

# COMPACT TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON WEIGHTED HARMONIC BERGMAN SPACES

KAREL STROETHOFF

(Received 30 August 1996; revised 15 August 1997)

Communicated by A. H. Dooley

## Abstract

We consider the Bergman spaces consisting of harmonic functions on the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that are square-integrable with respect to radial weights. We will describe compactness for certain classes of Toeplitz operators on these harmonic Bergman spaces.

1991 *Mathematics subject classification* (*Amer. Math. Soc.*): 47B35, 47B38.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $n \geq 2$  be a fixed integer. We use the notation  $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}$  and  $S = \partial B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = 1\}$ . Let  $\sigma$  denote the rotation-invariant area measure on  $S$ , normalized so that  $\sigma(S) = 1$ . If  $u$  is harmonic on  $B$ , then  $u$  has the mean value property

$$(1.1) \quad u(y) = \int_S u(y + r\xi) d\sigma(\xi),$$

whenever the ball  $B(y, r) \subset B$ . For  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$  let  $dV_\alpha(x) = (1 - |x|^2)^\alpha dV(x)$ , where  $V$  denotes Lebesgue volume measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The *harmonic Bergman space*  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$  is the space of all harmonic functions  $u$  which are in  $L^2(B, V_\alpha)$ . Integrating (1.1) with respect to  $r$  we obtain

$$u(y) = \frac{1}{V_\alpha(B(y, r))} \int_{B(y, r)} u(x) dV_\alpha(x),$$

---

The author was partially supported by grants from the Montana University System and the University of Montana.

© 1998 Australian Mathematical Society 0263-6115/98 \$A2.00 + 0.00

whenever  $B(y, r) \subset B$ . Consequently, if  $u$  is harmonic on  $B$ , then

$$(1.2) \quad |u(y)| \leq \frac{1}{V_\alpha(B(y, r))^{1/2}} \left( \int_{B(y, r)} |u(x)|^2 dV_\alpha(x) \right)^{1/2}$$

$$(1.3) \quad \leq \frac{1}{V_\alpha(B(y, r))^{1/2}} \|u\|.$$

whenever  $B(y, r) \subset B$ . Inequality (1.3) implies that  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$  is a closed subspace of  $L^2(B, V_\alpha)$ , thus a Hilbert space. We denote the orthogonal projection of  $L^2(B, V_\alpha)$  onto  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$  by  $Q_\alpha$ . For  $f \in L^\infty(B)$  the Toeplitz operator  $T_f : b^{2,\alpha}(B) \rightarrow b^{2,\alpha}(B)$  is defined by

$$T_f u = Q_\alpha[fu], \quad u \in b^{2,\alpha}(B).$$

The operator  $T_f$  is clearly bounded on  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$ :  $\|T_f\| \leq \|f\|_\infty$ . For certain classes of symbols we will describe when these operators are compact.

### 2. Preliminaries

Inequality (1.3) shows that for fixed  $y \in B$  the linear functional  $u \mapsto u(y)$  is bounded on  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$ . By the Riesz-Fischer Theorem, there exists a unique function  $R_\alpha(\cdot, y) \in b^{2,\alpha}(B)$  for which

$$(2.1) \quad u(y) = \langle u, R_\alpha(\cdot, y) \rangle, \quad u \in b^{2,\alpha}(B).$$

The mapping  $R_\alpha$  is called the *harmonic Bergman kernel* of  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$ . Note that the projection operator  $Q_\alpha$  is the integral operator with kernel  $R_\alpha$ :

$$(2.2) \quad Q_\alpha[f](y) = \int_B f(x) R_\alpha(x, y) dV_\alpha(x),$$

for  $f \in L^2(B, V_\alpha)$  and  $y \in B$ . The Bergman kernel is easily expressed in terms of the so-called zonal harmonics. We recall some terminology before we define these zonal harmonics.

A polynomial on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is homogeneous of degree  $m$  (or  $m$ -homogeneous) if it is a finite linear combination of monomials  $x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}$ , where  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  are non-negative integers such that  $k_1 + \cdots + k_n = m$ . Note that a polynomial  $p$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is homogeneous of degree  $m$  if and only if  $p(tx) = t^m p(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . The space  $\mathcal{H}_m(S)$  of restrictions to  $S$  of harmonic homogeneous polynomials of degree  $m$ , the so-called *spherical harmonics* of degree  $m$ , is a (finite-dimensional) Hilbert space with respect to the usual inner product on  $L^2(S, d\sigma)$ , where  $\sigma$  denotes the normalized surface-area measure on  $S$ . For each  $\xi \in S$  the linear functional  $p \mapsto p(\xi)$  on

