# ON EXPLICIT DECOMPOSITION FOR POSITIVE POLYNOMIALS ON [-1, +1$]$ WITH APPLICATIONS TO EXTREMAL PROBLEMS 

## R. PIERRE

1. Introduction. The following well known inequality was first proved by Bernstein [2].

Theorem A. If $p_{n}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$, such that $\left|p_{n}(x)\right| \leqq 1$ for $-1 \leqq x \leqq+1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqq n\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}, \quad-1<x<+1 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dominant $n\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ is best possible only at the zeros of the Tchebychev polynomial

$$
T_{n}(x)=\cos (n \operatorname{arc} \cos x),
$$

but the bound is precise at every interior point as far as the exponent of $n$ is concerned.

Theorem A was extended to the case of higher derivatives by Duffin and Schaeffer in [4]. In that paper they make extensive use of the oscillation property of the polynomial $T_{n}(x)$ and of the related function

$$
S_{n}(x)=\sin (n \arccos x) .
$$

The relationship between these two functions and the majorant $q(x) \equiv 1$ appearing in the hypothesis of Theorem A is best illustrated by the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\left(T_{n}(x)\right)^{2}+\left(S_{n}(x)\right)^{2}=\left(T_{n}(x)\right)^{2}+\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(T_{n}^{\prime}(x) / n\right)^{2} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

That such a decomposition plays an important role in Theorem A was recognized by Bernstein himself (see [3] ). This observation lead him to the following generalisation of Theorem A.

Theorem B. If $p_{n}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$, satisfying

$$
\left|p_{n}(x)\right| \leqq\left[M^{2}(x)+\left(1-x^{2}\right) N^{2}(x)\right]^{1 / 2} \text { for }-1 \leqq x \leqq+1
$$

where $M(x)$ and $N(x)$ are real polynomials of degree $l$ and $l-1$ respectively $(l \leqq n)$ such that $M(x)>0$ and $N(x)>0$ for $x>1$ and their zeros in $[-1,+1]$ alternate, then, for $x \in(-1,+1)$

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|p_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqq\{[(n-l) M(x)+x N(x)  \tag{3}\\
& \left.\left.\quad+\left(x^{2}-1\right) N^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}+\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left[(n-l) N(x)+M^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} .
\end{align*}
$$
\]

In this paper, we would like to develop a method to obtain an explicit decomposition of the type (2) for a polynomial $q(x)$ positive on $[-1,+1]$. This will enable us not only to reformulate Theorem B for a majorant of the form $\sqrt{q(x)}$ but also to obtain informations on $\max _{[-1,+1]}\left|p_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|$ in various cases.
2. An explicit decomposition for positive polynomials. Let $q(x)$ be a polynomial of degree $k$ such that $q(x)>0$ for $x \in[-1,+1]$. For every $n$ satisfying $2 n \geqq k$, there exist polynomials $\tau_{n}(x)$ and $v_{n-1}(x)$ of degree $n$ and $n-1$ respectively for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x)=\left(\tau_{n}(x)\right)^{2}+\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(v_{n-1}(x)\right)^{2} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover their zeros are all in $[-1,+1]$ and interlace. Results of that type have been obtained in various forms by Luckas (see [8]), Karlin and Shapley [5] and others, but the proofs are not constructive. Our proof will give an explicit formula for $\tau_{n}(x)$ in a form which will closely relate it to $T_{n}(x)$.

Let us first suppose that $q(x)$ is a perfect square, i.e., $q(x)=\left(q_{1}(x)\right)^{2}$ where $q_{1}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $j=k / 2 \leqq n$ having all its roots in $\mathbf{C} \backslash[-1,+1]$.

We begin with a heuristic remark. If the polynomials $\tau_{n}(x)$ and $q_{1}(x)$ are related by (4), there exist $(n+1)$ points $-1=x_{0}<x_{1}<\ldots<x_{n}=+1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q_{1}(x)\right)^{2}-\tau_{n}^{2}(x)=c \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2}\left(1-x^{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

while
(6) $\quad \tau_{n}^{\prime}(x) q_{1}(x)-\tau_{n}(x) q_{1}^{\prime}(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(x-x_{i}\right) r(x)$,
where $r(x)$ is of degree $j$ if $j<n$ and of degree at $\operatorname{most}(j-1)$ if $j=n$. Using (5) and (6) putting $y=\tau_{n} / q_{1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{1-y^{2}}=\frac{h_{1}^{2}(x)}{q_{1}^{2}(x)} \frac{1}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $h_{1}^{2}(x)=r^{2}(x) c^{-1}$. Obviously, if one was able to properly choose $h_{1}(x)$ in (7), one would obtain $\tau_{n}(x)$ through integration, namely

$$
\tau_{n}(x)= \pm q_{1}(x) \cos \left(\int_{1}^{x} \frac{h_{1}(t)}{q_{1}(t)} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}}\right)
$$

