# AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF A THEOREM ABOUT THE REPRESENTATION OF PRIMES BY QUADRATIC FORMS 

W. E. BRIGGS

1. Introduction. The theorem that every properly primitive binary quadratic form is capable of representing infinitely many prime numbers was first proved completely by H . Weber (5). The purpose of this paper is to give an elementary proof of the case where the form is $a x^{2}+2 b x y+c y^{2}$, with $a>0,(a, 2 b, c)=1$, and $D=b^{2}-a c$ not a square. The cases where the form is $a x^{2}+b x y+c y^{2}$ with $b$ odd, and the case where the form is $a x^{2}+2 b x y+c y^{2}$ with $D$ a square, can be settled very simply once the first case is taken care of, and this is done in a page and a half in the Weber paper. The proof follows the methods used by Atle Selberg in his elementary proof of Dirichlet's theorem about primes in an arithmetic progression (3).
2. Representation of numbers by quadratic forms. Some basic facts concerning the representation of numbers by binary quadratic forms are now given. The $h$ classes of properly primitive quadratic forms of determinant $D$ can be taken as $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots \theta_{h}$, and in the case of negative determinants only the classes which contain positive definite forms are considered. These classes considered as elements form an Abelian group of order $h$ under Gauss's law of composition.

A positive number $m$, relatively prime to $2 D$, is primitively representable by forms of determinant $D$, if and only if $D$ is a quadratic residue of $m$, and to each root $n$ of the congruence $x^{2} \equiv D(\bmod m)$ correspond one or more representations of $m$ by each form of the class to which $m x^{2}+2 n x y+\left[\left(n^{2}-D\right) / m\right] y^{2}$ belongs. If $p$ is a prime which does not divide $2 D$ and of which $D$ is a quadratic residue, then to each of the two roots of $x^{2} \equiv D(\bmod p)$ correspond one or more representations of $p$ by the forms of one or two classes. These two classes are conjugate and can be indicated as $\theta$ and $\theta^{-1}$. If the classes are identical, then $\theta$ is called ambiguous. The number of representations of such an $m$ by each form of the class to which $m x^{2}+2 n x y+\left[\left(n^{2}-D\right) / m\right] y^{2}$ belongs is equal to the number of integral solutions of $t^{2}-D u^{2}=1$. In order that this number not be infinite when $D>0$, it is required that the $x$ and $y$ in $a x^{2}+2 b x y+c y^{2}$ satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \geqslant 0, x>\frac{T-b U}{a U} y=\nu y, \quad D>0, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $T, U$ is the fundamental solution of the Pell equation. In this way there are now $w$ representations of $m$ by each form of the class where

| $w=1$, | $D>0$, |
| :--- | :--- |
| $w=4$, | $D=-1$, |
| $w=2$, | $D<-1$. |

Defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\psi}(x)=\sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x \\ p=\psi}} \frac{\log p}{p} \text { and } Q_{\psi}(x)=\frac{S_{\psi}(x)}{\log x} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation is extended over primes represented by $\psi=$ $a x^{2}+2 b x y+c y^{2}$, the proof will be completed by showing that $Q_{\psi}(x)$ is greater than a positive constant for $x>x_{0}$ for any $\psi$.

## 3. Several preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 1. The number of lattice points $N(T)$, subject to restriction (2.1) if $D>0$, within $a x^{2}+2 b x y+c y^{2}=T$ which make the form prime to $2 D$ is

$$
N(T)=\frac{\phi(|2 D|)}{|2 D|} \beta T+O(\sqrt{T})
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\beta=\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{ }-D}, & D<0 \\
\beta=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{ } D} \log (T+U \sqrt{D}), & D>0 .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Each $|2 D|$ by $|2 D|$ square built up over the plane from the origin contains $|2 D| \phi(2 D)$ lattice points which make the form prime to $|2 D|$ (1, pp. 235-6). Let the number of these squares lying entirely within the appropriate area be $N^{\prime}(T)$. Then $\mid 4 D^{2} N^{\prime}(T)$ - Area $\mid$ is less than the area of the squares which are cut by the perimeter, which is of the order of the length of the perimeter. The perimeter is $O(\sqrt{ } T)$ and the area is $\beta T$, and the result follows.