the space  $\mathcal{H}_m(S)$  is uniquely represented by a harmonic  $m$ -homogeneous polynomial  $Z_m(\cdot, \xi)$ , called the zonal harmonic of degree  $m$  at  $\xi$ . Extending  $Z_m$  to a function on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  by setting  $Z_m(x, y) = |y|^m Z_m(x, y/|y|)$ , and using that each zonal harmonic  $Z_m(\cdot, \xi)$  is real valued (see [1, pp. 78–79]) we have

$$(2.3) \quad \int_S p(\zeta) Z_m(\zeta, y) d\sigma(\zeta) = p(y)$$

for every harmonic  $m$ -homogeneous polynomial  $p$ . Spherical harmonics of distinct degrees are orthogonal, that is,

$$(2.4) \quad \int_S p \bar{q} d\sigma = 0$$

if  $p$  and  $q$  are harmonic homogeneous polynomials of distinct degree. If  $p$  is an  $m$ -homogeneous harmonic polynomial, then, using integration by polar-coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B p(x) Z_m(x, y) dV_\alpha(x) &= nV(B) \int_0^1 r^{n-1} \int_S p(r\zeta) Z_m(r\zeta, y) d\sigma(\zeta) (1-r^2)^\alpha dr \\ &= nV(B) p(y) \int_0^1 r^{n+2m-1} (1-r^2)^\alpha dr \\ &= \frac{n}{2} V(B) p(y) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m) \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m + \alpha + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(2.5) \quad R_\alpha(x, y) = \frac{2}{nV(B)} \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m + \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m) \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} Z_m(x, y).$$

Using that  $Z_m(y, y) = h_m |y|^{2m}$ , where  $h_m$  denotes the dimension of the space  $\mathcal{H}_m(S)$  (see [1, page 80]), we get

$$R_\alpha(y, y) = \frac{2}{nV(B)} \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m + \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m) \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} h_m |y|^{2m}.$$

The numbers  $h_m$  can be expressed in terms of binomial coefficients:

$$(2.6) \quad h_m = \binom{n+m-2}{n-2} + \binom{n+m-3}{n-2},$$

for  $m \geq 1$  (see [1, page 94]), and it is easily shown that  $h_m \sim 2m^{n-2}/(n-2)!$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . By Stirling’s formula,  $\Gamma(m+c)/m! \sim m^{c-1}$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , for all  $c > 0$ . Using  $\approx$  to denote that the ratio of two quantities is bounded above and below by constants independent of the variable, we thus have  $(\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m + \alpha + 1)/\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m))h_m \approx \Gamma(m+n+\alpha)/m!$ , and conclude that

$$(2.7) \quad R_\alpha(y, y) \approx \frac{1}{(1-|y|^2)^{n+\alpha}}.$$

### 3. Compact Toeplitz operators with continuous symbols

In this section we will describe compactness of Toeplitz operators on the harmonic Bergman spaces  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$  with uniformly continuous symbols. Our results generalize those recently obtained by Miao [4] for Toeplitz operators on the unweighted harmonic Bergman space ( $\alpha = 0$ ). Miao made use of the explicit formula for the reproducing kernel of the unweighted harmonic Bergman space given in [1, Chapter 8]. For weighted harmonic Bergman spaces no such formula is available, and we will use a different approach to obtain estimates on the reproducing kernels.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ . If  $f \in L^\infty(B)$  has compact support, then  $T_f$  is compact on  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $f \in L^\infty(B)$  is supported in  $\rho\bar{B}$ , where  $0 < \rho < 1$ , then

$$\int_B \int_B |f(x)| |R_\alpha(x, y)|^2 dV(x) dV(y) \leq \|f\|_\infty \int_{\rho B} R_\alpha(y, y) dV(y) < \infty,$$

so  $T_f$  is Hilbert-Schmidt on  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Let  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ . In  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$  we have  $R_\alpha(\cdot, y)/\|R_\alpha(\cdot, y)\| \rightarrow 0$  weakly as  $|y| \rightarrow 1^-$ .*

**PROOF.** Using the reproducing property and (2.7) we have

$$\|R_\alpha(\cdot, y)\|^2 = \langle R_\alpha(\cdot, y), R_\alpha(\cdot, y) \rangle = R_\alpha(y, y) \approx \frac{1}{(1 - |y|^2)^{n+\alpha}}.$$

It is easily verified that  $V_\alpha(B(y, (1 - |y|)/2)) \approx (1 - |y|)^{n+\alpha}$ . So, if  $u \in b^{2,\alpha}(B)$ , then it follows with the help of (1.2) that

$$\left\langle u, \frac{R_\alpha(\cdot, y)}{\|R_\alpha(\cdot, y)\|} \right\rangle \leq C \left( \int_{B(y, (1-|y|)/2)} |u(x)|^2 dV_\alpha(x) \right)^{1/2},$$

and thus  $\langle u, R_\alpha(\cdot, y)/\|R_\alpha(\cdot, y)\| \rangle \rightarrow 0$  as  $|y| \rightarrow 1^-$ .

