

A brief history of the use of plastics

Dr Louise Dennis

Affiliation: Museum of Design in Plastics, Arts University Bournemouth, UK

Contact details: ldennis@aub.ac.uk

Introduction

The condemnation of the overuse of plastics materials and their impact on the environment when they become waste has, understandably, meant that today the cultural perception of plastics is largely that they are cheap, rubbish, throw away - all bad news. This position of negativity has been reached because we currently see the mismanagement of plastics waste as it blows about in the wind; we see it as rubbish in our streets, and as detritus in the oceans. However, our relationships with the material family, over the time they have existed, have had a varied and turbulent history with different perspectives generated by different people at different times. This article will briefly explore 'a', rather than 'the', history of the use of plastics with the aim of putting the current societal relationship with them into context.

Plastic is thought of as being 'the very idea of its infinite transformation' (Barthes, 1993, p. 97), but most significant in the comprehension of the material is the understanding that it is not *plastic*, a single material, but *plastics*, a family of materials with different origins and properties. They can be created to provide most, but not limitless, texture, colour, shape, and a range of flexibility, strengths, or stiffnesses. However, no *one* individual material within the family can provide all such requirements. Plastics are often seen as modern materials with little history, however, if we consider the three classified types of plastics: natural - a material that can be moulded in its natural form, semi-synthetic - made of a chemically altered natural material, synthetic – a material that is entirely laboratory made, see Table 1, it can be seen that they make up a material family which has been with us for a long time and is being added to as material science works on new formulas, combinations of materials, and new feed stocks.

For the manufacturer, if not the consumer, plastics offered a freedom that had not been seen before. It is the 'form giving potential of plastics' (Lloyd Wright, 2010, p. 83) that has meant they have been the go-to family of materials for many designers over the last 100 years or more. It is the array of materials, capabilities, properties, and processes that have 'extended the parameters of product design' (Fiell and Fiell, 2009, p. 9). Without the constraints of process and properties of natural materials, the designer can easily create objects today that were previously impossible, or at least time consuming and difficult.

This peer-reviewed article has been accepted for publication but not yet copyedited or typeset, and so may be subject to change during the production process. The article is considered published and may be cited using its DOI.
10.1017/plc.2024.17

This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is unaltered and is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained for commercial re-use or in order to create a derivative work.

The early years

Initially, plastics were used as substitutes for other, usually natural, materials that could be said to have greater value and integrity, such as stone and wood. The development of semi-synthetic plastics was driven by the need to find a replacement for a dwindling natural resource, elephant ivory. In 1863, the American billiards company Phelan & Collender offered a prize to anyone who could create 'an acceptable substitute for ivory in billiard balls' (Meikle, 1997, p. 10). John Wesley Hyatt responded to the advert and eventually created a cellulose nitrate material which he called 'Celluloid'. At a similar time in the UK, Alexander Parkes created a cellulose nitrate material which he called 'Parkesine' (see Museum of Design in Plastics, 2007). The ability of plastics to substitute other materials effectively meant that they were used instead of expensive, or luxury materials derived from endangered animals and plants, such as ivory from elephants, tortoiseshell from the Leatherback Turtle, and ebony and mahogany woods from trees. The use of plastics as imitative and substitute materials has given rise to the notion that the group of materials are inauthentic; that they cannot be true to themselves as materials, as they do not have a true identity.

(Figure 1.)

As new materials were discovered and created, they became the favoured resources for many manufacturers, they took over from other materials at a rapid rate. Companies used them to demonstrate being a state of the art and at the van guard of design. In the early 1920s, a journalist wrote of one particular material, Bakelite or phenol formaldehyde, as 'invading almost every field of commerce and manufacture, of art and of science' (Mumford, 1924, p. 8). The notion that less than 20 years after its invention a material could have had an impact on so many aspects of life must have felt like it had invaded, and just like any other invading forces, there would have been a mistrust and defensive reaction.

