

# An exploratory study to investigate alcohol consumption among breast-feeding mothers

Sarah Baker<sup>1,\*</sup> • and Meaghan Christian<sup>2</sup> •

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, York YO31 8HE, UK: <sup>2</sup>School of Clinical Sciences at Monash Health, Faculty of Medicine, Nursing and Health Sciences, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria, Australia

Submitted 22 July 2019: Final revision received 3 March 2020: Accepted 31 March 2020: First published online 23 June 2020

#### Abstract

Objective: We examined the likelihood of breast-feeding mothers consuming alcohol according to several socio-demographic factors.

Design: We carried out secondary data analyses using participant information obtained from a cross-sectional survey designed to capture the dietary habits of UK infants aged 4-18 months.

Setting: Data concerning breast-feeding mothers' social and domestic circumstances and alcohol consumption were drawn from the 2011 Diet and Nutrition Survey of Infants and Young Children.

Participants: Complete data from 2683 breast-feeding mothers were included, and further analyses were carried out on those who continued to drink alcohol (n 227). Results: Logistic regression enabled the identification of social factors associated with breast-feeding and continued alcohol consumption among mothers. Several social factors were found to influence the likelihood of breast-feeding mothers drinking alcohol. For example, older mothers, mothers with partners who drank alcohol, those with higher educational attainment and household income and those who consumed alcohol whilst pregnant were more likely to continue to drink alcohol. Mothers' breast-feeding infants older than 12 months were less likely to drink alcohol than those feeding infants aged 4–6 months. Conclusions: Evidence suggests that social circumstances influence the likelihood of alcohol use among mothers who are breast-feeding. Greater understanding of mothers' decision making with respect to the continuation or discontinuation of alcohol use whilst breast-feeding, according to the social context in which they

**Keywords** Alcohol Breast-feeding Patterns Quantitative research

Health inequality exists across socio-economic groups in relation to several health conditions, many of which can be directly attributed to differences in health behaviour<sup>(1)</sup>. The consequences of health inequality can be far reaching affecting not only the individuals themselves but also broader society as a whole<sup>(2)</sup>. Evidence suggests that despite overall improvements in population health, the gap in disease rates between socially advantaged and disadvantaged groups continues to increase, perpetuating health inequality<sup>(3)</sup>. This health 'gap' is further exacerbated as a result of multiple 'risky' health behaviours that are increasingly likely to be adopted by the least privileged members of society<sup>(4)</sup>.

To tackle health inequality, interventions should consider the social context in which specific health behaviours manifest themselves. For example, why do individuals and specific groups of the population engage in health damaging/health promoting behaviours and why do other groups not engage in these behaviours?

Research suggests that early lifecourse interventions have the potential to reduce health inequalities and that critical time-points exist during which health interventions may prove more effective<sup>(1)</sup>. Parenthood is a crucial point in the lifecourse during which disadvantaged groups may be more perceptive to health interventions such as smoking cessation<sup>(5)</sup>. Similar patterns might be true concerning breast-feeding and alcohol use. We focus on two key health behaviours: breast-feeding and alcohol use according to social and domestic circumstances.

\*Corresponding author: Email sarah.baker@york.nhs.uk

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society.



live, is warranted.



S Baker and M Christian 2930

## Breast-feeding and social context

Breast-feeding has been found to benefit both mother and child<sup>(6–8)</sup>. For the child, breast-feeding provides infants with essential nutrition and protection from infection as well as fostering early positive growth and development (9-11). For the mother, it is associated with reduced rates of ovarian and premenopausal breast cancer, and lower rates of obesity, type 2 diabetes and CVD<sup>(12)</sup>. Nevertheless, only 34% of mothers in the UK breastfeed up until their child is 6 months old<sup>(13)</sup>. Whilst the number of women in the UK who initiate breast-feeding is high 81%, this dramatically decreases to 55% by 6 weeks and 34% breast-feeding by 6 months<sup>(13)</sup>. Furthermore, there is considerable variation in breast-feeding rates according to social circumstances<sup>(13)</sup>. Individuals living in more advantaged social circumstances are more likely to adopt a broad range of protective health behaviours including breast-feeding<sup>(13)</sup>.