From now on, for every $u \in \mathbf{C} \backslash[-1,+1]$, we will denote by $\left(u^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}$ the determination of $\sqrt{u^{2}-1}$ for which $\left|u+\sqrt{u^{2}-1}\right|>1$ and denote by $\sqrt{x}$ the positive square root of a positive number.

Consideration of equation (5) for large $x$ and of equation (6) at a zero of $q_{1}(x)$ will lead to the following choice of $h_{1}(x)$, at least up to the sign of the second term (which will be justified later).
(8) $\quad h_{1}(x)=\sum_{s=1}^{l} m_{s}\left(z_{s}^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{q_{1}(x)}{x-z_{s}}-(n-j) q_{1}(x)$
where $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{l}$ are the zeros of $q_{1}(x)$ of multiplicity $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{l}$ respectively.
To proceed more formally, we need the following.
Lemma 1. If

$$
q_{1}(x)=\prod_{s=1}^{l}\left(x-z_{s}\right)^{m_{s}}
$$

is a polynomial of degree $j=\sum_{s=1}^{l} m_{s}$, positive on $[-1,+1]$, if $h_{1}(x)$ is aefined by (8) and $H_{1}(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}(x)=\int_{1}^{x} \frac{h_{1}(t)}{q_{1}(t)} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, for $x \in(-1,+1)$
(i) $H_{1}(x)=\sum_{s=1}^{l} m_{s} \delta_{s}(x)+(n-j) \operatorname{arc} \cos x$
where

$$
\delta_{s}(x)=2 \operatorname{Arctan}\left[\frac{\omega_{s}+1}{\omega_{s}-1} \sqrt{\frac{1-x}{1+x}}\right], \quad \omega_{s}=z_{s}+\left(z_{s}^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and $\operatorname{Arc} \tan (0)=0$.
(ii) $\cos \left(\sum_{s=1}^{l} m_{s} \delta_{s}(x)\right)=\frac{n_{j}(x)}{q_{1}(x)}$,

$$
\sin \left(\sum_{s=1}^{l} m_{s} \delta_{s}(x)\right)=\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} m_{j-1}(x)}{q_{1}(x)}
$$

where $n_{j}(x)$ and $m_{j-1}(x)$ are polynomials of degree $j$ and $j-1$ respectively.

Proof. (i) From (8) and (9), one readily obtains

$$
H_{1}(x)=\sum_{s=1}^{l} m_{s} \delta_{s}(x)+(n-j) \operatorname{arc} \cos x
$$

where
$(\dagger) \quad \delta_{s}(x)=\left(z_{s}^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2} \int_{1}^{x} \frac{d t}{\left(t-z_{s}\right) \sqrt{1-t^{2}}}$.
Under the changes of variables $t=\cos \theta$ and $u=\operatorname{tg} \frac{\theta}{2},(\dagger)$ is transformed into

$$
\delta_{s}(x)=-\left(z_{s}^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{\frac{1-x}{1+x}}} \frac{d u}{\left(1-z_{s}\right)-\left(1+z_{s}\right) u^{2}}
$$

Introducing

$$
z_{s}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\omega_{s}+\frac{1}{\omega_{s}}\right),\left|\omega_{s}\right|>1 \quad \text { and } \quad w_{s}=\frac{\omega_{s}+1}{\omega_{s}-1},
$$

we set

$$
u=\frac{1}{w_{s}} v,
$$

which, considering that $\operatorname{Re}\left(w_{s}\right)>0$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{s}(x) & =\int_{0}^{w_{s}} \sqrt{\frac{1-x}{1+x}} \frac{d v}{1+v^{2}} \\
& =2 \operatorname{Arctan}\left[\frac{\omega_{s}+1}{\omega_{s}-1} \sqrt{\frac{1-x}{1+x}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

with the proposed determination of arc $\tan z$.
(ii) Let us first compute $\cos \left(\delta_{1}(x)\right)$ and $\sin \left(\delta_{1}(x)\right)$. We get

$$
\cos \left(\delta_{1}(x)\right)=\frac{1-\operatorname{tg}^{2}\left(\frac{\delta_{1}(x)}{2}\right)}{1+\operatorname{tg}^{2}\left(\frac{\delta_{1}(x)}{2}\right)}=\frac{z_{1} x-1}{z_{1}-x}
$$

while

$$
\sin \left(\delta_{1}(x)\right)=\frac{2 \operatorname{tg}\left(\frac{\delta_{1}(x)}{2}\right)}{1+\operatorname{tg}^{2}\left(\frac{\delta_{1}(x)}{2}\right)}=\frac{\left(z_{1}^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2} \sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{\left(z_{1}-x\right)}
$$

Assertion (ii) now follows by induction on the number $j$ of zeros of $q_{1}(x)$.