New materials can be enigmatic for manufacturers as well as consumers. Mumford recalls a time when manufacturers of products made of rubber, shellac, and cellulose found it hard to convert successfully to phenol formaldehyde. The former being softened with heat and then solidifying on cooling, whilst the latter became solid in the heat of the mould. It became apparent that manufacturers who had not worked with these older materials made the most successful moulders of phenol formaldehyde. However, the lack of significant training for producers led to occasional 'disappointment and regret' (Mumford, 1924, pp. 45–46) and to the death of a product's reputation from the start.

At the outbreak of the Second World War, plastics were seen as essential to the war effort and were used in ground-breaking technologies such as radar systems in aircrafts and bubble cockpit canopies of fighter planes. All sides focused their development of plastics into their use in conflict. New synthetics were developed to fill the gaps created by a shortage of natural materials. Individuals had access to products that would have ordinarily been out of their reach as plastics provided nations a break from the restrictions of natural resources. In 1941, chemists Victor Emmanuel Yarsley and Edward Gordon Couzens wrote about the 'Plastic Age,' an era that they acknowledged as already being inhabited, and they described the creature living in it as a 'Plastic Man.' They told the story of a child born into 'a world of colour and bright shining surfaces, where childish hands find nothing to break, no sharp edges or corners to cut or graze, no crevices to harbour dirt or germs,' and living a life surrounded by plastics 'until he sinks into his grave hygienically enclosed in a plastic coffin' (Yarsley and Couzens, 1941, p. 152).

Mid-20th century

The production of new materials before and during the Second World War created a surplus of materials that did not necessarily have a natural place to settle in peace time. These materials gave designers the opportunity to experiment with new forms of old products, such as Charles and Ray Eames using glass-reinforced polyester in the production of their chairs for Herman Miller (Fiell and Fiell, 2009, pp. 18–19).

The rise of plastics has been dramatic, particularly in the first half of the twentieth century. An advertisement in *Fortune Magazine*, October 1940, for Reynolds Molded Plastics celebrates the ability of the company to ‘accelerate its tremendous growth and spectacular achievements as one of plastics older molders’ under the heading ‘Firmly entrenched in plastics, Reynolds continues to grow!’ (“Reynolds advertisement,” 1940). The rise was significant during a time of depression when ‘merchandisers hungrily sought color and novelty’ (“Plastics in 1940,” 1940, p. 89). The dramatic and passionate relationship between manufacturers and plastics was publicly experimental, sometimes seeing the wrong materials being used in the incorrect setting, examples being toys that broke after just a few interactions, and raincoats that fell apart when they got wet (Freinkel, 2011, p. 33).

European companies placed significant investment into the production of quality objects, made of materials that were fit for purpose. The ‘good design’ concept of the Council of Industrial Design (CoID) was adopted by the British plastics industry not through adventurous design but through simplicity (Catterall, 1990, pp. 72–73). The CoID concept of ‘good design’ aimed to teach younger people to consume ‘more aesthetically’ (Conekin, 2010, p. 146). Critically acclaimed design helped to counteract products that were either technically ill-conceived or chemically unstable. During the late 1940s, plastics firms set up their own design studios, this included British Industrial Plastics Ltd (BIP), who established a Design Advisory Service as well as a Product Design Unit for use both by designers within the company and beyond. Although British designs during this period purposefully ‘[avoided] the more confident, American-inspired influences in shape and colour,’ therefore not exploiting the full potential of the materials, they showed a technical improvement on previously produced items (Catterall, 1990, pp. 72–73).

After the restrictions of the Second World War, when rationing touched many aspects of consumption, societies across the world were encouraged to consume products to aid economic growth, to maintain jobs, and improve lifestyles for those further down the economic chain by creating a second-hand market (Hine, 2010, p. 155). The disposability of ephemeral items, and less ephemeral objects with designed-in obsolescence, was encouraged. Disposability and the notion of using something once and then throwing it away grew to become a sign of wealth and cleanliness. Consumers were encouraged to use disposable products for efficiency and to avoid contamination. The ideas of purification and convenience encouraged the development of ethical justifications for the use of disposable items (Hawkins, 2006, pp. 25–26).