For  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$  and  $f \in L^\infty(B)$  define the *Berezin transform*  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f$  by

$$\tilde{f}(y) = \left\langle T_f \frac{R_\alpha(\cdot, y)}{\|R_\alpha(\cdot, y)\|}, \frac{R_\alpha(\cdot, y)}{\|R_\alpha(\cdot, y)\|} \right\rangle, \quad y \in B.$$

Note that even though this is not reflected in the notation,  $\tilde{f}$  does depend upon  $\alpha$ . Clearly,  $|\tilde{f}(y)| \leq \|T_f\| \leq \|f\|_\infty$ , for all  $y \in B$ .

If  $T_f$  is compact on  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$ , then  $T_f$  maps weakly null sequences to norm null sequences, so that by Proposition 3.2,  $\|T_f(R_\alpha(\cdot, y)/\|R_\alpha(\cdot, y)\|)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $|y| \rightarrow 1^-$ , and thus  $\tilde{f}(y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|y| \rightarrow 1^-$ . We do not know whether the converse is true in general. In the next section we will prove that the converse holds if  $f$  is a radial function. Let  $C_0(B)$  denote the subalgebra of  $C(\bar{B})$  consisting of all continuous functions  $g$  on  $B$  such that  $g(y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|y| \rightarrow 1^-$ . For uniformly continuous symbols we have the following result.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$  and  $f \in C(\bar{B})$ . Then:  $T_f$  is compact on  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$  if and only if  $f \in C_0(B)$ .*

In the proof we will need the following lemma.

**LEMMA 3.4.** *Let  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ . If  $0 < \delta < 1$ , then there exists a finite positive number  $C_\delta$  such that  $|R_\alpha(x, y)| \leq C_\delta$  whenever  $x, y \in B$  are such that  $|x - y| \geq \delta$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $k$  is an integer, then it follows from [2, Lemma 3.2] that there exists a finite number  $C$  such that  $|R_k(x, y)| \leq C/(1 - 2x \cdot y + |x|^2|y|^2)^{(n+k)/2}$ , for all  $x, y \in B$ . Since  $1 - 2x \cdot y + |x|^2|y|^2 = |x - y|^2 + (1 - |x|^2)(1 - |y|^2) > |x - y|^2$ , we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad |R_k(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{|x - y|^{n+k}},$$

for all  $x, y \in B$ , and the stated result follows with  $C_\delta = C/\delta^{n+k}$ .

Suppose  $k - 1 < \alpha < k$ , where  $k \geq 0$  is an integer. Using (2.5) it is easy to verify that

$$(3.6) \quad R_\alpha(x, y) = \frac{k!}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(k - \alpha)} \int_0^1 2t^{n+2\alpha+1} R_k(tx, ty)(1 - t^2)^{k-\alpha-1} dt.$$

If  $x, y \in B$  are such that  $|x - y| \geq \delta$  it follows from (3.6) and (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} |R_\alpha(x, y)| &\leq \frac{k!}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(k - \alpha)} \int_0^1 2t^{n+2\alpha+1} |R_k(tx, ty)|(1 - t^2)^{k-\alpha-1} dt \\ &\leq \frac{k!}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(k - \alpha)} \frac{2C}{\delta^{n+k}} \int_0^1 t^{2\alpha-k+1} (1 - t^2)^{k-\alpha-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

So the constant  $C_\delta = C\Gamma(\alpha - \frac{k}{2} + 1)k!/(\delta^{n+k}\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + 1))$  works.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 3.3.** The implication using  $f \in C_0(B)$  follows easily from Proposition 3.1.

