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Abstract. The aim here is to define connections on a parabolic principal bundle. Some applications are given.

## 1 Introduction

The notion of a parabolic vector bundle was introduced in [MS]. Let $X$ be a connected Riemann surface and $D \subset X$ a finite subset. A parabolic vector bundle over $X$ with parabolic structure over $D$ is a usual vector bundle $E$ over $X$ together with an extra structure over $D$. For each point $p \in D$, the extra structure over $p$ is a strictly decreasing filtration of linear subspaces of the fiber $E_{p}$ together with a nonnegative rational number associated with each term of the filtration. The sequence of numbers is strictly increasing and is strictly bounded by 1 . See Section 2 or [MS, MY] for the details.

In [BBN1, BBN2], the notion of a parabolic vector bundle was extended to the more general context of where the structure group is a general connected linear algebraic group defined over the field of complex numbers (as opposed to GL( $r, \mathbb{C}$ ) which corresponds to parabolic vector bundles of rank $r$ ).

Let $G$ be a complex connected linear algebraic group. A parabolic $G$-bundle over $X$ is given by the following data: a connected complex manifold $E_{G}$ with a projection $\psi: E_{G} \rightarrow X$ and an action of $G$ on the right of $E_{G}$ such that
(1) $X=E_{G} / G$;
(2) the projection $\psi$ and the action of $G$ make $\psi^{-1}(X \backslash D)$ a principal $G$-bundle over the complement $X \backslash D$;
(3) for any point $z \in \psi^{-1}(D)$ the isotropy subgroup, for the action of $G$ on $E_{G}$, is a finite cyclic group.
(See Section 2 for the details.)
The aim here is to extend the notion of a connection on a principal bundle to the context of parabolic $G$-bundles. It turns out that one of the descriptions of usual connections, namely as a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form on the total space, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of $G$, is well suited for parabolic $G$-bundles. It may be pointed out that the more standard description (see [At]) of a connection on a usual principal bundle as a splitting of the Atiyah exact sequence is not suited for parabolic $G$-bundles.

[^0]A theorem due to Atiyah and Weil says that a holomorphic vector bundle $E$ over a compact Riemann surface admits a holomorphic connection if and only if each indecomposable component of $E$ is of degree zero (see [At, We]). In [AB] this was generalized to give a criterion for a holomorphic principal $G$-bundle over a compact Riemann surface to admit a holomorphic connection, where $G$ is a complex reductive group.

In Theorem 4.1 we give a criterion for a parabolic $G$-bundle to admit a holomorphic connection, where $G$ as before is a complex reductive group.

Let $G$ be a complex semisimple group. In Theorem 5.2 we prove that a parabolic $G$-bundle $E_{G}$ admits a flat unitary connection if and only if $E_{G}$ is polystable.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $X$ be a connected smooth projective curve defined over the field of complex numbers, or equivalently, a connected compact Riemann surface. Let

$$
D=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{l}\right\} \subset X
$$

be a reduced effective divisor on $X$; so $\left\{p_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{l}$ are distinct points.
Let $E$ be an algebraic vector bundle over $X$. A quasiparabolic structure on $E$ over $D$ is a filtration of subspaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.E\right|_{p_{i}}=F_{1}^{i} \supset F_{2}^{i} \supset F_{3}^{i} \supset \cdots \supset F_{m_{i}}^{i} \supset F_{m_{i}+1}^{i}=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the fiber of $E$ over $p_{i}$, where $i \in[1, l]$. For a quasiparabolic structure as above, parabolic weights are a collection of rational numbers

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \lambda_{1}^{(i)}<\lambda_{2}^{(i)}<\lambda_{3}^{(i)}<\cdots<\lambda_{m_{i}}^{(i)}<1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i \in[1, l]$. The parabolic weight $\lambda_{j}^{(i)}$ corresponds to $F_{j}^{i}$ in (2.1). A parabolic structure on $E$ is a quasiparabolic structure with parabolic weights. A vector bundle equipped with a parabolic structure on it is also called a parabolic vector bundle. See [MS, MY] for the details.

For notational convenience, a parabolic vector bundle defined as above will be denoted by $E_{*}$. The divisor $D$ is called the parabolic divisor for $E_{*}$. We recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{par}-\operatorname{deg}\left(E_{*}\right):=\operatorname{degree}(E)+\sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} \lambda_{j}^{(i)} \operatorname{dim}\left(F_{j}^{i} / F_{j+1}^{i}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the parabolic degree of the above defined parabolic vector bundle $E_{*}$ (see [MS, Definition 1.11] and [MY]).

Let $G$ be a connected complex linear algebraic group. We will recall the definition of a parabolic $G$-bundle over $X$ (see [BBN2] for the details).

A parabolic $G$-bundle over $X$ with parabolic structure over $D$ is a connected smooth complex variety $E_{G}$ on which $G$ acts algebraically on the right, that is, the map

$$
f: E_{G} \times G \rightarrow E_{G}
$$

defining the action of $G$ on $E_{G}$ is an algebraic morphism, together with a surjective algebraic map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi: E_{G} \rightarrow X \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the following conditions:
(1) $\psi \circ f=\psi \circ p_{1}$, where $p_{1}$ is the natural projection of $E_{G} \times G$ to $E_{G}$, that is, the map $\psi$ is equivariant for the actions of $G$ with $G$ acting trivially on $X$;
(2) for each point $x \in X$, the action of $G$ on the reduced fiber $\psi^{-1}(x)_{\text {red }}$ is transitive;
(3) the restriction of $\psi$ to $\psi^{-1}(X \backslash D)$ makes $\psi^{-1}(X \backslash D)$ a principal $G$-bundle over $X \backslash D$, that is, the map $\psi$ is smooth over $\psi^{-1}(X \backslash D)$ and the map to the fiber product

$$
\psi^{-1}(X \backslash D) \times G \rightarrow \psi^{-1}(X \backslash D) \times_{X \backslash D} \psi^{-1}(X \backslash D)
$$

defined by $(z, g) \mapsto(z, f(z, g))$ is an isomorphism.
(4) for any closed point $z \in \psi^{-1}(D)$, the isotropy group $G_{z} \subset G$, for the action of $G$ on $E_{G}$, is a finite cyclic group that acts faithfully on the line $T_{z} E_{G} / T_{z} \psi^{-1}(D)_{\text {red }}$.
Note that since $\psi$ is equivariant for the action of $G$, the isotropy subgroup $G_{z}$ preserves the subspace $T_{z} \psi^{-1}(D)_{\text {red }} \subset T_{z} E_{G}$. Therefore, there is an induced action of $G_{z}$ on the fiber $T_{z} E_{G} / T_{z} \psi^{-1}(D)_{\text {red }}$ of the normal bundle. For any $p_{i} \in D$ the subvariety $\psi^{-1}\left(p_{i}\right)_{\mathrm{red}} \subset E_{G}$ is clearly a smooth divisor.