Plastics’ most significant impact came during a time when life had been dark and serious as they brought colour and fun to design. A review of the ‘Counterspace: Design and the Modern Kitchen’ exhibition held at MOMA in 2010–2011 tells how the exhibition illustrated this by making a comparison of colourful Tupperware containers against Wilhelm Wagenfeld’s rigid glass Kubus Stacking Storage Containers and describes how the products were symbols of their time (Scanlan, 2011, p. 343). The post-war polyethylene Tupperware containers contrasting with their pre-war counterparts. While the Kubus containers were rigid and colourless, the Tupperware containers were flexible, light, and colourful, with a variety of shapes.

(Figure 2.)

The 1950s saw a rise in the number of objects made from plastics by a manufacturing community that was swamping the market with objects made from misapplied materials. This led to objects failing to fulfil the tasks they were designed to do. It was this swamping of 'shoddily made and poorly designed' goods that eroded the status of plastics many, such as BIP, had worked so hard to achieve. Plastics were therefore commonly seen as 'tacky, inferior and expendable' (Fiell and Fiell, 2009, p. 20) and are often used in kitsch products (Lessa, 2020, p261). Kitsch is popularly aligned with bad taste and seen as standing for 'artistic endeavour gone sour,' being artificial, obvious, and repetitive (Olalquiaga, 2009, p. 394). Despite plastics being synonymous with kitsch, it is a notion that pre-dates the proliferation of the material. The concept of kitsch, to mean 'trash, vulgar, and cheap art,' hails from the nineteenth century (Londos, 2006, p. 295) yet it seems to have a strong hold in the 1950s and beyond, coinciding with the significant use of plastics in the production of consumer goods.

The 1960s saw another significant era for plastics, they offered a way of conveying some of the most fundamental values embraced by Pop Culture. Pop culture had two focuses: an 'aesthetic of expendability' or an 'expendable aesthetic' (Sparke, 1990a, pp. 93–94), the former offering the idea of disposability, whilst the latter could be easily disposable, for example an inflatable PVC chair versus a paper chair. In contrast to the celebrated disposability of the 1960s, plastics went through the 'ecological outcry of the 1970s' (Sparke, 1990b, p. 11) and were considered to be inferior to natural materials.

The last 40 years

The developments in plastics saw more increases in the late 1980s than in the previous two decades, and as such the image of the material family was constantly re-focusing. By this time the brightly coloured fun of the 1960s had been replaced by a more 'high-tech' image (Katz, 1990, p. 145). The key elements of Pop culture re-emerged in the 1980s under the umbrella of Post-Modernism, which embraced plastics for their almost infinite range of possibilities, with the ability to carry different connotations. This, almost, limitless metamorphosis made the materials perfect for a 'culture which thrives upon pluralism' (Sparke, 1990a, p. 103).

Sustainability in design was a focus again in the late twentieth century with the 'rapidly rising greenhouse gases' (Penty, 2020, p. 22) drawing a focus on the environmental impact made by material choices. The Cradle-to-Cradle Strategy developed out the C2C term from 1982 and the concept of Regenerative Design from 1994. This strategy encouraged designers and manufacturers to make improvements in five areas: 'material health, material reutilisation, renewable energy and carbon management, water stewardship, and social fairness' (Penty, 2020, p. 37).

In the UK Government's '25 Year Plan' published in 2018 one of the areas of focus was the reduction of waste (HM Government, 2018). They pledged to 'minimise waste, reuse materials as much as we can and manage materials at the end of their life to minimise the impact on the environment,' this will occur with the elimination of 'unavoidable' plastic waste by 2042. The use of the word 'unavoidable' is particularly interesting as it is an acknowledgement that some of the uses of plastics, which eventually become waste, are 'technically, environmentally, and economically' (HM Government, 2018, p. 29) inescapable. Part of this aim is to work with the waste management and reprocessing industries to improve the percentage of plastic packaging that is gathered and recycled