We are now in position to state and prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 1. Let $q_{1}(x)$ be a polynomial positive on $[-1,+1]$. If $h_{1}(x)$ and $H_{1}(x)$ are defined by (8) and (9) respectively, then the functions

$$
\tau_{n}(x)=q_{1}(x) \cos (H(x)) \quad \text { and } \quad v_{n-1}(x)=\frac{q_{1}(x) \sin (H(x))}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}
$$

are real polynomials of degree $n$ and $(n-1)$ respectively satisfying the following properties:
a) $\left(q_{1}(x)\right)^{2}=\tau_{n}^{2}(x)+\left(1-x^{2}\right) v_{n-1}^{2}(x)$,
b) there exist $(2 n+1)$ points $-1=x_{0}<y_{1}<x_{1}<y_{2}<\ldots<y_{n}<$ $x_{n}=+1$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}\tau_{n}\left(x_{s}\right)=(-1)^{n-s} q_{1}\left(x_{s}\right), & s=0, \ldots, n \\ v_{n-1}\left(x_{s}\right)=0, & s=1, \ldots, n-1\end{cases}
$$

whereas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{n}\left(y_{s}\right)=0 \\
& v_{n-1}\left(y_{s}\right)=\frac{(-1)^{n-s} q_{1}\left(y_{s}\right)}{\sqrt{1-y_{s}^{2}}} \quad s=1, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1 that

$$
\tau_{n}(x)=n_{j}(x) T_{n-j}(x)-\left(1-x^{2}\right) m_{j-1}(x)\left(T_{n-j}^{\prime}(x) /(n-j)\right)
$$

and that

$$
v_{n-1}(x)=m_{j-1}(x) T_{n-j}(x)+n_{j}(x)\left(T_{n-j}^{\prime}(x) /(n-j)\right)
$$

which implies that $\tau_{n}(x)$ and $v_{n-1}(x)$ are polynomials. Now, since $q_{1}(x)$ is a real polynomial, its zeros are either real or conjugate. If $z_{l}=\bar{z}_{s}$ we will have

$$
\delta_{l}(x)=\overline{\delta_{s}(x)}
$$

hence $H_{1}(x)$ is real for $x \in[-1,+1]$ and so are $\tau_{n}(x)$ and $v_{n-1}(x)$.
Since $\tau_{n}(x)$ and $v_{n-1}(x)$ obviously verify a), it is enough to complete the
proof, to show that $H_{1}(x)$ is a strictly decreasing function on $[-1,+1]$, such that $H_{1}(-1)=n \pi$ and $H_{1}(1)=0$. Studying the sign of

$$
\sqrt{1-x^{2}} H_{1}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{h_{1}(x)}{q_{1}(x)}=\sum_{s=1}^{l} \frac{m_{s}\left(z_{s}^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}}{\left(x-z_{s}\right)}-(n-j)
$$

we first observe that, for real $z_{s}$, our choice of the determination of $\sqrt{z_{s}^{2}-1}$ implies that

$$
z_{s}\left(z_{s}^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}>0
$$

and thus, that

$$
\frac{\left(z_{s}^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}}{x-z_{s}}<0 \quad \text { for } x \in[-1,+1]
$$

On the other hand, if $z_{s}$ is a complex zero, so is $\overline{\bar{z}}_{s}$; setting

$$
z_{s}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\omega+\frac{1}{\omega}\right) \quad \text { where } \omega=\mathrm{re}^{i \theta}, r>1
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left(z_{s}^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}}{x-z_{s}}+\frac{\left(\bar{z}_{s}^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}}{x-\bar{z}_{s}}= & \\
& \frac{\left(r-r^{-1}\right)\left[(\cos \theta) x-2^{-1}\left(r+r^{-1}\right)\right]}{|x-z|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is strictly negative for $x \in[-1,+1]$. This implies that $H_{1}^{\prime}(x)<0$ on $[-1,+1]$, hence that $H_{1}(x)$ decreases on that segment. That $H_{1}(1)=0$ is obvious, whereas the fact $H_{1}(-1)=n \pi$ follows directly from Lemma 1 (i) since

$$
\delta_{s}(-1)=2 \lim _{x \rightarrow-1^{+}} \operatorname{Arctan}\left[\frac{\omega_{s}+1}{\omega_{s}-1} \sqrt{\frac{1-x}{1+x}}\right]=\pi
$$

To obtain the decomposition (4) in the case where $q(x)$ is not a perfect square, it is enough to prove the following corollary.