Consider the special case of $G=\mathrm{GL}(n, C)$. There is a bijective correspondence between the parabolic $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$-bundles and the parabolic vector bundles of rank $n$ defined earlier (see [BBN1, BBN2]). Let $E_{\text {GL }}$ be a parabolic GL( $n$, C $)$-bundle over $X$. So $E_{\mathrm{GL}}$ restricts to a usual $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$-bundle over $X \backslash D$. Therefore, using the standard action of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, the $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$-bundle $\left.E_{\mathrm{GL}}\right|_{X \backslash D}$ gives a vector bundle of rank $n$ over $X \backslash D$. This vector bundle has a natural extension to $X$, constructed using $E_{\mathrm{GL}}$, that carries the parabolic structure of the parabolic vector bundle corresponding to $E_{\mathrm{GL}}$. Take a point $x \in D$. Take a point $z \in E_{\mathrm{GL}}$ over $x$ (that is, $\psi(z)=x$ ), and let $G_{z} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be the isotropy subgroup for $z$ for the action of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ on $E_{\mathrm{GL}}$. Since the finite cyclic group $G_{z}$ acts faithfully on the line $T_{z} E_{G L} / T_{z} \psi^{-1}(D)$, the group $G_{z}$ has a natural (unique) generator $\gamma_{z} \in G_{z}$ defined by the following condition: the action of $\gamma_{z}$ on $T_{z} E_{\mathrm{GL}} / T_{z} \psi^{-1}(D)$ is multiplication by $\exp \left(2 \pi \sqrt{-1} /\left(\# G_{z}\right)\right)$, where $\# G_{z}$ is the order of $G_{z}$. The parabolic structure, of the parabolic vector bundle associated to $E_{\mathrm{GL}}$, over the parabolic point $x$ is constructed as follows:

Consider the standard action of $\gamma_{z} \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Note that all the eigenvalues of it are of the form $\exp \left(2 \pi \sqrt{-1} k /\left(\# G_{z}\right)\right)$, where $k \in\left[0, \# G_{z}-1\right]$. If $\exp (2 \pi \sqrt{-1} k /$ $\left.\left(\# G_{z}\right)\right)$ is an eigenvalue, where $k \in\left[0, \# G_{z}-1\right]$, then $k / \# G_{z}$ is a parabolic weight at $x$ for the parabolic vector bundle associated to $E_{\mathrm{GL}}$, and the multiplicity of a parabolic weight $k / \# G_{z}$ coincides with the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\exp \left(2 \pi \sqrt{-1} k /\left(\# G_{z}\right)\right)$ for the action of $\gamma_{z}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. The details are given in [BBN2].

We now return to the case of general $G$. Let $V$ be a finite dimensional complex left $G$-module, where $G$ is a connected complex linear algebraic group. Let $E_{G}$ be a parabolic $G$-bundle over $X$. Consider the quotient space

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{GL}}:=\frac{E_{G} \times \mathrm{GL}(V)}{G} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the quotient is for the "twisted" diagonal action of $G$; the action of any $g \in G$ sends any $(z, T) \in E_{G} \times G L(V)$ to $\left(z g, g^{-1} T\right)$. It is easy to see that $E_{\mathrm{GL}}$ is a parabolic $\mathrm{GL}(V)$-bundle. Hence $E_{\mathrm{GL}}$ gives a parabolic vector bundle $E_{*}^{V}$.

Let $\operatorname{Rep}(G)$ denote the category of finite dimensional complex left $G$-modules, and let $\operatorname{PVect}(X)$ be the category of parabolic vector bundles over $X$ with parabolic structure over $D$. Both these categories are equipped with the direct sum, tensor product and dualization operations.

The parabolic $G$-bundle $E_{G}$ defines a functor from $\operatorname{Rep}(G)$ to $\operatorname{PVect}(X)$ by sending any $G$-module $V$ to the parabolic vector bundle $E_{*}^{V}$ constructed as above from $V$. This way, $E_{G}$ defines a parabolic $G$-bundle in the sense of [BBN1]; in [BBN1], following [No1, No2], parabolic $G$-bundles were defined as a functor from $\operatorname{Rep}(G)$ to PVect $(X)$ satisfying certain conditions. Such a functor is in particular compatible with the three operations of taking dual, direct sum and tensor product.

Let $G_{1}$ be a connected complex linear algebraic group and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho: G \rightarrow G_{1} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

a homomorphism of algebraic groups. Let $E_{G}$ be a parabolic $G$-bundle over $X$. Consider the quotient

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{G}\left(G_{1}\right)=\frac{E_{G} \times G_{1}}{G} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the twisted diagonal action of $G$ on $E_{G} \times G_{1}$. The action of any $g \in G$ sends any $\left(z, g_{1}\right) \in E_{G} \times G_{1}$ to $\left(z g, \rho\left(g^{-1}\right) g_{1}\right)$. It is easy to see that $E_{G}\left(G_{1}\right)$ is a parabolic $G_{1}$-bundle over $X$.

We will call $E_{G}\left(G_{1}\right)$ as the parabolic $G_{1}$-bundle obtained by extending the structure group of $E_{G}$ to $G_{1}$ using the homomorphism $\rho$.

Therefore, $E_{\mathrm{GL}}$ in (2.5) is the extension of structure of $E_{G}$ to $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ using the homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ defined by the $G$-module $V$.

Let $E_{G}$ be a parabolic $G$-bundle over $X$. Let $H \subset G$ be a connected closed algebraic subgroup. Consider the quotient

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{H}: E_{G} \rightarrow E_{G} / H \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the action of $G$ on $E_{G}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{H}: E_{G} / H \rightarrow X \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the natural projection. Take any (closed) point $z \in E_{G} / H$. Take any (closed) point $\widehat{z} \in E_{G}$ such that $q_{H}(\widehat{z})=z$, where $q_{H}$ is defined in (2.8). It is easy to see that the following two conditions are equivalent:
(1) the projection $f_{H}$ in (2.9) is smooth at $z$, that is, the differential

$$
\mathrm{d} f_{H}(z): T_{z} E_{G} / H \rightarrow T_{f_{H}(z)} X
$$

is surjective.
(2) The isotropy subgroup $G_{\vec{z}} \subset G$ is contained in $H$.

Since $H$ acts transitively on $q_{H}^{-1}(z)$, if the condition $G_{z^{\prime}} \subset G$ holds for one point $z^{\prime} \in q_{H}^{-1}(z)$, then we have $G_{z^{\prime \prime}} \subset G$ for every point $z^{\prime \prime} \in q_{H}^{-1}(z)$.

By a section of $f_{H}$ we will mean a morphism $\sigma: X \rightarrow E_{G} / H$ such that $f_{H} \circ \sigma$ is the identity map of $X$.

Definition 2.1 A reduction of structure group of $E_{G}$ to $H$ is a section

$$
\sigma: X \rightarrow E_{G} / H
$$

of $f_{H}$ (defined in (2.9)) such that $f_{H}$ is smooth on the image of $\sigma$ (in other words, for each point $x \in X$ the differential

$$
\mathrm{d} f_{H}(\sigma(x)): T_{\sigma(x)} E_{G} / H \rightarrow T_{x} X
$$

is surjective).
A section $\sigma: X \rightarrow E_{G} / H$ of the projection $f_{H}$ defines a reduction of structure group of $E_{G}$ to $H$ if and only if

$$
q_{H}^{-1}(\sigma(X)) \subset E_{G}
$$

(where $q_{H}$ is the projection in (2.8)) is a parabolic $H$-bundle over $X$ (the action of $H$ on $q_{H}^{-1}(\sigma(X))$ is induced by the action of $G$ on $\left.E_{G}\right)$ satisfying the condition that $G_{z} \subset H$ for each point $z \in q_{H}^{-1}(\sigma(X))$ (recall that $G_{z} \subset G$ is the isotropy subgroup at $z$ for the action of $G$ on $\left.E_{G}\right)$.

We noted earlier that for any $p_{i} \in D$, if $G_{z} \subset H$ for one point $z \in q_{H}^{-1}\left(\sigma\left(p_{i}\right)\right)$, then $G_{z^{\prime}} \subset H$ for each point $z^{\prime} \in q_{H}^{-1}\left(\sigma\left(p_{i}\right)\right)$.

