

with hemophilia. The factor concentrates used by the case patients in the US outbreak also were prepared using the S-D method of viral inactivation. Although this method inactivates enveloped viruses such as hepatitis B virus, hepatitis C virus, and HIV, nonenveloped viruses such as HAV and parvovirus B19 are resistant to inactivation by this method. Clotting factor concentrates manufactured by recombinant technology, which now are available, have not been shown to transmit infectious agents.

Officials of both the US Food and Drug Administration and the National Hemophilia Foundation renewed suggestions that hemophiliacs be tested for hepatitis A and that those who are susceptible be vaccinated. The CDC recommends that practitioners should consider vaccinating susceptible patients that receive clotting factor with the inactivated hepatitis A vaccine (HAVRIX R, SmithKline Beecham, Inc, Pittsburgh, PA) licensed in 1995. Additional information about this investigation of hepatitis A related to factor VIII or factor IX is available from the CDC's Hematologic Diseases Branch, and information about hepatitis A vaccine is available from CDC's Hepatitis Branch, Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, NCI, telephone (404) 639-3048.

FROM: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Hepatitis A among persons with hemophilia who received clotting factor concentrate—United States, September-December 1995. *MMWR* 1996;45(2):29-32; and Altman L. Hepatitis virus passed to hemophiliacs by clotting therapy. *New York Times* January 19, 1996.

Staphylococcus aureus Genome Mapped

Scientists at Human Genome Science, Inc, in Rockville, Maryland, recently reported the identification of a chemical sequence of 99% of the genome of *Staphylococcus aureus*. The company believes that knowledge of the full genetic sequence will assist with the development of vaccines.

One conventional method of making bacterial vaccines is to use killed bacteria to stimulate the body's immune system. The immune system then attacks major proteins on the bacterium's coat. However, these proteins often change to evade the immune attack. With the bacterium's full genome, scientists can seek out rare coat proteins that the bacterium cannot modify. This method already has been used to identify vaccine candidate proteins from *Haemophilus influenzae*, which was sequenced a year ago by Dr. Craig Venter and colleagues from the Institute for Genome Science, Gaithersburg, Maryland.

Dr. William Haseltine, Human Genome Science's chief executive, said the company would not release specific information about the sequence of the genome until it was patented. The sequence contains 2.8 million chemical units, coding for some 3,000 genes. Dr. Haseltine said it probably would be approximately 18 months before a patent was issued and the results of the study published.

Researchers also are developing a vaccine for toxic shock syndrome. Dr. Philippa Marrack and colleagues of the National Jewish Center for Immunology and Respiratory Medicine, Denver, Colorado, in collaboration with scientists at NeXtar Pharmaceuticals Inc, Boulder, Colorado, have prepared and tested a vaccine against the staphylococcal toxin that causes toxic shock syndrome. The researchers say that development costs for this vaccine could hit \$50 million before the drug is on the market. As a result, it may never become available to the public because of the cost.

FROM: Wade N. Company reports unlocking gene code of harmful germ. *New York Times* January 19, 1996, p A12.

Guidelines for Xenotransplants

An increasingly critical shortage of human donors has limited the availability and benefit of organ and tissue transplantation. This chronic shortage, combined with recent scientific and biotechnical advances, has resulted in new therapeutic approaches directed at using animal tissue in humans. Concerns have been raised about the use of xenogeneic tissues and organs for transplantation or perfusion, and the potential of both recognized zoonotic pathogens and unknown xenogeneic agents to infect individual human recipients and then spread within the human population.

Public health guidelines intended to minimize the risk for transmission of known pathogens through human-to-human transplantation do exist. Similar guidelines addressing the issue of infectious agents that may be associated with xenotransplantation are being developed by Public Health Service working groups at the CDC, FDA, and NIH. A provisional draft of these guidelines will be published in the *Federal Register* for public comment. Publication of a final version of these guidelines in *MMWR* is planned for spring 1996.

In a commentary in a recent issue of *Nature Medicine*, Jonathan Allen, a member of the FDA panel that considered the guidelines, voiced his concerns about the risk of infectious diseases related to baboon transplants. Allan notes that baboons carry viruses that can infect humans and argues that the animals should not be used as donors for humans. Allan argued that pigs should be the only outside species used for human transplants and that federal regulations should be strict, including licensing and inspections.

