

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF LINE SPACES

BY

J. H. M. WHITFIELD\* AND S. YONG†

**ABSTRACT.** The line spaces of J. Cantwell are characterized among the axiomatic convexity spaces defined by Kay and Womble. This characterization is coupled with a recent result of Doignon to give an intrinsic solution of the linearization problem.

**§1. Introduction.** A convexity space is a pair  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  where  $X$  is a non-empty set and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a family of subsets closed under arbitrary intersection and includes  $\emptyset$  and  $X$ . In [7] Kay and Womble introduce such spaces and raise the linearization problem: derive necessary and sufficient conditions for a convexity space to be a vector space over an ordered field for which the members of  $\mathcal{C}$  are the convex sets.

The purpose of this note is to present a solution to this problem by characterizing those convexity spaces that are line spaces [3] and using Doignon's recent result [6] that a line space, generally, is a linearly open convex subset of an affine space. This solution differs from those given in [8] and [9] each of which impose conditions *extrinsic* to the convexity structure. Another intrinsic solution has been obtained recently by David Kay using an approach different than the one presented here.

The results presented in this paper are a part of the second named author's Master's thesis. Also the authors wish to thank Professor Peter Mah and the referee for several helpful suggestions.

**§2. Definitions.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  be a convexity space. For any  $A \subseteq X$ , the convex hull of  $A$  is defined as  $\mathcal{C}(A) = \bigcap \{C : C \in \mathcal{C}, A \subseteq C\}$ . The operation of forming the convex hull is a (non-topological) closure operator  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying, for  $A, B \subseteq X$ : (i)  $A \subseteq \mathcal{C}(A)$ , (ii)  $\mathcal{C}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(B)$  when  $A \subseteq B$ , (iii)  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}(A)) = \mathcal{C}(A)$ . Also one has that  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C}(A) = A$ .

We will denote singletons  $\{a\}$  by  $a$  and the convex hull of finite sets  $\{a, b, c, \dots\}$  by  $\mathcal{C}(a, b, c, \dots)$ . For  $a, b \in X$  we will denote  $\mathcal{C}(a, b)$  by  $ab$  and call it the *segment* with endpoints  $a$  and  $b$ . The corresponding *open segment* is  $(ab) = ab \setminus \{a, b\}$ . Note that if  $a = b$ ,  $(ab)$  is not necessarily empty.

---

Received by the editors November 27, 1979 and, in revised form, January 8, 1980.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification (1970). Primary: 52A05, Secondary: 50D15

Key words: Convexity space, line space, linearization.

\* This author supported in part by NSERC (NRC) Grant A7535. This paper was written while the author was visiting at the University of Waterloo.

† Current address: 40-L East Coast Road, Singapore 15.

It is easy to verify that for  $x \in X$  and  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $\cup\{xb : b \in \mathcal{C}(A)\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\cup\{xa : a \in A\}) = \mathcal{C}(x \cup A)$ . The reverse inclusion does not always hold. If it does,  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  is said to be *join-hull commutative* (JHC).

$(X, \mathcal{C})$  is said to be *domain-finite* (DF) if, for each  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(A) = \cup\{\mathcal{C}(F) : F \subseteq A, |F| < \infty\}$ . ( $|F|$  denotes the cardinality of  $F$ .)

**2.1 REMARK.** When  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  is both DF and JHC, (i) if  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{C}(A \cup B)$ , then  $x \in ab$  for some  $a \in A, b \in B$ ; (ii)  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $ab \subseteq A$  whenever  $a, b \in A$ .

Let  $a/b = \{x : x \neq a, a \in xb\}$ . The *line* determined by  $a, b \in X, a \neq b$ , is the set  $l(a, b) = ab \cup (a/b) \cup (b/a)$ . If  $F \subseteq X$  and  $l(a, b) \subseteq F$  whenever  $a, b \in F$ , then  $F$  is called a *flat*. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the family of all flats in  $(X, \mathcal{C})$ . Then  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is a convexity space and  $\mathcal{A}(A)$  is called the *affine hull* of  $A$ . The *dimension* of  $X$ ,  $\dim X$ , is defined inductively:  $\dim X = 0$ , if  $X$  is a singleton;  $\dim X = n$ , if  $X = \mathcal{A}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $\dim X \neq n - 1$ ;  $\dim X = \infty$ , if  $X \neq \mathcal{A}(F)$  for every finite subset  $F \subseteq X$ .

