

Original Article

Cite this article: Desutter-Grandcolas L, Josse H, Laurent M, Campos LD, Hugel S, Soriano C, Nel A, and Perrichot V (2023) New Cretaceous crickets of the subfamilies Nemobiinae and Podoscirtinae (Orthoptera, Grylloidea: Trigonidiidae, Oecanthidae) attest the antiquity of these clades. *Geological Magazine* **160**: 927–940. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016756823000055>

Received: 31 August 2022

Revised: 22 December 2022

Accepted: 16 January 2023

First published online: 3 April 2023

Keywords:




Insecta; Ensifera; amber; taxonomy; calibration; evolutionary studies

Author for correspondence:

Laure Desutter-Grandcolas,
Email: laure.desutter-grandcolas@mnhn.fr

Zoobank: [urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:07C66A8D-C03F-49B4-A937-8D6C36BEFCB0](https://zoobank.org/pub:07C66A8D-C03F-49B4-A937-8D6C36BEFCB0)

New Cretaceous crickets of the subfamilies Nemobiinae and Podoscirtinae (Orthoptera, Grylloidea: Trigonidiidae, Oecanthidae) attest the antiquity of these clades

Laure Desutter-Grandcolas¹ , Hugo Josse² , Marie Laurent², Lucas Denadai de Campos³, Sylvain Hugel⁴, Carmen Soriano⁵, André Nel¹ and Vincent Perrichot² 

¹Institut de Systématique, Evolution, Biodiversité (ISYEB), Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE, Université des Antilles, Paris, France; ²Institut de Géosciences, Université Rennes I, Rennes, France; ³Departamento de Zoologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; ⁴INCI, UPR 3212 CNRS, Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France and ⁵European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Grenoble, France

Abstract

Fossils are more and more used in phylogenetic evolutionary studies either for clade calibration, or as terminals in a dataset including morphological characters. The strength of these methodological advances relies however on the quality and completeness of the fossil record. For crickets (Insecta, Orthoptera, Gryllidea), few ancient (pre-Cenozoic) well-preserved fossils are known, except for isolated wings often classified in purely fossil groups and a few fossils found in Cretaceous amber. Here, we present two remarkable fossils from mid-Cretaceous amber of France, that were imaged using X-ray synchrotron microtomography and exhibit an exquisite preservation allowing description with a precision similar to that of extant taxa. *Palaeonemobius occidentalis* Laurent and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.**, **sp. nov.** and *Picogryllus carentonensis* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.**, **sp. nov.** are the oldest representatives of the Nemobiinae and Podoscirtinae subfamilies of the Trigonidiidae and Oecanthidae families respectively. *P. carentonensis* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.**, **sp. nov.** is also the smallest adult male with a full stridulatory apparatus ever documented in crickets (body length 3.3 mm), and the first taxon of the cricket clade for which male genitalia can be partly described. We discuss the significance of Cretaceous fossils of crickets for future evolutionary studies of this clade.

1. Introduction

While crickets (Orthoptera, Grylloidea) are commonly used as models in neurobiology (Pollack *et al.* 2016), bioacoustics (Gerhardt & Huber, 2002) and behavioural ecology (Gwynne & Morris, 1983; Choe & Crespi, 1997), their wide use in evolutionary studies has been prevented by the lack of well-supported phylogenetic analyses, and by a fragmented fossil record. Yet both are necessary to document character ancestral states and transformations, to test evolutionary hypotheses, or to relate clade and character evolution in response to environmental changes. Fossils are then used as calibration points or included in the data matrix as terminals (Jouault *et al.* 2021a, b).

1.a. Cricket phylogeny: a reference under construction

The cricket clade is well-supported within the suborder Ensifera by recent molecular studies (Song *et al.* 2015, 2020) and recognized as the infra-order Gryllidea (Cigliano *et al.* 2022a, b). At lower taxonomic scale, despite the availability of numerous taxonomic articles, very few references attest the monophyly of the different cricket groups and the inter- and intra- relationships. As a result, the present-day classification of crickets is far from avoiding para- or polyphyletic assemblages (B Warren *et al.*, 2019).

