

Rumen simulation technique study on the interactions of dietary lauric and myristic acid supplementation in suppressing ruminal methanogenesis

Carla R. Soliva^{1*}, Leo Meile², Adam Cieślak^{1,3}, Michael Kreuzer¹ and Andrea Machmüller^{1†}

¹*Institute of Animal Science, Animal Nutrition and*

²*Institute of Food Science and Nutrition, Laboratory of Food Biotechnology, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich, ETH Zentrum/LFW, CH-8092 Zurich, Switzerland*

³*Department of Animal Nutrition and Feed Management, Agricultural University of Poznań, ul. Wolynska 33, 60-637 Poznań, Poland*

(Received 27 November 2003 – Revised 8 June 2004 – Accepted 29 June 2004)

The interactions of lauric (C₁₂) and myristic acid (C₁₄) in suppressing ruminal methanogenesis and methanogens were investigated with the rumen simulation technique (Rusitec) using bovine ruminal fluid. The fatty acids were added to basal substrates (grass hay:concentrate, 1:1.5) at a level of 48 g/kg DM, provided in C₁₂:C₁₄ ratios of 5:0, 4:1, 3:2, 2.5:2.5, 2:3, 1:4 and 0:5. Additionally, an unsupplemented control consisting of the basal substrates only was employed. Incubation periods lasted for 15 (*n* 4) and 25 (*n* 2) d. CH₄ formation was depressed by any fatty acid mixture containing at least 40% C₁₂, and effects persisted over the complete incubation periods. The greatest depression (70% relative to control) occurred with a C₁₂:C₁₄ ratio of 4:1, whereas the second most effective treatment in suppressing CH₄ production (60% relative to control) was found with a ratio of 3:2. Total methanogenic counts were decreased by those mixtures of C₁₂ and C₁₄ also successful in suppressing methanogenesis, the 4:1 treatment being most efficient (60% decline). With this treatment in particular, the composition of the methanogenic population was altered in such a way that the proportion of *Methanococcales* increased and *Methanobacteriales* decreased. Initially, CH₄ suppression was associated with a decreased fibre degradation, which, however, was reversed after 10 d of incubation. The present study demonstrated a clear synergistic effect of mixtures of C₁₂ and C₁₄ in suppressing methanogenesis, mediated probably by direct inhibitory effects of the fatty acids on the methanogens.

Methane: Medium-chain fatty acids: Lipids: Rusitec

CH₄ is the second most problematic greenhouse gas (Wuebbles & Hayhoe, 2002) and ruminant livestock are responsible for about 25% of the total anthropogenic emission of CH₄ (Khalil, 2000). Livestock generate CH₄ via methanogenic archaea, which inhabit the rumen, i.e. microbes that utilise H₂ in order to reduce CO₂ (Miller, 1995). Fatty acids have been known to have antimicrobial properties against bacteria, yeasts, tumor cells and viruses for many decades (for example, Ababouch *et al.* 1992) and the use of dietary fats seems to be an effective method for suppressing ruminal methanogenesis (for example, Jouany, 1994). Among the saturated fatty acids, medium-chain fatty acids (MCFA) have been demonstrated to have the greatest inhibitory effect on ruminal methanogenesis (Blaxter & Czerkawski, 1966; Dohme *et al.* 2001a) and ruminal methanogens (Henderson, 1973). In a short-term *in vitro* study, Soliva *et al.* (2003b) showed the efficacy

of lauric acid (C₁₂) in that respect, while myristic acid (C₁₄) decreased total counts of archaea but did not alter CH₄ formation. However, methanogenesis was decreased with similar dietary proportions of C₁₄ *in vitro* with the rumen simulation technique (Rusitec) system (46 g C₁₄/kg DM; Dohme *et al.* 2001a) as well as *in vivo* (50 g C₁₄/kg DM; Machmüller *et al.* 2003). Soliva *et al.* (2003b) showed that within 24 h of incubation some mixtures of C₁₂ and C₁₄ decreased CH₄ formation to almost zero as did the supplementation of C₁₂ alone, indicating a synergistic effect between C₁₂ and C₁₄. Koster & Cramer (1987) noted that mixtures of non-esterified C₁₂ and C₁₄, when added to granular sludge in batch-culture studies, could be even more efficient in suppressing CH₄ production than when given alone. A major role of C₁₄ in inhibiting ruminal methanogenesis could therefore be its synergism with C₁₂, which would explain the known

Abbreviations: MCFA, medium-chain fatty acids; NDF, neutral-detergent fibre; OM, organic matter; Rusitec, rumen simulation technique; VFA, volatile fatty acids.

* **Corresponding author:** Dr Carla R. Soliva, fax +41 1 632 1128, email carla.soliva@inw.agrl.ethz.ch

† **Present address:** AgResearch Ltd., Grasslands Research Centre, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

efficacy of various feeds containing mixtures of C₁₂ and C₁₄ (Dohme *et al.* 2000).

The aim of the present investigation was to test, using the Rusitec (Czerkawski & Breckenridge, 1977), whether synergism of C₁₂ and C₁₄ in suppressing ruminal methanogenesis and methanogens occurs when supplemented to a mixture of grass hay and concentrate. In contrast to previous Rusitec studies, extended fermentation periods of up to 25 d were chosen. This should allow the development of possible adaptation processes in ruminal fermentation and methanogenesis. Furthermore, the still unclear relationship between the level of ruminal CH₄ formation and the numbers of total archaea (Soliva *et al.* 2003a) was investigated in order to deepen our understanding of the CH₄-suppressing effect of MCFA.

Materials and methods

Experimental protocol: medium-chain fatty acid mixtures and time periods

The experiment was carried out with an eight-fermenter Rusitec system, modified as described by Machmüller *et al.* (2002). Seven mixtures with C₁₂:C₁₄ ratios of 5:0, 2:1, 3:2, 2.5:2.5, 2:3, 1:4 and 0:5 were supplemented at 0.7 g/d (DM basis) to basal substrates and compared with the unsupplemented basal substrates (Table 1). The purity of the fatty acids was 97% (Fluka Chemie AG, Buchs, Switzerland). The basal substrates consisted of grass hay and concentrate in a 1:1.5 ratio and were added in portions of 14 g DM/d. In order to simulate the chewing activity of the ruminant, the grass hay was minced in a regular food mixer (Moulinette® S; GROUP Moulinex, Paris, France). Barley and soyabean meal, which represented the concentrate, were ground to a diameter of 1 mm. Each dietary treatment was tested in four replicates in subsequent experimental periods lasting for 15 d each (*n* 4). The first 5 d were considered the minimal period necessary to obtain steady-state conditions in the Rusitec system (Czerkawski & Breckenridge, 1977). The following 10 d

(days 6 to 15) were split into two measurement periods for later statistical calculations. The first sub-period (P1; days 6 to 10) complied with the incubation period applied in former Rusitec experiments (for example, Dohme *et al.* 1999; Abel *et al.* 2002; Machmüller *et al.* 2002). The second sub-period (P2; days 11 to 15) was a prolongation of the regularly conducted incubation period. P2 not only served as a control for the repeatability of the results but also tested whether adaptation processes to the experimental treatments took place and whether fermentation in the *in vitro* Rusitec system could be well maintained over a longer period of time. For the latter purpose, two of the four experimental runs were prolonged up to 25 d during which pH, NH₃, bacterial and protozoal counts, volatile fatty acids (VFA) and fermentation gases were monitored.

