

WEAK CONVERGENCE AND ONE-SAMPLE RANK STATISTICS UNDER ϕ -MIXING*

BY
K. L. MEHRA

1. **Introduction.** Let $\{X_i: i=1, 2, \dots\}$ be a real strictly stationary process (defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P)) which has absolutely continuous finite dimensional distributions (with respect to Lebesgue measure) and satisfies the ϕ -mixing condition: Let M_1^k and M_{k+n}^∞ denote the sub- σ -fields generated, respectively, by $\{X_i: i \leq k\}$ and $\{X_i: i \geq k+n\}$; then, for each $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$, $E_1 \in M_1^k$ and $E_2 \in M_{k+n}^\infty$ together imply

$$(1.1) \quad |P(E_1 \cap E_2) - P(E_1) \cdot P(E_2)| \leq \phi(n)P(E_1),$$

where ϕ , $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$, is a non-increasing function of positive integers which approaches 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In [3], Fears and Mehra proved the Chernoff-Savage Theorem [2] concerning the asymptotic normality of two-sample linear rank statistics for sequences of observations which satisfy the above ϕ -mixing dependence. The proof uses the weak convergence approach of Pyke and Shorack [4] and is based on a Hájek-Rényi type inequality for one-sample empirical processes under ϕ -mixing, which enables one to study weak convergence properties of the one and two sample empirical processes for ϕ -mixing sequences. The object of the present paper is to establish similar results for the one-sample linear rank statistics under ϕ -mixing, viz., the statistics of the type

$$(1.2) \quad T_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N c_{Ni}^* \tau_{Ni},$$

where $\tau_{Ni} = 1, 0, -1$ according as the i th order statistics $|X|^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, in an ordering of $|X_k|$, $k=1, 2, \dots, N$, corresponds to a positive, zero or negative X and $\{c_{Ni}^*: 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ is a certain appropriate double sequence of scores. In the process we establish a Hájek-Rényi type inequality (see (2.9)) for the one-sample signed empirical process $V_N(t)$, defined by (2.6) below, which should be of interest per se. The results of this paper are related to those of Pyke and Shorack [5] and are employed in a separate paper to study the asymptotic relative efficiency of Hodges-Lehmann type estimates of location and related rank tests for sequences of dependent observations satisfying 'mixing' conditions.

Received by the editors January 15, 1973 and, in revised form, November 6, 1974.

* Supported partially by the National Research Council of Canada Grant #A-3061.

In section 2, some notation and preliminary results concerning the weak convergence of one-sample signed empirical processes are described. Section 3 contains an identity relating the signed empirical processes $\{L_N(t):0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ and $\{V_N(t):0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ (see (2.4) and (2.6) for definitions) and the main theorem concerning the weak convergence of L_N and T_N^* . In the last section 4, a convenient Chernoff-Savage type theorem for the one-sample linear rank statistics T_N is given.

2. Notation and Preliminary Results. Let $H_0(F)$ denote the distribution function (d.f.) of $|X_1|$ (X_1) and $H_N(F_N)$ the empirical d.f. corresponding to the first N $|X|$'s (X 's) and let G_N denote the empirical function

$$(2.1) \quad G_N(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N I_{[|X_i| < x]} \operatorname{sgn}(X_i),$$

where $\operatorname{sgn}(X_i) = 1, 0$ or -1 according as X_i is positive, zero or negative. Let $R_{Ni}(S_{Ni})$ stand for the number of positive (negative) X 's whose absolute values do not exceed $|X|^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq N$. Then $R_{Ni} - S_{Ni} = NG_N H_N^{-1}(i/N)$, where the inverse function $H_N^{-1}(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, is defined by $H_N^{-1}(t) = \inf\{x: H_N(x) \geq t\}$ (similarly H_0^{-1} , H^{-1} etc.) so that as in Pyke and Shorack [4] using summation by parts and the relations $\tau_{N1} = R_{N1} - S_{N1}$ and $\tau_{Nk} = (R_{Nk} - S_{Nk}) - (R_{N(k-1)} - S_{N(k-1)})$, $1 < k \leq N$, the statistic T_N is expressible as

$$(2.2) \quad T_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N c_{Ni}(R_{Ni} - S_{Ni}) = \int_0^1 G_N H_N^{-1}(t) dv_N(t),$$

where c_{Ni} 's are related to c_{Ni}^* 's by $c_{Ni}^* = \sum_{k \geq i} c_{Nk}$, $1 \leq i \leq N$ and ν_N denotes the (signed) measure giving weight c_{Ni} to (i/N) $1 \leq i \leq N$. Assuming that $0 < F(0) < 1$, denote by $m(n)$ the number of positive (negative) X 's, $\lambda_N = (m/N)$, $F^+(F^-)$ the conditional d.f. of $|X_1|$ given $X_1 > 0$ ($X_1 < 0$) and