Chintauan-Marquier *et al.* (2013; 2016) proposed the first large-scale study of the infra-order Gryllidea, using 205 terminals and six molecular markers, and supporting the monophyly of two superfamilies (Gryllotalpoidea and Grylloidea), as long proposed for crickets; they recovered five clades within the Grylloidea (i.e. four families Mogoplistidae, Trigonidiidae, Phalangopsidae and Gryllidae, and the subfamily Pteroplistinae *incertae sedis*), while various classifications through time proposed from one to 12 different families. The upper classification derived from these analyses has since been adopted by the scientific community (Cigliano *et al.* 2022a).

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



Other phylogenetic studies of crickets mostly focused either on limited geographical scales, or on limited ingroups, e.g. subfamily Gryllidae Eneopterinae (Vicente *et al.* 2017 and references therein) or its tribes (Tan *et al.* 2021), the Neotropical Phalangopsidae genus *Eidmanacris* Chopard, 1956 (Campos *et al.* 2021), the Hawaiian trigonidiine genus *Nudilla* Gorochov, 1988 (Mendelson & Shaw, 2005, under the name *Laupala* Otte, 1994) or the eneopterine genus *Cardiodactylus* de Haan, 1844 (Dong *et al.* 2018); He *et al.* (2020), Shen *et al.* (2020) and Ding *et al.* (2021) studied the relationships of Chinese species of Trigonidiidae Trigonidiinae and Nemobiinae respectively. More recently, Campos *et al.* (2022) studied the phylogeny of clade F of Chintauan-Marquier *et al.* (2016), one of the two clades constitutive of the family Gryllidae, using both morphological and molecular characters in a worldwide perspective: these authors proposed to elevate clade F to family rank, i.e. the Oecanthidae, and propose a revised classification based on well-diagnosed monophyletic entities from family to tribes and genera (Campos *et al.* 2022): crickets are now distributed into five monophyletic families. Nevertheless, there is a strong deficiency of phylogenetic studies for crickets at a scale allowing the drawing of phylogeny-based classifications along with clade diagnosis (see Tan *et al.* 2021 and references therein for the Gryllidae Eneopterinae; Desutter-Grandcolas *et al.* 2021 for the Trigonidiidae; Campos *et al.* 2022 for the Oecanthidae).

This situation is made even more complicated by the variations of cricket classification through time and among the authors. For example, the name 'Gryllidae' has been used to designate as a family what other authors consider a superfamily (Grylloidea) or even an infra-order (Gryllidea), both of which include a restricted family called Gryllidae. In this general frame, the taxonomic positions of fossils described as 'Gryllidae', without precision, are particularly ambiguous.

1.b. The fossil record for crickets

In this frame, the current attributions of fossil crickets should be considered with caution. For example, the Cretaceous *Liaonemobius* Ren, 1998, which was described as a member of Trigonidiinae (Trigonidiidae) and long-listed in the Gryllidae s. str. (Cigliano *et al.* 2021), is actually not a cricket but belongs to the Elcanidae (Desutter-Grandcolas *et al.* 2021; Cigliano *et al.* 2022a, b). The Cretaceous *Gryllidium oweni* Westwood, 1854, originally considered as 'Gryllidae', proved to belong to Phasmatodea (Coram & Jepson, 2012).

Many cricket fossils have been reanalysed by different authors, and their status reconsidered, but their attribution to a suprageneric category is seldom well-argued in terms of clear synapomorphies. This has been performed for the Trigonidiidae family and its two subfamilies, i.e. the Nemobiinae and Trigonidiinae (Desutter-Grandcolas *et al.* 2021). In total, among the 12 fossils now listed for the Trigonidiidae, seven could be attributed to the Trigonidiinae (including *Birmaninemobius hirsutus* Xu *et al.*, 2020b as a representative of its stem group, although described as a Nemobiinae), two juveniles could be attributed to the Nemobiinae, and two could not be reasonably considered; *Curvospirus huzhengkun* Liu *et al.* 2022 clearly belongs to Trigonidiidae, but its morphological features do not allow it to be classified in either the Trigonidiinae, or the Nemobiinae, as it presents characters of both subfamilies in addition to original ones (Liu *et al.* 2022). Apart from a few representatives of morphologically well-characterized clades (such as the mole crickets

(Gryllotalpidae Gryllotalpidae; see Xu *et al.* 2022), the scaly crickets (Grylloidea Mogoplistidae Mogoplistinae; see Gorochov, 2010), the prognathous Oecanthidae Oecanthinae (see Yuan *et al.* 2022), or very recent specimens that belong to modern genera, fossil crickets can prove quite hard to classify in relation to modern taxa, especially if they are isolated wing imprints, or, like many inclusions in Cenozoic amber, juveniles.

