Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 17 (4), 1974

LYAPUNOV INEQUALITIES AND BOUNDS ON SOLUTIONS OF CERTAIN SECOND ORDER EQUATIONS*

BY STANLEY B. ELIASON

1. Introduction. In this paper we consider the equation

(1.1)
$$(r(t)y'(t))' + p(t)f(y(t)) = 0$$

under the conditions

((H_0): the real valued functions r, r' and p are continuous on a non-trivial interval J of reals, and r(t)>0 for $t \in J$;

and

(H₁): $f: R \rightarrow R$ is continuously differentiable and odd with f'(y) > 0 for all real y. We also consider the equation

(1.2)
$$y''(t) + m(t)y'(t) + n(t)f(y(t)) = 0$$

under the conditions (H_1) and

 (H_2) : the real valued functions m and n are continuous on a non-trivial interval J of reals.

Later in the paper, for $y \neq 0$, we let $f_1(y) \equiv f(y)/y$. All solutions considered are real valued.

By a well-known method, equation (1.2) may be expressed in the form of (1.1). By simply multiplying (1.2) by

(1.3)
$$r(t) \equiv \exp \int_{\alpha}^{t} m(s) \, ds, \quad \text{for} \quad t \in J.$$

where $\alpha \in J$ is fixed we obtain (1.1) and the relation

(1.4)
$$p(t) = r(t)n(t).$$

With $f(y) \equiv y$, hereafter called the linear case, A. M. Fink and D. F. St. Mary [3, (3)] establish that if a < b in J are consecutive zeros of a non-trivial solution of (1.2) then

(1.5)
$$(b-a)\int_{a}^{b}n^{+}-4\exp\left[-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\int_{a}^{b}|m|\right] > 0.$$

Received by the editors February 14, 1973.

^{*} Based on research supported in part by the U.S. Army Research Office-Durham through Grant Number DA-ARO-D-31-124-72-G154 with the University of Oklahoma Research Institute.

As usual, for a real valued function g we let g^+ and g^- be defined by

$$g^+(t) = \max\{g(t), 0\}$$
 and $g^-(t) = \max\{-g(t), 0\}.$

Inequality (1.5) is also announced in a paper by Levin [5].

Also inequality (1.5) implies an inequality of Nehari [7], displayed as (4) in Fink and St. Mary [3].

For equation (1.1), related inequalities known as Lyapunov inequalities, have been established in several places, first of all in the linear case by A. Liapounoff [6]; and elsewhere, see Hartman [4] for work and references. In the case of certain non-linear functions f, such an inequality is established by the author [1]. In this situation the inequality involves a bound on the solution between its zeros, but nevertheless, for a large class of such functions f the inequality remains sharp.

One purpose of this paper is to establish inequalities which improve (1.5) in many cases when m and n are not of constant sign. They will follow by first establishing lower bounds on certain positive solutions of (1.1). The bounds are expressed in terms of a maximum value of the solution and integral functionals involving the coefficients, as defined below.

For reals d < e we let

(1.6)
$$R(d, e; p) = \sup_{d \le x \le e} \int_{x}^{e} p, \quad L(d, e; p) = \sup_{d \le x \le e} \int_{d}^{x} p,$$
$$S(d, e; p) = \sup_{d \le u \le v \le e} \int_{u}^{v} p, \text{ and } I(d, e; p) = \inf_{d \le u \le v \le e} \int_{u}^{v} p.$$

Clearly, we have

(1.7)
$$-\int_{d}^{e} p^{-} \leq F(d, e; p) \leq \int_{d}^{e} p^{+}$$

holding for F denoting R, L, S, or I. Also for fixed e, R and S decrease monotonically as d increases. Other obvious monotoneity properties of L, S, and I will be used without explicitly stating them here. By studying the relationships (1.7) more closely one may also see how the inequalities become strict in certain cases when p is not of constant sign on [d, e].

Two inequalities improving (1.5) in the linear case are

(1.8)
$$(b-a)\int_{a}^{b}n^{+}-4\exp\{(\frac{1}{2})[I(a, b; m)-S(a, b; m)]\}>0;$$

and, when $m \equiv 0$ and the solution y is positive on (a, b) and for some $c \in (a, b)$ satisfies

(1.9)
$$(c-t)y'(t) \ge 0 \text{ for } t \in [a, b],$$

(1.10)
$$(b-a)S(a, b; n) > 4.$$

[December

By (1.7), the improvement of (1.8) follows from

1.11)
$$I(a, b; m) - S(a, b; m) \ge \int_a^b -(m^- + m^+) = -\int_a^b |m|.$$

Strict inequality holds here, for example, when a=0, $b=4\pi$ and $m(t)=\sin kt$, where k is a positive integer. In fact we here have the rather interesting phenomena that $-\int_a^b |m|$ remains constant while $I(a, b; m) - S(a, b; m) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

2. Bounds on Solutions and Related Inequalities. We first consider a solution y of (1.1) where y'(c)=0 for some $c \in J$. By integrating twice and applying an integration by parts, for $x \in J$ we have

