Research Brief



Central-line team effort: Recognizing insertion-site concerns in nursing homes

Kristine P. Nguyen BS¹, Raveena D. Singh MA¹, Raheeb Saavedra AS¹, Shruti K. Gohil MD MPH¹, John T. Billimek PhD², Steven P. Tam MD³, Karl E. Steinberg MD⁴, Lori Porter⁵ and Susan S. Huang MD, MPH¹

¹Division of Infectious Diseases, University of California, Irvine School of Medicine, Irvine, California, USA, ²Department of Family Medicine, University of California, Irvine School of Medicine, Irvine, California, Irvine School of Medicine, Irvine, California, USA, ³Division of Geriatrics and Gerontology, University of California, Irvine School of Medicine, Irvine, California, USA, ⁴Shiley Haynes Institute for Palliative Care, California State University, San Marcos, San Marcos, California, USA and ⁵National Association of Health Care Assistants. Carl Junction, Missouri, USA

Central-line–associated bloodstream infections are a major cause of preventable healthcare-associated infections, resulting in an attributable mortality of 12%–25%.^{1,2} Initiatives to combat these infections have been hospital focused; however, efforts in long-term care settings are critically needed. Due to advanced age and comorbidities, nursing home (NH) residents are particularly vulnerable to device-related infections.^{3–5}

Standardized monitoring of medical devices is important for proper device care, maintenance, and prevention of infection.^{6,7} Recognizing problematic elements at central-line insertion sites is an important responsibility that requires a team effort for certified nursing assistants (CNAs), licensed vocational nurses (LVNs), and registered nurses (RNs). The common sentiment among NH staff is that central-line care is not encompassed within the CNA role. Although CNAs are not directly responsible for assessing central lines, they are often the first line of defense for noticing and relaying problems because of the greater amount of time they spend with residents. We assessed how well CNAs, LVNs, and RNs were able to identify problematic central-line insertion sites in NHs.

Methods

A regional Quality Assurance/Performance Improvement (QAPI) program supported by the University of California Irvine was conducted in 8 Orange County, California, NHs to assess attention to central lines (Table 1). Each NH's Quality Assurance Committee approved the program, and the study was exempt from approval from the institutional review boards. At each NH, a convenience sample of central lines with varying degrees of problematic elements was identified using the Central-Line Insertion Site Assessment (CLISA) score.⁶ Scores ranging from 0 to 3 (increasing score indicates increased severity of local inflammation or infection) were sampled. Study staff used a standardized observation

Corresponding author: Kristine Nguyen; Email: kristinenguyen2000@gmail.com

PREVIOUS PRESENTATION. These data were presented as a poster abstract at The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA) 2023 Spring Conference on April 14, 2023, in Seattle, Washington.

Cite this article: Nguyen KP, et al. (2023). Central-line team effort: Recognizing insertion-site concerns in nursing homes. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology, 44: 1887–1889, https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2023.165

Table 1.	Mean	Characteristics	of 8	Orange	Count	y NHs	Samp	led
----------	------	-----------------	------	--------	-------	-------	------	-----

Characteristic	Mean (Standard Deviation)				
No. of licensed beds	108 (33.8)				
Overall CMS star rating ^a	4.1 (1.1)				
Mean age	54.0 (11.9)				
% Female	78.4 (4.7)				
% White	21.3 (16.7)				
% Black	29.2 (18.3)				
% Asian	2.7 (1.5)				
% Hispanic	61.9 (24.3)				
% Medicare	20.6 (19.6)				
% Medicaid	15.5 (11.0)				
% Diabetes	39.1 (9.2)				
% Cancer	11.0 (2.1)				
% Renal disease	23.9 (3.5)				
% Liver disease	2.5 (1.4)				

^aCMS, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, https://www.medicare.gov/care-compare/.

form (online appendix) to evaluate redness, cloudy drainage, peeling dressings, and past-due or undated dressings, and assign a CLISA score.

The CNAs and LVNs/RNs across multiple shifts were shown residents' devices and were asked to comment on any problems and/or concerns they observed. Staff were also asked open-ended questions about the characteristics of a "picture-perfect line," the recommended frequency of central-line observations and dressing changes, and the proper response to peeling dressings or signs of inflammation or infection. The percentages of failure to recognize problematic elements were tabulated for CNAs and LVNs/RNs separately.

Results

Across the 8 NHs, 23 central lines were selected and directly observed by up to 6 CNAs and 6 LVNs/RNs each. In total, 50

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.





Figure 1. Bar graphs illustrating the frequency of failures to recognize problems at central-line insertion sites. The left panel displays the percentages of failures for all insertion sites observed. Percentages of failure to recognize peeling dressings and lapses in dating dressings were the highest. The right panel stratifies the percentages of failure to recognize redness and cloudy drainage by degree of severity. Recognition of problematic elements improved with more substantial severity.