Rusitec fermenter set-up

At the beginning of each experimental run the fermenters (1 litre volume each) were filled with 100 ml pre-warmed buffer solution (artificial saliva; Czerkawski & Breckenridge, 1977) and 900 ml fermenter fluid. Ruminal fluid was collected from a rumen-fistulated, non-lactating Brown Swiss cow fed a diet consisting of grass hay and concentrate in a ratio of 1.5:1.0. Before incubation, the ruminal fluid was strained through four layers of gauze. On the first day of each experimental run two nylon bags, one filled with solid ruminal content, the other filled with the respective dietary treatment, were put into each fermenter. The nylon bags (70 × 140 mm) had a pore size of 100 µm as was recommended by Carro *et al.* (1995). After 24 h of incubation the system was opened and the bag containing solid ruminal content was replaced by another bag containing the specific dietary treatment. Afterwards, each nylon bag was incubated in the fermenter for 48 h. Anaerobic conditions were re-established in the fermenters by rinsing the system with gaseous N₂ for 3 min (3 litres/min) after the daily supply of substrate was completed. The buffer flow rate was kept at 500 ml/d.

Sampling procedures and analyses

Samples of fermenter fluid were analysed for pH, NH₃ and redox potential (to monitor the anaerobic conditions) daily with the respective electrodes connected to a pH meter data-processing unit (model 713; Metrohm, Herisau, Switzerland). For the determination of VFA, 1.8 ml of the fermenter fluid samples was stabilised with 0.2 ml of a 46 mM-HgCl₂ solution and frozen until analysis by GC (GC Star 3400 CX; Varian, Sugarland, TX, USA) as outlined by Tangerman & Nagengast (1996). Counts of ciliate protozoa (entodiniomorphs and holotrichs) and bacteria were obtained daily with Bürker counting chambers (0.1 mm and 0.02 mm depth, respectively; Blau Brand®, Wertheim, Germany). Fermentation gases were collected over 24 h in gas-tight bags (TECOBAG 81; Tesseraux Container GmbH, Bürstadt, Germany) connected to the fermenters. The fermentation gases were collected completely by flushing the Rusitec system with gaseous N₂ before uncoupling the bags from the fermenters. Fermentation gases were analysed for the concentrations of CH₄, H₂

Table 1. Composition of the dietary substrates

Basal diet...	Control	MCFA
Supply per fermenter (g DM/d)		
Grass hay	5.73	5.73
Concentrate	8.17	8.17
Barley	5.72	5.72
Soyabean meal	2.45	2.45
Mineral–vitamin premix*	0.07	0.07
Calcium carbonate	0.03	0.03
Lauric and myristic acid	–	0.70
Total DM supply	14.00	14.70
Analysed nutrient composition (g/kg DM)		
Organic matter	947	949
Diethyl ether extract	16	61
Crude protein	205	196
NDF	276	263
Non-NDF carbohydrates	450	430

MCFA, medium-chain fatty acids; NDF, neutral-detergent fibre.

* Contained (per kg): Ca, 140 g; P, 70 g; Na, 80 g; Mg, 30 g; Se, 15 mg; vitamin A, 150 mg; vitamin D₃, 3 mg; vitamin E, 1.67 g.

and CO₂ by a Hewlett Packard gas chromatograph (model 5890 Series II; Avondale, PA, USA) equipped with a flame ionisation detector, a thermal conductivity detector and a Carboxen-1000 column (mesh size 60/80; Fluka Chemie AG). The volume of the fermentation gases collected was quantified by pressing the gas into a closed tube filled with water and measuring the amount of water displaced. Substrates and substrate residues after 48 h of incubation were lyophilised and analysed for the amounts of DM, total ash, diethyl ether extract (only the substrates) and N (Dumas method, Leco-Analyser Type FP-2000; Leco Corporation, St Joseph, MI, USA), following standard procedures (Naumann & Bassler, 1997). Crude protein was calculated as $6.25 \times N$. Contents of neutral-detergent fibre (NDF) were analysed after incubation with α -amylase (Termamyl 120L, Type S; Novo Nodirsk A/S, Bagsværd, Denmark), but without sodium sulfite, according to the protocol of Naumann & Bassler (1997). Non-NDF carbohydrates were defined as the organic matter (OM) not incorporated in diethyl ether extract, crude protein, and NDF. A hydrogen balance, comprising H₂ produced, utilised and recovered, was calculated by the equations of Demeyer (1991) considering VFA and CH₄.

Quantification of the methanogens

The quantification of the CH₄-producing archaea was carried out with the fluorescence *in situ* hybridisation technique, according to the laboratory manual of Stahl *et al.* (1995) with modifications briefly described by Machmüller *et al.* (2003). The fluorescence *in situ* hybridisation technique is based on the hybridisation of specific oligonucleotide probes complementary to the ribosomal RNA of the target microbes and can now routinely be used to identify and quantify microbes without cultural isolation. Based on Lin *et al.* (1997), five 16S rRNA oligonucleotide probes (Microsynth GmbH, Balgach, Switzerland) were used, one domain-specific probe targeting all methanogens (S-S-Arc-0915-a-A-20) and four order-specific probes, targeting *Methanococcales* (S-F-Mcoc-1109-a-A-20), *Methanosarcinales* (S-O-Msar-0860-a-A-21), *Methanomicrobiales* (S-O-Mmic-1200-a-A-21) and *Methanobacteriales* (S-F-Mbac-0310-a-A-22). While the probe Arc-0915 has been described by Stahl & Amann (1991), the four probes targeting the different methanogenic orders were designed and found to be specific for the respective target methanogens by Raskin *et al.* (1994). The hybridisation temperatures for the respective probes were 56°C for Arc-0915, 55°C for Mcoc-1109, 60°C for Msar-0860, 53°C for Mmic-1200 and 57°C for Mbac-0310. Samples were viewed with an epifluorescence microscope (BX-60; Olympus Optical AG, Volketswil, Switzerland). Images of the fluorescent signals were taken with a 3CCD colour video camera (DXC-950P; Sony Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and counted automatically with a software program (analySIS, version 3.1; Soft Imagine System GmbH, Uster, Switzerland). Quantifications of the archaea and the different methanogenic orders were done for the incubation days 10 and 15, which in the following are attributed to the two sub-periods P1 and P2, respectively.

Calculations and statistical analysis

Two statistical models were applied to analyse the variance of the data in the present experiment. Model 1 was based on the mixed procedure of the SAS program (version 8.2; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) with random and repeated statements as recommended by Littell *et al.* (1998). This model was applied to all data to evaluate the effects of treatment, sub-periods (P1 and P2), and the interaction among them. Additionally, experimental run was included in the model. Model 2 evaluated data for P1 (days 6 to 10) and P2 (days 11 to 15) separately with the general linear model procedure of SAS, regarding dietary treatment and experimental run as effects. All multiple comparisons among means were carried out with the Tukey method. In both models daily observations for each fermenter were averaged within each sub-period (P1 and P2). In all calculations treatment was the main effect and the sub-periods were considered as repeated observations obtained from the same fermenter. The subsequent experimental periods were considered as a blocking factor in the experimental design. Data are presented separately in the Tables for days 6 to 10 (P1) and days 11 to 15 (P2) whenever the group of traits showed some significant interactions in model 1.