Let $E_{H} \subset E_{G}$ be a reduction of structure group of a parabolic $G$-bundle $E_{G}$ to a subgroup $H \subset G$, defined by a section $\sigma$ as in Definition 2.1. Let $E_{G}^{1}$ be the parabolic $G$-bundle obtained by extending the structure group of the parabolic $H$-bundle $E_{H}$ using the inclusion homomorphism $H \hookrightarrow G$. It is easy to see that the two parabolic $G$-bundles $E_{G}$ and $E_{G}^{1}$ are canonically identified. Indeed, consider the morphism $E_{H} \times$ $G \rightarrow E_{G}$ defined by the action of $G$ on $E_{G}$ (recall that $E_{H} \subset E_{G}$ ). This morphism factors through the quotient $\left(E_{H} \times G\right) / H$ and defines an isomorphism of $E_{G}^{1}$ with $E_{G}$.

Consider $E_{G}\left(G_{1}\right)$ constructed in (2.7). Note that there is a natural morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
r: E_{G} \rightarrow E_{G}\left(G_{1}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

that sends any $z \in E_{G}$ to the equivalence class for $(z, e) \in E_{G} \times G_{1}$, where $e \in G_{1}$ is the identity element. It is easy to see that $r$ is $G$-equivariant; the action of $G$ on $E_{G}\left(G_{1}\right)$ is through $\rho(G)$, where $\rho$ is the homomorphism on (2.6).

If $G$ is a closed subgroup of $G_{1}$ and $\rho$ (in (2.6)) the inclusion map, then the image of the map $r$, constructed in (2.10), defines a reduction of structure group to $G$ of the parabolic $G_{1}$-bundle $E_{G}\left(G_{1}\right)$. Indeed, this is an immediate consequence of the above definition of a reduction of structure group.

## 3 Holomorphic Connections

Let $\psi: E_{G} \rightarrow X$ be a parabolic $G$-bundle over $X$. So for any closed point $x \in X$, the reduced fiber

$$
\left(E_{G}\right)_{x}:=\psi^{-1}(x)
$$

is an orbit for the action of $G$ on $E_{G}$.
Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of $G$. The action of $G$ on $\left(E_{G}\right)_{x}$ gives a homomorphism of vector bundles

$$
\omega_{x}^{\prime}: \underline{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow T\left(E_{G}\right)_{x}
$$

over $\left(E_{G}\right)_{x}$, where $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ denotes the trivial vector bundle over $\left(E_{G}\right)_{x}$ with fiber $\mathfrak{g}$ and $T\left(E_{G}\right)_{x}$ is the tangent bundle. The given condition that the isotropy $G_{z}$ of any point $z \in\left(E_{G}\right)_{x}$ is a finite subgroup of $G$ immediately implies that the above defined homomorphism $\omega_{x}^{\prime}$ is in fact an isomorphism. Consequently, the inverse $\left(\omega_{x}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ exists and it defines an algebraic one-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{x} \in H^{0}\left(\left(E_{G}\right)_{x}, \Omega_{\left(E_{G}\right)_{x}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with values in $\mathfrak{g}$. This form $\omega_{x}$ is also known as the Maurer-Cartan form.
Definition 3.1 A holomorphic connection on the parabolic $G$-bundle $E_{G}$ is an algebraic one-form $\theta$ on $E_{G}$ with values in $\mathfrak{g}$

$$
\theta \in H^{0}\left(E_{G}, \Omega_{E_{G}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}\right)
$$

such that
(1) for each point $x \in X$ the restriction $\left.\theta\right|_{\left(E_{G}\right)_{x}}$ coincides with the Maurer-Cartan form $\omega_{x}$ defined in (3.1), and
(2) $\theta$ intertwines the action of $G$ on $E_{G}$ and the adjoint action of $G$ on $\mathfrak{g}$, or in other words, $\theta$ is equivariant for the actions of $G$.

When $E_{G}$ is a usual principal $G$-bundle, the above definition of a holomorphic connection coincides with one given in [At].

Proposition 3.2 Let $E_{G}$ be a parabolic G-bundle equipped with a holomorphic connection $\theta$ (as defined in Definition 3.1).

Let $\rho: G \rightarrow G_{1}$ be a homomorphism of connected algebraic groups. Then $\theta$ induces a holomorphic connection on the parabolic $G_{1}$-bundle $E_{G}\left(G_{1}\right)$ obtained by extending the structure group of $E_{G} u \operatorname{sing} \rho$.

Let $H \subset G$ be a closed connected algebraic subgroup and $E_{H} \subset E_{G}$ a reduction of structure group of $E_{G}$ to $H$. Let

$$
\beta: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}
$$

be an $H$-equivariant splitting of $H$-modules, where $\mathfrak{g}$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{h})$ is the Lie algebra of $G$ (respectively, $H$ ); both $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ are considered as $H$-modules for the adjoint action. Then $\beta \circ \theta$ gives a holomorphic connection on the parabolic $H$-bundle $E_{H}$.

Proof Let $\mathrm{d} \rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{1}$ be the differential of $\rho$, where $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ is the Lie algebra of $G_{1}$. Consider the map $r$ defined in (2.10). From the definition of a form defining a holomorphic connection it follows immediately that

$$
\mathrm{d} \rho \circ \theta: \operatorname{Tr}\left(E_{G}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{1}
$$

is a $G$-equivariant $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$-valued one-form on the image of the map $r$. Now, this form extends uniquely to a $G_{1}$-equivariant $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$-valued one-form on the total space of the parabolic $G_{1}$-bundle $E_{G}\left(G_{1}\right)$. It is easy to check that this extended form defines a connection on the parabolic $G_{1}$-bundle $E_{G}\left(G_{1}\right)$.

Take any $\beta$ as in the statement of the proposition. So $\beta \circ \iota$ is the identity map of $\mathfrak{h}$, where $\iota: \mathfrak{h} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is the inclusion map. Consider the $\mathfrak{h}$-valued one-form $\beta \circ \theta$ on the subvariety $E_{H} \subset E_{G}$. Since the form $\theta$ is $G$-equivariant and $\beta$ is $H$-equivariant, it follows immediately that $\beta \circ \theta$ is $H$-equivariant. The restriction of $\beta \circ \theta$ to a reduced fiber of the projection $E_{H} \rightarrow X$ clearly coincides with the Maurer-Cartan form (recall that $\beta$ is a splitting of the inclusion of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ ). Therefore, $\beta \circ \theta$ defines a holomorphic connection on the parabolic $H$-bundle $E_{H}$. This completes the proof of the proposition.

Let $Y$ be a connected smooth projective curve defined over $\mathbb{C}$ and

$$
\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Aut}(Y)
$$

a finite subgroup of the automorphism group of $Y$. So $\Gamma$ acts naturally on the right of $Y$.

A $\Gamma$-linearized principal $G$-bundle over $Y$ is a (usual) principal $G$-bundle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}: E_{G}^{\prime} \rightarrow Y \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

over $Y$ together with an algebraic right action of the finite group $\Gamma$ on the total space $E_{G}^{\prime}$ such that
(1) the action of $G$ on the principal $G$-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}$ commutes with the action of $\Gamma$ on $E_{G}^{\prime}$, and
(2) the projection $\psi^{\prime}$ in (3.2) commutes with the actions of $\Gamma$ on $E_{G}^{\prime}$ and $Y$.