Lemma 2. For any $D$ not a square

$$
\sum_{\substack{p \measuredangle x \\ \text { (D, } \mid D=1 \\(p, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log p}{p}=\frac{1}{2} \log x+O(1)
$$

This was proved by Selberg in his elementary proof of the prime-number theorem for arithmetic progressions (4).

Lemma 3.

$$
\sum_{\substack{p \measuredangle x \\(p, 2 D)=1}}^{\prime} \frac{\log p}{p}=w \log x+O(1)
$$

Here $\Sigma^{\prime}$ means a summation over all representations, subject to restriction (2.1) if $D>0$, by a representative system of one form from each properly primitive class of determinant $D$, and where $w$ has the meaning (2.2).

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2 since each prime $p$ with $(p, 2 D)=1$ and $(D \mid p)=1$ has $2 w$ representations in all by the classes $\theta_{p}$ and $\theta_{p}{ }^{-1}$. If $\theta_{p}$ is an ambiguous class, then there are $2 w$ representations by the single class $\theta_{p}=\theta_{p}{ }^{-1}$.

Lemma 4.

$$
\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\(p, 2 D)=1 \\(D \mid p)=1}} \frac{\log ^{r+1} p}{p}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\log ^{r+1} x}{r+1}+O\left(\log ^{r} x\right)
$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2 by partial summation.
Lemma 5.

$$
\sum_{\substack{p \lessgtr x \\(p, 2 D)=1}}^{\prime} \frac{\log ^{r+1} p}{p}=w \frac{\log ^{r+1} x}{r+1}+O\left(\log ^{r} x\right)
$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4 and the proof of Lemma 3.
4. Proof of the theorem. Next consider

$$
\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n=\psi \\(n, 2 D)=1}} \omega_{n},
$$

where $\omega_{n}=\omega_{n, x}=\sum_{d \mid n} \lambda_{d}, \lambda_{d}=\lambda_{d, x}=\mu(d) \log ^{2} \frac{x}{d}, \psi$ is a properly primitive form of determinant $D$, and $n=\psi$ means $n$ is represented by $\psi$ and that each representation is counted with the usual restriction (2.1). But

$$
\omega_{n}= \begin{cases}\log ^{2} x & n=1, \\ \log p \log \left(x^{2} / p\right), & n=p^{\alpha}, \quad \alpha \geqslant 1, \\ 2 \log p \log q, & n=p^{\alpha} q^{\beta}, \alpha \beta \geqslant 1, \\ 0 & \text { for all other } n,\end{cases}
$$

where $p$ and $q$ denote prime numbers.
Therefore, where $p$ and $q$ do not divide $2 D$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{n<x \\
n=\psi \\
(n, 2 D)=1}} \omega_{n}=\sum_{\substack{p^{\alpha}<x \\
p^{\alpha}=\psi}} \log p \log \left(x^{2} / p\right)+\sum_{\substack{p^{\alpha} \alpha^{\alpha} \leqslant x \\
p_{0} \alpha_{\beta}=\psi \\
\alpha \beta>1}} \log p \log q+O\left(\log ^{2} x\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x \\
p=\psi}}\left(2 \log x \log p-\log ^{2} p\right)+\sum_{\substack{p^{\alpha} \leq x \\
p^{\alpha} \leq \psi \\
\alpha>1}} \log p \log \left(x^{2} / p\right) \\
& +\sum_{\substack{p q \leq x \\
p q=\psi}} \log p \log q+\sum_{\substack{p^{\alpha} \alpha^{\beta} \beta \leq x \\
p_{\alpha} \in \beta=\psi \\
\alpha \beta>1}} \log p \log q+O\left(\log ^{2} x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this follows, using the arguments of Selberg (3) with appropriate changes in the indices of summation, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n=\psi \\(n, 2 D)=1}} \omega_{n}=\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p=x \\(p, 2 D)=1}} \log ^{2} p+\sum_{\substack{p q \leqslant x \\ p q=\psi \\(p q, 2 D)=1}} \log p \log q+O(x) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\sum_{\substack{n \leqslant x \\ n=\psi \\(n, 2 D)=1}} \omega_{n}=\sum_{\substack{d \leqslant x \\(d, 2 D)=1}} \lambda_{d} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ n \leqslant x \\ n=\psi \\(n, 2 D)=1}} 1
$$