Results

Effects of medium-chain fatty acids on fermentation gases

CH₄ emission was depressed ($P < 0.001$) by MCFA mixtures containing C₁₂ in proportions of 40% or more (Table 2). The highest CH₄-suppressing effect compared with the unsupplemented control was obtained with C₁₂:C₁₄ in a 4:1 ratio (decline of 70%), followed by ratios of 3:2, 2.5:2.5, 5:0, and 2:3 (declines of 60, 48, 43 and 32%, respectively) for days 6 to 15 (Table 2). CH₄ release over 25 d (Fig. 1) showed that stable conditions were achieved from day 6 onwards. Differences developed among treatments were widely consistent up to day 21, after which irregular developments occurred. The gaseous emission of CO₂ was affected ($P < 0.05$) by the MCFA treatments but comparisons among means showed no specific significant differences. The overall average value for the CO₂ emission was 66.5 mmol/l. The amount of H₂ in the gaseous phase was increased ($P < 0.001$) by the supply of those MCFA mixtures that were high in C₁₂ proportion, whereas the MCFA mixtures low in C₁₂ proportion had smaller effects relative to the control treatment. However, a significant increase in H₂ release compared with control was achieved only with C₁₂:C₁₄ in a 4:1 ratio, leading to an increase in the amount of H₂ found in the gaseous phase by nearly 12-fold. The calculated total amounts of H₂ produced from various H₂-producing processes did not differ significantly between the individual MCFA treatments. Compared with control, lower ($P < 0.001$) values for H₂ utilised and recovered were found with C₁₂:C₁₄ ratios of 5:0, 4:1, 3:2, 2.5:2.5 and 2:3, thus being affected inversely to the gaseous H₂ release measured. The lowest H₂ recovery rate was found with the C₁₂:C₁₄ ratio of 3:2. This rate was lower by 22.5% than that found in the unsupplemented control. There were no significant

Table 2. Effects of medium-chain fatty acids on fermentation gas formation and fermenter fluid properties averaged over days 6 to 15*
(Mean values and standard errors of the means)

Trait	C ₁₂ :C ₁₄ ratio										P values (model 1)		
	0:0	5:0	4:1	3:2	2.5:2.5	2:3	1:4	0.5	SEM†	TRT	PER	TRT × PER	
Gaseous emissions													
CH ₄ (mmol/d)	8.72 ^a	5.01 ^c	2.60 ^d	3.45 ^{cd}	4.52 ^{cd}	5.95 ^{bc}	7.76 ^{ab}	8.35 ^a	0.492	0.0001	0.1744	0.2266	
CO ₂ (mmol/d)	69.0	66.0	63.9	61.0	65.6	68.0	66.1	69.9	1.66	0.0489	0.1984	0.1322	
H ₂ (mmol/d)	0.30 ^b	2.05 ^{ab}	3.58 ^a	2.16 ^{ab}	2.24 ^{ab}	1.28 ^b	0.40 ^b	0.36 ^b	0.413	0.0001	0.9643	0.7084	
Hydrogen balance													
Produced (mmol/d)	115.1	106.6	105.3	100.8	108.3	113.2	112.9	120.2	4.24	0.2112	0.2815	0.3684	
Utilised (mmol/d)	78.0 ^a	57.2 ^{cd}	49.8 ^d	46.1 ^d	58.1 ^{cd}	64.7 ^{bc}	73.6 ^{ab}	77.7 ^a	2.12	0.0001	0.0780	0.4117	
Recovered (%)	68.3 ^a	54.9 ^{cd}	47.5 ^d	45.8 ^d	55.0 ^{cd}	57.5 ^{cd}	65.3 ^{ab}	65.3 ^{ab}	1.23	0.0001	0.8091	0.8310	
Fermenter fluid properties													
pH	6.81	6.83	6.82	6.83	6.82	6.81	6.76	6.76	0.026	0.1198	0.5575	0.1560	
NH ₃ (mmol/l)	11.58 ^{ab}	6.79 ^{cd}	4.83 ^d	5.34 ^d	6.72 ^{cd}	9.47 ^{bc}	12.18 ^{ab}	13.01 ^a	0.691	0.0001	0.0005	0.6782	
VFA (mmol/l)	101.0	100.9	102.8	100.5	103.3	107.0	110.0	118.0	4.00	0.1884	0.9750	0.5787	
Acetate (mol %)	53.0	50.7	50.0	48.6	49.7	49.4	51.3	52.7	0.77	0.0328	0.0060	0.9998	
Propionate (mol %)	17.4	16.0	19.5	17.8	16.7	16.0	16.7	17.2	0.81	0.4328	0.5375	0.6341	
Butyrate (mol %)	20.0	21.3	20.3	21.4	21.4	22.1	20.7	19.7	0.91	0.1945	0.0001	0.2793	
Isobutyrate (mol %)	0.43	0.40	0.35	0.29	0.33	0.45	0.44	0.46	0.045	0.2235	0.0726	0.4920	
Valerate (mol %)	6.83 ^c	8.86 ^a	8.82 ^a	9.21 ^a	9.33 ^a	8.94 ^a	8.32 ^{ab}	7.37 ^{bc}	0.197	0.0001	0.6048	0.9997	
Isovalerate (mol %)	2.32 ^{ab}	2.69 ^{ab}	1.11 ^b	2.72 ^{ab}	2.50 ^{ab}	3.05 ^a	2.59 ^{ab}	2.57 ^{ab}	0.307	0.0045	0.0007	0.7063	
Acetate:propionate ratio x:1	3.24	3.26	2.81	2.91	3.17	3.24	3.24	3.26	0.135	0.0914	0.0150	0.7817	

TRT, fatty acid treatment; PER, sub-period of total measurement period; VFA, volatile fatty acids.

^{a,b,c,d} Treatment mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

* For details of dietary substrates and procedures, see Table 1 and p. 690.

† Calculated by model 1. Means by treatment (df 21), $n = 8$.




Fig. 1. *In vitro* CH₄ release (mmol/d) from fermenter fluid, when incubating a control diet (—●—) and diets supplemented with mixtures of C₁₂ and C₁₄ in ratios of 0:5 (—■—), 1:4 (—▲—), 2:3 (—◆—), 2.5:2.5 (—○—), 3:2 (—□—), 4:1 (—△—) and 5:0 (—◇—). The incubation period was 25 d (days 1 to 15, *n* 4; days 16 to 25, *n* 2). Mean values are shown, with vertical bars representing standard errors of the mean.

interactions of treatment and sub-period in gaseous emissions and H₂ balance.

Effects of medium-chain fatty acids on microbial counts

The MCFA treatments affected the ruminal microbial populations differently (Table 3). Total archaeal counts were affected by the MCFA supply in both sub-periods ($P < 0.001$). While in P1 archaeal counts were depressed ($P < 0.05$) by C₁₂:C₁₄ ratios of 5:0, 4:1 and 3:2 with decreases of 34, 61 and 47% relative to the control, in P2 only the 4:1 and 3:2 ratios led to a significant decrease (57 and 47%, respectively). Generally, in P2 total numbers of archaea were lower ($P < 0.001$) compared with P1, and interactions with the MCFA treatment occurred ($P < 0.05$). All individual methanogenic orders, except *Methanococcales*, were significantly suppressed by the effective MCFA treatments. However, the four orders were affected to a different extent as a result of the MCFA supplementation, thus leading to changes in the population composition of the archaea. Out of all MCFA treatments, the counts of *Methanobacteriales* were decreased most with the C₁₂:C₁₄ ratio of 4:1 (−46 and −59% compared with the control in P1 and P2, respectively). For the counts of *Methanomicrobiales* similar effects were found, but here counts were significantly lower with this ratio compared with counts found with a C₁₂:C₁₄ ratio of 0:5. The counts of *Methanosarcinales* were lowest in P1 with the 4:1 treatment, followed by the C₁₂:C₁₄ ratios 5:0 and 3:2, all of them significantly different from the counts in the control. In P2 the largest decrease ($P < 0.001$) in counts of *Methanosarcinales* compared with the counts found in the control was observed with C₁₂:C₁₄ ratios of 3:2, 4:1 and 2.5:2.5 (−67, −64 and −56%, respectively). Regarding the proportions of the different methanogenic orders (data not shown), *Methanococcales* was found to increase ($P < 0.05$) by up to

17.2% (C₁₂:C₁₄ ratio of 4:1; P1) relative to control and *Methanosarcinales* to decrease ($P < 0.05$) by up to 11.2% (C₁₂:C₁₄ ratio of 5:0; P1) and 9.4% (C₁₂:C₁₄ ratio of 3:2; P2). Proportions of *Methanobacteriales* and *Methanomicrobiales* were not significantly affected due to any MCFA supplementation.

