_			722
Limuxia Limu																			H			
Libratinis	Latvia			201													31				All	0.00
Butgate	Lithuania													_						H		
Heungary Heungary																						
Politide											-			_			5	_				
Percental Expertition Perc	Poland												_									
Published Federation Published Federation Published Federation Published Federation Published Federation Published Kindson Published	Homania													_	_							
Stookkish Stoo	Russian Fede.	ration																				
Dermark, Finland, Rokland Dermark, Finland, Rokland Dermark, Switzerland Control of the Percentance	Slovakia													_								
Denmark, Finland, Lockland Denmark, Finland, Lockland Denmark, Finland, Lockland Denmark, Finland, Lockland Denmark, Finland, Lockland Denmark, Sweden Denmark,	Ukraine																					
Maynewy, Swedon Italy Maynewy, Swedon Italy Maynewy, Swedon Italy Maynewy, Swedon Italy Maynewy, Swedon Italy Maynewy, Swedon Italy Maynewy, Swedon Italy Maynewy, Swedon Italy Ital		and, Iceland												_	_			_	_			
Portugation Portugation		len																				
Spanning Spanning Pennings Pennings Spanning Spanning 1300 1300 1600 1600 1600 1600 2150 2150 2 Author OKD Paccommended Imake (mikag-24 h) 75-100 75-100 75-100 75-100 75-100 66-80 65-80 65-80 65-70 8 France Irelance Irelance Irelance Irelance 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9<																			_			
Sparing Page														_	_			_	_	_		
Turkey Austraction Code Calculating Values Table	Spain													-								
Austriad, Germany, Switzerland COS4 [ciacing Values] 1300 1300 1600 1600 1600 1600 1600 1600 2150<																						
Perglam 0.005 Percommended Intitike (milkg?24 h) 75-100 7		any, Switzerland	500	[Guiding Values]	1300				1600	1800	1800	1800	2150	2150	2150	2450	2450	2800	2800	2800	2800	
Preference Pre			900	[Recommended Intake (ml/kg*24 h)]	75-100				75-100	65-80	65-80	65-80	65-80	55-70	55-70	55-70	55-70	45-60	45-60	45-60	45-60	2.5 U24 h
Freiland Freiland	France									10000											100	1ml/kca
Welverlands Ovez [Ackequate fritiske (milkg/24 h)] 6.5 [Ackeduate fritiske (milkg/24 h)] [Ackeduate fritiske (milkgal/1) 1.0	Ireland											9					-					
USA 032 [Recommended Delatay Allowance (m/kcal/1) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Netherlands		042	[Adequate Intake (ml/kg*24.h)]				83												l		
USA 052 [Recommended Dietary Allowance (m/kcal/") 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0	United Kingdo	E								Ī				-	-			_	H	Ħ		
Canada 037			062	[Recommended Dietary Allowance (ml/kcal)*]	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
			280	[Statement on water intake**]																		
									Ī	-	-			ŀ	ŀ		ŀ	-	-	-	Γ	

"For practical outposes
"Water intake, including that from food, is governed by thirst and should balance output
"Active G. Liong-term 10 Life