The second sum on the right is the number of multiples of $d$ which are relatively prime to $2 D$, less than or equal to $x$, and represented by $\psi$. This is $R S$ where $R$ is the number of representations of $d$ by the forms of determinant $D$, and $S$ is the number of numbers relatively prime to $2 D$ and less than or equal to $x / d$ which are represented by $\theta_{d}{ }^{-1} \psi$ if $\theta_{d}$ represents $d$. From (2, p. 144)

$$
R=w \sum_{\delta \mid d}(D \mid \delta)
$$

and from Lemma 1,

$$
S=\frac{\phi(|2 D|)}{|2 D|} \beta \frac{x}{d}+O(\sqrt{x / d}),
$$

since $\beta$ does not depend on any particular class but only on $D$. Therefore

$$
R S=\left\{w \sum_{\delta \mid d}(D \mid \delta)\right\}\left\{\beta^{\prime} \frac{x}{d}+O(\sqrt{x / d})\right\}, \beta^{\prime}=\frac{\phi(|2 D|)}{|2 D|} \beta,
$$

and

$$
\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n=\psi \\(n, 2 D)=1}} \omega_{n}=w \beta^{\prime} x \sum_{\substack{d<x \\(d, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\lambda_{d}}{d} \sum_{\delta \mid d}(D \mid \delta)+\sqrt{x} O\left(\sum_{\substack{d<x \\(d, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\left|\lambda_{d}\right|}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{\delta \mid d}(D \mid \delta)\right) .
$$

Next the error term is estimated. Let

$$
E=\sum_{\substack{d<x \\(a, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\left|\lambda_{d}\right|}{\sqrt{d}} R_{d}
$$

where $R_{d}$ is the number of representations of $d$ by classes of forms of determinant $D$. By Lemma 1, $N(T)$ is independent of the class and since $R_{d}$ is $N(d)-N(d-1)$ summed over the $h$ classes, it follows that

$$
|E| \leqslant h \sum_{t=2}^{x} \frac{N(t)-N(t-1)}{\sqrt{t}} \log ^{2} \frac{x}{t}+O\left(\log ^{2} x\right)
$$

A simple calculation shows that $E=O(\sqrt{x})$, and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{n<x \\ n=\psi \\(n, 2 D)=1}} \omega_{n}=w \beta^{\prime} x \sum_{\substack{d \leqslant x \\(d, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\lambda_{d}}{d} \sum_{\delta \mid d}(D \mid \delta)+O(x), \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta^{\prime}$ and $w$ depend only on $D$, so that (4.2) holds for a $\psi$ in any properly primitive class.

Comparing (4.1) and (4.2) and summing over the $h$ properly primitive classes, there results
$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p=\psi \\(p, 2 D)=1}} \log ^{2} p+\sum_{\substack{p q \leq x \\ p=\psi \\(p q, 2 D)=1}} \log p \log q=\frac{1}{h}\left\{\sum_{\substack{p<x \\(p, 2 D)=1}}^{\prime} \log ^{2} p+\sum_{\substack{p q \leq x \\(p q, 2 D)=1}}^{\prime} \log p \log q\right\}+O(x)$.
By partial summation one gets
$\sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x \\ p=\psi \\(p, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log ^{2} p}{p}+\sum_{\substack{p q \leq x \\ p q=\psi \\(p q, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log p \log q}{p q}=\frac{1}{h}\left\{\sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x \\(p, 2 D)=1}}^{\prime} \frac{\log ^{2} p}{p}+\sum_{\substack{p q \leq x \\(p q, 2 D)=1}}^{\prime} \frac{\log p \log q}{p q}\right\}$

$$
+O(\log x)
$$

Since each $p q$ in the summation on the right above appears $4 w$ times, it follows that

$$
\sum_{\substack{p, \leq x \\(p q, 2 D)=1}}^{\prime} \frac{\log p \log q}{p q}=4 w \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\(p, 2 D)=1 \\(D \mid p)=1}} \frac{\log p}{p} \sum_{\substack{q \leq x / p \\(q, 2)=1 \\(D \mid q)=1}} \frac{\log q}{q}
$$