Juveniles can be difficult to attribute to a given family, and their classification is always problematic, even for extant specimens. As examples, the two Middle Eocene Nemobiinae described as *Baltonemobius fossilis* Gorochov, 2010 (Gorochov, 2010) and *Nemobius* sp. (Chopard, 1936) could be included as terminals in a morphological phylogeny, but all adult characters (i.e. wings, male stridulatory apparatus, auditory tympana, female ovipositor) would not be described. These fossils attest however the presence of the subfamily at their period of fossilization.

The interest of specimen imprints, in terms of evolutionary studies, depends on the available characters. Even apparently well-preserved fossils can prove impossible to classify with reasonable certainty. They can often be described as crickets (Grylloidea), but their attributions to familial and infrafamilial categories should be performed according to the observation of given apomorphies, which is rarely the case (e.g. *Menatgryllus longixiphus* Schubnel *et al.* 2020a, which could belong to the Gryllidae s. str. according to fore tibia apical spurs, but presents an original combination of other characters; Schubnel *et al.* 2020a). Recent fossils can then be compared to extant taxa, but the most ancient fossils are more difficult to classify, even though they can always be described as new genera and species.

Forewing imprints that present the stridulatory apparatus typically found in acoustic crickets can generally be immediately classified as crickets, but more precise attributions to a subgroup may be problematic, because very few cricket groups are currently characterized by wing apomorphies. For instance, the fossil family Baissogryllidae is characterized by the cross-veins in the area between CuPa β and CuA+CuPa α parallel to the basal part of CuPa β ; but this character is also present in the Haglidae (Ensifera Tettigoniidea) and the Gryllotalpidae, representing a possible symplesiomorphy or homoplasy (A. Nel, pers. obs.). Other cricket groups have been defined using characters of the stridulum, such as several fossil subfamilies of the Gryllidae (Cigliano *et al.* 2022a, b), while some extant taxa present unique characters on their wings, such as the Trigonidiidae p.p. (Desutter-Grandcolas *et al.* 2021), the Phaloriinae (Phalangopsidae) (Desutter-Grandcolas, 2015), the Pteroplistinae (Chopard, 1936) or some Oecanthinae and Podoscirtinae (Oecanthidae) (Campos *et al.* 2022). The main problems with wing characters are first that numerous crickets are brachypterous, micropterous or apterous, and secondly that the females are usually devoid of a stridulum, making the stridulatory criteria uninformative. Also, homologies of venation on cricket forewings are still fiercely debated, and no consensus exists today as to the identity of some of the veins (Béthoux, 2012; Nel, 2021), even though the use of microtomography offers solid arguments for vein homologies (Desutter-Grandcolas *et al.* 2017; Schubnel *et al.* 2020b). These difficulties severely limit the use of fossil wings, even for dating the most recent nodes, and call for an extensive study of wing venation in crickets (Josse *et al.* unpub. data).

Recently, 11 very well-preserved fossil crickets have been discovered and described from early- to mid-Cretaceous amber of France and Myanmar. Thanks to their excellent state of preservation, these specimens have been described as precisely as extant

taxa, and the presence of apomorphic characters that define the cricket clades could be checked, even if seven are juveniles (Perrichot *et al.* 2002; Poinar *et al.* 2020; Wang *et al.* 2020; Xu *et al.* 2020a, b, 2022; Gorochoy 2010; Jiang *et al.* 2022; Liu *et al.* 2022; Yuan *et al.* 2022).