$(X, \mathcal{C})$  is *regular* (REG) if its segments are (i) non-discrete:  $(ab) \neq \phi$  when  $a \neq b$ ; (ii) decomposable: if  $x \in ab$ , then  $ax \cap xb = x$  and  $ax \cup xb = ab$ ; and, (iii) extendable:  $a/b$  is non-empty when  $a \neq b$ .

Finally, we say that  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  is *straight* (STR) if the union of two segments having more than one point in common is a segment.

**2.2 REMARKS.** (i) If  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  is REG then the following properties obtain: (1)  $\mathcal{C}(a) = a$  for all  $a \in X$ ; (2) if  $a \in bc$  and  $b \in ac, a \neq b \neq c$ , then  $a = b$ ; and, (3) for distinct points  $a, b, c$ , if  $a \in bc$ , then  $b \notin ac$  and  $c \notin ab$ . (ii) The segments in a regular space can be given a natural linear ordering as decomposability essentially yields a betweenness relation. (iii) In a straight, regular space  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  lines are uniquely determined by two points. In particular, for  $a, b \in X, l(a, b) = \mathcal{A}(a, b)$ . Further, as for segments above, lines have a natural linear ordering. (iv) The paradigm of a convexity space with any or all of the above properties is a real vector space. However, there are many other models of a convexity space and, in fact, each of the properties can be shown to be independent as is seen in the final section.

**§3. Line spaces.** In 1974 Cantwell [3] introduced line spaces (see definition below). Subsequently Doignon [6] has shown that line spaces of dimension three or greater or of dimension two and desarguesian are linearly open convex subsets of a real affine space. Recently Cantwell and Kay [4] have also obtained essentially the same result for dimension  $\geq 3$  using different techniques.

In this section we will characterize those convexity spaces that are line spaces. Then Doignon's result will yield the desired linearization theorem.

A pair  $(X, \mathcal{L})$ ,  $X$  a non-empty set whose members are called *points* and  $\mathcal{L}$  a family of subsets of  $X$  whose members are called *lines*, is called a *line space*

(Cantwell [3]) if the following conditions are satisfied: (i) every line is uniquely determined by two points; (ii) every line  $l \in \mathcal{L}$  is a linearly ordered set with ordering  $<_l$  and is order isomorphic to the reals; and, (iii) (Pasch's axiom) for any  $a, b, c \in X$ ,  $x \in [a, b]$ , and  $y \in [c, x]$ , then there is  $z \in [a, c]$  so that  $y \in [b, z]$  where  $[a, b] = \{x \in l = l(a, b) : a \leq_l x \leq_l b\}$  and  $l(a, b)$  is the line uniquely determined by  $a$  and  $b$ . (If  $a = b$ ,  $l(a, b) = a$ .)

If  $(X, \mathcal{L})$  is a line space and  $C \subseteq X$ , we say  $C$  is a *convex set*, if  $[a, b] \subseteq C$  whenever  $a, b \in C$ . Letting  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}$  denote the family of all convex sets in  $(X, \mathcal{L})$ , it can be shown that  $(X, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}})$  is a convexity space that is DF, JHC, REG, STR and complete (see definition below). The main result of this section will be to show the converse of this statement.

**3.1 LEMMA.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  be DF, JHC, REG, and STR and let  $a, b, c \in X$ . (i) (Pasch's axiom). If  $y \in ac$  and  $z \in by$  then there is  $x \in ab$  such that  $z \in cx$ . (ii) (Peano's axiom). If  $x \in ab$  and  $y \in ac$ , then  $by \cap cx \neq \emptyset$ . Further, if  $a, b, c$  are non-collinear then  $by \cap cx$  is a singleton.*

**Proof.** (i) Pasch's axiom is an immediate consequence of JHC. (ii) Since the result is straightforward, if  $a, b, c$  are collinear, it suffices to consider the case where  $a, b, c$  are non-collinear and  $x \in (ab)$ ,  $y \in (ac)$ .

By REG, there exists  $d \in X$  such that  $a \in (xd)$ . By Pasch's axiom, there is  $e \in cx$  such that  $y \in (de)$  and  $c \neq e$ , otherwise  $y = c = e$  which contradicts  $y \in (ac)$ . Now  $x \in (ab)$  and  $a \in (bd)$  so  $e \in (cx) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(b, c, d)$ . By JHC, there is  $f \in (bc)$  such that  $e \in (df)$ . Again by Pasch's axiom there is  $z \in (by)$  such that  $e \in (cz)$ .  $z \in (by) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(a, b, c)$  so, by JHC, there is  $w \in (ab)$  such that  $z \in (cw)$ . Since  $e \in (cz) \subseteq (cw)$  and  $e \in (cx)$ , by STR,  $c, w$ , and  $x$  are collinear. Thus  $w = x$  and  $z \in (cx)$ .