## Alcohol use and social context

The majority of adults in Great Britain (57 %) aged 16 years and over drink alcohol and do so in a manner that does not pose considerable health risks<sup>(14)</sup>. However, alcohol misuse in the minority has significant cost implications for society – such as reduced productivity (15) and increased demand on the National Health Service. In England in 2017/18, there were reportedly 1 171 253 alcohol-related admissions<sup>(16)</sup>, and the estimated cost of alcohol-related harm was £21-£52 billion<sup>(17)</sup>.

Patterns of alcohol use have been found to be influenced by socio-economic status<sup>(18)</sup>, and those in disadvantaged circumstances appear to be more harmfully affected by alcohol misuse than those not living in disadvantaged circumstances (19,20). Patterns of alcohol use among mothers have also been found to exist according to social and domestic circumstances<sup>(21)</sup> and evidence points to the increased likelihood of disadvantaged social groups engaging in health-damaging patterns of alcohol use<sup>(22)</sup>.

## Breast-feeding and alcohol use

There is a dearth of information cited in UK alcohol guidelines in relation to alcohol use whilst breast-feeding. The 2016 report on alcohol guidelines<sup>(23)</sup> considers alcohol use whilst pregnant but stops short of providing clear guidance for breast-feeding mothers. Much debate exists in the research literature surrounding the safety of alcohol consumption and breast-feeding. Several authors point to abstinence or a 'better to be safe than sorry' approach, recommending that women do not breastfeed if they drink alcohol citing the debate around how much and how long alcohol remains in breastmilk<sup>(24)</sup>. Others suggest that moderate levels of alcohol are acceptable provided the timing of feeds is considered; often stating that women should refrain/limit alcohol consumption for approximately 2 h before breast-feeding<sup>(24)</sup>. Perhaps unsurprisingly, research suggests that mothers are often subject to conflicting health messages<sup>(25)</sup>. Despite current guidelines advocating abstinence from alcohol consumption during pregnancy<sup>(23)</sup>, recent statistics suggest that between 40 and 80% of women in the UK drink whilst pregnant (26). Whether or not these patterns persist among breast-feeding mothers remains unknown. Therefore, exploration as to whether social and domestic factors influence mothers' alcohol use whilst breast-feeding is warranted and may provide the basis upon which to explore mothers' decisionmaking processes.

This paper identifies patterns of alcohol use among mothers as a sub-group of the population to illuminate what social factors influence alcohol use among breastfeeding mothers. In doing so, we provide information relevant to both economic and societal factors: 'patterns of alcohol consumption' through our focus on the breast-feeding months and 'costs to society' through our comparative evaluation of breast-feeding mothers living in different social circumstances.

## **Objectives**

- To explore the influence of social factors on patterns of alcohol use amongst breast-feeding mothers in the UK using an explorative quantitative research design.
- To quantify the influence of age and social factors (partner's drinking status, educational attainment, age of child, household income, alcohol use during pregnancy) on patterns of alcohol use among breast-feeding mothers using the 2011 Diet and Nutrition Survey of Infants and Young Children<sup>(27)</sup>.

#### **Methods**

## **Participants**

We carried out a secondary data analysis using participant information drawn from data gathered as part of the 2011 Diet and Nutrition Survey of Infants and Young Children, a cross-sectional survey designed to capture the dietary habits of UK infants aged 4-18 months<sup>(27)</sup>. The original sample composed of 4451 individuals. Of these, 3 % were excluded because they were fed artificially (aged 1 week or older) or weighed less than 2 kg. This left 4317 eligible to take part. Of these individuals, 62 % completed the survey in its entirety<sup>(27)</sup>. The sample was weighted to ensure that it was representative of the UK population. We included data from all 2683 mothers with complete data who took part in the original survey and examined those who stated that they continued to drink alcohol whilst



Alcohol consumption and breast-feeding mothers

breast-feeding (n 227) according to their socio-demographic circumstances.

## Statistical analyses

The binary outcome measure 'Breastfeeding and drinking' (Y/N) was derived from a positive response to questions posed to mothers about breast-feeding status (currently breast-feeding) and self-reported alcohol consumption (currently drinking alcohol).

The following variables identified as related to women's alcohol were included (see Table 1): age, partner's drinking status, educational attainment, age of child, household income, alcohol use during pregnancy<sup>(21)</sup>. SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences)(28) was used to carry out the statistical analyses.