CNAs (NH range, 3–12) and 50 LVNs/RNs (NH range, 4–9) observed "picture-perfect" lines (N = 7) and lines with redness (N = 8), cloudy drainage (N=5), peeling dressings (N = 7), and inappropriately dated dressings (N=13). Failure to identify problematic elements was frequent (Fig. 1), including failure to identify the following: redness [23 (50%) of 46 CNAs and 19 (53%) of 36 LVNs/RNs], cloudy drainage [14 (40%) of 35 CNAs and 7 (39%) of 18 LVNs/RNs], peeling dressings [26 (100%) of 26 CNAs and 25 (87%) of 29 LVNs/RNs], and inappropriately dated dressings [30 (71%) of 42 CNAs and 13 (68%) of 19 LVNs/RNs].

For both CNAs and LVNs/RNs, recognition of redness and cloudy drainage improved with increased severity. Failure to recognize minimal erythema [10 (83%) of 12 CNAs, 7 (58%) of 12 LVNs/RNs)] was higher than failure to recognize substantial erythema [13 (54%) of 24 CNAs and 12 (50%) of 24 LVNs/RNs] (P = .14 for CNAs and P = .73 for LVNs/RNs). Similarly, failure to recognize minimal cloudy drainage [4 (67%) of 6 CNAs and 2 (50%) of 4 LVNs/RNs) was higher than failure to recognize substantial cloudy drainage [10 (42%) of 24 CNAs and 5 (36%) of 14 LVNs/RNs] (P = .57 for CNAs and P = .08 for LVNs/RNs). None of these differences were statistically significant according to the Fisher exact test.

Overall, identification of problematic elements did not vary by whether the staff member was assigned to care for that resident. Descriptions of "picture-perfect lines" and responses to questions about standard care and best practices were uniformly poor, and nursing home staff did not know which elements to mention.

Discussion

Regular surveillance of central lines is a core infection prevention strategy to promote early detection and response to issues that could increase risk for central-line–associated bloodstream infections. Timely response can mitigate high-risk events.^{6,7} Visual observation of central-line insertion sites is one key way to assure basic practice, but education is needed to ensure that observers are trained to detect relevant problems that should prompt an LVN/RN to take action or prompt a CNA to inform an LVN/RN to assess the line.

Our observations showed that failure to recognize redness, cloudy drainage, peeling dressings, and lapses in dressing change dates was extremely common for CNAs and LVNs/RNs in all NHs surveyed. Furthermore, when stratifying central-line insertion sites by severity (eg, minimal versus substantial erythema and/or cloudy drainage), nursing staff identification of problematic elements improved only minimally for higher-severity insertion sites. Directed training could enable proper recognition and response as well as encourage teamwork.

This study had several limitations. The sample size of NH staff in a localized region was small. Nevertheless, NHs differed in size and proportion of short- to long-stay residents and were variably affiliated with corporations.

Our findings suggest a need for standardized training in NHs to provide clinical staff with the necessary skills to identify central-line problems that commonly arise. First, opportunities exist to train on the key elements of a "picture-perfect line" and ensure a culture of safety and speaking up so that problematic issues are raised and addressed. Second, training is needed to change perceived acceptable thresholds for concern (the line site is "a little red," the dressing change is "a little late," there is "a little bit of cloudy drainage"). Providing criteria and expectations can ensure a shared understanding of proper care for both minimal and substantial erythema and cloudy drainage. Lastly, ensuring comprehensive training and expectations about central-line responsibilities (ie, CNAs speak up about potential problems and LVNs/RNs assess and respond) can cultivate improved communication and teamwork between nursing home staff.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2023.165

Acknowledgments.

Financial support. This work was funded by public health funds from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (NU50CK000614-01-00 to S. Huang).

Competing interests. R.D.S., R.S., and S.S.H. have conducted studies in hospitals and nursing homes in which participants received contributed topical antiseptic products from Medline and Xttrium. Companies had no role in the design, conduct, analysis, or publication of these studies.

References

 Khong CJ, Baggs J, Kleinbaum D. The likelihood of hospital readmission among patients with hospital-onset central-line-associated bloodstream infections. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2015;36:886–892.

