

SHORT REPORT

Emergence of MRSA clone ST22 in healthy young adults in the community in the absence of risk factors

A. M. MOLLAGHAN¹, B. LUCEY², A. COFFEY¹ AND L. COTTER^{1*}

¹ Department of Biological Sciences, Cork Institute of Technology, Bishopstown, Cork, Ireland

² Department of Microbiology, Cork University Hospital, Wilton, Cork, Ireland

(Accepted 6 January 2010; first published online 10 February 2010)

SUMMARY

One thousand adults aged between 18 and 35 years were investigated for nasal colonization with community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (CA-MRSA). Each volunteer completed a questionnaire to assess the presence or absence of risk factors for hospital-acquired MRSA (HA-MRSA) carriage. All MRSA isolated were characterized by microbiological and molecular methods. A *S. aureus* carriage rate of 22% and a MRSA carriage rate of 0.7% were observed. Analysis of the questionnaires revealed 121 individuals with HA-MRSA risk factors. Subsequently two MRSA infections with associated risk factors were excluded from calculation of the true carriage rate and an adjusted rate of 0.57% (5/879) was established. All seven MRSA isolates expressed the genotypic profile ST22-MRSA-IV, were PVL negative, *agr* type 1, and differed only by their antimicrobial susceptibility patterns. ST22-MRSA-IV (EMRSA-15) has shown worldwide spread in the hospital setting but has not been previously documented in isolation in the community.

Key words: Clinical microbiology, community outbreaks, hospital-acquired (nosocomial) infections, methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA).

Staphylococcus aureus, the most virulent *Staphylococcus* species, is also the most prevalent pathogen isolated from hospitalized patients and the second most common from patients in outpatient settings [1]. Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) first emerged in the 1960s and since then has been commonly seen in hospitals (HA-MRSA), clinics, and, more recently, in the community (CA-MRSA) [2]. CA-MRSA infections can be caused by new strains of MRSA which are genetically and phenotypically distinct from the typical multidrug-resistant (MDR) HA-MRSA. The CDC distinguishes CA-MRSA from HA-MRSA if

the diagnosis of MRSA colonization/infection is made in the outpatient setting or by a culture positive for MRSA within 48 h of hospitalization in a patient with no prior history of MRSA infection or colonization, and no history in the past year of healthcare institution exposure. Unlike HA-MRSA infections, CA-MRSA infections tend to affect healthy individuals [3].

It has been previously documented that epidemic MRSA strains in hospitals are usually clonal in origin, and only a few pandemic MRSA clones have been documented [2]. The characterization of the pandemic clones EMRSA-15 (ST22-MRSA-IV) and EMRSA-16 (ST32-MRSA-II) strains has proven to be clinically important as their emergence in UK hospitals coincided with a substantial increase in

* Author for correspondence: Dr L. Cotter, Department of Biological Sciences, Cork Institute of Technology, Bishopstown, Cork, Ireland.
(Email: lesley.cotter@cit.ie)

Table 1. Microbiological and molecular characterization of seven MRSA strains isolated from a population of 1000 young adults

Sample	Oxacillin Etest ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	SCCmec	SCCmec IV subtype	PVL	agr type	MLST (ST)	R type
CIT-365*	4	IV	n.t.	—	1	22	FdCip
CIT-373	4	IV	IVh	—	1	22	ECip
CIT-405	4	IV	IVh	—	1	22	FdCip
CIT-524*	16	IV	IVh	—	1	22	ECip
CIT-624	16	IV	IVh	—	1	22	Cip
CIT-676	16	IV	IVh	—	1	22	ECip
CIT-771	96	IV	IVh	—	1	22	—†

MLST, Multi-locus sequence typing; Fd, fusidic acid; Cip, ciprofloxacin; E, erythromycin; n.t., not typed.

* Isolates that were excluded as CA-MRSA as these volunteers had HA-MRSA risk factors.

† Sensitive to all antibiotics in panel.

the incidence of MRSA infections between 1997 and 2002, from 1–2% to 40% of all *S. aureus* infections. These two types of MRSA are particularly adapted to cause infections in hospitals compared to other MRSA strains, but as yet the basis for this is unknown [4].

The purpose of this study was to determine the prevalence of MRSA in the community in a population of young, asymptomatic adults in the absence of HA-MRSA risk factors and to subsequently characterize any isolates using both microbiological and molecular methods.