Here, we describe two additional, particularly well-preserved mid-Cretaceous adult fossils from the amber of Charentes (France), *Palaeonemobius occidentalis* Laurent and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.**, **sp. nov.** and *Picogryllus carentonensis* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.**, **sp. nov.** These fossils are respectively the oldest representatives of the Nemobiinae (Trigonidiidae) and Podoscirtinae *sensu* Campos *et al.* 2022 (Oecanthidae), with which they share the main synapomorphies. *Picogryllus* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.** is also the first Mesozoic fossil cricket for which male genitalia can be partly reconstructed and illustrated, and is the smallest adult male specimen (body length 3.3 mm) with a complete stridulatory apparatus ever found in the cricket clade. These fossils complete the small set of well-described fossils available for large-scale evolutionary studies of crickets.

2. Materials and methods

2.a. Geological setting

The studied specimens originate from two distinct amber deposits from the Charentes region in SW France (Fig. 1). The specimen MNHN.F.A71375 was found in amber from La Buzinie, a former hamlet now in the town of Champniers, near Angoulême, in the Charente department. The amber piece was collected from a lignitic layer (level B2 in Perrichot *et al.* 2007, fig. 2; Peyrot *et al.* 2019, fig. 2; B2ms in Perrichot *et al.* 2010, fig. 2) found within sandstones that briefly outcropped during roadworks in 2005. Based on palynomorph evidence, level B2 and hence amber from La Buzinie are considered Early Cenomanian in age, 97–100 Ma (Peyrot *et al.* 2019).

The specimen IGR.ARC-421.1 was found in amber from the Font-de-Benon quarry, between Archingeay and Les Nouillers villages, in the Charente-Maritime department (so-called 'Archingeay amber' in a number of previous publications). The amber piece was collected from the lowermost of two amber-bearing strata that outcropped in the quarry (level A1sl2 in Néraudeau *et al.* 2002, fig. 2; A1sl-A in Perrichot *et al.* 2010, fig. 2; A1 in Peyrot *et al.* 2019, fig. 2). Based on palynomorph evidence, the A1 series from this quarry cannot be unequivocally dated and has alternatively been considered latest Albian or earliest Cenomanian in age, *c.* 100 Ma (Néraudeau *et al.* 2002; Dejax & Masure, 2005; Peyrot *et al.* 2005; Polette, 2019).

2.b. Specimen imaging

Due to the fully opaque nature of the two amber pieces studied here (see Fig. 2 for an example), their fossil content was revealed by propagation phase-contrast X-ray synchrotron microtomography (PPC-SR μ CT) performed at beamline ID19 of the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF, Grenoble, France) according to the protocol described by Lak *et al.* (2008b). The specimen MNHN.F.A71375 was scanned using a multilayer monochromator with an acceleration voltage of the X-ray source of 20.5 keV and a propagation distance of 300 mm between the sample and the detector, an isotropic voxel size of 5.06 μ m, and 2000 projections taken

over 180° with 0.5 s of exposure time for each projection. The specimen IGR.ARC-421.1 was scanned at 30 keV with a propagation distance of 900 mm, an isotropic voxel size of 20.24 μ m and 2500 projections taken over 180° with 0.2 s of exposure time for each projection. The tomographic data were analysed using VG StudioMax (Volume Graphics).

In each piece, the crickets were found among various other inclusions: the Trigonidiidae is preserved along with conifer fragments (one leafy axis and isolated scales) and 19 other arthropods including mites, wasps (Diapriidae (see Lak & Nel, 2009); Platygastroidea), long-legged and moth flies (Dolichopodidae; Psychodidae (Lak *et al.* 2008a)), a cockroach (Blattellidae; see Vrsansky, 2008) and another, fragmentary Ensifera (Elcanidae); the piece with the Podoscirtinae holds nine other insects including cockroaches, wasps (Platygastroidea), a planthopper (Fulgoromorpha) and a lacewing larva (Neuroptera).