To prove the other implication we need only show that  $\tilde{f} - f \in C_0(B)$ . Using Lemma 3.4 and the observation that

$$\tilde{f}(y) - f(y) = \frac{1}{R_\alpha(y, y)} \int_B (f(x) - f(y)) R_\alpha(x, y)^2 dV_\alpha(x)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{f}(y) - f(y)| &\leq \frac{1}{R_\alpha(y, y)} \int_B |f(x) - f(y)| R_\alpha(x, y)^2 dV_\alpha(x) \\ &\leq \omega(\delta) \frac{1}{R_\alpha(y, y)} \int_{B(y, \delta)} R_\alpha(x, y)^2 dV_\alpha(x) + \frac{2C_\delta^2 \|f\|_\infty}{R_\alpha(y, y)} \\ &\leq \omega(\delta) + C'_\delta (1 - |y|^2)^{n+\alpha} \|f\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega(\delta) = \sup\{|f(x) - f(z)| : x, z \in B, |x - z| < \delta\}$ . Letting  $|y| \rightarrow 1^-$  we obtain

$$\limsup_{|y| \rightarrow 1^-} |\tilde{f}(y) - f(y)| \leq \omega(\delta),$$

for each  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Since  $f \in C(\bar{B})$ ,  $\omega(\delta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$  we conclude that  $\tilde{f}(y) - f(y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|y| \rightarrow 1^-$ .

If  $\mathcal{L}(b^{2,\alpha}(B))$  denotes the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$ , and  $\mathcal{K}$  denotes the ideal of compact operators in  $\mathcal{L}(b^{2,\alpha}(B))$ , then the essential spectrum of an operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(b^{2,\alpha}(B))$ , denoted by  $\sigma_e(T)$ , is the spectrum of the operator  $T + \mathcal{K}$  in the Calkin algebra  $\mathcal{L}(b^{2,\alpha}(B))/\mathcal{K}$ .

**THEOREM 3.7.** *Let  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$  and  $f \in C(\bar{B})$ . The essential spectrum of the operator  $T_f$  on  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$  is  $\sigma_e(T_f) = f(S)$ .*

In the proof of the above theorem we will make use of Hankel operators. For  $f \in L^\infty(B)$  the Hankel operator  $H_f : b^{2,\alpha}(B) \rightarrow L^2(B, dV_\alpha)$  is defined by  $H_f u = (I - Q_\alpha)[f u]$ ,  $u \in b^{2,\alpha}(B)$ . In [5] it was shown that for every  $f \in C(\bar{B})$  the Hankel operator  $H_f$  is compact on  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$ . The following identity gives a simple relationship between Toeplitz and Hankel operators:

$$(3.8) \quad T_{fg} - T_f T_g = H_f^* H_g,$$

for  $f, g \in L^\infty(B)$ .

**PROOF OF THEOREM 3.7.** We first show that  $f(S) \subset \sigma_e(T_f)$ . Suppose  $\xi = f(\zeta)$ , with  $\zeta \in S$ . We claim that  $T_{f-\xi}$  cannot be left-invertible in the Calkin algebra, so that  $\xi \in \sigma_e(T_f)$ . To prove this claim we first observe that the argument in the proof of

Theorem 3.3 shows that  $\|(f - f(y))R_\alpha(\cdot, y)/\|R_\alpha(\cdot, y)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $|y| \rightarrow 1^-$ , and thus  $\|T_{f-\xi}R_\alpha(\cdot, y)/\|R_\alpha(\cdot, y)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $y \rightarrow \zeta$  in  $B$ . If  $T$  is a bounded linear operator on  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$ , then

$$\left\langle (I - TT_{f-\xi}) \frac{R_\alpha(\cdot, y)}{\|R_\alpha(\cdot, y)\|}, \frac{R_\alpha(\cdot, y)}{\|R_\alpha(\cdot, y)\|} \right\rangle = 1 - \left\langle T_{f-\xi} \frac{R_\alpha(\cdot, y)}{\|R_\alpha(\cdot, y)\|}, \frac{T^*R_\alpha(\cdot, y)}{\|R_\alpha(\cdot, y)\|} \right\rangle \rightarrow 1$$

as  $y \rightarrow \zeta$  in  $B$ . It follows that  $TT_{f-\xi} - I$  cannot be compact on  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$ , proving our claim.

To complete the proof we show that if  $\xi \in C \setminus f(S)$ , then  $T_{f-\xi}$  is invertible in the Calkin algebra. Suppose  $\xi \notin f(S)$ . Then there are  $0 < r < 1$  and  $g \in C(\bar{B})$  such that  $(f - \xi)g = 1$  on  $\bar{B} \setminus B(0, r)$ . The function  $h = 1 - (f - \xi)g$  is compactly supported, so by Proposition 3.1,  $T_h$  is compact on  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$ . Using (3.8) we have

$$T_{f-\xi}T_g = T_{(f-\xi)g} - H_{f-\xi}^*H_g = I - T_h - H_f^*H_g.$$

By [5, Theorem 4.3], the operator  $H_f^*H_g$  is compact, thus  $T_h + H_f^*H_g \in \mathcal{K}$ , and consequently  $T_{f-\xi}$  is right-invertible in the Calkin algebra. That  $T_{f-\xi}$  is also left-invertible in the Calkin algebra is proved similarly.