Between February and December 2008 the anterior nares of 1000 healthy university students (aged between 18 and 35 years) were sampled professionally (by a qualified nurse or by the study coordinator under nurse's supervision) using Amies transport swabs (Sarstedt, UK). All participants were asked to complete an anonymous questionnaire which contained questions related to key demographics which would highlight any HA-MRSA risk factors, i.e. age, sex, residence, sporting activities, illicit drug use, medical history and contact with high-risk individuals. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee of Cork Institute of Technology.

Each participant had the distal part of the anterior nares sampled for culture and each swab was cultured for the isolation of *S. aureus* on mannitol salt agar (MSA; Cruinn Diagnostics, Ireland), and on MSA containing 4 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ oxacillin (MSA-Ox) for MRSA. Suspected *S. aureus* colonies were identified using standard microbiological methods including Gram stain, coagulase and DNase. All *S. aureus* isolates were maintained at room temperature on nutrient agar slopes.

DNA was extracted from all *S. aureus* isolates using the KeyPrep spin bacterial genomic DNA kit (Anachem Ltd, UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions. PCR for the presence of the *mecA* and *nuc* gene determinants was performed as previously described [5].

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed on confirmed MRSA isolates against the following antimicrobials: ceftiofloxacin (Cef), erythromycin (E), fusidic acid (Fd), tetracycline (Te), mupirocin (Mup), ciprofloxacin (Cip), teicoplanin (Tec), rifampicin (Rd), gentamicin (Cn) and linezolid (Liz), by disc diffusion method according to CLSI guidelines [6]. Resistance to oxacillin (Ox) was quantified by Etest[®] (AB Biodisk, Sweden).

SCCmec element typing was performed using a multiplex PCR which tests eight loci as previously described [7]. Subtyping of type IV isolates was performed according to the method of Milheiriço *et al.* [8]. Agr types and the presence of *pvl* genes were determined according to Lina *et al.* [9, 10]. MLST was performed using seven housekeeping genes as previously described [11]. An allelic profile (allele number) was obtained from the multilocus sequence typing website (<http://www.mlst.net/>).

Following analysis of 1000 nasal swabs, an *S. aureus* colonization rate of 22% was determined, 21.3% were methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus* (MSSA) and 0.7% MRSA. Using the questionnaires it was determined that 121 volunteers had HA-MRSA associated risk factors. Of the seven MRSA two had risk factors, in the first case (CIT-365), the student had undergone hand surgery which required a hospital stay in the previous 12 months and the second volunteer (CIT-524) was in regular contact with a known MRSA-positive patient. An adjusted CA-MRSA carriage rate

of 0.57% (5/879) was established following exclusion of these subjects. From the questionnaires it was observed that all five CA-MRSA carriers, who had no identifiable risk factors for HA-MRSA acquisition, were members of a sports team or a gymnasium.

The seven MRSA strains demonstrated oxacillin MIC values between 4 and 96 µg/ml, and showed four distinct antimicrobial susceptibility profiles, none of which was MDR. Molecular characterization identified all isolates to be ST22-MRSA-IV, PVL negative and *agr* type 1. Results are shown in Table 1.

ST22-MRSA-IV first emerged in the UK in 1991 and is still one of the most dominant epidemic clones in that country [1]. Since then its spread in the hospital setting has been reported in many countries, e.g. Italy, Portugal, Germany, Singapore, and it is currently established as the major clone in the Czech Republic and Majorca [1]. This study identified an asymptomatic carriage rate of 0.5% ST22-MRSA-IV in the community in young, healthy individuals with no identifiable hospital or healthcare risk association. In 2008, an Irish study showed that the epidemiological types that comprise the Irish hospital MRSA population changed between 1999 and 2003, whereby a non-MDR strain, ST22-MRSA-IV, displaced the previously predominant MDR genotype, ST8-MRSA-II [12]. Although the emergence and spread of ST22-MRSA-IV in a hospital setting has been widely documented, its prevalence in the community in a population of young, healthy individuals in the absence of HA-MRSA risk factors and any notable illness has not previously been reported to our knowledge. The asymptomatic carriage of ST22-MRSA-IV is interesting as it has been previously documented that persons who are carriers of MRSA and MSSA are at risk for subsequent infections with these organisms [13]. All five MRSA isolated in the absence of HA risk factors were from volunteers with an association with sport but analysis of the questionnaires revealed no link between them as none were from the same gymnasium or team. Isolation of MRSA in the community from individuals involved with sports with no HA-MRSA risk factors has been previously documented [13].