2.c. Cricket classification and morphological descriptions of the fossils

We follow the phylogenetic classification proposed by Chintauan-Marquier *et al.* (2013; 2016), modified by Campos *et al.* (2022), which recognized five families within the Grylloidea, i.e. Mogoplistidae Costa, 1855, Trigonidiidae Saussure, 1874, Phalangopsidae Blanchard, 1845, Gryllidae Laicharting, 1781 and Oecanthidae Blanchard, 1845, in addition to the subfamily Pteroplistinae Chopard, 1936 *incertae sedis* within Grylloidea. Chintauan-Marquier *et al.* (2013; 2016) supported a clade 'Gryllidae', split into two monophyletic assemblages referred to as 'clade G' with present-day Gryllinae, Landrevinae, Eneopterinae and Pentacentrinae, and 'clade F' with present-day Euscyrinae, Oecanthinae (including tafaliscine taxa), Podoscirtinae and Hapithinae (see Chintauan-Marquier *et al.* 2016, figs 5, 6). Using a large dataset of molecular and morphological characters for a large taxonomic sampling, Campos *et al.* (2022) validate the family status of both clades F and G, as Oecanthidae and Gryllidae respectively; a complete reanalysis of the classification of Oecanthidae on the basis of morphological apomorphies leads to splitting the Oecanthidae into four subfamilies, i.e. Euscyrinae, Podoscirtinae (Hapithidi + Podoscirtidi), Oecanthinae (Oecanthidi + Diatrypidi) and Tafaliscinae (Paroecanthidi + Tafaliscidi). We use here this resultant five-family classification.

The fossils we describe here belong to the families Trigonidiidae and Oecanthidae respectively. We use the diagnoses proposed by Desutter-Grandcolas *et al.* (2021) for Trigonidiidae and its two well-supported and well-characterized subfamilies Trigonidiinae and Nemobiinae, and those proposed by Campos *et al.* (2022) for Oecanthidae and its subdivisions from subfamilies to tribes. The reference classification of Cigliano *et al.* (2022b) is now congruent with the phylogenetic classification of Campos *et al.* (2022).

Morphology is described as for extant cricket taxa, as for example in Hugel & Desutter-Grandcolas (2021) or Campos *et al.* (2021). We separate movable, articulated spurs from immovable outgrowths (spines). Apical spurs (a) are referred to according to their location on the tibia, i.e. on outer or inner side (o, i), and dorsal, median or ventral (d, m, v) on each side. Hind tibial subapical spurs (sa) are counted from TIII apex upwards, on inner and outer margins, in order to follow potential homologies for the spurs. Wing venation is named after Béthoux & Nel (2002),




Fig. 1. (Colour online) Geographical and geological settings of the Cretaceous Charentese amber deposits considered in the present study. (a) Location of deposits. (b) Regional stratigraphic section with indication of amber levels yielding fossil crickets (numbers of sites correlate with (a)). Modified from Perrichot *et al.* (2007).



Fig. 2. (Colour online) Piece of opaque amber (size 46 mm) where the male holotype (IGR-ARC-421.1) of *Picogryllus carentonensis* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen.nov., sp.nov.** (Oecanthidae, Podoscirtinae) was found. Scale 1 cm.

modified by Schubnel *et al.* (2020b). Male genitalia are described according to Desutter (1987), modified in Desutter-Grandcolas (2003).

2.d. Abbreviations for plates

Morphology: c, cerci; ey, eye; flg, flagellum of antenna; fst, fastigium; fw, forewing; ha, harp of male stridulatory apparatus; hw, hindwing; ia, inner apical spurs (1 to n); l. oc., lateral ocellus; lb. p., labial palpus; max. p., maxillary palpus; md, mandibula; mi, mirror of male stridulatory apparatus; m. oc., median ocellus; oa, outer apical spurs (1 to n); ov, ovipositor; PI, II, III, fore, median and hind leg; pr, pronotum; sa, subapical spur (1 to n); sc, scape; s.gen., subgenital plate; sp, spine; spl, spiracle; tar.III, hind tarsomere; ty, tympanum; vx, vertex.

Male genitalia: l. l., lateral lophi of pseudepiphallus; m. l., median lophi of pseudepiphallus; ps, pseudepiphallic sclerite.

2.e. Repository

IGR, Géosciences Rennes, Université Rennes 1, Rennes, France; MNHN, Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, France.

3. Systematic palaeontology

Order ORTHOPTERA Olivier, 1789

Suborder ENSIFERA Chopard, 1922

Superfamily GRYLLOIDEA Laicharting, 1781

Family TRIGONIDIIDAE Saussure, 1874

Subfamily NEMOBIINAE Saussure, 1877

Genus *Palaeonemobius* Laurent and Desutter-Grandcolas,

gen. nov.