and to improve the standard of biodegradable bags (HM Government, 2018, pp. 896–89). Despite being seen as having great potential in the 1980s, by the early 1990s biodegradability was assessed as not as green as initially anticipated. Environmentalists had established that ‘so-called biodegradable plastics’ (Whiteley, 1993, p. 73) did not completely disappear from the environment leaving behind them microscopic fragments that caused issues for wildlife and the landscape. The conditions needed for the process to be a success were not readily available and as such, a boycott was started in 1989 in the USA against all biodegradable plastics as they were seen as a barrier to reuse and recycling. Today, there is a distinction between biodegradable plastics that are biodegradable in an industrial setting and those that can be composted at home. This distinction is not always made clear to the consumer and worryingly there has been evidence that littering behaviour had been influenced by the product being labelled biodegradable (UNEP, 2015, p. 31).

Recycling plays an important role in the reuse of materials and the reclamation of value as part of a circular economy. There are two groups of plastics: thermosets and thermoplastics. Most plastics today are thermoplastic materials, which means they can be reprocessed by heating them to a molten state and reforming them. Thermosets on the other hand have ‘crosslinked chains’ (Voet *et al.*, 2021, p. 11) which make them harder to recycle as they cannot be remelted. There are a number of ways to recycle thermoplastic materials, and it can be a relatively straight forward process if the product being recycled is made of a single material, however, it becomes more problematic and more expensive when the product is multilayered and has multiple components (Hopewell *et al.*, 2009, p. 2119), for example crisp packets and Tetra Pak drinks containers. For recycled materials to be useful, they need to have a market value. The lack of diversity in products made of recycled materials in the mid-1990s made them less desirable, as suggested at the time there ‘must be an upper limit to the number of dark grey, rough-textured counter-tops that can be usefully employed’ (Papanek, 1995, p. 39). This was still the case even in 2009 as it was not always technically practicable to add recycled plastics to virgin materials without reducing the quality of the colour, clarity, or mechanical properties of the new material (Hopewell *et al.*, 2009, p. 2119). However, innovation in the types of objects made out of recycled materials has improved in the last few years. The colour of the new product is dependent on the colour of the recyclate, if the recyclate comes from multi-coloured sources the new material will be dark in colour. To have a freedom of colours the recyclate needs to be paler than the end colour required was a catalyst for the classic green Sprite bottle being replaced by a clear bottle; ‘to enable bottle-to-bottle recycling’ (Maile, 2019).

(Figure 3)

Conclusion

The appreciation or reception of materials can create a positive or a negative reaction in the user and an individual’s understanding of materials comes from their own experiential knowledge, influence of others, and cultural perception. Material understanding of plastics is generated through the production, application, and reception of them and empirically individuals tend to be either nonchalant or have very strong views about the material group. Culturally speaking, until recently, many people have had an ambivalent relationship with plastics as the materials are appreciated both ‘as high-tech miracle and as cheap substitute simultaneously’ (Meikle, 1997, p. xiii). There are those who love plastics because of what they can become, and those who hate them for the very same reason. The proliferation and the length of time that plastics have been part of our lives indicates a ‘deep and enduring relationship’ (Freinkel, 2011, p. 8).

Through legislation, and ethical / moral obligations manufacturers and designers are encouraged to think about what happens to their products at the end of their useful life this will have an influence on the materials they choose to use whether those materials are plastics or otherwise. There have been many uses that plastics materials have been put to, some of these uses have been ill conceived, others have been naïve, and other cases have been positive and appropriate. This paper has been an overview of just some of those uses, applications, and perceptions of plastics there are many more to be discovered and explored but what of the future of the use of plastics? Is there one? I believe there is, but the future needs to learn from what has been before. The future use of plastics needs to be a time when the true value of the materials is considered. Not just the perceived financial value, but the use value and the reuse value, where the raw materials have come from and what they can become.