Bacterial counts were not affected as a result of the MCFA treatments and amounted to 2.7×10^9 /ml and 2.2×10^9 /ml in the sub-periods P1 and P2, respectively, across all treatments. The same was found in the extended periods of days 16 to 25 with an average count of 2.1×10^9 bacteria/ml. Counts of total ciliate protozoa (data not shown), entodiniomorphs and holotrichs were significantly affected in P1 due to the MCFA treatment. This effect was not significant in P2. However, complete defaunation took place when supplying C₁₂:C₁₄ in ratios of 4:1, 3:2, 2.5:2.5 and 2:3 from day 7 onwards, resulting in the low average protozoal counts in P1. Interactions between the MCFA treatments and sub-periods occurred for both entodiniomorph ($P < 0.001$) and holotrich ($P < 0.01$) ciliate protozoal counts. In the unsupplemented control, no holotrich ciliate protozoa were found in the period of days 16 to 25, whereas entodiniomorph ciliate protozoa were present until day 25 with counts of 0.29×10^3 /ml on the last day.

Effects of medium-chain fatty acids on fermenter fluid properties

Supplementation with mixtures of C₁₂ and C₁₄ did not alter fermenter fluid pH, which was 6.8 on average across all treatments during the whole measurement time (Table 2). Redox potential (data not shown) did not differ among MCFA treatments and averaged −323 and −320 mV in P1 and P2, respectively. Compared with the unsupplemented control, NH₃ concentration in fermenter fluid was depressed ($P < 0.001$) due to the supplementation of C₁₂:C₁₄ in ratios of 5:0, 4:1, 3:2 and 2.5:2.5, with the highest decreases of 58 and 54% found with the ratios 4:1 and 3:2, respectively. Mixtures high in C₁₄ proportions did not decrease NH₃ concentration. No significant effects of MCFA treatments were found in the concentration of total VFA (mean value 106.7 mmol/l) and in the molar proportions of propionate, butyrate and isobutyrate (mean values 17, 21 and 0.4%, respectively) during the measurement period of 15 d. The molar proportion of acetate was affected by the MCFA treatment ($P < 0.05$), but comparison among means did not show specific significant differences (mean value of acetate, 52% of the total VFA). The molar proportion of valerate was enhanced ($P < 0.001$) with each MCFA treatment, except for the treatment with C₁₄ supplied alone. The proportion of isovalerate was also affected ($P < 0.01$) because of the fatty acid treatments but not in a systematic way. The acetate:propionate ratio remained unaffected and amounted to 3.1 on average over all treatments. Treatment effects on fermenter fluid properties did not depend on sub-period, and in the extended period from days 16 to 25, pH, NH₃ concentration, and VFA concentrations and composition showed the same trends as in the two sub-periods P1 and P2 (data not shown).

Table 3. Effects of medium-chain fatty acids on microbial counts in fermenter fluid in sub-periods P1 (days 6 to 10) and P2 (days 11 to 15)* (Mean values and standard errors of the means)

Trait	Days	C ₁₂ :C ₁₄ ratio										P values						
		0:0	5:0	4:1	3:2	2.5:2.5	2:3	1:4	0:5	SEM†	TRT-2	TRT-1	PER	TRT × PER				
Microbial counts																		
Bacteria (10 ⁹ /ml)	6–10	2.71	2.84	2.74	2.80	2.65	2.72	2.70	2.76	0.063	0.5058	0.3896	0.0001	0.9633				
	11–15	2.24	2.27	2.21	2.19	2.21	2.18	2.27	2.19	0.040	0.6067	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001				
Entodiniomorphs (10 ⁵ /ml)	6–10	8.88 ^a	0.19 ^c	0.00 ^c	0.10 ^c	0.00 ^c	0.10 ^c	4.20 ^b	5.63 ^{ab}	0.822	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001				
	11–15	2.10	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.15	1.91	0.454	0.0070	0.0001	0.0011	0.0088				
Holotrichs (10 ⁵ /ml)	6–10	1.91 ^a	0.00 ^b	0.10 ^b	0.00 ^b	0.10 ^b	0.00 ^b	0.76 ^{ab}	1.53 ^{ab}	0.346	0.0019	0.0001	0.0011	0.0088				
	11–15	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.071	0.4586	0.0001	0.0001	0.0348				
Archaea (10 ⁹ /ml)	6–10	3.09 ^a	2.03 ^{bc}	1.20 ^c	1.65 ^c	2.10 ^{abc}	2.67 ^{ab}	2.93 ^{ab}	2.79 ^{ab}	0.214	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0348				
	11–15	2.53 ^a	1.79 ^{ab}	1.09 ^b	1.33 ^b	1.70 ^{ab}	2.27 ^a	2.49 ^a	2.37 ^a	0.182	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0348				
Methanogenic orders (10⁷/ml)																		
Methanococcales	6–10	5.8	6.1	6.4	6.7	6.3	6.6	7.0	6.8	0.72	0.9397	0.4895	0.0001	0.0690				
	11–15	6.1	5.1	4.3	5.6	4.4	6.2	6.7	5.9	0.74	0.2818	0.0001	0.0169	0.5361				
Methanomicrobiales	6–10	3.3 ^{ab}	2.4 ^{ab}	1.8 ^b	2.5 ^{ab}	3.1 ^{ab}	3.2 ^{ab}	3.7 ^a	3.3 ^a	0.32	0.0082	0.0001	0.0169	0.5361				
	11–15	2.9 ^{ab}	2.6 ^{ab}	1.2 ^b	1.4 ^{ab}	2.4 ^{ab}	3.1 ^{ab}	3.1 ^{ab}	3.1 ^a	0.40	0.0103	0.0001	0.0169	0.5361				
Methanobacteriales	6–10	9.5 ^{ab}	8.3 ^{ab}	5.6 ^b	7.8 ^{ab}	8.6 ^{ab}	9.6 ^{ab}	9.6 ^{ab}	9.7 ^a	0.84	0.0312	0.0003	0.0001	0.1037				
	11–15	10.1 ^a	7.98 ^a	3.9 ^b	6.3 ^{ab}	7.1 ^{ab}	8.3 ^a	8.9 ^a	9.0 ^a	0.80	0.0008	0.0003	0.0001	0.1037				
Methanosarcinales	6–10	5.2 ^a	2.0 ^{cd}	1.9 ^d	2.4 ^{bcd}	3.5 ^{abcd}	3.8 ^{abcd}	4.2 ^{ab}	4.1 ^{abc}	0.45	0.0003	0.0001	0.0441	0.0048				
	11–15	4.5 ^{ab}	2.8 ^{bc}	1.6 ^c	1.5 ^c	2.0 ^c	4.2 ^{ab}	4.8 ^a	3.1 ^{abc}	0.44	0.0001	0.0001	0.0441	0.0048				

TRT, fatty acid treatment, evaluated by model 1 (TRT-1) and model 2 (TRT-2) evaluating individual sub-periods; PER, sub-period of total measurement period (means of days 6 to 10 and means of days 11 to 15; model 1); TRT × PER, interaction of TRT and PER (model 1).

^{a,b,c,d} Treatment mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

* For details of dietary substrates and procedures, see Table 1 and p. 690.

† Calculated by model 2. Means by treatment (df 21), n 4.