(Fig. 3)

[urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:D21831C9-C3D7-4FBF-8AE5-016BD4F1079D](https://zoobank.org/act:D21831C9-C3D7-4FBF-8AE5-016BD4F1079D)

Type species. Palaeonemobius occidentalis Laurent and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.**, **sp. nov.**, here designated.

Derivation of name. The genus name is derived from the Greek adjective *παλαιός*, meaning 'ancient', and the usual suffix given to nemobiine crickets (*nemobius*). Gender masculine.

Diagnosis. Adult female (Fig. 3a). Size small. Head, pronotum and legs with many strong setae. Head wide, with a wide fastigium and scapes wider than long (Fig. 3c); median ocellus at least present (Fig. 3c); maxillary palpi short (Fig. 3c), articles 3 to 5 subequal, article 5 slightly widened toward apex. Second tarsomeres cylindrical, not flattened dorsoventrally; claws simple, not serrated. Fore tibiae with two apical ventral spurs (Fig. 3h). Hind femora with a very wide ventral gutter (Fig. 3g). Hind tibiae (Fig. 3f, g) not serrulated; with five outer and four inner subapical spurs lengthening toward tarsus, the most distal spurs the longest, the most basal spur the shortest; with three inner and three outer apical spurs. Hind basitarsomeres very long (Fig. 3b), more than half hind tibia length; with five outer and two inner spines. A short right forewing present (left one lost: Fig. 3a), not reaching metanotum distal margin; venation hardly visible. Hindwings absent. Ovipositor broken before apex (at least length 4.5 mm), but straight at base.

Differential diagnosis. *Palaeonemobius* Laurent and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.** belongs to the Grylloidea, as shown by the club-shaped setae on the cerci, three tarsomeres, hind legs adapted to jump, and location of apical and subapical spurs on legs. It has the following characters of Trigonidiidae (Desutter-Grandcolas *et al.* 2021): size small (body length less than 15 mm); head, pronotum and legs with strong setae; hind tibia not

serrulated with apical and subapical spurs. It does not show the apomorphies of Trigonidiinae (i.e. head triangular in front view, fore tibia with only one large apical spur, claw serrated), and does not present either the homoplasies present in this subfamily (second tarsomere flattened dorsoventrally, subapical spurs of hind tibia short, or long but equal in length, pronotum most often narrowed in front).

Palaeonemobius Laurent and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.** displays characters of the Nemobiinae, both apomorphies (wide ventral gutter on hind femora; hind tibia subapical spurs longer toward tibia apex) and homoplasies (two apical spurs on fore tibia; scape small, wider than long; hind femur much longer than hind tibia; cercus long, thin and straight). It seems to have a straight ovipositor, as Nemobiinae, but this cannot be ascertained (apex broken), although the typical shape of ovipositor in Trigonidiinae (curved upwards and flattened laterally) can be excluded.

Palaeonemobius Laurent and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.** could thus represent the oldest representative of the Nemobiinae known today. The only other ascertained fossil Nemobiinae (Desutter-Grandcolas *et al.* 2021) are two juvenile specimens from the Baltic amber (middle to late Eocene), i.e. *Nemobius* sp. and *Baltonemobius fossilis* (Chopard, 1936; Gorochoy, 2010); both differ from *Palaeonemobius* Laurent and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.** by their hind tibia with three inner and three outer subapical spurs. *Palaeonemobius* Laurent and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.** is the first evidence of a Cretaceous Nemobiinae, as *Birmaninemobius hirsutus* Xu *et al.*, 2020a, b, initially described as a Nemobiinae, has been characterized as belonging to the stem group of the Trigonidiinae (Desutter-Grandcolas *et al.* 2021), and *Curvospirus huzhengkun* Liu *et al.* 2022 do not fit either subfamily (Liu *et al.* 2022).

Palaeonemobius occidentalis Laurent and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.**, **sp. nov.**

(Fig. 3)

[urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:ED960F4F-0DAA-463F-A7AD-CB4707FC1F15](https://zoobank.org/act:ED960F4F-0DAA-463F-A7AD-CB4707FC1F15)

Derivation of name. The specific epithet refers to the geographical provenance of the type material, in the west part of France.