Finally if  $z_1, z_2 \in (by) \cap (cx)$ , then  $(by) \cup (cx)$  is a segment. Thus  $b, x, c, y$ , and hence  $a, b, c$ , are collinear.

Before proceeding to show that lines in such convexity spaces are order isomorphic to the reals, we need the following definition.

A convexity space  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  is said to be *complete* (CMP) provided, for each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , if  $a \in C$  and  $b \in X \setminus C$ , then there is  $d \in (ab)$  such that  $(ad) \subseteq C$  and  $(db) \subseteq X \setminus C$ .

**3.2 REMARKS.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  be DF, JHC, REG, STR, and CMP. (i) Each open segment in  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  is conditionally complete, that is, every non-empty bounded subset has a greatest lower bound and a least upper bound. (ii) If  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  is also of dimension at least two, that is, there are three non-collinear points in  $X$ , then using the Pasch and Peano axioms one can show that any two open segments in  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  are order isomorphic. Further, by using Theorem 12.61 in Coxeter's book [5], one can show that each open segment in  $X$  is order isomorphic to a line, and conversely.

**3.3 PROPOSITION.** *If  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  is DF, JHC, REG, STR, and CMP with dimension at least 2, then each line is order isomorphic to the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

**Proof.** By 3.2(ii), it is sufficient to show that an open segment is order isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Further, it follows from Theorem 24, Chapter VIII of [1] that to show an open segment is order isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$  it suffices to show that it contains a countable dense subset, is conditionally complete and has no endpoints. By 3.2(i), it is sufficient to produce a countable dense subset. This is done using the following nice construction due to Doignon [6].

Let  $a, b, c \in X$  be distinct non-collinear points. Define a sequence by setting  $x_1 = a$  and choosing  $x_{m+1} \in (x_m b)$ . By CMP, there is  $d \in ab$  such that  $\{x_m\} \subseteq ad$ , for each  $y \in (ad)$  there is some  $x_m \in (yd)$  and  $d \neq x_m$  for any  $m$ .

Consider the open segment  $(da) \subseteq l(a, b)$  and order the line so that  $d < a$ . Choose  $e \in (dc)$ . For  $u, v \in (da)$ , construct  $u + v \in (da)$  as follows: let  $y = (ea) \cap (cu)$ ,  $z = y/d \cap (ca)$ ,  $w = (ya) \cap (zv)$  and set  $u + v = w/c \cap (da)$ . By definition  $u + v > u$  and  $u + v > v$ ; moreover  $+$  is strictly increasing in each of its arguments. In particular, if  $t, u, v \in (da)$  and  $u < v$ , then  $u + t < v + t$  and  $t + u < t + v$ . Also, it is easily shown that, if  $u < w$ , then there is a unique  $v \in (da)$  such that  $u + v = w$ .

For  $u \in (da)$  and  $n$  a positive integer, define  $n \cdot u$  and  $(n + 1)u = n \cdot u + u$ . If  $u < v$ , there is some  $n$  such that  $nu > v$ ; for, if not, then  $nu \leq v$  for all  $n$ . Let  $\bar{u} = \sup\{n \cdot u : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Now  $\bar{u} > u$ , so there is  $w \in (da)$  such that  $u + w = \bar{u}$ . Since  $w < \bar{u}$ , there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \cdot u > w$  and  $(n + 1)u > w + u = \bar{u}$  which is a contradiction.

Let  $Q = \{m \cdot x_n : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  which is a countable subset of  $(da)$ . Let  $f, g \in (da)$ ,  $f < g$ . There is  $h \in (da)$  such that  $f + h = g$  and, for some  $n$ ,  $x_n < h$ . If  $x_n > f$ , then  $f < x_n < g$ . Otherwise, choosing the largest  $m$  such that  $m \cdot x_n \leq f$ , one obtains  $f < (m + 1)x_n < g$ . Thus  $Q$  is dense in  $(da)$  and the proposition is proved.

The results of this section can be summarized in the following.