Effects of medium-chain fatty acids on nutrient degradation

The apparent degree of OM degradation was decreased ($P < 0.001$) by the MCFA treatment in P1 (Table 4). In P2, differences to the control became insignificant in most MCFA treatments, except for the C₁₂:C₁₄ ratio of 3:2 (−9%). Interactions between the MCFA treatment and sub-periods ($P < 0.05$) also occurred for this trait. Compared with the unsupplemented control, the apparent rate of crude protein degradation was decreased ($P < 0.001$) by 13, 10 and 10% in P1 with treatments containing C₁₂ and C₁₄ in ratios of 4:1, 3:2 and 2.5:2.5, respectively. In P2, crude protein degradation was decreased (−12%; $P < 0.01$) with the 3:2 treatment. The apparent degree of NDF degradation was decreased ($P < 0.001$) due to the supplementation of all fatty acid mixtures in P1, except for the treatment with C₁₄ only. In P2 no effect of MCFA supplementation occurred. CH₄ release related to the amount of apparently degraded OM was decreased ($P < 0.001$) in both sub-periods with all the C₁₂:C₁₄ treatments except for 1:4 and C₁₄ alone. CH₄ release related to the amount of apparently degraded NDF was affected by the MCFA supplementation in P1 ($P < 0.01$) as well as in P2 ($P < 0.001$). However, compared with the unsupplemented control, only the C₁₂:C₁₄ ratio of 4:1 decreased CH₄ per unit of NDF degraded (48%; $P < 0.01$) in P1. In P2, on the other hand, the treatments with C₁₂:C₁₄ in ratios of 5:0, 4:1, 3:2 and 2.5:2.5 affected this trait (decreases of 39, 59, 57 and 35%, respectively; $P < 0.001$).

Discussion

Suitability of the techniques used

One major purpose of the present study was to confirm previous short-term *in vitro* findings (Soliva *et al.* 2003b) on the interactions of the two MCFA C₁₂ and C₁₄ in suppressing ruminal methanogenesis; results that were found in the absence of feed particles. Harfoot *et al.* (1974) showed that the presence of feed particles may diminish the efficacy of MCFA since it decreases the proportion of fatty acids attached to ruminal microbes, a factor crucial for the inhibitory effect of MCFA on methanogens (Henderson, 1973). In the present study, a concentrate-based feed substrate was used, since Machmüller *et al.* (2003) showed a more pronounced inhibition of total-tract CH₄ release in sheep fed on a concentrate-based diet supplemented with C₁₄ rather than when fed a forage-based diet. The incubation period of 10 d applied previously in Rusitec experiments was extended to 15 d in the present study, and, for some variables, to 25 d. Fermentation parameters such as pH, bacterial counts and redox potential were consistent for at least 15 to 20 d. In all fermenters subjected to the MCFA unsupplemented control, there were still entodiniomorph ciliate protozoa present on day 25. Most treatment effects did not change between sub-periods. This shows that the Rusitec system used was appropriate to investigate a prolonged incubation time and also that the commonly used measurement period of days 6 to 10 satisfactorily reflected most treatment effects.

Previous assumptions of Raskin *et al.* (1994) and Soliva *et al.* (2003b) that the four hybridisation probes chosen to quantify distinct taxonomic orders of methanogens cover all methanogens occurring in the rumen were confirmed. Summing the counts of all methanogenic orders on days 10 and 15 across all treatments accounted for 97 (SD 16) and 98 (SD 6)%, respectively, of total archaea quantified with the Arc-0915 nucleotide probe. The methanogenic order that is most abundant, however, seems to vary widely among different studies. In the study of Lin *et al.* (1997), *Methanobacteriales* had the highest proportion of all orders in steers, cows and goats, whereas *Methanomicrobiales* predominated in sheep. In our previous investigations with sheep (Machmüller *et al.* 2003) and with ruminal fluid of a donor cow (Soliva *et al.* 2003b), *Methanococcales* dominated, while in the present study *Methanobacteriales* were most abundant, followed by *Methanococcales* (Table 3).

Effects on ruminal methanogenesis

MCFA are known to have the potential to suppress ruminal CH₄ formation (for example, Blaxter & Czerkawski, 1966). *In vitro*, Dohme *et al.* (2001a) identified C₁₂ and C₁₄ as the only two MCFA being effective in suppressing ruminal CH₄ formation and methanogens. However, although C₁₂ supplied alone suppressed CH₄ release by 43% in the present study, C₁₄ did not affect CH₄ release at any time during the whole measurement period. Blaxter & Czerkawski (1966) demonstrated that non-esterified C₁₂ is more potent in inhibiting CH₄ release than C₁₄ in sheep, and there are two studies, one *in vitro* (Soliva *et al.* 2003b) and another *in vivo* (Dohme *et al.* 2001b), in which C₁₄ was ineffective. In contrast, others (Dohme *et al.* 2001a; Machmüller *et al.* 2003) showed *in vitro* and *in vivo* that C₁₄ alone can be effective in inhibiting methanogenesis. Overall, the efficacy of C₁₄ seems variable and may depend on a combination of factors such as the dietary proportion of C₁₄, being low in the study of Dohme *et al.* (2001b), the composition of the basal diet (Machmüller *et al.* 2003), the proportion of fermentable matter shifted from the rumen to the hindgut and, in case of *in vitro* studies, the origin of ruminal fluid (sheep or cattle).

Culture studies with granular sludge have indicated that mixtures of non-esterified C₁₂ and C₁₄ might be more efficient in inhibiting methanogenesis than one of these fatty acids alone (Koster & Cramer, 1987). Incubating ruminal fluid and MCFA for 24 h *in vitro* (Soliva *et al.* 2003b) neither proved nor disproved a synergistic effect of mixtures of C₁₂ and C₁₄ on ruminal CH₄ production since the sole supply of C₁₂ nearly abolished CH₄ formation. The slope of the regression curve in the *in vitro* study of Soliva *et al.* (2003b), however, suggested a certain synergistic effect between the two MCFA. In the present *in vitro* study, the synergistic effect between C₁₂ and C₁₄ in suppressing CH₄ emission was clearly demonstrated. The use of the C₁₂:C₁₄ ratios of 4:1, 3:2 and 2.5:2.5 resulted in a more pronounced CH₄-suppressing effect than the supply of C₁₂ alone. Coconut oil and palm-kernel oil, feed-stuffs rich in MCFA, have C₁₂:C₁₄ ratios of 2.6:1.0 to 3:1, which is in the range of the most efficient mixture of C₁₂

Table 4. Effects of medium-chain fatty acids on the apparent degree of nutrient degradation and methane release per unit of apparently degraded organic matter and fibre in sub-periods P1 (days 6 to 10) and P2 (days 11 to 15)* (Mean values and standard errors of the means)