The immediate future will be shaped by the outcome of the Global Plastics Treaty (UNEP, n.d.) which aims to end plastics pollution. This will need an international effort to ensure the current pollution in the world is cleaned up, and that more pollution is not created. It will not just take international government agreements, it will also need material scientists, recyclers, waste handlers, manufacturers, designers, and consumers to play their part. Material scientists will continue to make concerted efforts to develop new materials to replace those derived from fossil fuels. However, it is my hope that we do not see a repeat of the early days of plastic when each new material invention or discovery was seen as the new best thing that was then used in all situations regardless of suitability but just because it has a perception of being better. In future, materials should be selected because they are better for the intended application. Long-lived plastics will be reserved for long lived applications and biodegradable materials will be used for short term needs. Longer term, there will be fewer plastics used in design, but their use will be considered and appropriate. Objects will not need be labelled as 'recycled' because it will be a given that they are made of recycled material, and the confusion about whether a material is recyclable or not will not be an issue for consumers because it will be a given that if they are not biodegradable, they will be recycled. This is not just a vision of the future of the use of plastics, but one that will be appropriate for the use of all materials in design.

References

- Barthes, R (1993) Plastic. In A. Lavers, trans., *Mythologies [1957]*, Vintage Classics, 97–99.
- Catterall, C (1990) Perceptions of Plastics: A Study of Plastics in Britain, 1945-1956. In P. Sparke, ed., *The Plastics Age: From Modernity to Post-Modernity*, London: Victoria & Albert Museum, 67–73.
- Conekin, B (2010) "Here is the Modern World Itself", the Festival of Britain's Representations of the Future. In G. Lees-Maffei and R. Houze, eds., *The Design History Reader*, Oxford & New York: Berg, 143–151.

- Fiell, C, and Fiell, P (2009) *Plastic Dreams: Synthetic Visions in Design*, London: Fiell Publishing.
- Freinkel, S (2011) *Plastic: A Toxic Love Story*, Melbourne: Text Publishing.
- Hawkins, G (2006) *The Ethics of Waste: How We Relate to Rubbish*, Lanham, Boulder, New York, Toronto & Oxford: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
- Hine, T (2010) Populuxe. In G. Lees-Maffei and R. Houze, eds., *The Design History Reader*, Oxford & New York: Berg, 152–157.
- HM Government (2018) *A Green Future: Our 25 Year Plan to Improve the Environment*.
- Hopewell, J, Dvorak, R, and Kosior, E (2009) Plastics recycling: challenges and opportunities. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 364(1526). 2115-2126. Retrieved from <http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/364/1526/2115>
- Katz, S (1990) Plastics in the '80s. In P. Sparke, ed., *The Plastics Age: From Modernity to Post-Modernity*, London: Victoria & Albert Museum, 145–151.
- Keneghan, B (1996) Plastics? Not in my Collection. *V&A Conservation Journal*, (21), 4–6.
- Lessa G (2020) Materiality and Perception: Plastics as Precious Materials. In Lambert S (ed), *Provocative Plastics: Their Value in Design and Material Culture*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 255-273
- Lloyd Wright, F (2010) The Art and Craft of the Machine. In G. Lees-Maffei and R. Houze, eds., *The Design History Reader*, Oxford & New York: Berg, 81–84.
- Londos, E (2006) Kitsch is Dead - Long Live the Garden Gnome. *Home Cultures*, 3(3), 293–306.
- Madge, P (1997) Ecological Design: A New Critique. *Design Issues*, 13(2), 44–54.
- Maile, K (2019) Sustainability Alert: Sprite Replaces Iconic Green Bottle with Clear Plastic. Retrieved February 10, 2020, from <https://www.recyclingtoday.com/article/sprite-pepsico-mcdonalds-trash-wheel-project-sustainability/>.
- Meikle, JL (1997) *American Plastic: A Cultural History*, New Brunswick & London: Rutgers University Press.