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} H &= H_{\lambda_N} = \lambda_N F^+ + (1 - \lambda_N) F^- \\ G &= G_{\lambda_N} = \lambda_N F^+ - (1 - \lambda_N) F^- \end{aligned}$$

(H and G are both random and depend on N , but this fact is suppressed in the notation). Note that if we set $\lambda_0 = 1 - F(0)$, then $H_0(x) = H_{\lambda_0}(x) = F(x) - F(-x)$ and $G_0(x) = G_{\lambda_0}(x) = F(x) + F(-x) - 2F(0)$ are the d.f.'s of $|X_1|$ and $|X_1| \operatorname{sgn}(X_1)$ respectively. Further also note that on account of the absolute continuity assumption of section 1, $(n/N) = 1 - \lambda_N$ with probability one. Define now the empirical process $\{L_N(t):0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ by

$$(2.4) \quad L_N(t) = N^{1/2}[G_N H_N^{-1}(t) - GH^{-1}(t)];$$

then setting $\eta_N = \int_0^1 GH^{-1}(t) dv_N(t)$, we obtain from (2.2) that

$$(2.5) \quad T_N^* = N^{1/2}(T_N - \eta_N) = \int_0^1 L_N dv_N(t).$$

To study the asymptotic distribution of T_N^* , as $N \rightarrow \infty$, under suitable conditions on the measures ν_N and the sequence $\{X_i: i \geq 1\}$, we shall study in section 3 the weak convergence of the process L_N relative to various metrics. For this we need to study the weak convergence of the one-sample signed empirical processes $\{V_N(t): 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ and $\{V_N^*(t): 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$, where

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} V_N(t) &= N^{1/2}[G_N H_0^{-1}(t) - G H_0^{-1}(t)] \\ V_N^*(t) &= N^{1/2}[H_N H_0^{-1}(t) - H H_0^{-1}(t)]. \end{aligned} \quad \text{and}$$

We shall now prove a result similar to Lemma 2.2 of Pyke and Shorack [4] (see also Lemma 2.1 of Fears and Mehra [3]).

LEMMA 2.1. *Assume that the ϕ -mixing sequence $\{X_i\}$ satisfies the conditions imposed in section 1, with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 \phi_n^{1/2} < \infty$. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\theta, 0 < \theta < \frac{1}{2}$, depending on ε alone and an integer $N_0 = N_0(\varepsilon, \phi)$ (N_0 depends on $\{X_1\}$ through ϕ alone) such that for $N \geq N_0$*

$$(2.7) \quad P \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \theta} |V_N(t)/q(t)| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq \varepsilon,$$

where $q(t) = [t(1-t)]^{(1/2)-\delta}$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, for some $\delta, 0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$. The same result holds for V_N^* in place of V_N .

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 2.1 of [3]. Let

$$g_t(x) = [I_{|x| \leq H_0^{-1}(t)} \text{sgn}(x) - (I_{[x > 0]} F^+ H_0^{-1}(t) - I_{[x < 0]} F^- H_0^{-1}(t))], \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

and consider M real points $0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_M = \theta < \frac{1}{2}$, with $s_\ell = (\ell\theta/M)$, $1 \leq \ell \leq M$. Since $Eg_t(X_1)/I_{[X_1 > 0]} = 0$ a.s., it follows that for any $1 < j < k \leq M$,

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} E \left[\frac{g_{s_k}(X_1)}{q(s_{k-1})} - \frac{g_{s_j}(X_1)}{q(s_{j-1})} \right]^2 &= E \left\{ E \left[\left(\frac{g_{s_k}(X_1)}{q(s_{k-1})} - \frac{g_{s_j}(X_1)}{q(s_{j-1})} \right)^2 \middle| I_{[X_1 > 0]} \right] \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{s_k}{q^2(s_{k-1})} + \frac{s_j}{q^2(s_{j-1})} - \frac{2s_j}{q(s_{k-1})q(s_{j-1})} \\ &\leq \frac{4\theta}{M} \sum_{j < l \leq k} (1/q^2(s_{l-1})), \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality in (2.8) following from (2.3) to (2.6) of [3]. Now proceeding exactly as in [3] with $\xi_1 = [V_N(s_1)/q(s_1)]$, $\xi_i = [V_N(s_{i+1})/q(s_i)] - [V_N(s_i)/q(s_{i-1})]$, $1 < i < M$, and using Lemma 22.1 and Theorem 12.2 of [1] and the inequality

$$(2.9) \quad [q^2(s_i)/q^2(s_{i-1})] \leq 2 \quad \text{for } 1 < i \leq M,$$

we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad P \left[\max_{1 \leq i \leq M} \left| \frac{V_N(s_i)}{q(s_i)} \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq \frac{K_\phi}{\varepsilon^4} \left[1 + \frac{4M}{N} \right] \left[\frac{\theta}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} (1/q^2(s_i)) \right]^2;$$