This is easily evaluated by using Lemmas 2 and 4 giving $w / 2 \log ^{2} x+O(\log x)$. Therefore from this and Lemma 5,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p=\nu \\(p, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log ^{2} p}{p}+\sum_{\substack{p \not p x \\ p=\psi \\(p q, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log p \log q}{p q}=\frac{w}{h} \log ^{2} x+O(\log x) . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By partial summation from (4.3) results

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x \\
p=\psi \\
(p, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log ^{3} p}{p}+\sum_{\substack{p q \leqslant x \\
p q=\psi \\
(p q, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log p \log q}{p q} \log p q \\
& =\frac{1}{h}\left\{\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\
(p, 2 D)=1}}^{\prime} \frac{\log ^{3} p}{p}+\sum_{\substack{p, p \leq x \\
(p q, 2 D)=1}}^{\prime} \frac{\log p \log q}{p q} \log p q\right\}+O\left(\log ^{2} x\right) . \\
& \sum_{\substack{p q x x \\
(p q, 2 D)=1}}^{\prime} \frac{\log p \log q}{p q} \log p q=\sum_{\substack{p q<x \\
(p q, 2 D)=1}}^{\prime} \frac{\log ^{2} p \log q}{p q}+\sum_{\substack{p<x \\
(p q, 2 D)=1}}^{\prime} \frac{\log p \log ^{2} q}{p q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But each of the two symmetric terms on the right above can be written as

$$
4 w \sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x \\(p, 2 D)=1 \\(D \mid p)=1}} \frac{\log ^{2} p}{p} \sum_{\substack{q \leqslant x / p \\(,, 2)=1 \\(D \mid q)=1}} \frac{\log q}{q}
$$

and by Lemma 4 this equals $\frac{1}{6} w \log ^{3} x+O\left(\log ^{2} x\right)$. Using this and Lemma 5 results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{p<x \\ p=\psi \\(p, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log ^{3} p}{p}+\sum_{\substack{p q \leqslant x \\ p q=\psi \\(p q, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log p \log q}{p q} \log p q=\frac{2 w}{3 h} \log ^{3} x+O\left(\log ^{2} x\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next,

$$
\sum_{\substack{p q \leqslant x \\ p g=\psi \\(p q, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log p \log ^{2} q}{p q}=\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\(p, 2 D)=1 \\(D \mid p)=1}} \frac{\log p}{p}\left\{\sum_{\substack{q / x / p \\\langle=\psi \\(q=\psi)=1}} \frac{\log ^{2} q}{q}+\sum_{\substack{q \leqslant x / \\ q=\psi_{p},-1 \\(q, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log ^{2} q}{q}\right\}
$$

where $p$ is represented by $\psi_{p}$ and $\psi_{p}^{-1}$ and $\psi_{p} \bar{\psi}_{p}=\psi_{p}{ }^{-1} \bar{\psi}_{p}{ }^{-1}=\psi$. The above expression is equal to

$$
\sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x \\(p, 2 n)=1 \\(D \mid p)=1}} \frac{\log p}{p}\left\{\frac{2 w}{h} \log ^{2} \frac{x}{p}-\sum_{\substack{q r \leq x / p \\ q r=\mathcal{L}_{p} \\ q r=-1 \\(q r, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log q \log r}{q r}+O(\log x)\right\}
$$

by (4.4) (which holds for a $\psi$ from any class), and where $r$ denotes a prime number. Expanding and simplifying by Lemma 4 gives the last expression equal to

$$
\frac{w}{3 h} \log ^{3} x-\sum_{\substack{p q r \leqslant x \\ p q r=\psi \\(p q \tau, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log p \log q \log r}{p q r}+O\left(\log ^{2} x\right)
$$

Therefore from (4.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p=\psi \\(p, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log ^{3} p}{p}=2 \sum_{\substack{p q r x x \\ p q r=\psi \\(p q, z D)=1}} \frac{\log p \log q \log r}{p q r}+O\left(\log ^{2} x\right) . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p=\psi \\(p, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log ^{2} p}{p} \leqslant \frac{w}{h} \log ^{2} x+O(\log x) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields by partial summation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x \\ p=v \\(p, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log p}{p} \leqslant \frac{2 w}{h} \log x+O(\log \log x) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next,

$$
\sum_{\substack{p q \leq x \\ p=\psi \\(p q, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log p \log q}{p q} \leqslant \sum_{\substack{p \leq x^{1 / 3} \\ p=\psi=x^{1 / 3} \\(p q, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log p \log q}{p q}+2 \sum_{\substack{x^{1} / 3<p \leqslant x \\(, 2 D)=1 \\(D \mid p)=1}} \frac{\log p}{p} \sum_{\substack{q \leq x / p \\ q=\Psi_{p} \\ q=\psi_{p},-1 \\(q, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log q}{q}
$$