Descriptive statistics are reported for alcohol use among breast-feeding mothers according to social circumstances to ascertain whether they are significantly influential. Independent samples t test and  $\chi^2$  test for independence were performed on continuous and categorical variables, respectively. However, unlike OR,  $\chi^2$  tests do not provide information on the relationship between variables. Therefore, logistic regression that included mutually adjusted analyses was performed to assess the impact of the independent variables on the likelihood of mothers drinking whilst breast-feeding (dependant variable).

Table 1 Breast-feeding and drinking according to social circumstances

	Breast-feeding and drinking alcohol						
	Yes	%	No	%			
Living with a partner who drinks							
Not mentioned (n 1205)	37	3⋅1	1168	96.9			
Mentioned (n 1477)	190	12.9	1287	87.1			
Missing (n 1)			1				
Educational attainment							
Other (n 1495)	74	4.9	1421	95.1			
Degree level (n 903)	149	16⋅5	754	83.5			
Missing ( <i>n</i> 285)	4						
Age of child (months)							
4–6 ( <i>n</i> 329)	50	15.2	279	84.8			
7–9 ( <i>n</i> 630)	86	13.7	544	86.3			
10–11 ( <i>n</i> 449)	35	7⋅8	414	92.2			
12–18 ( <i>n</i> 1275)	56	4.4	1219	95.6			
Annual household income							
<£9999 (n 364)	7	1.9	357	98∙1			
£10 000–£19 999 (n 493)	27	5.5	466	94.5			
£20 000–£39 999 (n 732)	45	6⋅1	687	93.9			
£40 000–£49 999 (n 303)	55	18.2	248	81.8			
£50 000+ (n 513)	75	14.6	438	85.4			
Missing ( <i>n</i> 278)	18		260				
Drank alcohol during pregnancy							
No ( <i>n</i> 2010)	131	6.5	1879	93.5			
Yes (n 671)	96	14.3	575	85.7			
Missing (n 2)			2				



Totally, 2683 mothers took part in the survey and n 227 reported that they continued to drink alcohol whilst breast-feeding. Table 1 provides a summary of the descriptive statistics that illustrate the proportion of mothers who drank alcohol whilst breast-feeding according to several social variables (education, drank whilst pregnant, living with a partner who drank, age of child and household income).

Additional analyses were carried out to determine whether differences in breast-feeding and alcohol consumption were significant for age and each of the social variables (education, drank whilst pregnant, living with a partner who drank, age of child and household income) (Table 2).

## Age of mother

An independent samples t test was carried out to compare the age of mothers who drank alcohol whilst breast-feeding to those who did not drink alcohol whilst breast-feeding. There was a significant difference in age among breastfeeding mothers who drank alcohol (M32.88, sp 4.75) and those who did not drink alcohol (M30.09, sp 6.07; t(298.83) = -8.23, P = 0.000 (two-tailed)). This shows that older mothers are increasingly likely to drink alcohol whilst breast-feeding. However, the magnitude of the differences in the means (mean difference -2.78, 95 % CI -3.45, -2.12) was very small  $(\eta^2 = 0.006)^{(29)}$ .

## Influence of partner

Women (12.9 %) who drank whilst breast-feeding reported living with a partner who drank in comparison with women (3.1%) who drank whilst breast-feeding who did not report living with a partner who drank. A  $\chi^2$  test for independence (with Yates Continuity Correction) shows that living with a partner who drinks results in an increased likelihood of drinking whilst breast-feeding. However, the effect size was small<sup>(29)</sup>  $\chi^2(1, n 2682) = 80.89, P = 0.000, \phi = 0.175.$ 

## Educational attainment

The proportion of women who breastfed and consumed alcohol was 16.5% of women educated to degree level and 4.9% of women not educated to degree level. A  $\chi^2$ test for independence (with Yates Continuity Correction) shows that higher educational attainment among mothers equals an increased likelihood of continuing to drink alcohol whilst breast-feeding. However, the effect size was very small<sup>(29)</sup>,  $\chi^2(1, n 2398) = 87.69$ , P = 0.000,  $\phi = -0.193$ .