($K, K_\phi, K',$ etc. are generic constants throughout). Now since $|FH_0^{-1}(t) - FH_0^{-1}(s)| + |F(-H_0^{-1}(s)) - F(-H_0^{-1}(t))| = |t - s|$, we have for $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$

$$(2.11) \quad |V_N(t) - V_N(s)| \leq |Y_N(t) - Y_N(s)| + \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_N}{\lambda_0} + \frac{1 - \lambda_N}{1 - \lambda_0}\right) N^{1/2}(t - s),$$

where $Y_N(t) = N^{1/2}[H_N H_0^{-1}(t) - t]$. Further from (22.17) of Billingsley [1], we have for $s_i \leq t \leq s_{i+1}$

$$(2.12) \quad |Y_N(t) - Y_N(s_i)| \leq |Y_N(s_{i+1})| + |Y_N(s_i) + N^{1/2}(s_{i+1} - s_i)|,$$

so that from (2.9), (2.11), (2.12) and the monotonicity of q , we obtain after some manipulation

$$(2.13) \quad \sup_{(\theta/M) \leq t \leq \theta} \left| \frac{V_N(t)}{q(t)} \right| \leq 2 \max_{1 \leq i \leq M} \frac{|V_N(s_i)|}{q(s_i)} < 4 \max_{1 \leq i \leq M} \frac{|Y_N(s_i)|}{q(s_i)} + \left(2 + \frac{\lambda_N}{\lambda_0} + \frac{1 - \lambda_N}{1 - \lambda_0}\right) [(2N\theta)^{1/2} / M^{(1/2)+\delta}].$$

Now for given ε and θ choose M and N sufficiently large, say $N \geq N_0(\varepsilon, \theta, \phi)$, such that

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{4N\theta}{\varepsilon} > M > \frac{2N\theta}{\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad P\left[\left(2 + \frac{\lambda_N}{\lambda_0} + \frac{1 - \lambda_N}{1 - \lambda_0}\right) \frac{\varepsilon^{1/2}}{M^\delta} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right] \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6};$$

(for large enough N (2.14) is clearly possible since $\lambda_N \rightarrow_p 0$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in mixing sequences $\{X_i\}$). Using the inequality (2.14) of [3] and (2.10) above, it follows from (2.13) and (2.14) that

$$(2.15) \quad P\left[\sup_{(\theta/M) \leq t \leq \theta} |V_N(t)/q(t)| \geq (2\varepsilon/3)\right] \leq \frac{K_\phi}{\varepsilon^5} \left(\int_0^\theta q^{-2}(t) dt\right)^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{6}.$$

Further note that since $H_N(H_0^{-1}(\theta/M)) = 0$ implies that

$$V_N(t) \leq N^{1/2}[(\lambda_N/\lambda_0) + ((1 - \lambda_N)/(1 - \lambda_0))]t \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq t \leq (\theta/M),$$

we have from (2.14)

$$(2.16) \quad P\left[\sup_{0 \leq t < (\theta/M)} \frac{|V_N(t)|}{q(t)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right] \geq P\left[\left\{\left[\left(\frac{\lambda_N}{\lambda_0}\right) + \left(\frac{1 - \lambda_N}{1 - \lambda_0}\right)\right] \frac{\varepsilon^{1/2}}{M^\delta} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right\} \cap \{H_N H_0^{-1}(\theta/M) = 0\}\right] \geq 1 - \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}$$

The desired result follows from (2.15) and (2.16) if we choose θ so small that the first term on the right in (2.15) is less than $\varepsilon/6$. The proof of the inequality (2.7) for $\{V_N^*: 0 < t < 1\}$ is similar. \square

Let $C = C[0, 1]$ be the space of continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ and $D = D[0, 1]$ the space of right-continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ that have left-hand limits. Let ρ

and d denote, respectively, the uniform and the Skorohod metrics (see Billingsley (1968) p. 115). Both (C, ρ) and (D, d) are complete separable metric spaces. Now let F_N denote the empirical d.f. of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N and

$$(2.17) \quad F_N^+(s) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N I_{[0 < X_i \leq s]}, \quad F_N^-(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N I_{[0 < -X_i \leq x]}$$

then setting $V_N^+(t) = N^{1/2}[F_N^+H_0^{-1}(t) - \lambda_N F^+H_0^{-1}(t)]$ and $V_N^-(t) = N^{1/2}[F_N^-H_0^{-1}(t) - (1 - \lambda_N)F^-H_0^{-1}(t)]$, it can be easily seen that

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{cases} V_N^+(t) = U_N(FH_0^{-1}(t)) - U_N(F(0))[1 - F^+H_0^{-1}(t)] & \text{and} \\ V_N^-(t) = \bar{U}_N(F(0))[1 - F^-H_0^{-1}(t)] - \bar{U}_N(F(-H_0^{-1}(t))), \end{cases}$$

where $U_N(t)$ and $\bar{U}_N(t)$ are the one-sample empirical processes defined by $U_N(t) = N^{1/2}[F_N F^{-1}(t) - t]$ and $\bar{U}_N(t) = N^{1/2}[F_N(F^{-1}(t) -) - t]$. Define now the processes $\{W_N(u) : 0 \leq u \leq 1\}$, for $N \geq 0$, by