(2.1)
$$y(c) - y(x) = \int_{x}^{c} [r(t)]^{-1} \left\{ \left(\int_{t}^{c} p(\tau) d\tau \right) f(y(t)) + \int_{t}^{c} \left(\int_{s}^{c} p(\tau) d\tau \right) f'(y(s)) y'(s) ds \right\} dt$$

By the oddness of f, if $y(c) \neq 0$, we may assume y(c) > 0; and throughout the paper, between consecutive zeros we will assume a solution is positive. Thus if x < c and if y is positive and monotone increasing on (x, c] we may conclude from (2.1) that

(2.2)
$$y(c) - y(x) \leq \int_{x}^{c} [r(t)]^{-1} R(t, c; p) [f(y(t)) + f(y(c)) - f(y(t))] dt$$
$$= f(y(c)) \int_{x}^{c} [r(t)]^{-1} R(t, c; p) dt$$
$$\leq f(y(c)) R(x, c; p) \int_{x}^{c} (1/r).$$

Furthermore, by (H_1) and (2.1), if y(x) < y(c), then y' and p must both be positive on some subinterval of [x, c]. As a result it may be argued that the inequalities in (2.2) are strict in this case.

By a similar argument if x > c and if y is positive and monotone decreasing on [c, x] then

(2.3)
$$y(c) - y(x) \le f(y(c)) \int_{c}^{x} [r(t)]^{-1} L(c, t; p) dt \le f(y(c)) L(c, x; p) \int_{c}^{x} (1/r),$$

where the same conclusions on strictness apply here if y(x) < y(c).

The inequalities in (2.2) and (2.3) clearly yield lower bounds on the solution y. They will be next used to place implicit lower bounds on the distance from c to the first possible zero of y lying to the left or right of c.

Suppose, then, that a < b in J are two consecutive zeros of a solution y and suppose $c \in (a, b)$ satisfies (1.9), where, as is understood, y is positive on (a, b).

1974]

With $f_1(y) = f(y)/y$ for $y \neq 0$, (2.2) and (2.3) respectively yield

(2.4)
$$1 < f_1(y(c)) \int_a^c [r(t)]^{-1} R(t, c; p) dt$$
$$< f_1(y(c)) R(a, c; p) \int_a^c (1/r)$$

and

(2.5)
$$1 < f_1(y(c)) \int_c^b [r(t)]^{-1} L(c, t; p) dt$$
$$< f_1(y(c)) L(c, b; p) \int_c^b (1/r).$$

The inequalities provided by the extremes of (2.4) and (2.5) improve those of D. F. St.Mary [8, Theorem 7] when

(2.6)
$$R(a, c; p) < \int_{a}^{c} p^{+}$$
 or $L(c, b; p) < \int_{c}^{b} p^{+}$

respectively and, of course, (1.9) hold.

When $f(y)=y^{2k+1}$, k being a positive integer, related inequalities are provided by the author [2] in Corollary 2 of Theorem 1, where non-linear eigenvalue problems are studied.

We now attack a "distance between zeros" problem. By using different variables of integration and then multiplying, from (2.4) and (2.5) we obtain the Lyapunov inequalities

$$(2.7) \qquad 1 < f_1^2(y(c)) \int_a^c \int_c^b [r(u)r(v)]^{-1} R(u, c; p) L(c, v; p) \, dv \, du$$
$$\leq f_1^2(y(c)) 4^{-1} \int_a^c \int_c^b [r(u)r(v)]^{-1} [S(u, v; p)]^2 \, dv \, du$$
$$< f_1^2(y(c)) 4^{-2} [S(a, b; p)]^2 \Big(\int_a^b (1/r) \Big)^2.$$

The second inequality above follows from $\alpha\beta \leq 4^{-1}(\alpha+\beta)^2$ and

$$0 \leq R(u, c; p) + L(c, v; p) \leq S(u, v; p).$$

The third inequality follows from monotoneity properties of S and

$$\int_{a}^{c} (1/r) \int_{c}^{b} (1/r) \leq 4^{-1} \left(\int_{a}^{b} (1/r) \right)^{2}.$$

Inequality (1.10) is now a special case of (2.7), by simply taking square roots in (2.7), where, of course, $f_1(v) \equiv 1$.

In order to obtain (1.8) we consider a < b to be two consecutive zeros of a solution y of (1.2), where y is positive on (a, b). Then for some $a < c_1 \le c_2 < b$ we have $y'(c_1)=y'(c_2)=0$ and y is monotone on $(a, c_1]$ and on $[c_2, b)$.