Let $Y_{\Gamma}$ denote the quotient $Y / \Gamma$. So $Y_{\Gamma}$ is also a connected smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$. Consider the quotient $E_{G}^{\prime} / \Gamma$, where $E_{G}^{\prime}$ is a $\Gamma$-linearized principal $G$ bundle over $Y$. Since the projection of $E_{G}^{\prime}$ to $Y$ commutes with the actions of $\Gamma$ (condition (2)), we have an induced projection

$$
\psi: E_{G}:=E_{G}^{\prime} / \Gamma \rightarrow Y_{\Gamma}
$$

induced by $\psi^{\prime}$.
Since the actions of $G$ and $\Gamma$ on $E_{G}^{\prime}$ commute, the quotient $E_{G}$ has an induced action of $G$

$$
f: E_{G} \times G \rightarrow E_{G}
$$

It is easy to see that the triple $\left(E_{G}, \psi, f\right)$ defines a parabolic $G$-bundle over $Y_{\Gamma}$. The parabolic divisor is the divisor of $Y_{\Gamma}$ over which the quotient map $Y \rightarrow Y_{\Gamma}$ is ramified. All parabolic $G$-bundles arise as quotients of the above type [BBN2, Theorem 3.7]. It should be clarified that given a parabolic $G$-bundle $E_{G}$ over $X$ the Galois covering $Y$ of $X$ (such that $E_{G}$ is the quotient of a principal $G$-bundle over $Y$ ) depends on $E_{G}$. The content of the above mentioned theorem of [BBN2] is that given a parabolic $G$-bundle $E_{G}$ over $X$, there exists a Galois (ramified) covering of $Y$ of $X$ and a $\Gamma$-linearized principal $G$-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}$ over $Y$, where $\Gamma=\operatorname{Gal}(Y / X)$ is the Galois group, such that $E_{G}=E_{G}^{\prime} / \Gamma$.

Let $E_{G}^{\prime}$ be a principal $G$-bundle over $Y$. We recall that a holomorphic connection on $E_{G}^{\prime}$ is a $G$-equivariant algebraic one-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{\prime} \in H^{0}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}, \Omega_{E_{G}^{\prime}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose restriction to each fiber coincides with the Maurer-Cartan form. Equivalently, a holomorphic connection on $E_{G}^{\prime}$ is a holomorphic splitting of the Atiyah exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{At}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow T Y \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

over $Y$, where $\operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)$ is the adjoint bundle and $\operatorname{At}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)$ the Atiyah bundle (see [At] for the details).

Now assume that $E_{G}^{\prime}$ is $\Gamma$-linearized, where $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ is a finite subgroup.

Definition 3.3 A holomorphic connection $\theta^{\prime}$ (as in (3.3)) on the $G$-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}$ will be called a $\Gamma$-connection if the action of $\Gamma$ on $E_{G}^{\prime}$ leaves the form $\theta^{\prime}$ invariant (the action of $\Gamma$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ is the trivial action).

Note that the actions of $\Gamma$ on $X$ and $E_{G}^{\prime}$ induce actions of $\Gamma$ on all the three vector bundles in the Atiyah exact sequence (3.4), and the homomorphisms in (3.4) commute with the actions of $\Gamma$. If the connection $\theta^{\prime}$ in (3.3) corresponds to the holomorphic splitting

$$
D: T Y \rightarrow \operatorname{At}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)
$$

of (3.4), then $\theta^{\prime}$ is a $\Gamma$-connection if and only if the splitting homomorphism $D$ commutes with the actions of $\Gamma$ on $T Y$ and $\operatorname{At}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $\psi: E_{G} \rightarrow X$ be a parabolic $G$-bundle over $X$ (as in (2.4)). We noted earlier that by [BBN2, Theorem 3.7] there is an irreducible smooth projective curve $Y$, a finite subgroup $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ and a $\Gamma$-linearized principal $G$-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}$ over $Y$ such that $X=Y / \Gamma$ and $E_{G}=E_{G}^{\prime} / \Gamma$.

Proposition 3.4 There is a natural bijective correspondence between the holomorphic connections on the parabolic $G$-bundle $E_{G}$ and the $\Gamma$-connections on the principal G-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}$.

Proof Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
q: E_{G}^{\prime} \rightarrow E_{G}^{\prime} / \Gamma=E_{G} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the quotient map. Given a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form $\theta$ on $E_{G}$, the pullback

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{\prime}:=q^{*} \theta \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form on $E_{G}^{\prime}$. Assume that $\theta$ satisfies the two conditions in Definition 3.1; in other words, $\theta$ is a holomorphic connection on $E_{G}$. Then, as the projection $q$ commutes with the actions of $G$ on $E_{G}^{\prime}$ and $E_{G}$, the second condition in Definition 3.1 that $\theta$ is $G$-equivariant implies that $\theta^{\prime}$ is $G$-equivariant.

Let $q^{\prime}: Y \rightarrow Y / \Gamma=X$ be the quotient map. For any closed point $y \in Y$ the restriction

$$
\left.q\right|_{\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)_{y}}:\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)_{y} \rightarrow\left(E_{G}\right)_{q^{\prime}(y)}
$$

is a finite unramified covering map, where $\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)_{y}$ is the fiber $E_{G}$ over $y$ and $\left(E_{G}\right)_{q^{\prime}(y)}$ as before is the reduced inverse image $\psi^{-1}\left(q^{\prime}(y)\right)$. Since $\left.q\right|_{\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)_{y}}$ is a finite unramified covering map, the given condition that the restriction of $\theta$ to $\left(E_{G}\right)_{q^{\prime}(y)}$ coincides with the Maurer-Cartan form implies that the restriction of $\theta^{\prime}$ to $\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)_{y}$ coincides with the Maurer-Cartan form on $\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)_{y}$. Therefore, the form $\theta^{\prime}$ defines a holomorphic connection on the $G$-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}$.

Since $\theta^{\prime}$ is a pullback of a form from $E_{G}^{\prime} / \Gamma$, we conclude that the action of $\Gamma$ on $E_{G}^{\prime}$ leaves $\theta^{\prime}$ invariant. Consequently, $\theta^{\prime}$ defines a $\Gamma$-connection on $E_{G}^{\prime}$.

For the converse direction, let $\theta^{\prime}$ be a $\Gamma$-invariant $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form on the total space of $E_{G}^{\prime}$ defining a $\Gamma$-connection on the principal $G$-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}$. Since $\theta^{\prime}$ is $\Gamma$-invariant, it descends to a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form on the quotient $E_{G}=E_{G}^{\prime} / \Gamma$. In other words, there is a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form $\theta$ on $E_{G}$ such that $q^{*} \theta=\theta^{\prime}$, where $q$ is the projection defined in (3.5). To prove the existence of such a form $\theta$, note that for any point $y \in E_{G}^{\prime}$, the map $q$ around $z$ is holomorphically isomorphic to a map of the form

$$
\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n-1}, z_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n-1}, z_{n}^{k}\right)
$$

where $k$ is a positive integer. Therefore, it suffices to show that any holomorphic oneform $\omega$ defined on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}) \subset(\mathbb{C}$ and invariant under the multiplication action (on $\mathbb{D}$ ) ) of $\mu_{k}$, the cyclic group defined by the $k$-th roots of unity, is a pullback of a form on the quotient space $\mathbb{D}) / \mu_{n}$. But this is clear as $\omega$ must vanish at $0 \in \mathbb{D}$ ) of order at least $k-1$.

Now it is easy to check that the descended $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form $\theta$ on $E_{G}$ satisfies the two conditions in Definition 3.1. Therefore, any form on $E_{G}^{\prime}$ defining a $\Gamma$-connection is the pullback of a form on $E_{G}$ defining a holomorphic connection on the parabolic $G$-bundle $E_{G}$. This completes the proof of the proposition.

Let $\psi: E_{G} \rightarrow X$ be a parabolic $G$-bundle over $X$. Recall from the definition of a parabolic $G$-bundle that $\psi^{-1}(X \backslash D)$ is a principal $G$-bundle over the complement $X \backslash D$. Let

$$
\theta \in H^{0}\left(E_{G}, \Omega_{E_{G}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}\right)
$$

be a holomorphic connection on $E_{G}$. So the restriction $\left.\theta\right|_{\psi^{-1}(X \backslash D)}$ is a holomorphic connection on the principal $G$-bundle $\psi^{-1}(X \backslash D)$ over $X \backslash D$. Any holomorphic connection on a curve is flat as there are no nonzero holomorphic two-forms on a Riemann surface.