Traits	Days	C ₁₂ :C ₁₄ ratio										P values			
		0:0	5:0	4:1	3:2	2.5:2.5	2:3	1:4	0.5	SEM†	TRT-2	TRT-1	PER	TRT × PER	
Nutrient degradation	6–10	0.696 ^a	0.645 ^b	0.634 ^b	0.649 ^b	0.645 ^b	0.651 ^b	0.659 ^b	0.663 ^b	0.0063	0.0001	0.0001	0.6203	0.0309	
	11–15	0.686 ^a	0.649 ^{ab}	0.640 ^{ab}	0.626 ^b	0.650 ^{ab}	0.659 ^{ab}	0.656 ^{ab}	0.673 ^{ab}	0.0105	0.0222	0.0001	0.0066	0.1739	
Crude protein	6–10	0.670 ^a	0.618 ^{abc}	0.583 ^c	0.600 ^{bc}	0.602 ^{bc}	0.630 ^{abc}	0.645 ^{ab}	0.670 ^a	0.0123	0.0002	0.0001	0.0066	0.1739	
	11–15	0.647 ^{ab}	0.585 ^{bc}	0.592 ^{bc}	0.568 ^c	0.605 ^{abc}	0.628 ^{abc}	0.632 ^{abc}	0.673 ^a	0.0153	0.0014	0.0001	0.0066	0.1739	
NDF	6–10	0.396 ^a	0.274 ^{cd}	0.238 ^d	0.286 ^{cd}	0.296 ^{cd}	0.273 ^{cd}	0.320 ^{bc}	0.362 ^{ab}	0.0126	0.0001	0.3259	0.2361	0.3558	
	11–15	0.373	0.358	0.257	0.259	0.294	0.313	0.318	0.374	0.0325	0.0892	0.0001	0.2361	0.3558	
CH ₄ per unit of degraded nutrients															
CH ₄ (mmol/g OM _{degraded})	6–10	0.95 ^a	0.57 ^{cde}	0.30 ^{de}	0.51 ^{de}	0.50 ^{de}	0.66 ^{bcd}	0.82 ^{abc}	0.87 ^{ab}	0.015	0.0001	0.0001	0.1313	0.2273	
	11–15	0.95 ^a	0.53 ^{cd}	0.28 ^f	0.30 ^{cd}	0.50 ^{cd}	0.64 ^{bc}	0.86 ^{ab}	0.91 ^{ab}	0.060	0.0001	0.0001	0.0229	0.1117	
CH ₄ (mmol/g NDF _{degraded})	6–10	4.90 ^a	4.23 ^{ab}	2.53 ^b	3.64 ^{ab}	3.41 ^{ab}	4.86 ^a	5.27 ^a	4.96 ^a	0.442	0.0028	0.0001	0.0229	0.1117	
	11–15	5.16 ^a	3.14 ^{cd}	2.10 ^f	2.22 ^{cd}	3.36 ^{bcd}	4.22 ^{abc}	5.58 ^a	5.10 ^{ab}	0.372	0.0001	0.0001	0.0229	0.1117	

TRT, fatty acid treatment, evaluated by model 1 (TRT-1) and model 2 (TRT-2) evaluating individual sub-periods; PER, sub-period of total measurement period (means of days 6 to 10 and means of days 11 to 15; model 1); TRT × PER, interaction of TRT and PER (model 1); OM, organic matter; NDF, neutral-detergent fibre.

a,b,c,d Treatment mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

* For details of dietary substrates and procedures, see Table 1 and p. 690.

† Calculated by model 2. Means by treatment (df 21), $n = 4$.

and C₁₄ used in the present study, and which explains the high efficacy of these oils in suppressing CH₄ formation *in vitro* (Dong *et al.* 1997; Dohme *et al.* 2000) and *in vivo* (Machmüller & Kreuzer, 1999).

In the present study, free H₂ accumulated in treatments with high CH₄-suppressing effects (particularly with a C₁₂:C₁₄ ratio of 4:1). This is consistent with previous Rusitec-derived results (Machmüller *et al.* 2002) where MCFA supplementation significantly increased the amount of H₂ in the gaseous phase. This accumulation probably resulted from a persistent H₂ production of ruminal microbes while CH₄ formation, as an H₂- and CO₂-consuming process, was suppressed. As seen in previous studies (Machmüller *et al.* 1998, 2002), the accumulation of H₂ was not stoichiometric with the inhibition of CH₄ formation, illustrating that an increasing amount of H₂ produced must have been used elsewhere. Other possible H₂-consuming processes are, for instance, reductive acetogenesis (Van Nevel & Demeyer, 1995; Le Van *et al.* 1998) and propionate formation, which is known for its inverse relationship to CH₄ formation (Whitelaw *et al.* 1984). However, neither VFA was correspondingly affected in the present study. Propionate production requires interactions between microbial species that produce succinate, an H₂-demanding process, and other microbial species that decarboxylate succinate to propionate (Wolin *et al.* 1997). Since an accumulation of succinate in the rumen is improbable (Blackburn & Hungate, 1963), it might be possible that some of the succinate-producing microbes were inhibited by certain MCFA treatments. Finally, H₂ could also have been utilised for the reduction of sulfate to sulfides (Morvan *et al.* 1996; Hino & Asanuma, 2003), but this product was not determined in the present study.

Effects on ruminal microbial populations

It is well documented that MCFA have the potential to adversely affect ruminal ciliate protozoa, several bacterial species and methanogens (Henderson, 1973; Matsumoto *et al.* 1991; Dohme *et al.* 2001a; Soliva *et al.* 2003b). Supplementing 46 g C₁₂ or C₁₄/kg total substrate *in vitro* suppressed ciliate protozoal counts only with C₁₂ but not with C₁₄ (Dohme *et al.* 2001a). Likewise, in the present study neither entodiniomorph nor holotrich ciliate protozoa were affected by the supplementation of C₁₄ alone or a C₁₂:C₁₄ ratio of 1:4. All other treatments decreased counts of entodiniomorph as well as holotrich ciliate protozoa in the first sub-period (days 6 to 10), and all these treatments, except C₁₂ alone, resulted in a complete defaunation of the fermenter fluid from experimental day 7 onwards. Defaunation, or a large decrease in the ciliate protozoal population, represents the loss of an important H₂ donor for the ruminal archaea. Some archaea are associated with the ciliate protozoa ecto- and endosymbiotically (Finlay *et al.* 1994) and a decrease in ciliate protozoal counts might therefore decrease archaeal counts as well. However, limitations set by a lack of H₂ were not the main reason for the suppressed CH₄ formation found in those treatments, since gaseous H₂ accumulated slightly in the treatments successful in suppressing CH₄ formation. Furthermore, Dohme *et al.* (1999) showed that MCFA

supplementation via coconut oil suppressed methanogenesis in both faunated and defaunated ruminal fluid. The significant decrease in ruminal fluid NH₃ concentration and in apparent protein degradation found in treatments inhibiting ruminal ciliate protozoal population might be explained by the associated inhibition of the nutrient- and bacteria-degrading activity of the protozoa (Jouany, 1994). Similar to the findings of Dohme *et al.* (2001a), a suppression of the ciliate protozoal population did not result in the expected compensatory increase of the bacterial population (Jouany, 1994), which suggests that there might have been adverse MCFA effects on some bacterial species as well.

Corresponding to the variations found in CH₄ release, clear effects of C₁₂ on methanogenic counts, as described earlier (Dohme *et al.* 2001a; Soliva *et al.* 2003b), were found, and again the effects of C₁₄ were smaller than that of C₁₂ and not significant. In sheep, C₁₄ did not clearly affect methanogenic counts either (Machmüller *et al.* 2003). Nevertheless, Henderson (1973) found more pronounced effects of C₁₄ than of C₁₂ on pure cultures of *Methanobrevibacter ruminantium*. Despite the uncertain effect of C₁₄ alone, C₁₂ and C₁₄ acted synergistically in suppressing total archaeal counts when supplied in ratios of 4:1 and 3:2. In both sub-periods, the depressions were numerically larger with these ratios by about 40 and 20%, respectively, than with C₁₂ alone. This indicates a cooperative action of these two fatty acids and, therefore, a highly effective mechanism in inhibiting ruminal methanogens. Thus the present results confirm previous assumptions that MCFA are directly toxic to methanogens (Dong *et al.* 1997; Dohme *et al.* 1999). However, the mechanisms of how MCFA affect methanogens are still unclear. Koster & Cramer (1987) suspected that C₁₂ and C₁₄ inhibit the metabolic activity of the methanogens by adsorption on to the microbial cell wall. Many studies were carried out investigating the effects of non-esterified MCFA and MCFA-monoacylglycerols on different microbes (Kabara & Vrable, 1977; Thormar *et al.* 1987; Bergsson *et al.* 1998). According to these studies and other evidence (McLay *et al.* 2002), the cell membrane is thought to be the primary target of each agent and an enhanced inhibition would be the result of a multiple attack on the membrane. Lipophilic acids, including monolaurin (C₁₂ monoacylglycerol), are thought to disrupt the membrane integrity, which in turn interferes with membrane activities such as the transport of amino acids, resulting in cell starvation (Kabara, 1993). In the study of Bergsson *et al.* (2001), Gram-positive cocci were viewed by transmission electron microscopy after incubation with monocaprin for 30 min. Disappearance of the plasma membrane and electron-transport granules was evident and the cell wall of some of the microbial cells appeared to be affected, which led to cell death.