## Age of child

The proportion of mothers who drank alcohol and breastfed was 15·2, 13·7, 7·8 and 4·4% when the child was aged 4–6, 7–9, 10–11 and 12–18 months, respectively. A  $\chi^2$  test for independence shows the younger the child,



S Baker and M Christian 2932

Table 2 Mutually adjusted model to illustrate significant predictors of breast-feeding and drinking\*

	Breast-feeding and drinking alcohol						
	Yes	%	No	%	OR*	95 % CI	Р
Living with a partner who drinks							
Not mentioned (n 1205)	37	3⋅1	1168	96.9	1		
Mentioned (n 1477)	190	12.9	1287	87⋅1	2.24	1.48, 3.39	0.000
Missing (n 1)							
Educational attainment							
Other (n 1495)	74	4.9	1421	95⋅1	1		
Degree level (n 903)	149	16⋅5	754	83.5	2.42	1.67, 3.49	0.000
Missing ( <i>n</i> 285)	4						
Age of child (months)							
4–6 ( <i>n</i> 329)	50	15⋅2	279	84.8	1		
7–9 ( <i>n</i> 630)	86	13⋅7	544	86⋅3	0.82	0.54, 1.26	0.376
10–11 ( <i>n</i> 449)	35	7⋅8	414	92.2	0.35	0.21, 0.59	0.000
12–18 ( <i>n</i> 1275)	56	4.4	1219	95⋅6	0.22	0.14, 0.34	0.000
Annual household income							
<£9999 (n 364)	7	1.9	357	98⋅1	1		
£10 000–£19 999 (n 493)	27	5⋅5	466	94.5	2.17	0.91, 5.12	0.080
£20 000–£39 999 (n 732)	45	6⋅1	687	93.9	1.96	0.84, 4.59	0.119
£40 000–£49 999 (n 303)	55	18⋅2	248	81.8	1.88	0.80, 4.56	0.150
£50 000+ (n 513)	75	14.6	438	85.4	1.83	0.77, 4.36	0.171
Missing (n 278)	18						
Drank alcohol during pregnancy							
No ( <i>n</i> 2010)	131	6.5	1879	93.5	1		
Yes (n 671)	96	14⋅3	575	85.7	1.57	1.14, 2.18	0.006
Missing (n 2)			2				

<sup>\*</sup>Adjusted for mothers' age

the more likely mothers were to consume alcohol whilst breast-feeding. However, the effect size was small<sup>(29)</sup>  $\chi^2(1, n \ 2683) = 68.70, P = 0.000, Cramer's V = 0.160.$ 

#### Household income

The proportion of mothers who drank alcohol and breastfed was 1.9, 5.5, 8, 11.7 and 14.6 % across the annual household income quintiles; £0-£9999, £10 000-£19 999, £20 000-£39 999, £40 000-£49 999 and £50 000+, respectively. A  $\chi^2$  test for independence shows that mothers living in high income households have a greater chance of drinking whilst breast-feeding. However, the effect size was small<sup>(29)</sup>  $\chi^2(1, n 2405) = 55.90, P = 0.000,$ Cramer's V = 0.152.

## Alcohol use during pregnancy

A  $\chi^2$  test for independence (with Yates Continuity Correction) shows that consumption of alcohol whilst pregnant results in an increased likelihood of consuming alcohol whilst breast-feeding. However, the effect size was very small<sup>(29)</sup>  $\chi^2(1, n 2681) = 88.39, P = 0.000, \phi = -0.121.$ 

Age and each of the social variables (education, drank whilst pregnant, living with a partner who drank, age of child and household income) were found to be significantly associated with the likelihood of mothers consuming alcohol whilst breast-feeding. To ensure all variables were contributing uniquely to the model, a Wald test was carried out. All correlation values were <0.1; therefore, no

variables were excluded from the analyses. Therefore, using a model that included all six independent variables, logistic regression was performed to assess the impact of each of the variables whilst controlling for all the other variables.

The full model containing all predictors was statistically significant,  $\chi^2(8, n 2173) = 208.55$ , P = 0.000, indicating that the model was able to distinguish between respondents who did and did not report drinking whilst breast-feeding.

The model as a whole explained between 9.2% (Cox and Snell  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) and 19.7 % (Nagelkerte  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) of the variance in breast-feeding and alcohol use status and correctly classified 90.8 % of cases. Mothers' age and four independent variables made a unique and significant contribution to the model (education, drank whilst pregnant, living with a partner who drank and age of child).