Therefore, $\left.\theta\right|_{\psi^{-1}(X \backslash D)}$ is a flat connection on the principal $G$-bundle $\psi^{-1}(X \backslash D)$ over $X \backslash D$. Take any point $p_{i} \in D$. Since $\theta^{\prime}$ in (3.6) is a flat connection on $E_{G}^{\prime}$ over $Y$, it follows immediately that the monodromy of the connection on $\psi^{-1}(X \backslash D)$ (defined by $\left.\theta\right|_{\psi^{-1}(X \backslash D)}$ ) along a loop in $X \backslash D$ around $p_{i}$ and contractible in $X$ is of finite order.

Let $E_{G}^{\prime}$ be a $\Gamma$-linearized principal $G$-bundle over $Y$. Let $E_{G}=E_{G}^{\prime} / \Gamma$ be the corresponding parabolic $G$-bundle over $X=Y / \Gamma$. As in (3.5), the quotient map $E_{G}^{\prime} \rightarrow E_{G}$ will be denoted by $q$. Let $E_{H} \subset E_{G}$ be a reduction of structure group to a subgroup $H \subset G$. The inverse image

$$
q^{-1}\left(E_{H}\right) \subset E_{G}^{\prime}
$$

is clearly a reduction of structure group of the principal $G$-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}$ to $H$ which is left invariant by the action of $\Gamma$ on $E_{G}^{\prime}$. It is also straightforward to check that if

$$
E_{H}^{\prime} \subset E_{G}^{\prime}
$$

is a reduction of structure group of $E_{G}^{\prime}$ to $H \subset G$ with the property that the action of $\Gamma$ on $E_{G}^{\prime}$ leaves the subvariety $E_{H}^{\prime} \subset E_{G}^{\prime}$ invariant, then

$$
E_{H}^{\prime} / \Gamma \subset E_{G}^{\prime} / \Gamma
$$

is a reduction of structure group to $H$ of the parabolic $G$-bundle $E_{G}=E_{G}^{\prime} / \Gamma$.
Let $\theta$ be a holomorphic connection on the parabolic $G$-bundle $E_{G}$. Let $\theta^{\prime}$ be the corresponding $\Gamma$-connection on $E_{G}^{\prime}$ constructed in Proposition 3.4. Let $H$ and $\beta$ be as in Proposition 3.2. So using Proposition 3.2, the holomorphic connection $\theta$ induces a holomorphic connection

$$
\theta_{H}:=\left.\beta \circ \theta\right|_{E_{H}}
$$

on the parabolic $H$-bundle $E_{H}$ (we are using the notation of Proposition 3.2). On the other hand, using $\beta$, the $\Gamma$-connection $\theta^{\prime}$ on $E_{G}^{\prime}$ induces a $\Gamma$-connection on the $\Gamma$-linearized principal $H$-bundle $E_{H}^{\prime}:=q^{-1}\left(E_{H}\right)$, where $q$ is the projection defined in (3.5); the construction of this connection is identical to the construction of the connection in the second part of Proposition 3.2. It is easy to see that the holomorphic connection on $E_{H}=E_{H}^{\prime} / \Gamma$ corresponding to this $\Gamma$-connection on $E_{H}^{\prime}$ coincides, by the correspondence in Proposition 3.4, to the holomorphic connection $\theta_{H}$ on $E_{H}$ constructed above.

Let $\rho: G \rightarrow G_{1}$ be a homomorphism as in (2.6). Let $E_{G}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)=\left(E_{G}^{\prime} \times G_{1}\right) / G$ be the $\Gamma$-linearized principal $G_{1}$-bundle over $Y$ obtained by extending the structure group of the $G$-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}$ using $\rho$. Clearly we have

$$
E_{G}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right) / \Gamma=E_{G}\left(G_{1}\right)
$$

where $E_{G}\left(G_{1}\right)$ is the parabolic $G$-bundle defined in (2.7). Using this it is straightforward to check that the correspondence of connections given by Proposition 3.4 is
compatible with the construction given in Proposition 3.2 of induced connection on an extension of structure group. In other words, if $\theta$ is a holomorphic connection on $E_{G}$ and $\theta^{\prime}$ the corresponding $\Gamma$-connection on $E_{G}^{\prime}$, then the holomorphic connection on $E_{G}\left(G_{1}\right)$ induced by $\theta$ corresponds to the $\Gamma$-connection on $E_{G}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)$ induced by $\theta^{\prime}$.

In the next section we will give a criterion for a parabolic $G$-bundle, where $G$ is reductive, to admit a holomorphic connection.

## 4 Criterion for Existence of a Connection

Let $\sigma: X \rightarrow E_{G} / H$, as in Definition 2.1, be a reduction of structure group to $H$ of the parabolic $G$-bundle $E_{G}$ over $X$. Let

$$
E_{H}:=q_{H}^{-1}(\sigma(X)) \subset E_{G}
$$

be the corresponding parabolic $H$-bundle, where $q_{H}$ is the projection in (2.8). Take a character

$$
\chi: H \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m}=\mathbb{C}^{*}
$$

of $H$. Let $E_{H}\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ be the parabolic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-bundle obtained by extending the structure group of $E_{H}$ using the homomorphism $\chi$. We noted earlier that there is a natural bijective correspondence between parabolic GL( $n,(\mathbb{C})$-bundles and parabolic vector bundles of rank $n$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{*}^{\chi}=E_{H}\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)(\mathbb{C})_{*} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the parabolic line bundle associated to the parabolic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-bundle $E_{H}\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$.
Henceforth, $G$ will be assumed to be a reductive group.
A closed connected subgroup $P$ of $G$ is called a parabolic subgroup if $G / P$ is complete. Note that we allow $G$ to be a parabolic subgroup of itself. The unipotent radical of $P$ will be denoted by $R_{u}(P)$. A Levi subgroup of $G$ is a connected reductive subgroup $H \subset G$ such that
(1) $H$ is contained in some parabolic subgroup $P$ of $G$, and
(2) $H$ projects isomorphically onto the Levi quotient $P / R_{u}(P)$ of the above parabolic subgroup $P$.
So Levi subgroups are precisely the centralizers of tori contained in $G$.
Theorem 4.1 Let $E_{G}$ be a parabolic $G$-bundle over the curve $X$, where $G$ is a complex reductive group. The parabolic $G$-bundle $E_{G}$ admits a holomorphic connection if and only if for every Levi subgroup $H \subset G$, for every holomorphic reduction of structure group $E_{H} \subset E_{G}$ to $H$, and for every character $\chi$ of $H$ the following holds:

$$
\operatorname{par}-\operatorname{deg}\left(E_{*}^{\chi}\right)=0
$$

where the parabolic line bundle $E_{*}^{\chi}$ is defined in (4.1) and the parabolic degree is defined in (2.3).

Proof Let $\theta$ be a holomorphic connection on the parabolic $G$-bundle $E_{G}$. Take a $\Gamma$ linearized principal $G$-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}$ on $Y$, as in Proposition 3.4, that corresponds to $E_{G}$. Let $\theta^{\prime}$ be the $\Gamma$-connection on $E_{G}^{\prime}$ corresponding to $\theta$ by Proposition 3.4.

Take a Levi subgroup $H \subset G$ and a reduction of structure group $E_{H} \subset E_{G}$ as in the statement of the theorem. Let

$$
E_{H}^{\prime}=q^{-1}\left(E_{H}\right) \subset E_{G}^{\prime}
$$

be the corresponding reduction of structure group of $E_{G}^{\prime}$ to $H$, where $q$ is the projection defined in (3.5).