Inconsistencies between CH₄ formation and on methanogenic counts were smaller in the present study than found in other studies (Soliva *et al.* 2003a). Generally, these variations could be explained by different activities of the individual methanogenic cells (Soliva *et al.* 2003a,b) or by population changes resulting from the different susceptibility of individual methanogenic orders with differing CH₄-producing activities to these MCFA. In the present

study, certain shifts in the proportion of methanogenic orders caused by the MCFA treatments were found, as was also reported for a short-term incubation study (Soliva *et al.* 2003b) in which, as a result of the supplementation of C₁₂ and mixtures of C₁₂ and C₁₄, *Methanococcales* were depressed to a larger extent than the other methanogenic orders. However, in the present study, the MCFA treatments predominantly depressed the counts of *Methanosarcinales*. Counts of *Methanococcales* were not significantly affected by any MCFA treatment and therefore increased in proportion to total archaea as all others were depressed, especially with C₁₂:C₁₄ ratios of 4:1 and 3:2. This change in archaeal population seems, however, not large enough to explain the particularly high CH₄-suppressing effect of these two MCFA mixtures, particularly since the number of all archaeal orders declined numerically. Sharp *et al.* (1998) showed that representatives of the family *Methanobacteriaceae* are the methanogens most frequently associated with ciliate protozoa. In the present study, counts of *Methanobacteriales* were significantly suppressed due to the 4:1 MCFA treatment on incubation day 15 while ciliate protozoa had already been completely eliminated from the fermenters by day 10.

Effects on apparent organic matter and fibre degradation

Lipids added to ruminant diets can greatly disturb ruminal fermentation by impairing the degradation of non-lipid energy sources (Jenkins, 1993). In particular, the ruminal digestion of structural carbohydrates can be decreased by 50% or more, even when less than 100 g fat/kg are added (Jenkins & Palmquist, 1984). A decrease in ruminal degradation of fibre, but not of OM, due to C₁₂ supplementation was also observed in the Rusitec studies of Dohme *et al.* (2001a) and Machmüller *et al.* (2002) within experimental periods of 10 d. C₁₄ was ineffective in that respect (Dohme *et al.* 2001a; present results) as was the case for fibre digestion in sheep fed a C₁₄-supplemented diet (Machmüller *et al.* 2003). In the present study, the C₁₂-alone treatment also caused a decreased fibre degradation, but only in the first sub-period, while incubations for 11 to 15 d mostly reversed these effects. The same was true for most mixtures of C₁₂ and C₁₄, once more underlining the presence of synergistic effects between both fatty acids. Initially decreased fibre degradation could have been caused by H₂ accumulation, which might have inhibited activity of the fibre-degrading bacteria (Miller, 1995). However, these microbes must have adapted to C₁₂ and C₁₄ in contrast to other microbes such as the methanogens and the ciliate protozoa. This observation is of special importance since the degradation of plant cell walls by ruminants has a major economic value for developing countries and also for developed countries applying certain feeding strategies (Krause *et al.* 2003).

Conclusions

The present results demonstrate that the use of mixtures of C₁₂ and C₁₄ for the purpose of suppressing CH₄ emission of domestic ruminants is superior to using either of these

fatty acids alone. The most effective mixture of C₁₂ and C₁₄, when supplemented to a complete ruminant diet and incubated for up to 20 d, was the 4:1 treatment, which decreased CH₄ release by about 70%. Additionally, this treatment only impaired ruminal fibre degradation at the beginning of the measurement period, demonstrating that the CH₄-suppressing effect was not mainly caused by a depression in fibre degradation. This suggests that the desired effect of a lower methanogenesis persists while the unfavourable depression in ruminal fibre degradation seems to be reversible after some time. A C₁₂:C₁₄ ratio of 4:1 is reasonably close to that found in fats such as coconut oil and palm-kernel oil, thus explaining the high efficacy of such feedstuffs that are available in farm practice. Reasons for the high efficacy of the MCFA mixtures seem to be a synergism of the two fatty acids in suppressing the total archaeal population and, to a certain extent, the associated changes in composition of the methanogenic population and metabolic activity of the individual methanogens.

Acknowledgements

The authors owe thanks to Professors Braun and Wanner and their staff at the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Zurich, for giving access to the rumen-fistulated donor cow and for the analysis of the VFA. Thanks to B. Jörg for technical assistance with the Rusitec and the laboratory staff for the sample analyses. A. C. was supported by a scholarship of the Foundation for Polish Science.

References

- Ababouch L, Chaibi A & Busta FF (1992) Inhibition of bacterial spore growth by fatty acids and their sodium salts. *J Food Protect* **55**, 980–984.
- Abel HJ, Immig I & Harmann E (2002) Effect of adding caprylic and capric acid to grass on fermentation characteristics during ensiling and in the artificial rumen system RUSITEC. *Anim Feed Sci Technol* **99**, 65–72.
- Bergsson G, Arnfinnsson J, Karlsson SM, Steingrímsson O & Thormar H (1998) *In vitro* inactivation of *Chlamydia trachomatis* by fatty acids and monoglycerides. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **42**, 2290–2294.
- Bergsson G, Arnfinnsson J, Steingrímsson O & Thormar H (2001) Killing of gram-positive cocci by fatty acids and monoglycerides. *APMIS* **109**, 670–678.
- Blackburn TH & Hungate RE (1963) Succinic acid turnover and propionate production in the bovine rumen. *Appl Microbiol* **11**, 132–135.
- Blaxter KL & Czerkawski J (1966) Modification of methane production of sheep by supplementation of its diet. *J Sci Food Agric* **17**, 417–420.
- Carro MP, Lebzien P & Rohr K (1995) Effect of pore size of nylon bags and dilution rate on fermentation parameters in a semi-continuous artificial rumen. *Small Rum Res* **15**, 113–119.
- Czerkawski JW & Breckenridge G (1977) Design and development of a long-term rumen simulation technique (RUSITEC). *Br J Nutr* **38**, 317–384.
- Demeyer DI (1991) Quantitative aspects of microbial metabolism in the rumen and hindgut. In *Rumen Microbial Metabolism and Ruminant Digestion*, pp. 217–237 [JP Jouany, editor]. Paris, France: INRA Editions.