The strongest predictor of drinking whilst breast-feeding was education (OR 2.42, CI 1.67, 3.49, P = 0.000). This indicated that respondents who were educated to degree level or higher were 2.42 times as likely to consume alcohol whilst breast-feeding than those who were not educated to degree level. Age also predicted drinking whilst breastfeeding (OR 1.04, CI 1.01, 1.08, P = 0.014). For every increment in the mother's age, the likelihood of drinking whilst breast-feeding was 1.04 times as likely. Drinking whilst pregnant predicted drinking whilst breast-feeding (OR 1.57, CI 1.14, 2.18, P = 0.006). This indicated that respondents who drank whilst pregnant were 1.57 times as likely to consume alcohol whilst breast-feeding than those who





did not. Living with a partner who drank predicted drinking whilst breast-feeding (OR 2.24, CI 1.48, 3.39, P = 0.000). This indicated that respondents who lived with partners who drank were 2.24 times as likely to consume alcohol whilst breast-feeding than those who did not. The age of the child predicted drinking whilst breast-feeding (OR 0.22, CI 0.14, 0.34, P = 0.000). This indicated that respondents whose children were older than 12 months were 0.22 times as likely to consume alcohol whilst breast-feeding in comparison with those whose children were aged 4-6 months, controlling for other factors in the model.

#### Discussion

We have demonstrated that alcohol use whilst breastfeeding is influenced by age and several factors (education, drank whilst pregnant, living with a partner who drank, a ge of child and household income) mirroring previous research among mothers with pre-school-aged children<sup>(21)</sup>. Our results suggest that mothers who drank during their pregnancy and who live with a partner who drinks alcohol are more likely to drink alcohol themselves whilst breast-feeding. In addition, we found that social advantage (higher educational attainment and household income) increased the likelihood of mothers continuing to drink alcohol whilst breast-feeding. These findings indicate that previous health behaviours, social and domestic factors are all influential concerning whether women chose to adhere to current guidelines that advocate abstinence whilst breast-feeding<sup>(23)</sup>.

The effect of alcohol use whilst breast-feeding continues to be widely debated and clear evidence-based guidance for mothers is lacking<sup>(24)</sup>. Furthermore, mothers are subject to conflicting advice at a time when they might already be struggling with the responsibility of parenthood<sup>(25)</sup>. This uncertainty has likely led to the recommendation that breast-feeding mothers abstain from alcohol use for the duration they chose to breastfeed<sup>(23)</sup>.

Evidence suggests that parenthood might prompt individuals to re-consider their own health-behaviour including alcohol use to align themselves with what is defined as socially acceptable<sup>(30)</sup>. Alcohol consumption is a part of UK culture<sup>(14,31)</sup>, and previous studies have demonstrated how alcohol can be used to affirm membership of social groups<sup>(32)</sup> and portray mothers' self-image separate to that of their children<sup>(22)</sup>. However, research has found that becoming a parent brings about a shift in what is considered socially acceptable<sup>(33,34)</sup>. Breast-feeding has been found to conform to the 'good' mother ideology<sup>(35)</sup>. Therefore, mothers might choose to discontinue breast-feeding rather than risk being considered deviant<sup>(35)</sup>. As previously mentioned, our results suggest that mothers who are socially advantaged are more likely to continue to consume

alcohol whilst breast-feeding. This might be related to the fact that they are less likely to suffer the stigmatisation and marginalisation experienced by socially deprived members of society as a result of their health behaviour<sup>(36)</sup>.

Whilst advice to abstain is undoubtedly given with the best of intentions, one might argue that a less stringent approach whereby a moderate amount of alcohol consumption is advocated perhaps with additional advice around the timing of feeds might lead to increased breast-feeding rates and mothers continuing to breastfeed for longer. A positive example of describing different options for breast-feeding and alcohol consumption is the National Health Service 'breastfeeding and drinking alcohol' website which in detail, describes different options for women when breast-feeding, what alcohol units are, how often occasional drinking should be, what not to do when consuming alcohol, when to see your doctor if you drink higher than a recommended 'safe amount'. This information might be better utilised and understood if it was described in detail during midwives/or health visitor visits<sup>(37)</sup>. This is not to ignore the potential for harm posed by breast-feeding mothers who consume excessive amounts of alcohol, but this needs to be balanced with the majority for whom moderate alcohol consumption is the norm.