Applying (4.8) and Lemma 4, we find

$$
\sum_{\substack{p q \leqslant x \\ p,=\psi \\(p q, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log p \log q}{p q} \leqslant \sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x^{1 / 3} \\ p q=\psi \\(p q, 2 D)=1}} \sum_{\substack{x^{1 / 3}}} \frac{\log p \log q}{p q}+\frac{8 w}{9 h} \log ^{2} x+O(\log x \log \log x)
$$

Therefore from (4.4),

$$
\sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x \\ p=\psi \\(p, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log ^{2} p}{p} \geqslant \frac{w}{9 h} \log ^{2} x-\sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x^{2 / 3} \\ p q=\psi \\(p q, 2 D)=1}} \sum_{p x^{1 / 3}} \frac{\log p \log q}{p q}+O(\log x \log \log x) ;
$$

that is, for $x>x_{0}$,

$$
\log x \sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x \\ p=\psi \\(p, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log p}{p}>\frac{w}{10 h} \log ^{2} x-\sum_{\substack{p, q \leqslant x^{1} / 3 \\ p=\psi \\ q=\psi \\(p, 2)=1 \\(q, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log p}{p} \frac{\log q}{q},
$$

where the latter sum is taken over primes $p$ and $q$ with $\psi_{p} \psi_{q}=\psi$. Recalling (2.3), this can be written as

$$
\log x S_{\psi}(x)>\frac{w}{10 h} \log ^{2} x-\sum_{\theta \theta^{\prime}=\psi} S_{\theta}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) S_{\theta^{\prime}}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right),
$$

where the sum is taken over all pairs of classes $\theta, \theta^{\prime}$ such that $\psi$ belongs to the class $\theta \theta^{\prime}$.

Division of both sides by $\log ^{2} x=9 \log ^{2} x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\psi}(x)>\frac{w}{10 h}-\frac{1}{9} \sum_{\theta \theta^{\prime}=\psi} Q_{\theta}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) Q_{\theta^{\prime}}\left(x^{\frac{3}{3}}\right), \quad x>x_{0} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log ^{3} x Q_{\psi}(x) \geqslant 2 \sum_{\substack{p q r \leqslant x^{1 / 3} \\
\text { pqr } \\
(p q r, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log p \log q \log r}{p q r}+O\left(\log ^{2} x\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times\left\{\frac{1}{\log x^{1 / 3}} \sum_{\substack{r \leq x^{1 / 3} \\
\left(t \theta^{\prime \prime} \\
(r, 2 D)=1\right.}} \frac{\log r}{r}\right\}+O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\psi}(x) \geqslant \frac{2}{27} \sum_{\theta \theta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime \prime}=\psi} Q_{\theta}\left(x^{\frac{3}{3}}\right) Q_{\theta^{\prime}}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) Q_{\theta^{\prime \prime}}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right) . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (4.8) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\psi}(x) \leqslant \frac{2 w}{h}+O\left(\frac{\log \log x}{\log x}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point the characters of classes of forms of determinant $D$ are introduced where the character $\chi$ of a class $\theta, \chi(\theta)$, is obtained as an Abelian group character from the group which the classes form under composition. In general they are divided into three categories: The principal character $\chi_{0}$, with $\chi_{0}(\theta)=1$ for all $\theta$, real non-principal characters, with $\chi(\theta)= \pm 1$ for all $\theta$
and which exist if and only if $h$ is even since each character is an $h$-th root of unity, and the non-real characters. These characters are needed only when $h(D)$ is even.

Lemma 6. If $h$ is even and $\chi$ is any real non-principal character, then

$$
\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\\left(\theta_{p}\right)=1 \\(p, 2 D)=1}} \frac{\log p}{p}=\frac{1}{4} \log x+O(1)
$$

Here the summation is extended over all primes which are representable by forms of classes of determinant $D$ and for which classes $\chi(\theta)=1$.