Holotype. Specimen MNHN.F.A71375, in a piece of opaque amber with other arthropods and plant fragments (see Section 2 above).

Type locality and stratum. France, Charente, La Buzinie, at Champniers, near Angoulême, lithological subunit B2ms.

Age. Early Cenomanian, Late Cretaceous.

Diagnosis. As for the genus (see above).

Description. Adult female, size very small (body length 10.20 mm). Specimen complete, except for broken ovipositor and antennae (Fig. 3a). Strong setae on the whole body, especially on legs, head and pronotum.

Head. Opisthognathous (Fig. 3c, d), rounded, almost as wide as high in front view (ratio head width / head length 91 %). Eyes relatively small and little protruding (maximal diameter 1.37 mm), separated by a distance of 1.60 mm. Median ocellus present (but head dorsal surface damaged). Fastigium wide, wider than scape, directly prolonging vertex. Scapes small, wider than high; antennae filiform, with more than 42 antennomeres. Maxillary palpi short; articles 1 and 2 very short, articles 3, 4 and 5 subequal (0.92 mm, 0.93 mm and 0.91 mm long respectively); article 5 regularly widened toward truncated apex. Clypeus short, trapezoidal.

Thorax. Pronotum wider than long in dorsal view (2.81 mm long, 3.26 mm wide), globally rounded (Fig. 3a, e). Dorsal disc




Fig. 3. *Palaeonemobius occidentalis* gen. nov., sp. nov. (female, holotype, MNHN.F.A71375) in mid-Cretaceous amber from Charentes (France): (a) dorsal view; (b) ventral view; (c) head, frontal view; (d) head, side view; (e) pronotum and forewing, right side view; (f) hind femur, outer side, with outer apical and subapical spurs; (g) hind femur, inner side, with inner apical and subapical spurs; (h) fore tibia with inner auditory tympanum (ty) and apical spurs. Abbreviations: see Section 2. Scales 1 mm (c, d, e, h), 2 mm (a, b, f, g).

not flattened; anterior margin slightly sinuated; posterior margin convex; both margins with a row of long and very strong setae. Lateral lobes well-developed; lower margin straight; posterior margin very wide in posterior angle. First (mesothoracic) spiracle not covered by pronotum lateral lobe. Metanotum *c.* 1.50 mm long, its posterior margin convex.

Wings. Right anterior forewing very short, rounded (Fig. 3a). Left forewing lacking. No visible hindwing.

Legs. Quite short, with strong setae; all femora with a wide ventral gutter; tarsi with three tarsomeres, second tarsomere tubular

and not widened, claws long, simple, neither serrated nor bifid. Both fore legs present, but tarsi incomplete; right mid leg absent, left mid leg without tarsus; hind legs complete. Fore and mid femora slightly compressed laterally, longer than tibiae; fore femur 4.07 mm long, 0.39 mm wide; mid femur 3.66 mm long, 0.46 mm wide. Fore tibia 2.95 mm long; with a well-developed, longer than wide tympanum on inner side (Fig. 3h), no outer tympanum; two apical ventral spurs and no dorsal apical spurs (Fig. 3h); basitarsomere long and thin. Mid tibia 3.20 mm long; two ventral apical spurs. Hind femur wide and thick, longer than

hind tibia (length 6.42 mm, maximal width 2.83 mm), with one row of dorsal setae and a very wide ventral gutter (Fig. 3f, g). Hind tibia 4.87 mm long; with three inner and three outer apical spurs (Fig. 3f, g), median spur the longest on both sides; with three inner and five outer subapical spurs, increasing in size toward tibia apex, especially on outer side; hind tibia not serrulated above and between subapical spurs, their margins clearly concave between subapical spurs. Hind tarsus: basitarsomere very long (Fig. 3b), more than half hind tibia length (2.10 mm long); with five spines on outer margin and at least two spines on inner margin; second tarsomere very short (about 1/7 basitarsomere length) and cuneiform; third tarsomere about half as long as basitarsomere, thin, with a pair of long, simple claws.