Both breast-feeding and alcohol consumption have the potential to positively or negatively influence health outcomes. In our study, breast-feeding and continued alcohol use were positively associated with several social factors such as mothers' age, mothers with partners who drank alcohol, higher educational attainment, higher household income and consumption of alcohol during pregnancy. Breast-feeding and continued alcohol use were negatively associated with infant age. However, there are a number of limitations associated with the study. For example, alcohol consumption was self-reported and mothers might not have given an accurate portrayal of their intake. In addition, the cross-sectional design does not analyse behaviour over time.

Further prospective exploration as to *wby* these patterns exist is necessary to determine what level of influence alcohol consumption might have on the continuation of breastfeeding and vice versa across different social groups. This will enable policy makers to develop targeted interventions that support longer-term breast-feeding. In the meantime, robust evidence-based guidelines around alcohol consumption and breast-feeding that are less restrictive might permit mothers to breastfeed for longer.

## Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank all the mothers who participated in the original study and the Diet





2934 S Baker and M Christian

and Nutrition Survey of Infants and Young Children (2011) for allowing access to the data. Financial support: The current research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors. Conflict of interest: There are no conflicts of interest. Authorship: S.B. designed the study, carried out the analyses and prepared the manuscript. M.C. reviewed the statistical analyses and contributed to writing the article. Ethics of human subject participation: The current study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving human subjects/patients were approved by Leeds Beckett University Ethics Committee. Written (or verbal) informed consent was obtained from all subjects/patients.

#### References

- Marmot M. Allen I. Bell R et al. (2012) WHO European review of social determinants of health and the health divide. Lancet 380, 1011-1029.
- Woodward A & Kawachi I (2000) Why reduce health inequalities? J Epidemiol Commun Health 54, 923-929.
- Bennett JE, Pearson-Stuttard J, Kontis V et al. (2018) Contributions of diseases and injuries to widening life expectancy inequalities in England from 2001 to 2016: a population-based analysis of vital registration data. Lancet Public Health 3, 586-597.
- 4. Ding D, Do A, Schmidt HM et al. (2015) A widening gap? Changes in multiple lifestyle risk behaviours by socioeconomic status in New South Wales, Australia, 2002-2012. PLOS ONE 10, e0135338.
- 5. Graham H & Der G (1999) Patterns and predictors of smoking cessation among British women. Health Promot *Int* **14**, 231–240.
- Horta BL, Loret De Mola C & Victoria CG (2015) Breastfeeding and intelligence: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Paediatr 104, 14-19.
- Pokhrel S, Quigley MA, Fox-Rushby J et al. (2015) Potential economic impacts from improving breastfeeding rates in the UK. Arch Dis Child 100, 334-340.
- Chowdhury R, Sinha B, Sankar MJ et al. (2015) Breastfeeding and maternal health outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Paediatr 104, 96-113.
- Chien PFW & Howie PW (2001) Breast milk and the risk of opportunistic infection in infancy in industrialized and non-industrialized settings. In Advances In Nutritional Research: Immunological Properties of Milk [B Woodward & HH Draper, editors]. Boston, MA: Springer US.
- Chantry CJ, Howard CR & Auinger P (2006) Full breastfeeding duration and associated decrease in respiratory tract infection in US children. Pediatrics 117, 425-432.
- World Health Organization (2001) The Optimal Duration of Exclusive Breastfeeding. Note for the press no. 7. Geneva:
- Binns C, Lee MK & Low WY (2016) The long-term public health benefits of breastfeeding. Asia-Pac J Public Health
- McAndrew F, Thompson J, Fellows L et al. (2012) Infant feeding survey 2010. A survey conducted on behalf of the Information Centre for Health and Social Care. Leeds: The Information Centre for Health and Social Care.
- Office for National Statistics (2017) Adult Drinking Habits in Great Britain: 2017 Annual Data on Alcohol Consumption by