**COROLLARY 3.9.** *Let  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ . If  $f \in C(\bar{B})$ , then the essential norm of  $T_f$  on  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$  is given by  $\|T_f\|_e = \sup_{\zeta \in S} |f(\zeta)|$ .*

**PROOF.** By (3.8),  $T_f^*T_f - T_fT_f^* = H_f^*H_f - H_f^*H_f$  is compact on  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$ . Thus  $T_f + \mathcal{K}$  is normal in the Calkin algebra, so that its norm is equal to its spectral radius, and the stated result follows from Theorem 3.7.

### 4. Compact Toeplitz operators with radial symbols

Korenblum and Zhu [3] proved that for a radial symbol the Toeplitz operator on the Bergman space of analytic functions on the unit disk is compact precisely when its Berezin transform vanishes near the unit circle. In [6] the author generalized Korenblum and Zhu’s result to the setting of weighted Bergman spaces of analytic functions on the unit ball in  $C^n$ . The following theorem shows that the analogous result holds for Toeplitz operators on weighted harmonic Bergman spaces.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$  and let  $f$  be a bounded measurable radial function on  $B$ . Then:  $T_f$  is compact on  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$  if and only if  $\tilde{f} \in C_0(B)$ .*

The proof of Theorem 4.1 makes use of the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.2. *If  $f$  is a bounded measurable radial function on  $B$ , then each homogeneous harmonic polynomial of degree  $m$  is an eigenvector of  $T_f$  with eigenvalue given by*

$$(4.3) \quad \lambda_m = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m + \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^1 2r^{n+2m-1} \varphi(r)(1 - r^2)^\alpha dr,$$

where  $\varphi$  is a bounded measurable function on  $[0, 1]$ , for which  $f(x) = \varphi(|x|)$ , for all  $x \in B$ .

PROOF. If  $p$  is an  $m$ -homogeneous harmonic polynomial, then, using (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5),

$$\int_S p(\zeta) R_\alpha(r\zeta, y) d\sigma(\zeta) = \frac{2\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m + \alpha + 1)}{nV(B)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} r^m p(y)$$

and thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T_f p)(y) &= \int_B f(x) p(x) R_\alpha(x, y) dV_\alpha(x) \\ &= nV(B) \int_0^1 r^{n+m-1} \varphi(r) \int_S p(\zeta) R_\alpha(r\zeta, y) d\sigma(\zeta) (1 - r^2)^\alpha dr \\ &= p(y) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m + \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^1 2r^{n+2m-1} \varphi(r)(1 - r^2)^\alpha dr, \end{aligned}$$

establishing the proof.

As in Korenblum and Zhu’s argument, we will need a Tauberian theorem. The following lemma follows from a classical result of Hardy and Littlewood (see [6]).

LEMMA 4.4. *Let  $0 < \nu < \infty$ , and let  $(b_m)$  be a sequence of complex numbers for which  $\sup\{|(m + 1)b_m - mb_{m-1}| : m > 1\} < \infty$ . Then:*

$$(1 - t)^{\nu+1} \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(m + \nu + 1)}{m! \Gamma(\nu + 1)} b_m t^m \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 1^-$$

if and only if  $b_m \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1. If  $f(x) = \varphi(|x|)$ , for all  $x \in B$ , where  $\varphi$  is a bounded measurable function on  $[0, 1]$ , then integrating by polar coordinates, using (2.5) and (2.4), it is readily verified that

$$\tilde{f}(y) = \frac{1}{R_\alpha(y, y)} \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m + \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} h_m \lambda_m |y|^{2m},$$



where  $\lambda_m$  is as in (4.3).

