


CORRIGENDUM

The Conservative Policy Bias of US Senate Malapportionment—CORRIGENDUM

Richard Johnson , Queen Mary, University of London, UK

Lisa L. Miller , Rutgers University, USA

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096522001111>. Published by Cambridge University Press, 23 September 2022.

In the published article by Johnson and Miller (2022), the bottom row on Table 6 should not be in bold. ■

Table 1

Demographic and Political Shifts from Equal to Proportional Representation, by state population quartiles (2010 Census)

	High	MedHi	MedLow	Low	Net Shift
Number of Senators	24	26	26	24	
Metropolitan	36	32	20	12	
	+12	+6	-6	-12	+36
Black	27	33	28	12	
	+3	+7	+2	-12	+20
Latino	42	19	24	15	
	+18	-7	-2	-9	+22
Jewish	+39	+26	+21	+14	
	+15	0	-5	-10	+30
Evangelical	19	26	32	23	
	-5	0	+6	-1	-10
Same-Sex Marriages	28	30	25	17	
	+4	+4	-1	-7	+16
Union membership	33	23	20	24	
	+9	-3	-6	0	+12
Abortion rate (per 1000)	39	23	23	15	
	+15	-3	-3	-9	+24
State policy liberalism	32	28	21	19	
	+8	+2	-5	-5	+20
Obama vote share '12	32	25	30	13	
	+8	-1	+4	-11	+14
ADA scores	36	20	23	21	
	+12	-6	-3	-3	+12
DW nominate	31	26	21	22	
	+7	0	-5	-2	+14
Gun control	36	28	22	14	
	+12	+2	-4	-10	+28
Party polarization	15	25	26	34	
	-9	-1	0	10	-20
ACU	21	24	22	33	
	-3	-2	-4	+9	-10

REFERENCE

Johnson, Richard, and Lisa L. Miller. 2022. "The Conservative Policy Bias of US Senate Malapportionment." *PS: Political Science & Politics*: 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096522001111>.