Since $H$ is a complex reductive group, any exact sequence of finite dimensional complex $H$-modules splits. Consider the inclusion of $H$-modules $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{b}$ ) is the Lie algebra of $G$ (respectively, $H$ ), and $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}$ are considered as $H$-modules using the adjoint action of $H$. Fix a splitting

$$
\beta: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}
$$

of this inclusion of $H$-modules. The connection $\theta^{\prime}$ and $\beta$ combine together to give a $\Gamma$-connection of $E_{H}^{\prime}$; the connection on $E_{H}^{\prime}$ is constructed exactly as done in the second part of Proposition 3.2. Let $\theta_{H}^{\prime}$ denote this connection on $E_{H}^{\prime}$.

As before, $E_{H}\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ denotes the parabolic $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-bundle obtained by extending the structure group of $E_{H}$ using the character $\chi$ of $H$. Note that $E_{H}\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ corresponds to the $\Gamma$-linearized principal $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-bundle $E_{H}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ over $Y$ obtained by extending the structure group of the principal $H$-bundle $E_{H}^{\prime}$ using the character $\chi$ of $H$. The parabolic line bundle $E_{*}^{\chi}$ defined in (4.1) corresponds to the $\Gamma$-linearized line bundle $E_{H}^{\prime}(\mathbb{C})$ over $Y$ associated to the principal $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-bundle $E_{H}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ for the standard action of $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ on $\mathbb{C}$. (See $[\mathrm{Bi}]$ for the correspondence between parabolic vector bundles and the $\Gamma$-linearized vector bundles.)

The holomorphic connection $\theta_{H}^{\prime}$ on $E_{H}^{\prime}$ constructed above induces a connection on $E_{H}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ which in turn induces a holomorphic connection on the line bundle $E_{H}^{\prime}(\mathbb{C})$ over $Y$. We conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{degree}\left(E_{H}^{\prime}(\mathbb{C})\right)=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $E_{H}^{\prime}(\mathbb{C})$ admits a holomorphic connection; see [At].
On the other hand, we have

$$
\# \Gamma \cdot \operatorname{par}-\operatorname{deg}\left(E_{*}^{\chi}\right)=\operatorname{degree}\left(E_{H}^{\prime}(\mathbb{C})\right)
$$

[ Bi, (3.12)], where $\# \Gamma$ is the order of the finite group $\Gamma$. Therefore, (4.2) gives that $\operatorname{par}-\operatorname{deg}\left(E_{*}^{\chi}\right)=0$.

To prove the converse, let $E_{G}$ be a parabolic $G$-bundle over $X$ satisfying the condition that $\operatorname{par}-\operatorname{deg}\left(E_{*}^{\chi}\right)=0$ for all $H, E_{H}$ and $\chi$ as in the statement of the theorem.

Let $E_{G}^{\prime}$ be a $\Gamma$-linearized principal $G$-bundle over $Y$ as in Proposition 3.4 such that $E_{G}=E_{G}^{\prime} / \Gamma$. Using Proposition 3.4 it suffices to show that $E_{G}^{\prime}$ admits a $\Gamma$-connection.

Assume that $E_{G}^{\prime}$ admits a holomorphic connection. Let

$$
\theta^{\prime} \in H^{0}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}, \Omega_{E_{G}^{\prime}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}\right)
$$

be a form as in (3.3) defining a holomorphic connection on $E_{G}^{\prime}$. Consider the g -valued one-form

$$
\theta^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma^{*} \theta}{\# \Gamma}
$$

on the total space of $E_{G}^{\prime}$, where $\gamma^{*} \theta$ is the pullback of $\theta$ by the automorphism of $E_{G}^{\prime}$ defined by the action of $\gamma$ on $E_{G}^{\prime}$. It is easy to see that the form $\theta^{\prime \prime}$ is left invariant by the action of $\Gamma$ on $E_{G}^{\prime}$. Hence $\theta^{\prime \prime}$ defines a $\Gamma$-connection on $E_{G}^{\prime}$.

Therefore, to complete the proof of the theorem it suffices to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2 Let $E_{G}^{\prime}$ be a $\Gamma$-linearized principal $G$-bundle over $Y$ such that for every Levi subgroup $H \subset G$, for every $\Gamma$-invariant holomorphic reduction of structure group $E_{H}^{\prime} \subset E_{G}^{\prime}$ to $H$, and for every character $\chi$ of $H$ the following holds:

$$
\operatorname{degree}\left(E_{H}^{\prime}(\mathbb{C})\right)=0
$$

where $E_{H}^{\prime}(\mathbb{C})=\left(E_{H}^{\prime} \times(\mathbb{C}) / H\right.$ is the line bundle over $Y$ associated to the principal $H$-bundle $E_{H}^{\prime}$ for the character $\chi$. Then the principal $G$-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}$ admits a holomorphic connection.

Proof To prove the lemma, we first recall that $E_{G}^{\prime}$ admits a holomorphic connection if and only if the Atiyah exact sequence (3.4) splits holomorphically. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau \in H^{1}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right) \otimes K_{Y}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the obstruction class for holomorphic splitting of (3.4), where $K_{Y}$ is the holomorphic cotangent bundle of $Y$.

Since $G$ is reductive, its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form which is left invariant by the adjoint action of $G$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. In other words, $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ as $G$-modules. Fix such a $G$-invariant bilinear form. This gives an isomorphism of vector bundles $\operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right) \cong \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)^{*}$. Now using Serre duality, the cohomology class $\tau$ in (4.3) corresponds to an element

$$
\tau^{\prime} \in H^{0}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{*}
$$

Since the $G$-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}$ is $\Gamma$-linearized, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{\prime} \in\left(H^{0}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{*}\right)^{\Gamma} \subset H^{0}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{*} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(H^{0}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{*}\right)^{\Gamma} \subset H^{0}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{*}$ is the space of invariants for the induced action of $\Gamma$ on $H^{0}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{*}$.

Note that for any finite dimensional complex $\Gamma$-module $V$, the restriction homomorphism

$$
f_{V}:\left(V^{*}\right)^{\Gamma} \rightarrow\left(V^{\Gamma}\right)^{*}
$$

is an isomorphism, where $V^{\Gamma} \subset V$ (respectively, $\left(V^{*}\right)^{\Gamma} \subset V^{*}$ ) is the space of all $\Gamma$-invariants. Indeed, for any nontrivial irreducible $\Gamma$-submodule $V_{1} \subset V$ we have
$\omega\left(V_{1}\right)=0$, where $\omega \in\left(V^{*}\right)^{\Gamma}$; hence $f_{V}$ is injective. To prove that $f_{V}$ is surjective, extend any functional $\omega \in\left(V^{\mathrm{\Gamma}}\right)^{*}$ to $V$ by defining it to be zero on any nontrivial irreducible $\Gamma$-submodule of $V$.

