## NOMENCLATURE PROBLEMS

M.-C. Lortet<br>D.A.E.C.<br>Observatoire de Meudon<br>F-92195 Meudon Cedex<br>France

I would make a few points on behalf of the IAU Comm. 5 (Documentation and Astronomical Data) Working Group on Designations.

## 1. ABBREVIATIONS

Always try to be clear. Clearly identified objects can be entered in SIMBAD Data Base immediately.
Don't use 1 or 2 letters designations for newly discovered objects.
. Use Brey rather than B or Br for Breysacher.
. Use MGWR, not MG, for Morgan and Good new WR stars in the LMC (MG is Mendoza and Gomez, 1973, P.A.S.P. 85, 439, red stars).
. You can always obtain an advice by e-mail LORTET@FRMEU51 or BORDE@FRIAP51 for SIMBAD.

## 2. SPECTRAL PECULIARITIES OF WR STARS

### 2.1 WN A and B

This is a distinction related to the width not the strength of the emission lines (B stands for broad)

| $\lambda$ HeII 4686 | Hiltner and Schild R.E., |  | A |  | B |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1966, Ap.J. 143, 770 |  |  |  |  |
| $\lambda$ NIV 4059 | Walborn | A | A(B) | (A)B | B |

2.2 Slash stars (Of/WNE and Of/WNL)

Of/WNE Sk-67 22 Walborn, 1982 ; see Sect.3.
Sk-71 34 Conti and Garmany, 1983 ; see Sect. 3.
Five Melnick stars (Melnick 30, 35, 39, 42, 51), Walborn 1986, Proceed. IAU Symp. 116, 185.
Of/WNL Bohannan and Walborn, 1989, P.A.S.P. 101, 520.
Ten stars, out of which only three at present have a Breysacher number, namely :
Brey $18=$ R $84=$ Sk-69 $79=$ HD $269227=$ BE 543
Brey 64 = BE 381
Brey $91=$ Sk-69 249C $=$ HD 269927C.
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## 3. NEW WOLF-RAYET STARS IN THE LMC

Since Breysacher's 1981 Catalogue, apart from the slash stars described in Sect.2, 15 new Wolf-Rayet stars have been discovered. They are listed in Table 1, and have been given a Brey number, in agreement with J. Breysacher. The stars are ordered by right ascension, e.g. the star 3a is inserted between stars 3 and 4 of the original catalogue.

Table 1 will be complemented later with the five Melnick stars in 30 Dor (crowded field, accurate coordinates needed) and the 7 remaining Of/WNL, as quoted in Sect.2.

TABLE 1. New Wolf-Rayet stars in the LMC (since Breysacher, 1981)

| Brey | Other Names | Sp Type | Ref | f Neb. | Assoc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 a | - | WC9 | 4 | N 82 | - |
| 10a | Sk-67 22 | O3 If*/WN6-A | 9 |  |  |
| 16a | MGWR 1 | WC5+O | 5 | N 105 | LH 31 |
| 19a | MGWR 8, BE 456 | WN3 | 7 | - | - |
| 40a | Sk-71 34 | O4 f/WN3 | 2 | near N 206 |  |
| 44a | AB-18 | WN8-9 | 1 | - |  |
| 63a | MGWR 3 | WN3 | 5 | - | LH 89 |
| 65a | MGWR 2 | WN5 | 3 | N 59 | LH 88 |
|  |  | WN4 | 5 |  |  |
| 65b | TSWR 1, HD 269828C | WN3+0B | 8 | N 157C | LH 90 |
| 65c | TSWR 2, HD 269828E | O4 If/WN6 | 8 | N 157C | LH 90 |
| 70a | MGWR 4 | WC: | 5 | N 157B | near LH 99 |
|  |  | WN3-4 | 6 |  |  |
| 74a | TSWR 3 | O3 If/WN6 | 8 | N 157B | LH 99 |
| 90a | MGWR 5 | WC4 | 6 | N 157 | near LH 100 |
| 93a | MGWR 7 | WN3-4 | 5 | N 160D | LH 103 |
| 95a | MGWR 6 | WC5+06 | 5 | N 158 | LH 104 |
| References for Table 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Azzopardi M., Breysacher J., 1985, Astron. Astrophys. 149, 213. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Conti P.S., Garmany C.D., 1983, P.A.S.P. 95, 411. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Cowley A.P., Crampton D., Hutchings J.B., Thompson I.B., 1984, P.A.S.P. 96, 968. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Heydari-Malayeri M., Melnick J., 1990, these Proceedings. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Morgan D.H., Good A.R., 1985, M.N.R.A.S. 216, 459. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 Morgan D.H., Good A.R., 1987, M.N.R.A.S. 224, 435. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Morgan D.H., Good A.R., 1990, M.N.R.A.S. 243, 459. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 Testor G., Schild H., 1990, Astron. Astrophys., in press. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 Walborn N., 1982, Astron. J. 77, 312 |  |  |  |  |  |

## DISCUSSION

Conti: I am glad somebody is keeping-up with this, but I have problems with this use of WNA and WNB for narrow and broad lined subtypes. The measured line widths form a continuum of widths. If one is going to use A and B to isolate the extremes, well, alright, but let us not use any "intermediate" $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{B})$ or $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{A})$ or suchlike.