Corollary 1. Let

$$
q(x)=c \prod_{s=1}^{l}\left(z-z_{s}\right)^{m_{s}}
$$

be a polynomial of dègree $k=\sum_{s=1}^{l} m_{s}$ positive on $[-1,+1]$. Let $h(x)$ and $H(x)$ be defined by
(10) $h(x)=\sum_{s=1}^{l} m_{s}\left(z_{s}^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{q(x)}{x-z_{s}}-(2 n-k) q(x)$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=\int_{1}^{x} \frac{h(t)}{q(t)} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for each $n$, such that, $2 n \geqq k$, the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{n}(x)=\sqrt{q(x)} \cos \left(\frac{1}{2} H(x)\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n-1}(x)=\sqrt{q(x)} \frac{\sin \left(\frac{1}{2} H(x)\right)}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

are real polynomials of degree $n$ and $(n-1)$ respectively all of whose zeros lie in $[-1,+1]$ and separate one another.

Proof. Let

$$
t_{2 n}(x)=q(x) \cos (H(x))
$$

since $H(-1)=2 n \pi$, the polynomial $2^{-1}\left(t_{2 n}(x)+q(x)\right)$ has only double zeros in $(-1,+1)$. Theorem 1 implies that there are $n$ such zeros hence this polynomial is a perfect square. This implies that there exists a polynomial $r_{n}(x)$ such that

$$
r_{n}^{2}(x)=2^{-1}\left(t_{2 n}(x)+q(x)\right)=\tau_{n}^{2}(x)
$$

If we choose $r_{n}(x)$ such that $r_{n}(-1)=\tau_{n}(-1)$, we will have

$$
r_{n}(x) \equiv \tau_{n}(x)
$$

Considering $2^{-1}\left(q(x)-t_{2 n}(x)\right)$ we argue similarly for $v_{n-1}(x)$.
The last statement follows directly from the fact that as $x$ increases from -1 to $+1, H(x)$ decreases from $2 n \pi$ to 0 .
It should be clear that the same device will give an explicit decomposition for positive polynomials on $[-1,+1]$ as a sum of non-negative polynomials of odd degree. For example, if $q(x)$ is a positive polynomial of degree $2 n-1$ then

$$
q(x)=(1+x)\left(t_{n-1}(x)\right)^{2}+(1-x)\left(s_{n-1}(x)\right)^{2}
$$

where

$$
t_{n-1}(x)=\sqrt{q(x)} \cos \left(\frac{1_{2}^{2 n}}{2} \sum_{1}^{-1} \delta_{i}(x)\right)(\sqrt{1+x})^{-1} \text { and }
$$

$$
s_{n-1}(x)=\sqrt{q(x)} \sin \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1}^{2 n-1} \delta_{i}(x)\right)(\sqrt{1-x})^{-1}
$$

We will content ourselves with this remark and use only the representation (4) in what follows.
3. Pointwise bound. Let $q(x), \tau_{n}(x)$ be as in Corollary 1 and $s_{n}(x)$ be defined by
(14) $\quad s_{n}(x)=\sqrt{q(x)} \sin \left(\frac{1}{2} H(x)\right)$.

If $t$ is an arbitrary real number, we wish to estimate $\left|p_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|$ where $p_{n}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $n \geqq k / 2$ satisfying
(15) $\left|p_{n}(x)\right| \leqq \sqrt{q(x)}$ for $x \in[-1,+1]$.