- Dohme F, Machmüller A, Estermann BL, Pfister P, Wasserfallen A & Kreuzer M (1999) The role of the rumen ciliate protozoa for methane suppression caused by coconut oil. *Lett Appl Microbiol* **29**, 187–192.
- Dohme F, Machmüller A, Wasserfallen A & Kreuzer M (2000) Comparative efficiency of various fats rich in medium-chain fatty acids to suppress ruminal methanogenesis as measured with Rusitec. *Can J Anim Sci* **80**, 473–482.
- Dohme F, Machmüller A, Wasserfallen A & Kreuzer M (2001a) Ruminal methanogenesis as influenced by individual fatty acids supplemented to complete ruminant diets. *Lett Appl Microbiol* **32**, 47–51.
- Dohme F, Sutter F, Machmüller A & Kreuzer M (2001b) Methane formation and energy metabolism of lactating cows receiving individual medium-chain fatty acids. In *Energy Metabolism in Animals. Proceedings of the 15th Symposium on Energy Metabolism in Animals, 2000*, pp. 369–372 [A Chwalibog and K Jabobsen, editors]. Wageningen, The Netherlands: Pers Wageningen.
- Dong Y, Bae HD, McAllister TA, Mathison GW & Cheng K-J (1997) Lipid-induced depression of methane production and digestibility in the artificial rumen system (RUSITEC). *Can J Anim Sci* **77**, 269–278.
- Finlay BJ, Esteban G, Clarke KJ, Williams AG, Embley TM & Hirt RP (1994) Some rumen ciliates have endosymbiotic methanogens. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **117**, 157–162.
- Harfoot CG, Crouchman ML, Noble RC & Moore JH (1974) Competition between food particles and rumen bacteria in the uptake of long chain fatty acids and triglycerides. *J Appl Bact* **37**, 633–641.
- Henderson C (1973) The effect of fatty acids on pure cultures of rumen bacteria. *J Agric Sci* **81**, 107–112.
- Hino T & Asanuma N (2003) Suppression of ruminal methanogenesis by decreasing the substrates available to methanogenic bacteria. *Nutr Abstr Rev* **73**, 1–8.
- Jenkins TC (1993) Lipid metabolism in the rumen. *J Dairy Sci* **76**, 3851–3863.
- Jenkins TC & Palmquist DL (1984) Effect of fatty acids or calcium soaps on rumen and total nutrient digestibility of dairy rations. *J Dairy Sci* **67**, 978–986.
- Jouany JP (1994) Manipulation of microbial activity in the rumen. *Arch Anim Nutr* **46**, 133–153.
- Kabara JJ (1993) Medium-chain fatty acids and esters. In *Antimicrobials in Foods*, pp. 307–342 [PM Davidson and AL Branen, editors]. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Kabara JJ & Vrable R (1977) Antimicrobial lipids: natural and synthetic fatty acids and monoglycerides. *Lipids* **12**, 753–759.
- Khalil MAK (2000) Atmospheric methane: an introduction. In *Atmospheric Methane: Its Role in the Global Environment*, pp. 1–8 [MAK Khali, editor]. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag.
- Koster IW & Cramer A (1987) Inhibition of methanogenesis from acetate in granular sludge by long-chain fatty acids. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **53**, 403–409.
- Krause DO, Denman SE, Mackie RI, Morrison M, Rae AL, Attwood GT & McSweeney CS (2003) Opportunities to improve fiber degradation in the rumen: microbiology, ecology, and genomics. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **27**, 663–693.
- Le Van TD, Robinson LA, Ralph J, Greening RC, Smolenski WJ, Leedle JAZ & Schaefer DM (1998) Assessment of reductive acetogenesis with indigenous ruminal bacterium populations and *Acetitomaculum ruminis*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **64**, 3429–3436.
- Lin C, Raskin L & Stahl DA (1997) Microbial community structure in gastrointestinal tracts of domestic animals: comparative analyses using rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **22**, 281–294.
- Littell RC, Henry PR & Ammerman CB (1998) Statistical analysis of repeated measures data using SAS procedures. *J Anim Sci* **76**, 1216–1231.
- Machmüller A & Kreuzer M (1999) Methane suppression by coconut oil and associated effects on nutrient and energy balance in sheep. *Can J Anim Sci* **79**, 65–72.
- Machmüller A, Ossowski DA, Wanner M & Kreuzer M (1998) Potential of various fatty feeds to reduce methane release from rumen fermentation *in vitro* (Rusitec). *Anim Feed Sci Technol* **71**, 117–130.
- Machmüller A, Soliva CR & Kreuzer M (2002) *In vitro* ruminal methane suppression by lauric acid as influenced by dietary calcium. *Can J Anim Sci* **82**, 233–239.
- Machmüller A, Soliva CR & Kreuzer M (2003) Methane-suppressing effect of myristic acid in sheep as affected by dietary calcium and forage proportion. *Br J Nutr* **90**, 529–540.
- Matsumoto M, Kobayashi T, Takenaka A & Itabashi H (1991) Defaunation effects of medium-chain fatty acids and their derivatives on goat rumen protozoa. *J Gen Appl Microbiol* **37**, 439–445.
- McLay JC, Kennedy MJ, O'Rourke AL, Elliot RM & Simmonds RS (2002) Inhibition of bacterial foodborne pathogens by the lactoperoxidase system in combination with monolaurin. *Int J Food Microbiol* **73**, 1–9.
- Miller TL (1995) Ecology of methane production and hydrogen sink in the rumen. In *Ruminant Physiology: Digestion, Metabolism, Growth and Reproduction*, pp. 317–331 [W Engelhardt, G Breves, S Leonhard-Marek and D Giesecke, editors]. Berlin, Germany: Ferdinand Enke Verlag.
- Morvan B, Bonnemoy F, Fonty G & Gouet P (1996) Quantitative determination of H₂-utilizing acetogenic and sulfate-reducing bacteria and methanogenic archaea from digestive tract of different mammals. *Curr Microbiol* **32**, 129–133.
- Naumann K & Bassler R (1997) Die chemische Untersuchung von Futtermitteln. In *Methodenbuch*, 4th ed., vol. III, chapter 6.5.1, pp. 1–4. Darmstadt, Germany: VDLUFA-Verlag.
- Raskin L, Stromley JM, Rittmann BE & Stahl DA (1994) Group-specific 16S rRNA hybridization probes to describe natural communities of methanogens. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **60**, 1232–1240.
- Sharp R, Ziemer CJ, Stern MD & Stahl DA (1998) Taxon-specific associations between protozoal and methanogen populations in the rumen and a model rumen system. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **26**, 71–78.
- Soliva CR, Hess HD, Meile L, Kreuzer M & Machmüller A (2003a) Suppression of ruminal methanogenesis by dietary means: apparent inconsistency between methane release and counts of microbes involved in methanogenesis. *Tropic Subtrop Agroecosyst* **3**, 209–213.
- Soliva CR, Hindrichsen IK, Meile L, Kreuzer M & Machmüller A (2003b) Effects of mixtures of lauric and myristic acid on rumen methanogens and methanogenesis *in vitro*. *Lett Appl Microbiol* **37**, 35–39.
- Stahl DA & Amann R (1991) Development and application of nucleic acid probes. In *Nucleic Acid Techniques in Bacterial Systematics*, pp. 205–248 [E Stackebrandt and M Goodfellow, editors]. New York: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Stahl DA, Amann RI, Poulsen LK, Raskin L & Capman WC (1995) Use of fluorescent probes for determinative microscopy of methanogenic archaea. In *Archaea: Methanogens: A Laboratory Manual*, pp. 111–121 [KR Sowers and HJ Schreier, editors]. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Tangerman A & Nagengast FM (1996) A gas chromatographic analysis of fecal short-chain fatty acids, using the direct injection method. *Anal Biochem* **236**, 1–8.
- Thormar H, Isaacs CE, Brown HR, Barshatzky MR & Pessolano T (1987) Inactivation on enveloped viruses and killing of

- cells by fatty acids and monoglycerides. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **31**, 27–31.
- Van Nevel CJ & Demeyer DI (1995) Feed additives and other interventions for decreasing methane emissions. In *Biotechnology in Animal Feeds and Animal Feeding*, pp. 329–349 [RJ Wallace and A Chesson, editors]. Weinheim, Germany: VCH.
- Whitelaw FG, Eadie JM, Bruce IA & Shand WJ (1984) Methane formation in faunated and ciliate-free cattle and its relationship with rumen volatile fatty acid proportions. *Br J Nutr* **52**, 261–275.
- Wolin MJ, Miller TL & Stewart CS (1997) Microbe-microbe interactions. In *The Rumen Microbial Ecosystem*, pp. 467–491 [PN Hobson and CS Stewart, editors]. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Wuebbles DJ & Hayhoe K (2002) Atmospheric methane and global change. *Earth-Sci Rev* **57**, 117–210.