**3.4 THEOREM.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  be a DF, JHC, REG, STR, and CMP convexity space of dimension at least two and let  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{C}}$  be the collection of lines in  $(X, \mathcal{C})$ . Then  $(X, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{C}})$  is a line space. Conversely, if  $(X, \mathcal{L})$  is a line space and  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}$  is the collection of convex subsets of  $X$ , then  $(X, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}})$  is a DF, JHC, REG, STR, and CMP convexity space.*

The linearization result is a corollary of Theorem 3.4 and Doignon's result mentioned above or the Cantwell–Kay result, if  $\dim X \geq 3$ . For  $\dim X \geq 3$ , both [4] and [6] achieve the same result, but [4] is self-contained while [6] depends on a 1938 theorem of Sperner.

**3.5 COROLLARY.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  be a convexity space of dimension 2 and desarguesian or of dimension  $> 2$ , then  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  is isomorphic to a linearly open convex subset of a real affine space if and only if  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  is DF, JHC, REG, STR and CMP.*

§4. **Examples.** The topologists 'longline' with intervals as members of  $\mathcal{C}$  is a 1-dimensional convexity space satisfying DF, JHC, REG, STR, and CMP but it is not a line space (cf. Theorem 3.4). The Moulton plane, which is a 2-dimensional non-desarguesian line space, cannot be embedded in an affine space (cf. Corollary 3.5).

Finally, several examples, each designated by the *one property which fails* to obtain, are given to exhibit the independence of DF, JHC, REG, STR, and CMP. In each of the examples  $\dim X \geq 2$  and if  $\dim X = 2$ , it is desarguesian.

DF: Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  be the compact convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  together with  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

JHC: Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  be the convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  of dimension less than or equal to 2 together with  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

REG(i): (Segments fail to be non-discrete.) Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D$  where  $D$  is an open disc in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $\mathcal{C}$  consist of the sets of the form  $C \cap X$  where  $C$  is convex in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

REG(ii): (Segments fail to be decomposable.) Let  $X = P^3$ , the classical projective 3-space. Points in  $X$  are lines, in  $\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{0\}$ , which pass through the origin. For  $a, b \in X$ ,  $ab = a$  when  $a = b$  and  $ab$  is the unique projective line determined by  $a$  and  $b$  whenever  $a \neq b$ . Then  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $ab \subset C$  whenever  $a, b \in C$ .

REG(iii): (Segments fail to be extendable.) Let  $X = D$  where  $D$  is a closed disc in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of the sets of the form  $C \cap D$  for  $C$  convex in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

STR: Let  $X = U \cup S$  where  $U$  is the open unit disc in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $S = \{(x, 0) : x \geq 1\}$ . For  $a, b \in X$  define  $ab$  as follows:  $ab = [a, b]$ , if  $a, b \in U$  or  $a, b \in S$  and  $ab = [a, p] \cup [p, b]$  where  $p = (0, 1)$ , if  $a \in U$  and  $b \in S$ . Then  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $ab \subset C$ .

CMP: Let  $X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x, y \text{ are rational}\}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of sets of the form  $C \cap X$  where  $C$  is convex in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff, *Lattice Theory* (3rd ed.) Providence, Rhode Island, Amer. Math. Soc., 1967.
2. V. W. Bryant, *Independent axioms for convexity*, J. Geometry **5** (1974), 95–99.
3. J. Cantwell, *Geometric convexity. I.*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **2** (1974), 289–307.
4. J. Cantwell and D. C. Kay, *Geometric convexity. III., Embedding.*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **246** (1978), 211–230.
5. H. S. M. Coxeter, *Introduction to Geometry*, New York, John Wiley and Sons, 1969.
6. J.-P. Doignon, *Caractérisations d'espaces de Pasch–Peano*, Bull. de l'Acad. royale de Belgique (Class des Sciences) **62** (1976), 679–699.
7. D. C. Kay and E. W. Womble, *Axiomatic convexity theory and relationships between the Caratheodory, Helly and Radon numbers*, Pacific J. Math. **38** (1971), 471–485.
8. P. Mah, S. A. Naimpally, and J. H. M. Whitfield, *Linearization of a convexity space*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), **13** (1976), 209–214.
9. D. A. Szafraan and J. H. Weston, *An internal solution to the problem of linearization of a convexity space*, Canad. Math. Bull. **19** (1976), 487–494.

LAKEHEAD UNIVERSITY  
THUNDER BAY, ONTARIO.