- Mumford, JK (1924) *The Story of Bakelite*, New York: Robert L. Stillson Company.
- Museum of Design in Plastics (2007) Plastics timeline. Retrieved from
<https://www.modip.ac.uk/projects/curators-guide/plastics-timeline>
- Olalquiaga, C (2009) Holy Kitschen: Collecting Religious Junk from the Street. In F. Candlin and R. Guins, eds., *The Object Reader*, London & New York: Routledge, 391–405.
- Papanek, V (1995) *The Green Imperative: Ecology and Ethics in Design and Architecture*, London: Thames and Hudson.
- Penty, J (2020) *Product Design and Sustainability: Strategies, Tools, and Practice*. Routledge, Abingdon & New York.
- Plastics in 1940 (1940) *Fortune Magazine*, October, 88–108.
- Reynolds advertisement (1940) *Fortune Magazine*, October, 34.
- Scanlan, J (2011) Exhibition Review. Counter Space: Design and the Modern Kitchen. *Home Cultures*, 8(3), 341–344.
- Sparke, P (1990a) Plastics and Pop Culture. In P. Sparke, ed., *The Plastics Age: From Modernity to Post-Modernity*, London: Victoria & Albert Museum, 93–103.
- Sparke, P (Ed.) (1990b) *The Plastics Age: From Modernity to Post Modernity*, London: Victoria & Albert Museum.
- UNEP (n.d.) Intergovernmental Negotiating Committee on Plastic Pollution.
<http://www.unep.org/inc-plastic-pollution> (accessed 11 April 2024)
- UNEP (2015) *Biodegradable Plastics and Marine Litter: Misconceptions, Concerns and Impacts on Marine Environments*, Nairobi: United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP).
- Voet V, Jager J and Folkersma R (2021) *Plastics in the Circular Economy*. Berlin & Boston: De Gruyter.
- Whiteley, N (1993) *Design for Society*, London: Reaktion Books.
- Yarsley, VE, and Couzens, EG (1941) *Plastics*, Harmondsworth & New York: Penguin Books.

Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3



Table 1

	Material name	Developed	Typical uses:	
Natural plastics	Horn	moulding technology from early 17th century	drinking vessels; buttons; combs; imitation jet jewellery; snuff boxes; cutlery handles; small translucent panels used e.g. in windows and lanterns	
	Vulcanised rubber	reaction when heated with a large percentage of sulphur to make it rigid discovered in 1839; still in use in 1930s	match boxes; combs; fountain pens; imitation jet jewellery; denture palates (with pigmentation to resemble gums); pipe stems	
	Gutta percha	introduced from Far East in 1843; products shown at 1851 Great Exhibition, London; use falls off in 1930s	golf balls; dentistry; insulation for submarine telephone cables; household uses similar to those of tin; fancy moulding	
	Bois durci	patented in Paris 1855, exhibited 1862 and 1867 International Exhibitions, London;	desk accessories; plaques with reliefs of notable people or mythological scenes	
	Shellac	known for thousands of years; used to make products from 1850s to 1940s	cases for daguerreotypes and ambrotypes (early forms of photographs on glass); dressing table sets; 78 rpm records until 1948; as stiffening for bowler and riding hats; also used as lacquer	
Semi-synthetic plastics	Cellulose nitrate	displayed at 1862 International Exhibition, London; first common domestic plastic; turned into an artificial fibre like silk in 1884 called Chardonnet silk; use of all kinds almost ceases in 1940s but it is still used for ping pong balls.	collars and cuffs; dressing table sets and combs; billiard and ping pong balls; knife handles; jewellery and costume accessories; spectacles; toys; false teeth; sculpture e.g. by Naum Gabo; in mortars; also as support for film and still photography and from 1940s archival material	
	Cellulose acetate	first prepared 1865, adapted to form viscose silk 1892, only developed as a hard material for commercial use from 1918 (although to form cellophane from 1908); not common until late 1920s. Use fell off in 1970s but interest currently reviving, as made from wood based cellulose.	as liquid to stiffen and waterproof fabric wings and fuselage of early aircraft. In solid form in spectacle frames; type-writer keys; negatives and film; toys; fancy goods e.g. by Lalique; sculpture e.g. by Naum Gabo; hairbrush handles, especially Addis Ltd; also as supports for archival material from 1940s	
	Casein formaldehyde	patented 1899; little used since the 1980s	buttons, knitting needles, fountain pens, jewellery, dressing table sets, manicure sets, inlay in furniture	
Synthetic plastics	Phenol formaldehyde	With filler 1907; not widely used until after 1915; still used for electrical moulds and saucepan handles. As liquid resin: 1927.	With filler: domestic items: radio, clock and hair dryer casings, ash trays, boxes; electrical fittings; car components, aircraft and military components; cooker knobs; kettle handles. As liquid resin: napkin rings and bangles; desk accessories; wireless cabinets, especially American; jewellery; laminate surfacing, e.g. Formica™.	
	Urea formaldehyde	patents taken out 1915 but only becomes practical for commercial use as thiourea urea formaldehyde in 1925; improved to urea formaldehyde in 1929; role taken by other plastics by 1950s	domestic wares, picnic sets; jewellery; electric fittings and casings	
	Polymethyl methacrylate	1932, in commercial use from 1934, fashionable in 1960s	aircraft glazing; containers fabricated from sheet, e.g. handbags; blocks with embedded objects, jewellery, display stands, artists' paints	
	Polyvinyl chloride	known from 1870 but suitable plasticisers not discovered until 1933; wide use from 1940s, ongoing	shiny leather-like fabric; fashion belts; flexible toys; inflatable furniture; cables e.g. computers and other electrical items; credit cards; blood bags; flooring; in unplasticised form: guttering, window frames, flooring; as co-polymer LP gramophone records from 1952	
	Polyamide	1933; nylon trade name given in 1938	toothbrush tufts, combs, kitchen utensils, zips, Velcro; as textile fibres: carpets stockings, tents; glass-reinforced moulding compounds	
	Polyethylene	1933 low density but used for military purposes until 1945; 1953 high density, many different grades today	replaced enamelled kitchenware: bowls and other domestic wares, first squeezable bottles (e.g. for washing up liquid) and airtight food containers; road cones; 'pop-it' beads; packaging film, e.g. carrier bags	
	Silicone	discovered in 1934; used commercially from 1942	baking and ice trays; oven gloves; breast implants; baby teats; silly putty; micro-chips	
	Polystyrene	became a usable material in 1930s but not used commercially until after World War II	disposable pens and razors; cutlery and vending cups; CD cases; yogurt pots; model kits; insulation and packaging food trays, hamburger and egg boxes, electronic equipment, when foamed	
	Polyurethane	from 1937; still widely used	furniture; paint; shoe soles; synthetic leather-like fabrics; bicycle seats; as foams, seating, large mouldings	
	Polyester	1941	clothing and upholstery; also from 1955 in sheet form as support for archival material	
	Polyethylene terephthalate	1941 announced as a commercial polymer; widely used in blow-moulded form from 1980s	carbonated drinks bottles; video and audio tape	
	Glass-reinforced plastic	during World War 2; first used in civilian life in 1950s	very large containers, boat hulls, car panels, sculptures e.g. by Claus Oldenburg and Philip King	
	Acrylonitrile butadiene styrene	from 1948	domestic appliance and computer housings; Lego	
	Melamine formaldehyde	commercially, post World War II; heyday late 50s and early 60s; still in use for picnic ware and ashtrays	colourful table and picnic ware; ashtrays; a component of Formica™	
	Polypropylene	from 1954; increase in use from 1976 when initial patents ran out; became fashionable in translucent sheet form in 1990s; now one of the most used plastics	chair shells and garden furniture; luggage; car bumper; petrol cans; food wrappings; microwaveable meal trays; margarine tubs; netting; household goods; carpets; packaging; rope	
	Polyacetal	1957	gear wheels and mechanisms; disposable lighters; bathroom taps; plectra and guitar picks	
	Polycarbonate	from 1958	safety and space helmets; compact discs and DVDs; as copolymer as mobile phone housings; car components; large bottles; glass substitute	
	Carbon fibre composite	1963	applications that require lightness in weight, high strength, and controlled stiffness such as vehicle bodywork and sports equipment.	
	Bioplastics	Poly lactide	since 2000	disposable plates and cutlery, trays in confectionary industry, but suitable for anything from toys to car parts