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} W_N(u) &= V_N^-(2u) & \text{if } 0 \leq u < \frac{1}{2} \\ &= V_N^+(2u-1) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq u \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the processes V_0^+ and V_0^- are defined by

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} V_0^+(t) &= U_0(FH_0^{-1}(t)) - U_0(F(0))[1 - F^+H_0^{-1}(t)] \\ V_0^-(t) &= U_0(F(0))[1 - F^-H_0^{-1}(t)] - U_0F(-H_0^{-1}(t)) \end{aligned}$$

and U_0 is the a.s. continuous Gaussian process given by (2.21) of [3]. (See also Theorem 22.1 of [1]).

LEMMA 2.2. *Let the function q and the sequence $\{X_n\}$ be as in Lemma 2.1. Then, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, (i) $W_N \rightarrow_L W_0$ relative to (D, d) , and (ii) $(W_N|q^*) \rightarrow_L (W_0|q^*)$ relative to (D, d) , where $q^*(u), 0 \leq u \leq 1$, is defined by $q^*(u) = q(2u)$ for $0 \leq u < \frac{1}{2}$ and $q^*(u) = q(2u-1)$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leq u \leq 1$. Also note that W_0 -process is a.s. continuous.*

Proof. First note that due to the assumed continuity of F , both processes U_N and \bar{U}_N converge weakly, relative to (D, d) , to the U_0 -process (by Theorem 22.1 of [1]). Therefore it follows from (2.18) that the finite dimensional distributions of W_N -process converge to those of W_0 -process and that condition (i) of Theorem 15.2 of [1] is satisfied. Now for a given function f on $[0, 1]$, let $\omega_\delta(f), 0 < \delta < 1$, be the modulus of continuity of f . Then using (2.21) of [1] and the equality

$$(2.21) \quad |F(H_0^{-1}(t)) - F(H_0^{-1}(s))| + |F(-H_0^{-1}(s)) - F(-H_0^{-1}(t))| = |t - s|$$

for $s, t \in [0, 1]$, it follows from (2.18) that $\omega_\delta(V_N^+)$ and $\omega_\delta(V_N^-)$ can be made arbitrarily small in probability for sufficiently small δ and sufficiently large N . Since $V_N^+(t) \rightarrow_p 0$ and $V_N^-(t) \rightarrow_p 0$, as $t \rightarrow 0$ or 1 , it follows that condition (ii) of Theorem 15.2 of [1] is also satisfied for the W_N -processes. Thus part (i) of this lemma follows from Theorem 15.1 of [1]. For the proof of part (ii), first note that since

$$(2.22) \quad V_N(t) = V_N^+(t) - V_N^-(t) \quad \text{and} \quad V_N^*(t) = V_N^+(t) + V_N^-(t),$$

$0 \leq t \leq 1$, the conclusion of Lemma 2.1 holds for V_N^+ or V_N^- in place of V_N . In view of this last assertion, (2.21) and the fact that $(V_N^+(t)/q(t))$ and $(V_N^-(t)/q(t))$ also converges to 0 in probability, as $t \rightarrow 0$ or 1, part (ii) follows by using the result and arguments of part (i) as done in the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [3].

REMARK 2.1. Consider the process $\{W_N^*(t): 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$, $N \geq 0$, with $W_N^* = \ell(W_N)$ obtained through a linear transformation $\ell: D \rightarrow D$ and defined by

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} l(g(t)) &= g\left(\frac{2t+1}{2}\right) - g(t) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ &= g\left(\frac{2t-1}{2}\right) + g(t) \quad \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1; \end{aligned}$$

for the transformation ℓ , defined by (2.23), note that $g \in D' = \{f: f \in D, f(0) = f(\frac{1}{2}) = f(1) = 0\}$ implies $\ell(g) \in D'$. Further for the process W_N^* , we have $W_N^*(t) = V_N^*(2t)$ if $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $W_N^*(t) = V_N^*(2t-1)$ for $(\frac{1}{2}) \leq t \leq 1$; consequently W_N^* and (W_N^*/q) ($N \geq 0$) satisfy, respectively, the conclusions (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2.2, where we have set $V_0(t) = V_0^+(t) - V_0^-(t)$ and $V_0^*(t) = V_0^+(t) + V_0^-(t)$. This is because ℓ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.1 of [1]. Also $\ell: C' \rightarrow C'$, where $C' = \{f: f \in C, f(0) = (\frac{1}{2}) = f(1) = 0\}$, so that $P[W_0^* \in C] = 1$.