- Adults, Including Changes in Drinking Patterns in Recent Years and Data for Those Who Do Not Drink. https:// www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/health andsocialcare/drugusealcoholandsmoking/bulletins/opinions andlifestylesurveyadultdrinkinghabitsingreatbritain/2017 (accessed December 2019).
- Mohapatra S, Patra J, Popova S et al. (2010) Social cost of heavy drinking and alcohol dependence in high-income countries. Int J Public Health 55, 149-157.
- Public Health England (2019) Local Alcohol Profiles for England: February 2019 Update. https://fingertips.phe.org. uk/profile/local-alcohol-profiles (accessed February 2019).
- Public Health England (2016) The Public Health Burden of Alcohol and the Effectiveness and Cost-effectiveness of Alcohol Control Policies. An Evidence Review. https:// assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/ uploads/attachment\_data/file/733108/alcohol\_public\_health\_ burden\_evidence\_review\_update\_2018.pdf December 2019).
- Grittner U, Kuntsche S, Graham K et al. (2012) Social inequalities and gender differences in the experience of alcohol-related problems. Alcohol Alcoholism 47, 597-605. doi: 10.1093/alcalc/ags040.
- Bellis MA, Hughes K, Nicholls J et al. (2016) The alcohol harm paradox: using a national survey to explore how alcohol may disproportionately impact health in deprived individuals. BMC Public Health 16, 111.
- Katikireddi SV, Whitley E, Lewsey J et al. (2017) Socioeconomic status as an effect modifier of alcohol consumption and harm: analysis of linked cohort data. Lancet Public Health 2, e267-e276.
- 21. Baker S & Graham H (2014) Social patterning of alcohol consumption among mothers with infants in the UK. J Behav Health 3, 181-186.
- Baker S (2017) Patterns and perceptions of maternal alcohol use among women with pre-school aged children: a qualitative exploration of focus group data. J Addict Res Ther 8, 347. doi: 10.4172/2155-6105.1000347.
- Department of Health (2016) Alcohol guidelines review report from the Guidelines Development Group to the UK Chief Medical Officers, 2016.
- Haastrup MB, Potteg A, Damkier P et al. (2014) Alcohol and breastfeeding. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol 114, 168-117.
- Elek E, Harris SL, Squire CM et al. (2013). Women's knowledge, views, and experiences regarding alcohol use and pregnancy: opportunities to improve health messages. Am J Health Educ 44, 177-190.
- O'Keeffe LM, Kearney PM, McCarthy FP et al. (2015) Prevalence and predictors of alcohol use during pregnancy: findings from international multicentre cohort studies. BMJ Open 5(7), e006323.
- 27. Diet and Nutrition Survey Lennox A; Sommerville J, Ong K et al. (2013) Diet and Nutrition Survey of Infants and Young Children, 2011. https://www.gov.uk/government/ uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/139572/ DNSIYC\_UK\_report\_ALL\_chapters\_DH\_V10.0.pdf (accessed March 2020).
- IBM Corp. Released 2013. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. www.ibm.com/ software/analytics/spss (accessed December 2019).
- Cohen J (1988) Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences. New York: Routledge. doi: 10.4324/978020377 1587
- Silva JM & Pugh AJ (2010) Beyond the depleting model of parenting: narratives of childrearing and change. Sociological Inq 80, 605-627.
- Smith L & Foxcroft D (2009) Drinking in the UK. An Exploration of Trends. York: Joseph Rowntree Foundation.





- 32. Smith MA & Berger JB (2010) Women's ways of drinking: college women, high-risk alcohol use, and negative consequences. J Coll Stud Dev 51, 35-49.
- Hartrick GA (1997) Women who are mothers: the experience of defining self. Health Care Women Int 18, 263-277.
- Choi P, Henshaw C, Baker S et al. (2005) Supermum, superwife, supereverything: performing femininity in the transition to motherhood. J Reprod Infant Psychol 23, 167-180.
- 35. Lee E (2007) Health, morality, and infant feeding: British mothers' experiences of formula milk use in the early weeks. Sociol Health Illn 29, 1075-1090.
- Room R (2005) Stigma, social inequality and alcohol and drug use. Drug Alcohol Rev 24, 143-155.
- National Health Service (2018) Breastfeeding and Drinking Alcohol. https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/pregnancy-andbaby/breastfeeding-alcohol/ (accessed December 2019).