Now, suppose  $\tilde{f}(y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|y| \rightarrow 1^-$ . Using (2.7) we get

$$(4.5) \quad (1 - |y|^2)^{n+\alpha} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m + \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} h_m \lambda_m |y|^{2m} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $|y| \rightarrow 1^-$ . We will use Lemma 4.4 to prove that  $\lambda_m \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . We first show that  $\sup\{m|\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-1}| : m \geq 1\} < \infty$ . Rewriting the integrand in the integral in (4.3) using  $r^2\varphi(r) = \varphi(r) - \varphi(r)(1 - r^2)$ , it is easily seen that

$$\lambda_m = \frac{n + 2m + 2\alpha}{n + 2m - 2} \lambda_{m-1} + \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m + \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^1 2r^{n+2m-3} \varphi(r) (1 - r^2)^{\alpha+1} dr,$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_m - \lambda_{m-1} &= \frac{2(1 + \alpha)}{n + 2m - 2} \lambda_{m-1} + \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m + \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^1 2r^{n+2m-3} \varphi(r) (1 - r^2)^{\alpha+1} dr, \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows from the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 2r^{n+2m-3} \varphi(r) (1 - r^2)^{\alpha+1} dr \right| &\leq \|\varphi\|_{\infty} \int_0^1 2r^{n+2m-3} (1 - r^2)^{\alpha+1} dr \\ &= \|f\|_{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m - 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 2)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m + \alpha + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m + \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} h_m \lambda_m = \frac{\Gamma(m + n + \alpha)}{m! \Gamma(n + \alpha)} b_m.$$

Then

$$(m + 1)b_m - mb_{m-1} = \{(m + 1)a_m - ma_{m-1}\} \lambda_m + m(\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-1})a_{m-1},$$

where the  $a_m$  are given by

$$a_m = \frac{m! \Gamma(n + \alpha)}{\Gamma(m + n + \alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m + \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} h_m.$$

It follows that the  $b_m$  satisfy the condition of Lemma 4.4 once we show that the  $(m + 1)a_m - ma_{m-1}$  are bounded. A calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} (m + 1)a_m - ma_{m-1} &= \frac{(m + 1)! \Gamma(n + \alpha)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m + \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(m + n + \alpha)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} (h_m - h_{m-1}) \\ &+ \frac{(3 - n)m + (n + \alpha)(2 - \frac{n}{2}) - 1}{(m + n + \alpha - 1)(\frac{n}{2} + m - 1)} \frac{m! \Gamma(n + \alpha)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m + \alpha)}{\Gamma(m + n + \alpha - 1)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + m - 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} h_{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows easily from (2.6) that

$$h_m - h_{m-1} = \frac{(n + 2m - 2)(n - 1)}{m(m - 1)} \frac{(n + m - 4)!}{(m - 2)!(n - 2)!},$$

for  $m \geq 2$ , thus  $h_m - h_{m-1} \approx m^{n-3}$ . Recalling that  $h_m \approx m^{m-2}$ , our claim that the  $(m + 1)a_m - ma_{m-1}$  are bounded follows with the help of Stirling’s formula. Applying Lemma 4.4 we conclude that  $b_m \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Since the  $a_m$  have a non-zero limit, we conclude that  $\lambda_m \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , and thus  $T_f$  is compact on  $b^{2,\alpha}(B)$ .

### 5. Compact Toeplitz operators with discontinuous symbols

We write  $b^2(B)$  for the unweighted harmonic Bergman space  $b^{2,0}(B)$ . If  $T_f$  is compact on  $b^2(B)$ , then  $T_{f^2}$  need not be compact. In fact, there are functions  $f$  on  $B$  for which  $T_f$  is compact, and  $T_{f^2}$  is the identity operator on  $b^2(B)$ . We will show this by considering a class of Toeplitz operators whose symbols are radial functions taking only the values 1 and  $-1$ .

Let  $(r_k)$  and  $(s_k)$  be sequences of positive numbers converging to 1 with  $0 = r_1 < s_1 < r_2 < s_2 < \dots$ , and define  $f$  on  $B$  by

$$(5.1) \quad f(x) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } r_k \leq |x| < s_k, \\ 1, & \text{if } s_k \leq |x| < r_{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 4.2 the eigenvalues of  $T_f$  are given by

$$(5.2) \quad \lambda_m = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (r_{k+1}^{n+2m} - 2s_k^{n+2m} + r_k^{n+2m}).$$

**THEOREM 5.3.** *Let  $f$  be the function given by (5.1) with  $r_k = 1 - 1/k^c$ , where  $c > 0$ , and  $s_k = (r_k + r_{k+1})/2$ . Then:  $T_f$  is trace-class on  $b^2(B)$  if  $c < 1/(n - 1)$ .*