Now define the processes $\{X_N(t): 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$, $N \geq 0$, by

$$\begin{aligned} X_N(t) &= \lambda_N && \text{for } 0 \leq t < \frac{1}{3} \\ &= V_N^-(3t-1)/q(3t-1) && \text{for } \frac{1}{3} \leq t < \frac{2}{3} \\ &= V_N^+(3t-2)/q(3t-2) && \text{for } \frac{2}{3} \leq t \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

The same arguments as in Lemma 2.2 show that $X_N \rightarrow_L X_0$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, relative to (D, d) . Thus using item 3.1.1 of Skorohod we can construct processes \tilde{X}_N , $N \geq 0$, on a single probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{p})$, which have the same finite dimensional distributions as their counterparts X_N , $N \geq 0$, defined on (Ω, \mathcal{A}, p) and which satisfy $d(\tilde{X}_N, \tilde{X}_0) \rightarrow_{a.s.} 0$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Defining now, as in Pyke and Shorack [5],

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m} &= N\tilde{X}_N(0), \quad \tilde{n} = N - \tilde{m} \quad \text{for } N \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \\ \tilde{V}_N^-(t) &= q(t)\tilde{X}_N((t+1)/3), \quad V_N^+(t) = q(t)\tilde{X}_N((t+2)/3) \quad \text{for } N \geq 0 \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1), \end{aligned}$$

we have that (i) $(\tilde{\lambda}_N, \tilde{V}_N^-, \tilde{V}_N^+)$ have the same finite dimensional distributions as $(\lambda_N, V_N^-, V_N^+)$, (ii) that the processes \tilde{V}_0^+ and \tilde{V}_0^- are a.s. continuous and (iii) with probability 1, the processes \tilde{V}_N^- and \tilde{V}_N^+ have jumps of size $N^{-1/2}$ and are otherwise continuous for $N \geq 1$. If we set $\tilde{V}_N = \tilde{V}_N^+ - \tilde{V}_N^-$ and $\tilde{V}_N^* = \tilde{V}_N^+ + \tilde{V}_N^-$ ($N \geq 0$), it follows that

$$(2.24) \quad \tilde{\lambda}_N \rightarrow_{a.s.} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\tilde{V}_N, \tilde{V}_N^*) \rightarrow_{a.s.} (\tilde{V}_0, \tilde{V}_0^*), \quad (\tilde{V}_N^+, \tilde{V}_N^-) \rightarrow_{a.s.} (\tilde{V}_0^+, \tilde{V}_0^-), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

(relative to the product (Skorohod) topology of the space $D \times D$).

From now onward we shall work with the space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{P})$ with the symbol \sim dropped from all subsequent notation. The results asserted below, as pointed out by Pyke and Shorack [4], are generally valid only for the specially constructed processes, except for the implied weak convergence results which are valid for the original processes.

Let the metrics d_q and ρ_q be defined by $d_q(f, g) = d(f/q, g/q)$ and similarly for ρ_q , and \mathcal{Q} denote the class of functions q' on $[0, 1]$ defined by $\mathcal{Q} = \{q' : \text{there exists positive numbers } K, \delta, \varepsilon \text{ (} 0 < \delta, \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2} \text{) such that } q'(t) \geq K[t(1-t)]^{(1/2)-\delta} \text{ on } [0, \varepsilon] \text{ and } [1-\varepsilon, 1] \text{ are bounded away from zero on } [\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon]\}$.

Now since the processes V_0 and V_0^* are a.s. continuous, one can conclude from (2.24) as in Fears and Mehra [3] (see the proof of Theorem 3.1 of [3]) that V_- and V_0^+ satisfy the conclusions of Lemma 2.1 and as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(2.25) \quad \rho_q(V_N, V_0) \rightarrow_{a.s.} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_q(V_N^*, V_0^*) \rightarrow_{a.s.} 0 \quad \text{for } q \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

For studying the weak convergence of the empirical processes L_N and L_N^* in section 3, we need to prove Theorem 2.1 below, the counterpart of Theorem 2.2 of [4]. To accomplish this, let $K_N = H_0 H_N^{-1}$, $K = H_0 H^{-1}$ and I as the identity function on $[0, 1]$, and note that under the conditions of section 1, $\rho(K_N, I) \rightarrow_{a.s.} 0$ (see Lemma 2.3 of [4] and the proof of Theorem 3.1 of [3]), so that

$$(2.26) \quad \rho(V_N(K_N), V_0) \leq \rho(V_N, V_0) + \rho(V_0(K_N), V_0) \rightarrow_{a.s.} 0,$$

using (2.25) and the a.s. continuity of V_0 on $[0, 1]$. In view of (2.26), Theorem 2.1 can be proved with exactly the same arguments as for Theorem 2.2 of [4], provided we first prove the following counterpart of Lemma 2.5 of [4] (c.f., Theorem 3.1 of [3]):