Using the notation of Theorem 1, set

$$
\omega(x)=\prod_{s=0}^{n}\left(x-x_{s}\right)=c \sqrt{1-x^{2}} s_{n}(x)
$$

and

$$
\omega_{l}(x)=\frac{\omega(x)}{x-x_{l}} \quad \text { for } l=0, \ldots, n .
$$

If $\xi_{1} \leqq \xi_{2} \leqq \ldots \leqq \xi_{n-1}$ and $\eta_{1} \leqq \eta_{2} \leqq \ldots \leqq \eta_{n-1}$ denote the roots of

$$
\omega_{n}^{\prime}(x)=0, \omega_{0}^{\prime}(x)=0
$$

respectively, then, applying Theorem 1 of [7], we see that the inequality (16) $\left|p_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqq\left|\tau_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|$
is valid for every $t$ lying outside the interval $\left(\xi_{1}, \eta_{n-1}\right)$.
The case $t \in\left(\xi_{1}, \eta_{n-1}\right)$ is covered by Theorem B. Our proof will depend on the following

Lemma 2. Let $q(x), \tau_{n}(x)$ and $s_{n}(x)$ be as above, then, the trigonometric polynomial of order $n$

$$
\tau_{n}(\cos \theta)+i s_{n}(\cos \theta)=\sqrt{q(\cos \theta)} \exp \left(i\left(\frac{H(\cos \theta)}{2}\right)\right)
$$

has all its roots in $\operatorname{Im}(\theta) \geqq 0$.
Proof. If $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}$ denote the $k$ zeros of $q(x)$ and

$$
\omega_{s}=z_{s}+\left(z_{s}^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad s=1, \ldots, k,
$$

then

$$
e^{i \delta_{s}(\cos \theta)}=\frac{-e^{-i \theta}}{2 \omega_{s}\left(\cos \theta-z_{s}\right)}\left[e^{i \theta} \omega_{s}-1\right]^{2}
$$

from which one readily deduces that

$$
\tau_{n}(\cos \theta)+i s_{n}(\cos \theta)=c e^{i(n-k) \theta} \prod_{1}^{k}\left(e^{i \theta} \omega_{s}-1\right)
$$

where $c$ is constant. The lemma now follows from the fact that $\left|\omega_{\mathrm{s}}\right|>1$ for $s=1, \ldots, k$.

We can now reformulate Theorem B.
Theorem 2. If $p_{n}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $n \geqq k / 2$ satisfying (15), then, for each $t \in(-1,+1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} \leqq\left(\tau_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}+\left(s_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{n}(x)$ and $s_{n}(x)$ are given by (12) and (14) respectively.
Proof. By definition of $\tau_{n}$ and $s_{n}$, setting $x=\cos \theta$, we can put (15) under the form

$$
\left|p_{n}(\cos \theta)\right| \leqq\left|\tau_{n}(\cos \theta)+i s_{n}(\cos \theta)\right|
$$

Using Lemma 2, we see that the hypotheses of Levin's theorem, (see [1], p. 226) are satisfied, so that, for every real $\theta$, we have

$$
\left|\sin \theta p_{n}^{\prime}(\cos \theta)\right| \leqq\left|\sin \theta\left(\tau_{n}^{\prime}(\cos \theta)+i s_{n}^{\prime}(\cos \theta)\right)\right|
$$

which is the desired inequality.
We first remark that, in the case where $\sqrt{q(x)}$ is of the form

$$
\left|\tau_{l}(x)+i s_{l}(x)\right|, \quad l \leqq n
$$

the functions $\tau_{n}(\cos \theta), s_{n}(\cos \theta), \tau_{l}(\cos \theta)$ and $s_{l}(\cos \theta)$ are related by

$$
\tau_{n}(\cos \theta)+i s_{n}(\cos \theta)=e^{+i(n-l) \theta}\left(\tau_{l}(\cos \theta)+i s_{l}(\cos \theta)\right)
$$

Differentiating both sides with respect to $\theta$ and putting $x=\cos \theta$ we see that, in this case, the right hand side of (17) can be written

$$
\left(-\sqrt{1-x^{2}} s_{l}^{\prime}(x)+(n-l) \tau_{l}(x)\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{(n-l) s_{l}(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}+\tau_{l}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2} .
$$

A comparison with the right hand side of (3) will show that Theorem 2 includes Theorem B completely.

Secondly, it should be noted that, while inequality (16) is best possible at every point, inequality (17) is best possible only at the zeros of $s_{n}^{\prime}(t)$. Exact estimates for $t \in(-1,+1)$ would require a much deeper study in
the spirit of [6]. We will not need to do that and conclude this section with the following corollary which combines the inequalities (16) and (17) in a form that is useful in the next one.