**PROOF.** Note that each of the terms of the series in (5.2) is positive. Using that the multiplicity of  $\lambda_m$  is  $h_m$  and the fact that  $h_m \approx (m + n - 2)!/m!$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(T_f) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda_m h_m = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \{r_{k+1}^{n+2m} + r_k^{n+2m} - 2s_k^{n+2m}\} h_m \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \{r_{k+1}^{n+2m} + r_k^{n+2m} - 2s_k^{n+2m}\} \frac{(m + n - 2)!}{m!(n - 2)!} \\ &= C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{r_{k+1}^n}{(1 - r_{k+1}^2)^{n-1}} + \frac{r_k^n}{(1 - r_k^2)^{n-1}} - \frac{2s_k^n}{(1 - s_k^2)^{n-1}} \right\} \\ &\leq C' \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_k^2}{(1 - r_k)^{n+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_k = r_{k+1} - s_k = s_k - r_k$ . So, if  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \delta_k^2 / (1 - r_k)^{n+1} < \infty$  then  $T_f$  is trace-class on  $b^2(B)$ . For  $r_k = 1 - 1/k^c$  and  $s_k = (r_k + r_{k+1})/2$  we have  $2\delta_k = 1/k^c - 1/(k+1)^c \approx 1/k^{c+1}$ , thus  $\delta_k^2 / (1 - r_k)^{n+1} \approx 1/k^{2-(n-1)c}$ , and we see that  $T_f$  is trace-class on  $b^2(B)$  if  $(n - 1)c < 1$ , that is, if  $c < 1/(n - 1)$ .

**THEOREM 5.4.** *Let  $f$  be the function given by (5.1) with  $s_k = (r_k + r_{k+1})/2$  and put  $\delta_k = r_{k+1} - s_k = s_k - r_k$ . Then:  $T_f$  is compact on  $b^2(B)$  if and only if*

$$\delta_k / (1 - r_k) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

In the proof of the above theorem we will need the following lemma.

**LEMMA 5.5.** *Let  $m$  be a positive integer, and let  $(r_k)$  be an increasing sequence of positive numbers converging to 1 and  $2\delta_k = r_{k+1} - r_k$ , for all  $k$ , then*

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{2\delta_k}{(1 - r_k^2 t)^{m+1}} \leq \frac{1}{(1 - t)^m}$$

for all  $0 < t < 1$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $r_{k+1} > r_k$ , we have

$$r_{k+1}^{j+1} - r_k^{j+1} \geq (r_{k+1} - r_k)(j + 1)r_k^j \geq 2(j + 1)\delta_k r_k^{2j},$$

and consequently

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty 2\delta_k r_k^{2j} \leq \frac{1}{j + 1} \sum_{k=1}^\infty (r_{k+1}^{j+1} - r_k^{j+1}) = \frac{1}{j + 1} (1 - r_1^{j+1}) \leq \frac{1}{j + 1}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{2\delta_k}{(1 - r_k^2 t)^{m+1}} &= \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{(j + m)!}{j! m!} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^\infty 2\delta_k r_k^{2j} \right\} t^j \leq \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{(j + m)!}{j! m!} \frac{1}{j + 1} t^j \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 - |t|^2)^m} - 1 \right\} / t \leq \frac{1}{(1 - t)^m}, \end{aligned}$$

proving the stated result.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 5.4.** Write  $R$  for the reproducing kernel of  $b^2(B)$ .

*Step 1.* Using equation (2.5) it is easy to show that for  $0 < r < 1$  we have

$$\int_{rB} R(x, y)^2 dV(x) = \sum_{m=0}^\infty (n + 2m)r^{n+2m} h_m |y|^{2m}.$$

Consequently,

$$(5.7) \quad \tilde{f}(y) = \frac{1}{R(y, y)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (n + 2m)(r_{k+1}^{n+2m} - 2s_k^{n+2m} + r_k^{n+2m})h_m|y|^{2m}.$$

Step 2. Similar to the proof of Theorem 5.3: there exists a finite positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\tilde{f}(y) \leq C(1 - |y|^2)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{r_{k+1}^n}{(1 - r_{k+1}^2|y|^2)^n} + \frac{r_k^n}{(1 - r_k^2|y|^2)^n} - \frac{2s_k^n}{(1 - s_k^2|y|^2)^n} \right\},$$

for all  $y \in B$ .

Step 3. There is a finite positive constant  $M$  for which we have the inequality

$$\frac{r_{k+1}^n}{(1 - r_{k+1}^2|y|^2)^n} + \frac{r_k^n}{(1 - r_k^2|y|^2)^n} - \frac{2s_k^n}{(1 - s_k^2|y|^2)^n} \leq \frac{M\delta_k^2}{(1 - r_k^2|y|^2)^{n+2}},$$

for all  $k \geq 1$  and all  $y \in B$ .