LEMMA 2.3. *Under the conditions of Lemma 2.1, for given $\varepsilon, \tau > 0$ ($\varepsilon, \tau < \frac{1}{2}$), there exists a $b > 0$ and an N_0 such that for $N \geq N_0$*

$$P \left[K_N(t) \leq bt^{1-\tau} \text{ for } t \geq \frac{1}{N} \right] \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Since $\rho(K_N, I) \rightarrow_{a.s.} 0$, for given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $N'_0 = N'_0(\varepsilon)$ such that $K_N(t) < t + \varepsilon$ a.s. for $N \geq N'_0$. Since it is possible to choose a $b = b(\varepsilon)$ and a $\theta = \theta(\varepsilon)$ such that $t + \varepsilon \leq bt^{1-\tau}$ for all $t > \theta$, the problem reduces to the consideration of the interval $[0, \theta]$ for sufficiently small θ by choosing an appropriately large b . We need to consider only the interval $[1/N, \theta]$. Now using Lemma 2.1, choose θ and N''_0 such that for $N \geq N''_0$

$$(2.27) \quad P[E_N] \geq 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{where} \quad E_N = \{V_N \leq q(t) \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq \theta\},$$

with $q(t) = [t(1-t)]^{1/2-\delta}$ and $\delta = \tau/2(1-\tau)$. Now on E_N

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.28) \quad K_N(t) &= H_N H_N^{-1}(t) - N^{1/2} Y_N(K_N(t)) \\
 &\leq \left(t + \frac{1}{N}\right) + N^{-1/2} q(K_N(t)) \\
 &\leq 2t + t^{-1/2} q(K_N(t)), \quad \text{for } \frac{1}{N} \leq t \leq \theta,
 \end{aligned}$$

which yields $K_N(t) \leq bt^{1-\tau}$ for $1/N \leq t \leq \theta$ as shown in the proof of (3.7) of [3]. The result, therefore, follows from (2.27) for $N_0 = \max(N'_0, N''_0)$.

We thus have Theorem 2.1 below, for which we define

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.29) \quad V'_N(K_N(t)) &= V_N(K_N(t)) \quad \text{for } \frac{1}{N} \leq t \leq 1 - \frac{1}{N} \\
 &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.}
 \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2.1. *Under the conditions of Lemma 2.1 and for $q \in Q$,*

$$(2.30) \quad \rho_q(V'_N(K_N), V_0) \rightarrow_p 0, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

The convergence (2.30) also holds for V_N^*, V_0^* , or V_N^+, V_0^+ or V_N^-, V_0^- in place of V_N, V_0 .

3. Weak Convergence of the Signed Empirical Process L_N . The basic identity relating the signed empirical process L_N with the processes V_N and V_N^* which enables us to study the weak convergence of L_N (relative to various metrics) from that of V_N and V_N^* , is given by Lemma 3.1 below. Using Theorem 2.1 above, this identity and arguments similar to those used in Pyke and Shorack [4], one can deduce Theorem 3.1 below which gives sufficient conditions (on ν_N, F etc.) for the asymptotic normality of T_N^* .

On account of the absolute continuity assumption for the finite dimensional distributions of the process $\{X_N\}$, the distribution of order statistics $(|X|^{(1)}, |X|^{(2)}, \dots, |X|^{(N)})$ is also absolutely continuous. It follows as in [4] that, for each $0 \leq k \leq N$, $P[HH_N^{-1}(t) \neq t]$ at all t except the points $t = (i/N)$, $0 \leq i \leq N/m = k = 1$. Thus, except at these finite number of points, $L_N(t)$ can be expressed a.s. as

$$L_N(t) = V_N(K_N(t)) + \frac{GH^{-1}(u_t) - GH^{-1}(t)}{u_t - t} (u_t - t) N^{1/2},$$

where $u_t = HH_N^{-1}(t)$. Further

$$u_t - t = (H_N H_N^{-1}(t) - t) - N^{-1/2} V_N^* K_N(t),$$

so we obtain

$$(3.1) \quad L_N(t) = V_N(K_N(t)) - A_N(t) V_N^*(K_N(t)) + \delta_N(t),$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} A_N(t) = \frac{GH^{-1}(u_t) - GH^{-1}(t)}{u_t - t} \quad \text{and} \\ \delta_N(t) = A_N(t) N^{1/2} [H_N H_N^{-1}(t) - t]. \end{cases}$$

Since for $t \in [0, 1]$

$$|GH_N^{-1}(t) - GH^{-1}(t)| \leq \lambda_N |F^+H_N^{-1}(t) - F^+H^{-1}(t)| + (1 - \lambda_N) |F^-H_N^{-1}(t) - F^-H^{-1}(t)| = |HH_N^{-1}(t) - t|,$$

it follows from (3.2) that $|A_N| \leq 1$ and $|\delta_N| \leq N^{-1/2}$. Also for points t at which $HH_N^{-1}(t) = t$, $L_N(t) = V_N^*(K(t))$. Defining $L_N(t)$ by left continuity at undefined points in (3.1), we obtain

LEMMA 3.1. *With probability 1,*

$$L_N(t) = V_N(K_N(t)) - A_N(t)V_N^*(K_N(t)) + \delta_N(t)$$

for all $t \in (0, 1)$, where A_N and δ_N are given by (3.2).

