

## CORRESPONDENCE.

## 1. THE TEMPLE OF KAILÂSANÂTHA.

22, Seton Place, Edinburgh.

11th Feb. 1891.

SIR,—Permit me to call your attention to a mistake on p. 166 of the *Journ. R. A. Soc.* for 1891, where *Epigraphia Indica* is apparently a mistake for *Corpus Inscript. Indicarum*.

Again, in the footnote to p. 170, the writer has fallen into a mistake in correcting Dr. Hultzsch's statement respecting the discovery of the date and inscriptions of the temple of Kailâsanâthaswâmin. It is true that Mr. Sewell first called attention to the other old temples at Kâñchîpuram; but he had not even seen that of Kailâsanâtha, which stands a little way out of Kâñchî, before I visited it in 1883, and brought to light the important inscriptions found in it. Dr. Hultzsch's statement therefore is strictly accurate, as it relates to this temple only.—Yours faithfully,

J. BURGESS.

*The Editor Journ. R. Asiatic Soc.*

## 2. FA HIEN'S 'FIRE LIMIT.'

IN Chapter xvii. of Fa Hien's Travels he says that 45 yojanas (= about 350 miles) to the north (as Rémusat and Beal translate) or north-west (as Professor Legge translates) of the well-known place Saṃkassa (27° 3' N. by 79° 50' E.) there is a temple called *Ho King* (?). Beal, following Rémusat (Foe Koue Ki, p. 126, and note, p. 163), renders this 'Fire Limit.' Professor Legge states in his note that his Korean copy of the Fo Kua Ki has a different character in the name of this place, which gives a great improvement in the reading. And he accordingly renders it 'Great Heap.'