Proof. By considering all possible products of Gauss's generic characters, one gets that for any real non-principal character, there exists a factor $D_{1}$ of $D$ such that $\chi(\theta)=\left(D_{1} \mid m\right)$, where $m$ is any number prime to 2 D which is representable by forms of the class $\theta$ (5, pp. 311-312). Therefore $\chi\left(\theta_{p}\right)=\left(D_{1} \mid p\right)$ and since if $p$ is represented by a form of determinant $D,(D \mid p)=1$, the sum takes the form

$$
\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\(p) \leq 1 \\\left(\frac{D}{p}\right)=\left(D_{1}\right)=1 \\ p}} \frac{\log p}{p}=W .
$$

However,

$$
W=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x \\\left(D D_{1} \mid p\right)=1}} \frac{\log p}{p}-\sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x \\\left(D_{1} \mid p\right)=-1}} \frac{\log p}{p}+\sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x \\(D \mid p)=1}} \frac{\log p}{p}\right\}
$$

since each desired prime appears twice in the sums of the right member. Since by Lemma 2

$$
\sum_{\substack{p \times x \\\left(D_{1} \leqslant p\right)=-1}} \frac{\log p}{p}=\sum_{p \leqslant x} \frac{\log p}{p}-\sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x \\\left(D_{1} \leqslant p\right)=1}} \frac{\log p}{p}=\frac{1}{2} \log x+O(1),
$$

it follows, again by Lemma 2, that

$$
W=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \log x+O(1)=\frac{1}{4} \log x+O(1)
$$

Lemma 7. Suppose $h(D)$ is even and there is a set of different classes of properly primitive forms of determinant $D, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{k}$, and that $k \geqslant \frac{1}{2} h$, and that for each real character $\chi$ for forms of determinant $D$, there is a $\theta$ in the set with $\chi(\theta)=1$. Let $\psi$ be a properly primitive form of determinant $D$, and suppose that there is a $\theta$ and $a \theta^{\prime}$, not necessarily different, belonging to the set, such that $\psi$ belongs to the class $\theta \theta^{\prime}$. Then there is a triple of classes belonging to the set, $\theta, \theta^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime \prime}$, such that $\theta \theta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime \prime}=\psi$ under composition.

Proof. The proof follows from the proof of Selberg's lemma (3, Lemma 2) by replacing primitive residue classes by properly primitive classes of quadratic forms.

Lemma 8. If $h(D)$ is odd, and there is a set of different classes of properly primitive forms of determinant $D, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{k}$, with $k>h / 2$, and if a $\theta$ and a $\theta^{\prime}$, not necessarily different, such that $\theta \theta^{\prime}=\psi$, belong to this set, then there exists a triple of classes belonging to the set, $\theta, \theta^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime \prime}$, such that $\theta \theta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime \prime}=\psi$.

The proof is the same as the proof of the preceding lemma for $k>\frac{1}{2} h$.
Now it can be shown that

$$
Q_{\psi}(x)>\frac{1}{(130)^{4} h^{6}}, \quad x>x_{0}
$$

Assume that for some large $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\psi}(x)<\frac{1}{130 h} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3,

$$
\sum_{\theta} Q_{\theta}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)=\frac{w}{\log x^{1 / 3}}\left[\log x^{\frac{1}{3}}+O(1)\right]=w+O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)
$$

But

$$
Q_{\theta}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) \leqslant \frac{2 w}{h}+O\left(\frac{\log \log x}{\log x}\right)
$$

for all $\theta$ by (4.11).
Therefore there are at least the greatest integer in $(h+1) / 2$ classes $\theta$ with

$$
Q_{\theta}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)>\frac{1}{130 h^{2}}
$$

$x>x_{0}$.
From (4.9),

$$
Q_{\psi}(x)>\frac{1}{10 h}-\frac{1}{9} \sum_{\theta \theta^{\prime}=\psi} Q_{\theta}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) Q_{\theta^{\prime}}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right), \quad x>x_{0}
$$

and (4.12),

$$
Q_{\psi}(x)<\frac{1}{130 h}
$$

Therefore

$$
\sum_{\theta \theta^{\prime}=\psi} Q_{\theta}\left(x^{\frac{3}{3}}\right) Q_{\theta^{\prime}}\left(x^{\frac{3}{3}}\right)>9\left(\frac{1}{10 h}-\frac{1}{130 h}\right)>\frac{1}{15 h} .
$$