Since $\lambda F^+H_\lambda^{-1}(t) + (1 - \lambda)F^-H_\lambda^{-1}(t) = t$, both $F^+H_\lambda^{-1}$ and $F^-H_\lambda^{-1}$ are absolutely continuous; let $a_N^+(a_N^-)$ and $a_0^+(a_0^-)$ denote the derivatives of $F^+H^{-1}(F^-H^{-1})$ and $F^+H_0^{-1}(F^-H_0^{-1})$, respectively. Now set

$$(3.3) \quad L_0(t) = V_0(t) - a_0(t)V_0^*(t), \quad a_0(t) = \lambda_0 a_0^+(t) - (1 - \lambda_0)a_0^-(t)$$

and, as in Pyke and Shorack [4], $L'_N = L_N(\delta'_N = \delta_N)$ on $[1/N, 1]$ (on $[1/N, 1 - (1/N)]$) and zero elsewhere. Then we have from (2.29)

$$\rho_q(L'_N, L_0) \leq \rho_q(V'_N(K_N), V_0) + \rho(A_N, 0)\rho_q(V_N^*(K_N), V_0^*) + \rho(A_N, a_0)\rho_q(V_0^*, 0) + \sup_{1-(1/N) < t \leq 1} \left| \frac{L_N(t)}{q(t)} \right| + N^{-1/2},$$

so that in view of Theorem 2.1, $|A_N| \leq 1$ and the assertion about V_0^* just before (2.25), it follows that for $q \in Q$, $\rho_q(L'_N, L_0) \rightarrow 0$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, provided we show that $\rho(A_N, a_0) = o_p(1)$ and $\sup_{1-(1/N) \leq t < 1} |L_N(t)/q(t)| = o(1)$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. The second requirement follows since in the interval $[1/N, 1]$,

$$|L_N(t)| = N^{1/2} |\lambda_N(1 - F - H^{-1}(t)) - (1 - \lambda_N)(1 - F^-H^{-1}(t))| \leq N^{1/2}(1 - t);$$

the first one follows, as in Pyke and Shorack [4], under the additional assumption 3.1 below: (see Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 of [4]).

ASSUMPTION 3.1. The functions FH^{-1} have derivatives a_λ^* for all $t \in (0, 1)$ and for some λ' , a_λ^* is continuous on $(0, 1)$ and has one-sided limits at 0 and 1.

Let \bar{D} denote the set of left-continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ that have only jump discontinuities. Then from $\rho_q(L'_N, L_0) \rightarrow_p 0$, it follows that $L'_N \rightarrow_L L_0$, relative to (\bar{D}, ρ_q) , as $N \rightarrow \infty$. The same holds for d_q in place of ρ_q in above. We can now conclude

THEOREM 3.1. (i) *Suppose that the ϕ -mixing process $\{X_n\}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.1, $0 < F(0) < 1$ and Assumption 3.1 holds. If (ii) for a Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure ν on $(0, 1)$, $\int_0^1 qd\nu < \infty$ for some $q \in Q$ and (iii)*

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{1/N}^1 L_N d(\nu_N - \nu) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

then $T_N^* \rightarrow_p \int_0^1 L_0 \, d\nu$, which is a $N(0, \sigma_0^2)$ r.v. with $\sigma_0^2 < \infty$ given by

$$(3.5) \quad \sigma_0^2 = 8 \int_0^1 \int_0^t E[(1 - b_0(t))V_0^+(t) - b_0(t)V_0^-(t)] \\ \times [(1 - b_0(s))V_0^+(s) - b_0(s)V_0^-(s)] \cdot d\nu(s) \, d\nu(t),$$

where $b_0(t) = d(FH_0^{-1}(t))/dt$ and V_0^+, V_0^- are as in (2.20).

Proof. Since $\rho_\alpha(L'_N, L_0) \rightarrow_p 0$, the result follows from the inequality

$$|T_N^* - \int_0^1 L_0 \, d\nu| \leq | \int_0^1 L'_N d(v_N - \nu) | + \rho_\alpha(L'_N, L_0) \int_0^1 qd|\nu|,$$