ST22-MRSA-IV is characteristically non-MDR and this lack of resistance has been attributed to its carriage of a smaller SCC*mec* element; SCC*mec* IV [14]. In recent years, MRSA strains have become associated with resistance to ciprofloxacin with a rate of ciprofloxacin resistance in MRSA in the UK averaging 94.6% and this can be as high as 98%.

However, studies have shown that ciprofloxacin-susceptible strains are increasing in prevalence and susceptibility in ST22-MRSA-IV strains has been documented [15]. These findings are supported by this study as one of the five CA-MRSA strains isolated was ciprofloxacin sensitive.

The identification of the asymptomatic nasal carriage of ST22-MRSA-IV in this study is worrying as it is clear that the distinction between CA-MRSA and HA-MRSA is fading as ST22-MRSA-IV originated in the hospital setting. This clone is well associated with nosocomial spread, and asymptomatic carriage by healthy subjects may in turn lead to a further increase in infection rates if these colonized individuals are admitted to hospital. It is clear from this study that CA-MRSA has become established in the wider community which underlines the need for continued surveillance to minimize the risk of future outbreaks.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding for this study was provided by the Higher Education Authority of Ireland under the Technological Sector Research (TSR) funding scheme. We thank Professor John E. Moore, Department of Bacteriology, Belfast City Hospital for donating MRSA strain NCTC 6571 and for his help throughout this study. Finally, our particular gratitude goes to all volunteers who took part in this study.

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

None.

REFERENCES

1. **Marchese A, et al.** Molecular analysis and susceptibility patterns of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) strains circulating in the community in the Ligurian area, a northern region of Italy: emergence of USA300 and EMRSA-15 clones. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* 2009; **34**: 424–428.
2. **Ghebremedhin B, et al.** Subtyping of ST22-MRSA-IV (Barnim epidemic MRSA strain) at a university clinic in Germany from 2002 to 2005. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* 2007; **56**: 365–375.
3. **Deurenberg RH, Stobberingh EE.** The evolution of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution* 2008; **8**: 747–763.
4. **Moore PC, Lindsay JA.** Molecular characterisation of the dominant UK methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains, EMRSA-15 and EMRSA-16. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* 2002; **51**: 516–521.

5. **Zhang K, et al.** New quadriplex PCR assay for detection of methicillin and mupirocin resistance and simultaneous discrimination of *Staphylococcus aureus* from coagulase-negative staphylococci. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2004; **42**: 4947–4955.
6. **Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.** Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests: Tenth Edition, M02-A10. CLSI, Wayne, PA, USA, 2005.
7. **Oliveira DC, de Lencastre H.** Multiplex PCR strategy for rapid identification of structural types and variants of the mec element in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 2002; **46**: 2155–2161.
8. **Milheiro C, Oliveira DC, de Lencastre H.** Multiplex PCR strategy for subtyping the staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec type IV in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: ‘SCCmec IV multiplex’. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 2007; **60**: 42–48.
9. **Lina G, et al.** Bacterial competition for human nasal cavity colonization: role of staphylococcal agr alleles. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* 2003; **69**: 18–23.
10. **Lina G, et al.** Involvement of Panton-Valentine leukocidin-producing *Staphylococcus aureus* in primary skin infections and pneumonia. *Clinical Infectious Disease* 1999; **29**: 1128–1132.
11. **Enright MC, et al.** Multilocus sequence typing for characterization of methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible clones of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2000; **38**: 1008–1015.
12. **Shore AC, et al.** Detection of staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec-associated DNA segments in multi-resistant methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) and identification of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* ccrAB4 in both methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* and MSSA. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 2008; **52**: 4407–4419.
13. **Malik S, et al.** Prevalence of community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization outside the healthcare environment. *Epidemiology and Infection* 2009; **137**: 1237–1241.
14. **Rossney AS, et al.** The emergence and importation of diverse genotypes of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) harboring the Panton-Valentine leukocidin gene (pvl) reveal that pvl is a poor marker for community-acquired MRSA strains in Ireland. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2007; **45**: 2554–2563.
15. **Seetulsingh P, Curtis C, Kearns AM.** Outbreak of ciprofloxacin-susceptible community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a neonatal unit. *Journal of Hospital Infection* 2008; **68**: 374–375.