- Stevens V, Geiger K, Concannon C. Inpatient costs, mortality and 30-day readmission in patients with central-line–associated bloodstream infections. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2014;20:O318–O324.
- Smith PW, Bennett G, Bradley S; SHEA; APIC. SHEA/APIC guideline: infection prevention and control in the long-term care facility, July 2008. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2008;29:785–814.
- Chami K, Gavazzi G, Carrat F, *et al.* Burden of infections among 44,869 elderly in nursing homes: a cross-sectional cluster nationwide survey. *J Hosp Infect* 2011;79:254–259.
- Strausbaugh LJ, Sukumar SR, Joseph CL. Infectious disease outbreaks in nursing homes: an unappreciated hazard for frail elderly persons. *Clin Infect Dis* 2003;36:870–876.
- Gohil SK, Yim J, Quan K, et al. Impact of a Central-Line Insertion Site Assessment (CLISA) score on localized insertion-site infection to prevent central-line-associated bloodstream infection (CLABSI). *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2020;41:59–66.
- Kobayashi T, Clore GS, Kukla ME, et al. Insertion site inflammation was associated with central-line–associated bloodstream infections at a tertiary-care center, 2015–2018. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2021;42:348–350.

Impact of surgical approach on development of surgical-site infection following internal mammary-artery, coronary-artery bypass graft procedures

Polly H. van den Berg MD¹ ⁽⁶⁾, Baevin Fesser MPH², Venkatachalam Senthilnathan MBBS³, Kamal R. Khabbaz MD³ and Sharon B Wright MD, MPH^{2,4}

¹Division of Infectious Diseases, Pennsylvania Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, ²Division of Infection Prevention, Beth Israel Lahey Health, Cambridge, Massachusetts, ³Division of Cardiac Surgery, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts and ⁴Division of Infectious Diseases, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts

Deep incisional and organ-space surgical-site infections (SSIs) are infrequent but serious complications of coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) procedures. Arterial revascularization using internal mammary artery (IMA) grafts is associated with improved cardiac outcomes.¹ The use of bilateral IMA (BIMA) grafting redirects sternal blood flow to the heart and may increase SSI risk due to lower sternal tissue perfusion. A skeletonized approach to IMA vessel harvest, wherein the IMA is dissected from surrounding tissue preserving collateral sternal blood flow, may decrease SSI risk compared with a pedicled approach, in which the IMA is mobilized within a tissue pedicle. It remains unclear whether the use of BIMA grafting compared with the use of a single IMA (SIMA) is an independent risk factor for SSI. It is also uncertain whether surgical approach to graft harvest, skeletonized versus pedicled, affects SSI development. Conflicting results have been reported on the impact of BIMA grafting and harvest technique on development of SSI.²⁻⁵ In this study, we described the incidence of post-CABG SSI and assessed potential patient and procedural SSI risk factors, including IMA number and harvest technique.

Methods

We conducted a retrospective cohort study of consecutive adult patients who underwent a CABG procedure with at least 1 IMA graft at an academic tertiary-care center between July 2017 and June 2020, identified through the institution's Society of Thoracic Surgeons database maintained by the Division of Cardiac Surgery. Data were electronically abstracted from hospital data records including demographics, comorbidities, graft number, surgical approach, surgeon, discharge location, and microbiological culture reports. Deep incisional and organ-space SSIs post-CABG within 90 days of procedure date were identified by infection preventionists using standard National Healthcare Safety Network definitions as part of routine surveillance. We calculated the incidence of post-CABG deep incisional and organ-space SSI. Bivariate analyses were performed using the Fisher exact test to identify potential patient and procedural risk factors for SSI, including surgical approach. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). All reported *P* values are 2-sided. This study was deemed exempt from review by the institutional review board.

Results

Overall, 1,591 CABG procedures with at least 1 IMA graft were performed; 550, 561, and 480 procedures were performed in each respective year of the study period. Furthermore, 1,244 CABGs (78.2%) were performed with a single IMA and 347 (21.8%) were performed using BIMA. In terms of surgical technique, 322 (92.8%) of BIMA CABGs were skeletonized versus 219 (17.6%) of SIMA CABGs. The baseline patient risk factors (Table 1) did not differ between IMA groups, except the SIMA group was more likely to meet criteria for extreme obesity (BMI \ge 40 kg/m²; 5.3% vs 2.0%; *P* = .008).

Overall, 19 deep incisional and organ-space SSIs occurred during the study period. The overall post-CABG SSI incidence rate was 1.2 per 100 procedures, with 1.0 and 1.7 SSIs per 100 procedures following SIMA and BIMA approaches, respectively. Over the 3-year study period, the proportion of CABG procedures using

Corresponding author: Polly H. van den Berg, MD, Pennsylvania Hospital, 301 South 8th Street, Suite 1B, Philadelphia, PA 19106. E-mail: polly.vandenberg@pennmedicine. upenn.edu

Cite this article: van den Berg PH, et al. (2023). Impact of surgical approach on development of surgical-site infection following internal mammary-artery, coronaryartery bypass graft procedures. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology, 44: 1889–1890, https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2023.88

[©] The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.