Corollary 2. Let $a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{n}$ denote the roots of $s_{n}^{\prime}(x)$. If $p_{n}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $n \geqq k / 2$ satisfying (15), then

$$
\left(p_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} \leq \begin{array}{ll}
\left(\tau_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} & \text { for } t \notin\left[a_{1}, a_{n}\right] \\
\frac{1}{4 q(t)}\left\{\left(q^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}+\frac{h^{2}(t)}{1-t^{2}}\right\} & \text { for } t \in\left[a_{1}, a_{n}\right] \tag{18}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. The second part of the inequality can be verified directly by computing the right-hand side of (17). The first one will be a consequence of (16) if we show that $a_{1} \leqq \xi_{1}$ and $a_{n} \geqq \eta_{n-1}$. We verify the first inequality, the second one is obtained mutatis mutandis.

By definition of $\omega_{n}(x)$, we have

$$
\omega_{n}^{\prime}(x)=\left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left[\frac{1}{(1-x)^{2}} s_{n}(x)+\left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}\right) s_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right]
$$

and thus,

$$
\operatorname{sign}\left(\omega_{n}^{\prime}\left(a_{1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(s_{n}\left(a_{1}\right)\right) .
$$

On the other hand, using the fact that $H(x)$ decreases from $2 n \pi$ as $x$ increases from -1 , we see that for small positive $\epsilon$,

$$
\operatorname{sign}\left(s_{n}(-1+\epsilon)\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(s_{n}^{\prime}(-1+\epsilon)\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(\omega_{n}^{\prime}\left(a_{1}\right)\right) .
$$

It follows from there that

$$
\operatorname{sign}\left(\omega_{n}^{\prime}\left(a_{1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(\omega_{n}^{\prime}(-1+\epsilon)\right),
$$

which implies $\xi_{1} \geqq a_{1}$.
4. Glowal bounds. The use of inequality (1) to get an estimate for

$$
M=\sup \left\{\max _{[-1,+1]}\left|p_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|| | p_{n}(x) \mid \leqq 1 \text { for } x \in[-1,+1]\right\}
$$

is classical (see [4] ). It amounts essentially to proving that if $q(t) \equiv 1$, the right hand side of inequality (18) defines an increasing function on $(0,1)$, so that

$$
M=\left|T_{n}^{\prime}(1)\right|=n^{2} .
$$

Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{q}(t)=\frac{1}{4 q(t)}\left\{\left(q^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}+\frac{h^{2}(t)}{1-t^{2}}\right\} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

we would like to study the behaviour of the function $M_{q}(t)$ for two different types of majorant $q(t)$. It will turn out that this behaviour is greatly influenced by the nearness of the zeros of $q(t)$ to the end points of $[-1,+1]$.

$$
\text { A. } \quad q(t)=\left(\beta^{2}-t^{2}\right)^{k}
$$

Here $\beta$ is a real number greater than 1 and $k$ an integer. As pointed out by Videnskii in [10], this case is of interest in view of the work of Dzyadyk on the approximation of functions in the $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}$ class. Videnskii himself studied this question in the case $k=1$. Let us suppose that $k \geqq 2$. According to (10) we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x)=-2\left(\beta^{2}-x^{2}\right)^{k-1}\left[k \beta \sqrt{\beta^{2}-1}+(n-k)\left(\beta^{2}-x^{2}\right)\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which upon substitution in (19) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{q}(t)=\frac{\left(\beta^{2}-t^{2}\right)^{k-2}}{\left(1-t^{2}\right)}\left\{B+C t^{2}+D t^{4}\right\} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=\left[k \beta \sqrt{\beta^{2}-1}+\beta^{2}(n-k)\right]^{2} \geqq 0 \\
& C=-\left[2(n-k)^{2} \beta^{2}+2 k \beta \sqrt{\beta^{2}-1}(n-k)-k^{2}\right] \leqq 0 \\
& D=n(n-2 k) \geqq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last two inequalities are valid if $n \geqq 2 k$ which we now suppose to be true. Differentiating (21), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{q}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{\left(\beta^{2}-t^{2}\right)^{k-3}}{\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{2}} 2 t\left\{H+G t^{2}+I t^{4}+K t^{6}\right\} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=(C+B) \beta^{2}-B(k-2) \\
& G=2 D \beta^{2}-C(k-1)+B(k-3) \\
& I=-D \beta^{2}+C(k-2)-D k \\
& K=(k-1) D
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
A(t)=H+G t+I t^{2}+K t^{3}
$$

If $k=2, A\left(\beta^{2}\right)=0$, hence the numerator in (22) reduces to

$$
\left(\beta^{2}-t^{2}\right)^{k-3} 2 t A\left(t^{2}\right)=2 t\left(-D t^{4}+2 D t^{2}+(B+C)\right)
$$