Using (1.3) and (1.4), the first inequality of (2.4) yields

$$1 < f_{1}(y(c_{1})) \int_{a}^{c_{1}} \left[\exp - \int_{a}^{t} m(w) \, dw \right] \max_{t \le s \le c_{1}} \int_{s}^{c_{1}} \left[\exp \int_{a}^{u} m(w) \, dw \right] n(u) \, du \, dt$$

(2.8)
$$= f_{1}(y(c_{1})) \int_{a}^{c_{1}} \max_{t \le s \le c_{1}} \int_{s}^{c_{1}} \left[\exp \int_{t}^{u} m(w) \, dw \right] n(u) \, du \, dt$$
$$\leq f_{1}(y(c_{1})) \int_{a}^{c_{1}} \left[\exp L(t, c_{1}; m) \right] \int_{t}^{c_{1}} n^{+}(u) \, du \, dt.$$

In the linear case, the inequality provided by the extremes of (2.8) is what improves inequality (7) of Fink and St.Mary [3].

By (2.5) we also obtain

(2.9)
$$1 < f_1(y(c_2)) \int_{c_2}^{b} [\exp R(c_2, t; m)] \int_{c_2}^{t} n^+(u) \, du \, dt.$$

Thus with

(2.10)
$$Q = \max\{f_1(y(c_1)), f_1(y(c_2))\}$$

by (2.8) and (2.9), using different variables of integration and multiplying we have

$$1 < Q^{2} \int_{a}^{c_{1}} \int_{c_{2}}^{b} \{ \exp[L(u, c_{1}; m) + R(c_{2}, v; m)] \} \left(\int_{u}^{c_{1}} \int_{c_{2}}^{v} n^{+}(x) n^{+}(z) \, dz \, dx \, dv \, du \right)$$

$$(2.11) \qquad \leq 4^{-1} Q^{2} \int_{a}^{c_{1}} \int_{c_{2}}^{b} \{ \exp\left[\int_{u}^{v} m - I(u, v; m) \right] \right) \left(\int_{u}^{v} n^{+} \right)^{2} dv \, du$$

$$< 4^{-2} Q^{2} \{ \exp[S(a, b; m) - I(a, b; m)] \} \left(\int_{a}^{b} n^{+} \right)^{2} (b-a)^{2}.$$

The inequalities follow from the definitions and properties of L, R, S and I, along with modifications of the argument used to establish (2.7).

In the linear case, where Q=1, by taking square roots of (2.11) we obtain (1.8). An interesting question is whether (1.8) may be improved to

$$(b-a)S(a, b; n) - 4 \exp\{(\frac{1}{2})[I(a, b; m) - S(a, b; m)]\} > 0?$$

By our method of using (2.4) and (2.5), to answer this question in the affirmative, even when (1.9) holds, it appears that in (2.8) we need the inequality

$$\max_{t \le s \le c_1} \int_s^{c_1} \left[\exp \int_t^u m(w) \, dw \right] n(u) \, du \le [\exp L(t, c_1; m)] R(t, c_1; n),$$

which for general functions m and n is not true.

We now summarize the above results.

THEOREM 2.1. Let y be a solution of (1.1) satisfying y'(c)=0 and y(c)>0 for some $c \in J$. Then for x < c, (x > c), as long as y is positive and monotone increasing on (x, c], (monotone decreasing on [c, x)), the inequalities in (2.2), ((2.3)), provide lower bounds on y(x) which are expressed in terms of y(c) and integral functionals, as defined by (1.6), involving the coefficients r and p of (1.1). They are strict if y(x) < y(c).

As a result, inequalities (2.4), ((2.5)), provide implicit lower bounds on the distance from c to the first possible zero a, (b), of y lying to the left, (right), of c. They improve previous results when (2.6) and (1.9) hold.

Inequalities (2.4) and (2.5) in turn yield Lyapunov inequalities concerning the distance between consecutive zeros a < b of a solution y of (1.1), or of (1.2), which is positive on (a, b). The first inequalities, provided by (2.7), relate to (1.1) and assume condition (1.9). The second provided by (2.11), relate to (1.2), and does not assume condition (1.9), and they improve previous results when inequality (1.11) is strict.

References

1. S. B. Eliason, A Lyapunov inequality for a certain second order non-linear differential equation, J. London Math. Soc., (2), 2, (1970), 461–466.

2. —, Comparison theorems for second order nonlinear differential equations, Quart. Appl. Math., 35, (1971), 148–156.

3. A. M. Fink, and D. F. St.Mary, On an inequality of Nehari, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 21, (1969), 640-642.

4. P. Hartman, Ordinary Differential Equations, New York, 1964, 345-346, 401.

5. A. Levin, On linear second order differential equations, Soviet Math. Dokl., 4, (1963), 1814–1817.

6. A. Liapounoff, Problème générale de la stabilité du mouvement, Annals of Mathematics Study 17, Princeton University Press, (1949).

7. Z. Nehari, On an inequality of Lyapunov, Studies in Mathematical Analysis and Related Topics, Stanford University Press, (1962), 256–261.

8. D. F. St. Mary, Some oscillation and comparison theorems for (r(t)y')'+p(t)y=0, J. Diff. Eq., 5, (1969), 314-323.

UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA

NORMAN, OKLAHOMA 73069

504