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{\prime} \in\left(H^{0}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\Gamma}\right)^{*}=\left(H^{0}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{*}\right)^{\Gamma} \subset H^{0}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{*} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau^{\prime}$ is constructed in (4.4).
Take any invariant section

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi \in H^{0}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\Gamma} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fibers of the Lie algebra bundle $\operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)$ over $Y$ are isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$. Consider the Jordan decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\phi_{s}+\phi_{n} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $\phi$ in (4.6). So

$$
\phi_{s}, \phi_{n} \in H^{0}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

and for any closed point $y \in Y$, the element

$$
\phi_{s}(y) \in \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)_{y}
$$

(respectively, $\left.\phi_{n}(y) \in \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)_{y}\right)$ is semisimple (respectively, nilpotent) with

$$
\left[\phi_{s}(y), \phi_{n}(y)\right]=0
$$

see [Bo, 4.4] for Jordan decomposition.
Note that from the uniqueness of the Jordan decomposition it follows immediately that

$$
\phi_{n}, \phi_{s} \in H^{0}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\Gamma}
$$

(recall that $\left.\phi \in H^{0}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\Gamma}\right)$.
Proposition 3.9 of $[A B]$ says that

$$
\tau^{\prime}\left(\phi_{n}\right)=0
$$

where $\tau^{\prime}$ is constructed in (4.5).
So to prove the lemma it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{\prime}\left(\phi_{s}\right)=0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $H \subset G$ is a Levi subgroup and $H_{1} \subset H$ a Levi subgroup of the reductive group $H$, then $H_{1}$ is a Levi subgroup of $G$. Indeed, this follows from the fact that if $Z_{0}\left(H_{1}\right)$ is the connected component of the center of $H_{1}$ containing the identity element, then the centralizer of $Z_{0}\left(H_{1}\right)$ in $H$ coincides with the intersection of $H$ and the centralizer of $Z_{0}\left(H_{1}\right)$ in $G$.

Using this property, we may assume that the $\Gamma$-linearized principal $G$-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}$ does not admit any $\Gamma$-invariant reduction of structure group to any proper Levi subgroup of $G$. Indeed, if $E_{G}^{\prime}$ admits a $\Gamma$-invariant reduction of structure group $E_{G_{1}} \subset E_{G}$ to a proper Levi subgroup $G_{1} \subset G$, then we can replace $G$ by $G_{1}$ and $E_{G}$ by $E_{G_{1}}$ in the lemma; note that a holomorphic connection on $E_{G_{1}}$ induces a holomorphic connection on $E_{G}$. Repeating this inductively we finally obtain a $\Gamma$-invariant reduction of structure group to a Levi subgroup which does not admit any further $\Gamma$-invariant reduction to a proper Levi subgroup.

Therefore, we assume that the $\Gamma$-linearized principal $G$-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}$ does not admit any $\Gamma$-invariant reduction of structure group to any proper Levi subgroup of $G$.

Let $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the center of the Lie algebra. Since $G$ acts trivially on $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$, the adjoint vector bundle $\operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)$ has a trivial subbundle with fibers identified with $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$. Therefore, there is a natural injective homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta: \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\Gamma} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show that the given condition that the $\Gamma$-linearized principal $G$-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}$ does not admit any $\Gamma$-invariant reduction of structure group to any proper Levi subgroup of $G$ implies that the section $\phi_{s}($ in (4.7)) is in the image of the homomorphism $\delta$ constructed in (4.9).

Let $q_{\mathfrak{g}}: E_{G}^{\prime} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)$ be the natural quotient map. Let

$$
p^{1}: E_{G}^{\prime} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow E_{G}^{\prime}
$$

be the projection to the first factor of the Cartesian product. For the section $\phi_{s}$ in (4.7) consider

$$
Z\left(\phi_{s}\right):=p^{1}\left(q_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\left(\phi_{s}(Y)\right)\right) \subset E_{G}^{\prime}
$$

where $q_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $p^{1}$ are defined above. It is easy to see that this subvariety $Z\left(\phi_{s}\right) \subset E_{G}^{\prime}$ defines a reduction of structure group of $E_{G}^{\prime}$ to a Levi subgroup of $G$, and furthermore, the Levi subgroup is proper if

$$
\phi_{s} \notin \delta(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})),
$$

where $\delta$ is constructed in (4.9) (see [BP] for the details).
Therefore, there is $\omega \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{s}=\delta(\omega) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{s}^{*}=H^{0}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right)^{\Gamma} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the section defined by $\phi_{s}$ using the isomorphism $\operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)^{*} \cong \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)$ (recall that by fixing a $G$-invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ we obtained an isomorphism of $\operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\left.\operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right)$.

For a character $\chi^{\prime}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ of $G$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \chi^{\prime}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the homomorphism of Lie algebras defined by the differential of $\chi^{\prime}$ at $e \in G$.
From (4.10) if follows that there are characters $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}, \ldots, \chi_{k}$ of $G$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{s}^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} \mathrm{~d} \chi_{i} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{s}^{*}$ (respectively, $\mathrm{d} \chi_{i}$ ) is defined in (4.11) (respectively, (4.12)) and $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$; the above integer $k$ can be taken to be $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{3}(\mathfrak{g})$. Indeed, this follows immediately from the fact that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ decomposes as

$$
\mathfrak{g}=[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \oplus \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})
$$

Take any character $\chi^{\prime}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ of $G$. Let

$$
E_{G}^{\prime}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)=\frac{E_{G}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{C}_{\chi^{\prime}}}{G}
$$

be the line bundle over $Y$ associated to the $G$-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}$ for the $G$-module $\mathbb{C}_{\chi^{\prime}}$ (the $G$-module defined by the action of $G$ on $\left(\mathbb{C}\right.$ through $\chi^{\prime}$ is denoted by $\left(\mathbb{C}_{\chi^{\prime}}\right)$. The Atiyah obstruction class $\tau$ in (4.3) is compatible with the extension of structure group of a principal bundle. In other words, for any homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ of algebraic groups, the Atiyah obstruction class for the principal $G^{\prime}$-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ obtained by extending the structure group of $E_{G}^{\prime}$ using $\rho$ coincides with the image of $\tau$ (defined in $(4.3))$ in $H^{1}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right) \otimes K_{Y}\right)$ by the homomorphism

$$
H^{1}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right) \otimes K_{Y}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right) \otimes K_{Y}\right)
$$

constructed using $\rho$. Using this observation it is straightforward to check that the following identity holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \cdot \operatorname{degree}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left\langle\mathrm{d} \chi^{\prime}, \tau\right\rangle \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{d} \chi^{\prime}$ (respectively, $\tau$ ) is defined in (4.12) (respectively, (4.3)) and $\langle-,-\rangle$ is the Serre duality pairing $H^{0}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right) \otimes H^{1}\left(Y, \operatorname{ad}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\right) \otimes K_{Y}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Since the given condition in the statement of the lemma says that

$$
\operatorname{degree}\left(E_{G}^{\prime}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right)=0
$$

for all character $\chi^{\prime}$, the equality (4.8) follows from (4.13) and (4.14). This completes the proof of the lemma.

We already noted that Lemma 4.2 completes the proof of Theorem 4.1. Therefore, the proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.

## 5 Einstein-Hermitian Connection on Stable Parabolic Bundles

As before, $\psi: E_{G} \rightarrow X$ is a parabolic $G$-bundle with $G$ a reductive group.
A complex connection on $E_{G}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ form, with values in $\mathfrak{g}$, of Hodge type (1, 1) on the total space of $E_{G}$

$$
\theta \in C^{\infty}\left(E_{G}, \Omega_{E_{G}}^{1,0} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}\right)
$$

such that
(1) for each point $x \in X$ the restriction $\left.\theta\right|_{\left(E_{G}\right)_{x}}$ to the fiber $\left(E_{G}\right)_{x}$ coincides with the Maurer-Cartan form $\omega_{x}$ defined in (3.1), and
(2) $\theta$ intertwines the action of $G$ on $E_{G}$ and the adjoint action of $G$ on $\mathfrak{g}$, or, in other words, $\theta$ is equivariant for the actions of $G$.
(See [KN, p. 64, Proposition 1.1].)
Note that if the above form $\theta$ defining a complex connection is a holomorphic form, then it defines a holomorphic connection on $E_{G}$. In other words, holomorphic connections are a special case of complex connections.