FROM: Chapman LE. Guidelines on the risk for transmission of infectious agents during xenotransplants. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 1995;1(4):156; and Allan J. Commentary: xenotransplantation at a crossroads: prevention versus progress *Nature Medicine* 1996;2(1):18.

Block Grants May Weaken State Public Health Programs

The Clinton Administration is seeking to consolidate several categorical programs into Performance Partnership Grants (PPGs). Three new PPGs would be created for CDC: for immunization; HIV/AIDS, STD, and TB; and

chronic disease prevention. PPGs are block grants that require states to set up their own priorities in relation to Healthy People 2000 objectives, to allocate federal funds accordingly, and to be accountable for progress toward those objectives.

Proponents of block grants argue that categorical grants force local programs into “one-size-fits-all” national models, slow innovation, and encourage narrowly defined programs. In a recent editorial in *The Nation's Health*, the newspaper of the American Public Health Association, E. Richard Brown cautions that “state politics will determine the funding, and some important programs will end up as political orphans.” Brown notes that “PPGs and other block grants will force public health agencies and community groups to fight each other for a share of the shrinking pie.” The concern is that many states have weak public health and health policy infrastructures, limited economic resources, and strong political groups opposed to effective public health approaches.

If block grants are enacted, Brown proposes that they should require states to maintain current levels of funding and categorical set-asides for essential programs, services, and populations. Most importantly, the block grants should require states to develop performance objectives with participation by local communities and health departments.

FROM: Brown ER. Block grants and the public's health. *The Nation's Health* January 1996; p 40.

FDA on Internet

The latest press releases, enforcement reports, summaries of *Federal Register* notices, and other vital information from the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) now are available on the World Wide Web at <http://www.fda.gov>. This Internet site offers more material, in a more user-friendly form, than the agency's electronic bulletin board, which had provided on-line information for more than a decade. The bulletin board was phased out at the end of 1995.

It is not necessary to subscribe to an Internet service provider to reach the FDA home page; the same free dial-up connection that was used to connect to the FDA bulletin board can be used: 1-800-222-0185. For detailed information on making that connection, request the “FDA on the Internet” information sheet from the Office of Health Affairs, Mailing Code HFY-1, FDA, 5600 Fishers Ln, Rockville, MD 20857.

FROM: Nightingale S. From the Food and Drug Administration. *JAMA* 1995;274(24):1903.

Managed Care and Public Health

The CDC recently published a report on “Prevention and Managed Care: Opportunities for Managed Care Organizations, Purchasers of Health Care, and Public

Health Agencies.” This report was developed by the Managed Care Working Group, formed by CDC in January 1995 to foster the incorporation of prevention into managed care. The report presents a summary of the systems for financing and delivering of health care in the United States, a review of the relationship between managed care and prevention, examples of the incorporation of prevention practices into managed care, and a list of the recommendations developed by the working group.

CDC has a key leadership role to play in fostering prevention in the private healthcare system. As one of the first steps in implementing the recommended activities of its Managed Care Working Group, CDC has designated a Managed Care Coordinator in the CDC Office of the Director. Those interested in more information about CDC's activities related to managed care and prevention may call the Managed Care Coordinator's office at (404) 639-4500.

FROM: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevention and managed care: opportunities for managed care organizations, purchasers of health care, and public health agencies. *MMWR* 1005;44:(No. RR-14).

Kentucky Guidelines on VRE

Kentucky's Department of Health Service recently developed one of the first state guidelines to address prevention and management of vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE). These guidelines discuss clinical criteria for vancomycin use, responsibilities of the microbiology laboratory, and management of patients in the acute-care setting. They also address the issue of transfer of patients with VRE to nursing homes and prohibit the practice of requiring three negative cultures prior to transfer. The cited rationale is that the practice of restricting transfer until culture negative only “encourages more antibiotic use and does not differentiate between colonized and infected patients, and keeps patients who are ready for long-term-care settings in the acute-care setting unnecessarily.”

The guidelines were developed by a working group comprised of representatives from the Kentucky Department of Services and healthcare facilities in Kentucky. A copy of the “Guidelines for Prevention and Management of Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci” may be obtained by writing to the Kentucky Department of Health Services, Division of Epidemiology, 275 E Main St, Frankfort, KY 40621, Attn: VRE Guidelines.

Additional news items in this issue: High Mortality for HIV Patients Following Cryptosporidiosis, page 164; TB Guideline Slides Available, page 182; Report on Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria, page 187; Conference on Reuse of Medical Devices, page 200.