Therefore there exists at least one pair of classes $\theta, \theta^{\prime}$ with $\theta \theta^{\prime}=\psi$ such that

$$
Q_{\theta}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) Q_{\theta^{\prime}}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)>\frac{1}{15 h^{2}}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{\theta}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) & >\frac{1}{Q_{\theta^{\prime}\left(x^{1 / 3}\right) 15 h^{2}}>\frac{1}{\left(\frac{2 w}{h}+\epsilon\right) 15 h^{2}}} & & \text { by }(4.11) \\
& =\frac{1}{30 w h+15 h^{2} \epsilon}>\frac{1}{130 h^{2}}, & & x>x_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

and likewise

$$
Q_{\theta^{\prime}}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)>\frac{1}{130 \bar{h}^{\overline{2}}}, \quad x>x_{0}
$$

5. Completion of proof for $h(D)$ even. By Lemma 6

$$
\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p=\theta_{p} \\ x\left(\theta_{p}\right)=1}} \frac{\log p}{p}=\frac{w}{2} \log x+O(1)>\frac{1}{9} \log x, \quad x>x_{0}
$$

or

$$
\sum_{\substack{\theta \\ \chi(\theta)=1}} \sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x \\ p=\theta}} \frac{\log p}{p}>\frac{1}{9} \log x, \quad x>x_{0}
$$

or

$$
\sum_{\substack{\theta \\ \chi(\theta)=1}} \frac{1}{\log x^{1 / 3}} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x^{1 / 3} \\ p=\theta}} \frac{\log p}{p}=\sum_{\substack{\theta \\ x(\theta)=1}} Q_{\theta}\left(x^{\frac{3}{3}}\right)>\frac{1}{9}, \quad x>x_{0}
$$

Therefore there exists at least one $\theta$ with

$$
Q_{\theta}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)>\frac{1}{9 h}>\frac{1}{130 h^{2}}
$$

and with $\chi(\theta)=1$ for each real non-principal character $\chi$.
Thus there is a set of different classes $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots \theta_{k}$, with $k \geqslant h / 2$, such that for $i=1,2, \ldots k$,

$$
Q_{\theta_{i}}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)>\frac{1}{130 h^{2}}
$$

and such that for each real character $\chi$ there is a $\theta_{i}$ with $\chi\left(\theta_{i}\right)=1$, and finally such that there exist classes $\theta, \theta^{\prime}$, with $\theta \theta^{\prime}=\psi$. Therefore by Lemma 7, there exist classes $\theta, \theta^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime \prime}$, belonging to the set with $\theta \theta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime \prime}=\psi$. Then by (4.10)

$$
Q_{\psi}(x) \geqslant \frac{2}{27} Q_{\theta}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) Q_{\theta^{\prime}}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) Q_{\theta^{\prime \prime}}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)-O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)>\frac{1}{(130)^{4} h^{6}}
$$

for $x>x_{0}$, which completes the proof for $h(D)$ even.
6. Completion of proof for $h(D)$ odd. Again there is a set of different classes $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots \theta_{k}$, with $k>h / 2$ such that for $i=1,2, \ldots k$,

$$
Q_{\theta_{i}}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)>\frac{1}{130 h^{2}}
$$

and such that there exist classes $\theta, \theta^{\prime}$ in the set with $\theta \theta^{\prime}=\psi$. Therefore by Lemma 8 there exists a triple of classes $\theta, \theta^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime \prime}$, belonging to the set with $\theta \theta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime \prime}=\psi$. Then again by (4.10)

$$
Q_{\psi}(x) \geqslant \frac{2}{27} Q_{\theta}\left(x^{\frac{3}{3}}\right) Q_{\theta^{\prime}}\left(x^{\frac{3}{3}}\right) Q_{\theta^{\prime \prime}}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)-O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)>\frac{1}{(130)^{4} h^{6}}
$$

for $x>x_{0}$ which completes the proof of the theorem.
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