The graph of the function $y=-D t^{2}+2 D t+(B+C)$ being a concave parabola with vertex at $t=+1$ we see that, for $k=2, M_{q}^{\prime}(t)$ is increasing on $(0,1)$. For $k>2$, we consider

$$
A^{\prime}(t)=A^{\prime}(1)+A^{\prime \prime}(1)(t-1)+\frac{A^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)}{2}(t-1)^{2}
$$

Now

$$
A^{\prime}(1)=G+2 I+3 K=(k-3)(B+C+D) \geqq 0,
$$

while

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{A^{\prime \prime}(1)}{2} & =I+3 K=-\left(\beta^{2}-1\right)\left[n(n-2 k)+2(k-2)(n-k)^{2}\right] \\
& -2 \beta k \sqrt{\beta^{2}-1}(n-k)(k-2)-k^{2}(k-2)
\end{aligned}
$$

is negative and

$$
\frac{A^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)}{6}=K \geqq 0 .
$$

Thus $A^{\prime}(t)$ is positive on $(0,1)$ which implies that $A(t)$ is increasing on that interval.

This leads us to the following generalization of Videnskii's result [10].
Theorem 3. Let $p_{n}(x)$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geqq 2 k$ satisfying

$$
\left|p_{n}(x)\right| \leqq\left(\beta^{2}-x^{2}\right)^{k / 2}
$$

where $\beta \geqq 1$ and $k \geqq 2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{[-1,+1]}\left|p_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqq \max \left(\sqrt{M_{q}(0)},\left|\tau_{n}^{\prime}(1)\right|\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{q}(t)$ is given by (21) and $\tau_{n}(x)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{n}(x) & =\left(\beta^{2}-x^{2}\right)^{k / 2} \cos \left(-\int_{1}^{x} \frac{k \beta \sqrt{\beta^{2}-1} d t}{\left(\beta^{2}-t^{2}\right) \sqrt{1-t^{2}}}\right. \\
& +(n-k) \arccos x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let us first suppose that $\beta>1$. Since for $t \in(-1,+1)$

$$
\tau_{n}(t) s_{n}^{\prime}(t)-s_{n}(t) \tau_{n}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{h(t)}{2 \sqrt{1-t^{2}}}<0
$$

we can use Rolle's theorem to conclude that the zeros of $s_{n}^{\prime}(t)$ and $\tau_{n}^{\prime}(t)$ separate each other, hence that $\tau_{n}^{\prime}(t)$ is increasing on the interval $\left(a_{n}, 1\right)$.

Using $A(1)=(B+C+D)\left(\beta^{2}-1\right)>0$, we obtain

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+1^{-}} M_{q}^{\prime}(t)=+\infty
$$

In view of the preceding discussion, we see that $M_{q}(t)$ has at most one local extremum in $(0,1)$ which will then be a minimum. Inequality (23) now follows from Corollary 2.

In the case $\beta=1$,

$$
M_{q}(t)=\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{k-2}\left\{(n-k)^{2}\left(1-t^{2}\right)+k^{2} t^{2}\right\}
$$

is a decreasing function. By continuity, the inequality

$$
\left|p_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqq \sqrt{M_{q}(t)}
$$

which is valid for $t \in(-1,+1)$ is also valid at the end points, hence

$$
\max _{[-1,+1]}\left|p_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqq \max _{[-1,+1]} \sqrt{M_{q}(t)}=\sqrt{M_{q}(0)}=(n-k) .
$$

From (21) and the definition of $\tau_{n}(x)$ we obtain, using some elementary computation, that

$$
\sqrt{M_{q}(0)}=\beta^{k-2}\left[k \beta \sqrt{\beta^{2}-1}+\beta^{2}(n-k)\right]
$$

while

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{n}^{\prime}(1) & =\left\{-k\left(\beta^{2}-1\right)^{k / 2-1}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\beta^{2}-1\right)^{k / 2}\left(\frac{\beta k \sqrt{\beta^{2}-1}}{1-\beta^{2}}-(n-k)\right)^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\lim _{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\tau_{n}^{\prime}(1)\right|}{\sqrt{M_{q}(0)}}=n
$$

whence $\sqrt{M_{q}(0)}<\left|\tau_{n}^{\prime}(1)\right|$ if $\beta$ is big enough. Obviously, if this inequality is true (23) is best possible.