(2.20) and (3.2), provided we show the finiteness of σ_0^2 . For this it would suffice to show the finiteness of one of the four terms, say

$$(3.6) \quad \int_0^1 \int_0^t E[V_0^+(t)V_0^-(s)] \, d\nu(s) \, d\nu(t);$$

for the remaining the same arguments are applicable. Now setting $c(s, t)$ as the covariance function of the U_0 -process, we obtain from (2.20) that (3.6) equals

$$(3.7) \quad \int_0^1 \int_0^t [(1 - F^{-H_0^{-1}}(s))c(F(0), FH_0^{-1}(t)) \\ - (1 - F^+H_0^{-1}(t))(1 - F^{-H_0^{-1}}(s)) \cdot c(F(0), F(0)) - c(F(-H_0^{-1}(s)), FH_0^{-1}(t)) \\ + (1 - F^+H_0^{-1}(t))c(F(-H_0^{-1}(s)), F(0))] \cdot d\nu(s) \, d\nu(t) \\ = \int_0^1 \int_0^t E[\xi(X_1)\eta(X_k) + \xi(X_k)\eta(X_1)] \, d\nu(s) \, d\nu(t),$$

where

$$\xi(x) = g_{F^{-H_0^{-1}(t)}}^*(x) - (1 - F^+H_0^{-1}(t))g_{F(0)}^*(x),$$

$$\eta(x) = (1 - F^{-H_0^{-1}(s)})g_{F(0)}^*(x) - g_{F(-H_0^{-1}(s))}^*(x)$$

and

$$g_t^*(x) = I_{(-\infty, F^{-1}(t)]}(x) - t.$$

Using $F^+H_0^{-1}(t) \leq \lambda_0^{-1}(t)$, $1 - F^+H_0^{-1}(t) \leq \lambda_0^{-1}(1 - t)$ (similarly for $F^{-H_0^{-1}}(s)$) and $E|g_s(X_1)g_t(X_k)| < 2\phi_{k-1}^{1/2}[s(1-s)t(1-t)]^{1/2}$, we obtain that there exists a constant K_3 such that (3.7) does not exceed

$$K_3 \int_0^1 \int_0^t \{[s(1-s)t(1-t)]^2\} q(s)q(t) \, d|\nu|(s) \, d|\nu|(t),$$

which is finite on account of the assumption $\int_0^1 q(t) \, d|\nu|(t) < \infty$.

REMARK 3.1. It can be easily shown (See corollary 4.1 of [4] that Assumption 3.1 above is satisfied if either (i) $f = F'$ is symmetric about zero or (ii) f is continuous, H_0 is strictly increasing and the limits $\text{Lim}_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} [f(x)/f(-x)]$ exist. In case of symmetry of f , $FH_0^{-1}(t) = (1+t)/2$ so that $c_0(t) = \frac{1}{2}$ and the variance (3.5) takes a much simpler form in this case.

4. **A Chernoff-Savage Theorem.** Let ν be induced by a non-constant function $-J$, of bounded variation on $(\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $J_N(t) = c_{Ni}^*$ on $(i-1/N, i/N]$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $J_N(0) = J_N(0+)$. Then we can write

$$N^{1/2} \left[T_N - \int_0^1 J(H) dG \right] = T_N^* + \gamma_N, \quad \text{where } \gamma_N = N^{1/2} \int_0^1 [J_N(H) - J(H)] dG.$$

It can be shown under the conditions of Proposition 5.1 of [4], that $\gamma_N = o_p(1)$ and (3.4) holds, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, we obtain under the additional hypothesis (i) of Theorem 3.1 that

$$(4.1) \quad N^{1/2} \left[T_N - \int_0^1 J(H) dG \right] \rightarrow_L N(0, \sigma_0^2),$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, with σ_0^2 given by (3.5). We can, however, further improve this result by replacing in (4.1) the random quantity $\int_0^1 J(H) dG$ by the fixed quantity $\int_0^1 J(H_0) dG_0$. The following theorem can be compiled by following the arguments of Theorem 1 of Pyke and Shorack [6].

THEOREM 4.1. *Suppose the hypothesis (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.1 hold and*

$$N^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^N |c_{Ni}^* - J((i/N) \wedge (N-1/N))| < \delta_N$$

with $\delta_N = o(1)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then the statistic

$$\tilde{T}_N = N^{1/2} \left[T_N - \int_0^1 J(H_0) dG_0 \right] \rightarrow_L \int_0^1 L_0 d\nu,$$

a $N(0, \sigma_0^2)$ r.v. with σ_0^2 given by (3.5).

Proof. Similar to that of Theorem 1 of [6].

REFERENCES

1. Patrick Billingsley, *Weak convergence of probability measures*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1968.
2. H. Chernoff and I. R. Savage *Asymptotic normality and efficiency of certain nonparametric test statistics*, Ann. Math. Statist., **29** (1958), 972-994.
3. T. R. Fears and K. L. Mehra, *Weak convergence of a two-sample empirical process and a Chernoff-Savage Theorem for ϕ -mixing sequences*, Ann. Statist., **2** (1974), 586-596.
4. R. Pyke and G. Shorack, *Weak convergence of two-sample empirical process and a new approach to Chernoff-Savage theorems*, Ann. Math. Statist., **39** (1968), 755-771.
5. R. Pyke and G. Shorack *Weak convergence and a Chernoff-Savage theorem for random sample sizes*, Ann. Math. Statist., **39** (1968), 1675-1685.
6. R. Pyke and G. Shorack, *A note on Chernoff-Savage theorems*, Ann. Math. Statist., **40** (1969), 1116-1119.

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA