Hurwitz on Hadamard designs

T. Storer

An $n \times n$ -matrix on n signed variables is called *Hadamard of Williamson type* if each variable occurs exactly once in each row, and the inner product of any pair of distinct rows is zero. We show here that these matrices correspond in a natural way to rational formulas for products of sums of n squares, shown by Hurwitz to exist only for n = 1, 2, 4, and 8. Hurwitz' arguments contain an implicit proof that this correspondence is one-to-one (we show this directly) and hence that Hadamard matrices of Williamson type exist for orders 1, 2, 4 and 8 only.

An Hadamard design [1, 2] is an $n \times n$ array H(n; k) of k signed variables ("letters") with the property that the inner product of any two distinct rows of the array is zero. Such a design has been said to be of Williamson type (after [6]) if k = n and each letter occurs exactly once in each row of $H^{(n;n)} \triangleq H^{(n)}$, and it has recently been shown [5] that $H^{(n)}$ exists if and only if n = 1, 2, 4, or 8. The purpose of this note is to show that this result was known to Hurwitz [3, 4].

If

(1)
$$\left(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \ldots + x_n^2\right)\left(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \ldots + y_n^2\right) = P_1^2 + P_2^2 + \ldots + P_n^2$$

where the P_i are bilinear forms in the x_j , y_k , we may form an $n \times n$ matrix $H = \begin{bmatrix} H_{ij} \end{bmatrix}$ whose ij-entry H_{ij} is the (signed) coefficient of x_i in P_j . In the special case n = 4, for example,

Received 15 September 1970. The author was supported in part by a National Science Foundation research grant.

109

$$P_1 = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3 + x_4y_4 , P_2 = x_1y_2 - x_2y_1 + x_3y_4 - x_4y_3 ,$$

$$P_3 = x_1y_3 - x_2y_4 - x_3y_1 + x_4y_2 , P_4 = x_1y_4 + x_2y_3 - x_3y_2 - x_4y_1 ,$$

and

$$H = \begin{cases} y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & y_4 \\ y_2 & -y_1 & y_4 & -y_3 \\ y_3 & -y_4 & -y_1 & y_2 \\ y_4 & y_3 & -y_2 & y_1 \end{cases}$$

is Hadamard of Williamson type. Hurwitz essentially showed that a formula of type (1) exists for a given n if and only if n = 1, 2, 4, or 8, and that each of these formulas leads to an $H^{(n)}$ (which he exhibits in [3], p. 570) of the corresponding order. Thus, if it could be shown that to each $H^{(n)}$ there corresponds a formula of type (1), the admissible orders n for $H^{(n)}$ would also be known. This is implicit in Hurwitz' discussion, being, in fact, almost trivial. For, given an Hadamard matrix $H^{(n)} \triangleq H = [H_{ij}]$, define bilinear forms P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n by the previous correspondence; further define $\hat{H} = [\hat{H}_{ij}]$ where, if $H_{ik} = \pm y_j$, then $\hat{H}_{ij} = \pm x_k$. Clearly \hat{H} is Hadamard of Williamson type and, if

$$X = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \ldots + x_n^2$$
, $Y = y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \ldots + y_n^2$,

then

$$H^{T}H\hat{H}\hat{H}^{T} = (H\hat{H}^{T})(H\hat{H}^{T})^{T} = XY.I \quad (I = n \times n \text{ identity matrix})$$

But

$$(H\hat{H}^{T})_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} H_{ik}\hat{H}_{jk} \neq 0, \quad (H\hat{H}^{T})_{ij}^{T} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} H_{jk}\hat{H}_{ik} \neq 0$$

and

$$H_{ik}\hat{H}_{jk} = (\text{coeff. of } x_k \text{ in } P_i).(\text{coeff. of } y_k \text{ in } P_j).$$

If, now, $y_s = (\text{coeff. of } x_k \text{ in } P_i)$, let

110

$$\begin{split} x_{\sigma(k)} &= \left(\operatorname{coeff. of} \ y_s \ \operatorname{in} \ P_j\right); \text{ this defines a permutation } \sigma \text{ of the} \\ n-\operatorname{set. Further, from the definition of H, we have} \\ \left(\operatorname{coeff. } x_{\sigma(k)} \ \operatorname{in} \ P_i\right) &= \pm \left(\operatorname{coeff. } x_k \ \operatorname{in} \ P_j\right); \text{ thus} \\ &|\left(\operatorname{coeff. of} \ x_k \ \operatorname{in} \ P_i\right). \left(\operatorname{coeff. of} \ y_k \ \operatorname{in} \ P_j\right)| &= \\ &|\left(\operatorname{coeff. of} \ x_{\sigma(k)} \ \operatorname{in} \ P_j\right). \left(\operatorname{coeff. of} \ y_{\sigma(k)} \ \operatorname{in} \ P_i\right)| \\ &= \\ &|\left(\operatorname{coeff. of} \ x_{\sigma(k)} \ \operatorname{in} \ P_j\right). \left(\operatorname{coeff. of} \ y_{\sigma(k)} \ \operatorname{in} \ P_i\right)| \\ &\text{and hence } H = \left[\left| \left(HH^T \right)_{ij} \right| \right] \text{ is symmetric. This implies that XY is a sum of n squares of bilinear forms in the x_i, y_j. Continuing with the} \end{split}$$

case n = 4, for example, we find

$$H\hat{H}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{1} & P_{2} & P_{3} & P_{4} \\ P_{2} & -P_{1} & P_{4} & -P_{3} \\ P_{3} & -P_{4} & -P_{1} & P_{2} \\ P_{4} & P_{3} & -P_{2} & -P_{1} \end{bmatrix}$$

which is, again, Hadamard of Williamson type, as expected.

As a final interesting note, Hurwitz' closing remark in [3] implies that an Hadamard design of order 16 on p letters, each of which must occur exactly once in each row, must satisfy

$$p < \frac{2\log 16}{\log 2} + 2 \sim 10.1$$
,

that is $p \leq 10$.

References

- [1] L.D. Baumert and Marshall Hall, Jr, "A new construction for Hadamard matrices", Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1965), 169-170.
- [2] Marshall Hall, Jr, Combinatorial theory (Blaisdell Publishing Co. [Ginn and Co.], Waltham, Massachusetts; Toronto, Ontario; London; 1967).

- [3] Adolf Hurwitz, "Über die Komposition der quadratischen Formen von beliebig vielen Variabeln", Nachr. Königl. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen, Math.-phys. Klasse (1898), 309-316; Math. Werke, Band 2, 565-571 (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1933).
- [4] A. Hurwitz, "Über die Komposition der quadratischen Formen", Math.
 Ann. 88 (1923), 1-25; Math. Werke, Band 2, 641-666 (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1933).
- [5] Jennifer Wallis, "Hadamard matrices", Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 2 (1970), 45-54.
- [6] John Williamson, "Hadamard's determinant theorem and the sum of four squares", Duke J. Math. 11 (1944), 65-81.

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA.

112