Given a complex connection form $\theta$, the $\mathfrak{g}$-valued two-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(\theta):=\mathrm{d} \theta+\frac{1}{2}[\theta, \theta] \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is known as the curvature of $\theta$ (see [KN, p. 77, Theorem 5.2]).
Since $\theta$ is of Hodge type $(1,0)$, it follows immediately that the curvature form $\Omega(\theta)$ is a sum of a $(2,0)$-form and a $(1,1)$-form. We will show that $\Omega(\theta)$ is of Hodge type $(1,1)$, that is, the $(2,0)$ Hodge type component vanishes.

Since the connection form $\theta$ is $G$-equivariant, it follows immediately that the curvature form $\Omega(\theta)$ defined in (5.1) is also $G$-equivariant. Since a Maurer-Cartan form $\omega$ satisfies the identity

$$
\mathrm{d} \omega+\frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega]=0
$$

it follows that the curvature form $\Omega(\theta)$ is given by a $G$-equivariant smooth section of $\left(\bigwedge^{2} N\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}$, where $N$ is the normal bundle to the orbits for the action of $G$ on $E_{G}$. Over the complement $\psi^{-1}(X \backslash D) \subset E_{G}$, the normal bundle $N$ is identified with $\psi^{*} T^{\mathbb{R}} X$, where $T^{\mathbb{R}} X$ is the real tangent bundle over $X$; the isomorphism is given by the differential of $\psi$. Now, the projection $\psi$ is holomorphic and $X$ does not admit any nonzero form of Hodge type $(2,0)$. Consequently, $\Omega(\theta)$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued form on $E_{G}$ of Hodge type $(1,1)$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(\theta)=\bar{\partial} \theta \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A complex connection $\theta$ is called flat if the curvature $\Omega(\theta)$ defined in (5.1) vanishes identically.

From (5.2) it follows immediately that the vanishing of $\Omega(\theta)$ is equivalent to form $\theta$ being holomorphic. Therefore, a flat complex connection on $E_{G}$ is the same as a holomorphic connection on $E_{G}$.

Fix a maximal compact subgroup

$$
K(G) \subset G
$$

As in (2.8), let $q_{K(G)}: E_{G} / K(G) \rightarrow X$ be the quotient map for the action of $G$ on $E_{G}$. Take a smooth section $\sigma$ of $q_{K(G)}$. So

$$
\sigma: X \rightarrow E_{G} / K(G)
$$

is a $C^{\infty}$ map and $q_{K(G)} \circ \sigma$ is the identity map of $X$. As in Definition 2.1, such a section $\sigma$ will be called a $C^{\infty}$ reduction of structure group of $E_{G}$ to $K(G)$ provided for each point $x \in X$ the projection $q_{K(G)}$ is a submersion at $\sigma(x) \in E_{G} / K(G)$.

For a section $\sigma$ of the projection $q_{K(G)}$ consider

$$
q_{K(G)}^{-1}(\sigma(X)) \subset E_{G}
$$

The section $\sigma$ gives a reduction of structure group of $E_{G}$ to $K(G)$ if and only if for each point $p_{i} \in D$ and any point $z \in q_{K(G)}^{-1}\left(\sigma\left(p_{i}\right)\right)$, the isotropy subgroup $G_{z} \subset G$ (for the action of $G$ on $E_{G}$ ) is contained in the compact subgroup $K(G)$. (See the comments following Definition 2.1.)

Take a reduction of structure group $\sigma: X \rightarrow E_{G} / K(G)$ of $E_{G}$ to $K(G)$. Set

$$
Z(K(G)):=q_{K(G)}^{-1}(\sigma(X)) \subset E_{G}
$$

There is a unique complex connection $\theta$ on $E_{G}$ satisfying the following condition: for each point $z \in Z(K(G))$, the kernel of the homomorphism

$$
\theta(z): T_{z} E_{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}
$$

is contained in the subspace

$$
T_{z}^{\mathbb{R}} Z(K(G))-\sqrt{-1} J(z) T_{z}^{\mathbb{R}} Z(K(G)) \subset T_{z} E_{G}
$$

where $T^{\mathbb{R}}$ is the real tangent space and $J(z)$ is the almost complex structure of $E_{G}$ at the point $z$; here $T_{z}^{\mathbb{R}} Z(K(G))-\sqrt{-1} J(z) T_{z}^{\mathbb{R}} Z(K(G))$ denotes the space of all tangent vectors of $(1,0)$ type, that is, tangent vectors of the form $w-\sqrt{-1} J(z)(w)$, where $w \in T_{z}^{\mathbb{R}} Z(K(G))$.

The above assertion is a reformulation of [Ko, Proposition 4.9, p. 11].
Definition 5.1 A unitary connection on a parabolic $G$-bundle $E_{G}$ is a complex connection $\theta$ on $E_{G}$ such that there is a reduction $\sigma$ of structure group of $E_{G}$ to the maximal compact subgroup $K(G)$ with the property that the complex connection on $E_{G}$ corresponding to $\sigma$ coincides with $\theta$.

We will now recall from [BBN2] the definition of a (semi)stable parabolic Gbundle.

A parabolic $G$-bundle $E_{G}$ over $X$ is called stable (respectively, semistable) if for any reduction of structure group $E_{H}$ of $E_{G}$ to any proper parabolic subgroup $H \subset G$ and for every nontrivial antidominant character $\chi$ of $H$ trivial on the center of $G$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\operatorname{par}-\operatorname{deg}\left(E_{*}^{\chi}\right)>0
$$

(respectively, $\operatorname{par}-\operatorname{deg}\left(E_{*}^{\chi}\right) \geq 0$ ), where $E_{*}^{\chi}$ is the parabolic line bundle over $X$ constructed in (4.1) from $E_{H}$ and $\chi$.

A semistable parabolic $G$-bundle $E_{G}$ is called polystable if there is a Levi subgroup $H \subset G$ and a reduction of structure group $E_{H} \subset E_{G}$ of $E_{G}$ to $H$ such that
(1) the parabolic $H$-bundle $E_{H}$ is stable, and
(2) for every character $\chi$ of $H$ trivial on the center of $G$ the associated parabolic line bundle $E_{*}^{\chi}$ (constructed in (4.1)) is of parabolic degree zero.
See [BBN2, p. 134, Definition 3.13] for the above definitions. These definitions were modeled on [Ra].

Theorem 5.2 Let $E_{G}$ be a parabolic $G$-bundle over $X$, where $G$ is a connected semisimple linear algebraic group over $\left(\mathbb{C}\right.$. The parabolic $G$-bundle $E_{G}$ admits a flat unitary connection if and only if $E_{G}$ is polystable.

Proof Assume that $E_{G}$ is polystable. Take a $\Gamma$-linearized principal $G$-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}$ over $Y$ such that $E_{G}$ corresponds to $E_{G}^{\prime}$ (see [BBN2, Theorem 3.7]). From [BBN2, Theorem 3.14] and [BBN1, Proposition 4.1] it follows that the given condition that $E_{G}$ is polystable implies that $E_{G}^{\prime}$ is polystable. Consequently, the $G$-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}$ admits a unitary holomorphic $\Gamma$-connection [BBN1, Proposition 4.7]; recall that a holomorphic connection on a bundle over a curve is the same as a flat complex connection. Now using Proposition 3.4 it follows immediately that $E_{G}$ admits a flat unitary connection.

For the converse direction, assume that $E_{G}$ admits a flat unitary connection. Therefore, the $G$-bundle $E_{G}^{\prime}$ over $Y$ admits a unitary holomorphic connection (Proposition 3.4). Hence $E_{G}^{\prime}$ is polystable [RS, Theorem 1]. From this it follows that $E_{G}$ is polystable (see [BBN2, Theorem 3.14]). This completes the proof of the theorem.
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