On the other hand, since for $\beta=1, \tau_{n}^{\prime}(1)=0$, we see that, if $\beta$ is small, the inequality $\sqrt{M_{q}(0)} \geqq\left|\tau_{n}^{\prime}(1)\right|$ is valid. If so, (23) is again best possible when $n$ is odd, but not when $n$ is even. The study of this last case would require a more precise local estimate than the one provided by Corollary 2.
B. $\quad q(t)=\left(q_{1}(t)\right)^{2}$, where $q_{1}(t) \neq 0$ if $\operatorname{Re}\left(t^{2}\right)>0$.

In this second case, we suppose that the majorant $\sqrt{q(t)}$ is an even real polynomial $q_{1}(t)$ of degree $j$ which has no zero in

$$
\operatorname{Arg}(t) \in\left(\frac{-\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \cup\left(\frac{3 \pi}{4}, \frac{5 \pi}{4}\right)
$$

A subclass of this class of majorants has been studied by Videnskii in [9] where he supposed that all the zeros of $q(t)$ are purely imaginary.

Here again, we would like to show that the function

$$
M_{q}(t)=\left(q_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}+\frac{h_{l}^{2}(t)}{\left(1-t^{2}\right)}
$$

is increasing on $(0,1)$. For this, we verify that $\left(q_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}$ and $h_{1}^{2}(t)$ are polynomials with positive coefficients.

Let $R_{1}$ be the set of zeros of $q_{1}(t)$ lying in $\operatorname{Arg}(t) \in\left[\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ and $R_{2}$ be the set of zeros of $q_{1}(t)$ lying on the positive imaginary axis. In view of the conditions imposed on $q_{1}(t)$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}(t)=C \prod_{z \in R_{1}}\left|t^{2}-z^{2}\right|^{2} \prod_{z \in R_{2}}\left(t^{2}-z^{2}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, in both products, each factor has positive coefficients and so the same is true of $\left(q_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}$.

From (8) and (24), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{1}(t) & =q_{1}(t)\left\{2 \sum_{z \in R_{1}} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z\left(z^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}}{t^{2}-z^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{z \in R_{2}} \frac{z\left(z^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}}{t^{2}-z^{2}}-(n-j)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $z \in R_{2}$, there exists a positive $\alpha$ such that $z=i \alpha$, hence

$$
z\left(z^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}=-\alpha \sqrt{\alpha^{2}+1} \leqq 0
$$

On the other hand if $z \in R_{1}$, set

$$
z=\frac{1}{2}\left(\omega+\frac{1}{\omega}\right)
$$

where $\omega=r e^{i \theta}, r>1$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z\left(z^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}}{t^{2}-z^{2}}\right)=\frac{\left(r^{4}-1\right)}{4 r^{2}\left|t^{2}-z^{2}\right|^{2}}\left\{(\cos 2 \theta) t^{2}-|z|^{2}\right\}
$$

In order to verify that $h_{1}^{2}(t)$ has positive coefficients it is enough to check that $\cos 2 \theta \leqq 0$ which follows from the fact that $\operatorname{Re}\left(z^{2}\right) \leqq 0$.

In view of the above discussion, we can conclude that $M_{q}(t)$ is increasing. The next result now follows from Corollary 2.

Theorem 4. Let $q_{1}(x)$ be an even polynomial of degree $j$ with real coefficients, which has no zero in $\operatorname{Arg}(t) \in\left(-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \cup\left(\frac{3 \pi}{4}, \frac{5 \pi}{4}\right)$.

Let $h_{1}(x)$ and $H_{1}(x)$ be defined by (8) and (9) respectively. If $p_{n}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $n \geqq j$ satisfying $\left|p_{n}(x)\right| \leqq\left|q_{1}(x)\right|$ for $x \in[-1,+1]$, then

$$
\max \left|p_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqq\left|\tau_{n}^{\prime}(1)\right|=q_{1}^{\prime}(1)+\frac{h_{1}^{2}(1)}{q_{1}(1)}
$$

where

$$
\tau_{n}(x)=q_{1}(x) \cos \left(H_{1}(x)\right)
$$

In conclusion we remark that, as suggested by cases $A$ and $B$, it would be interesting to determine the class of polynomials $q(t)$ for which the function $M_{q}(t)$ is increasing. Would this, for example be true under the condition that $q(t)$ has positive coefficients? If the answer was yes, it could lead to interesting asymptotic results for majorants

$$
\phi(t)=\sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{n} t^{n} \quad \text { where } a_{n} \geqq 0
$$

and, in particular, for incomplete polynomials.
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