Step 4. It follows from steps 2 and 3 and Lemma 5.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(y) &\leq C(1 - |y|^2)^n \sum_{k=1}^K \left\{ \frac{r_{k+1}^n}{(1 - r_{k+1}^2|y|^2)^n} + \frac{r_k^n}{(1 - r_k^2|y|^2)^n} - \frac{2s_k^n}{(1 - s_k^2|y|^2)^n} \right\} \\ &\quad + C'(1 - |y|^2)^n \sum_{k=K}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_k^2}{(1 - r_k^2|y|^2)^{n+2}} \\ &\leq C(1 - |y|^2)^n \sum_{k=1}^K \left\{ \frac{r_{k+1}^n}{(1 - r_{k+1}^2|y|^2)^n} + \frac{r_k^n}{(1 - r_k^2|y|^2)^n} - \frac{2s_k^n}{(1 - s_k^2|y|^2)^n} \right\} \\ &\quad + C'(1 - |y|^2)^n \sup_{k \geq K} \left( \frac{\delta_k}{1 - r_k} \right) \sum_{k=K}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_k}{(1 - r_k^2|y|^2)^{n+1}} \\ &\leq C(1 - |y|^2)^n \sum_{k=1}^K \left\{ \frac{r_{k+1}^n}{(1 - r_{k+1}^2|y|^2)^n} + \frac{r_k^n}{(1 - r_k^2|y|^2)^n} - \frac{2s_k^n}{(1 - s_k^2|y|^2)^n} \right\} \\ &\quad + C' \sup_{k \geq K} \left( \frac{\delta_k}{1 - r_k} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $y \in B$  and every integer  $K \geq 1$ . Because each of the terms in the series (5.7) is positive,

$$\limsup_{|y| \rightarrow 1^-} |\tilde{f}(y)| \leq C' \sup_{k \geq K} \left( \frac{\delta_k}{1 - r_k} \right),$$

for every integer  $K \geq 1$ . So, if  $\delta_k/(1 - r_k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\tilde{f}(y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|y| \rightarrow 1^-$ , and by Theorem 4.1,  $T_f$  is compact on  $b^2(B)$ .

To prove the converse, note that the inequalities in steps 2 and 3 can be reversed to obtain

$$\tilde{f}(y) \geq c(1 - |y|^2)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_k^2}{(1 - r_k^2|y|^2)^{n+2}},$$

for all  $y \in B$ . In particular, for  $\zeta \in S$  we have  $\tilde{f}(r_k\zeta) \geq c(1 - r_k^2)^n \delta_k^2 / (1 - r_k^4)^{n+2}$ , which easily implies that  $(\delta_k / (1 - r_k))^2 \leq C \tilde{f}(r_k\zeta)$ , for all  $k \geq 1$ . If  $T_f$  is compact on  $b^2(B)$ , then  $\tilde{f}(r_k\zeta) \rightarrow 0$ , and hence  $\delta_k / (1 - r_k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

**COROLLARY 5.8.** *Let  $f$  be the function given by (5.1) with  $r_k = 1 - 1/k^c$ , where  $c > 0$  and  $s_k = (r_k + r_{k+1})/2$ . Then  $T_f$  is compact on  $b^2(B)$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $\delta_k \approx 1/k^{c+1}$ , we have  $\delta_k / (1 - r_k) \approx 1/k$ .

### Acknowledgements

The research for this paper was mostly done while visiting the Free University, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, on sabbatical leave; I thank the University of Montana for awarding me a sabbatical and the Mathematics Department of the Free University for its hospitality and support.

### References

- [1] S. Axler, P. Bourdon, and W. Ramey, *Harmonic function theory* (Springer, New York, 1992).
- [2] R. Coifman and R. Rochberg, 'Representation theorems for holomorphic and harmonic functions,' *Astérisque* **77** (1980), 11–66.
- [3] B. Korenblum and K. Zhu, 'An application of Tauberian theorems to Toeplitz operators,' *J. Operator Theory* **33** (1995), 353–361.
- [4] J. Miao, 'Toeplitz operators on harmonic Bergman spaces,' *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **27** (1997), 426–438.
- [5] K. Stroethoff, 'Compact Hankel operators on weighted harmonic Bergman spaces,' *Glasgow Math. J.* **39** (1997), 77–84.
- [6] K. Stroethoff, 'Compact Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces,' *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, to appear.

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
 University of Montana  
 Missoula, MT 59812–1032  
 USA  
 e-mail: ma\_kms@selway.umt.edu