Abdomen. Length 4.50 mm; ovipositor broken before apex; cerci very long (6.20 mm long), relatively thick at base, with club-shaped setae at base on inner side and long filiform setae over whole length. Nine visible tergites and eight sternites; subgenital plate wider than long, posterior margin straight (Fig. 3b). Ovipositor not compressed laterally, almost straight and very slightly upcurved; at least 4.57 mm long; apex lacking.

Family OECANTHIDAE Blanchard, 1845

Subfamily PODOSCIRTINAE Saussure, 1878 *sensu* Campos *et al.* (2022)

Genus *Picogryllus* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.**
[urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:F43887D6-3D16-4F22-821F-4551770624AE](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016756823000055)

Type species. *Picogryllus carentonensis* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.**, **sp. nov.**, here designated.

Derivation of name. Genus named after its very small size, fully unusual for winged males in crickets, and in Grylloidea in general. Gender masculine.

Diagnosis. Size very small. Head wider than long in front view; three ocelli (Fig. 4e); fastigium wider than scape (Fig. 4e); scapes relatively small, slightly wider than long; maxillary palpi (Fig. 4d) short, article 5 longer than article 3, small but regularly widened toward apex. Forewings very long, covering epiproct; hindwings longer than forewings, plicated along body axis and pointed. Stridulatory apparatus complete (file transverse, harp relatively narrow, mirror longer than wide, crossed by one or two veins: Fig. 4a). Fore tibiae with at least three apical spurs, two on inner side and one on outer side; with one inner and one outer tympana subequal in size (Fig. 5c, d), both longer than wide but not slit-shaped. Hind tibiae (Fig. 5a, b) serrulated, with long and thin spines on basal half, above subapical spurs; with four inner and five outer subapical spurs, all equal in length except outer spurs 4 and 5, much shorter; three inner and three outer apical spurs, median apical spurs the longest on both sides, subequal. All tarsi short with three tarsomeres (Fig. 4); hind basitarsomeres flattened dorsally, with two rows of dorsal spines; second tarsomeres dorsoventrally flattened; third tarsomeres long and thin, with a pair of long and thin claws, neither bifid, not serrated. Hind legs much longer than fore and mid legs, with thick femora. Cerci longer than abdomen, bearing club-shaped setae on inner base. Subgenital plate very long, subcarinated ventro-laterally. Male genitalia (Fig. 5e) symmetrical, with two median lophi on distal margin and two latero-distal, wider and hook-shaped lateral lophi; pseudepiphallallic sclerite much longer than wide.

Differential diagnosis. *Picogryllus* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.** can be identified as a cricket by the club-shaped setae on cerci, tarsi with three tarsomeres, hind legs adapted to jump, locations of apical and subapical spurs on legs, and

stridulatory apparatus with a file, a harp and a mirror. Among Grylloidea, *Picogryllus* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.** is excluded from Trigonidiidae by its serrulated hind tibiae, head shape, lack of strong setae on body, number of apical spurs on fore tibiae, shape of stridulum on male forewings, and male pseudepiphallallic sclerite (see Desutter-Grandcolas *et al.* 2021). It is also excluded from Phalangopsidae by the number of spurs on fore tibiae, the shape of second tarsomere (not flattened in Phalangopsidae, hardly expanded in some phytophilous Phaloriinae) and the lack of serrulation between hind tibial subapical spurs.

Within the Gryllidae *sensu* Campos *et al.* (2022), *Picogryllus* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.** is excluded from all subfamilies by the shape of pseudepiphallallic median and lateral lophi. It is excluded from the Gryllinae by the presence of serrulation on hind tibiae (lacking in Gryllinae) and head shape (rounded in Gryllinae). It is excluded from the Eneopterinae by the shape of inner tympanum (slit-shaped in most Eneopterinae), the lack of spines between hind tibia subapical spurs (present in Eneopterinae), the fastigium shape (very wide in Eneopterinae) and the size and shape of subapical spurs (inners longer and curved in Eneopterinae). It is excluded from the Pentacentrinae by its general shape (Pentacentrinae are thin, elongate crickets, much resembling Trigonidiinae), complete stridulum (forewings with strong longitudinal veins and at most a file and short harp in Pentacentrinae), hind leg shape (hind basitarsomere of Pentacentrinae much longer and hind tibia much shorter than in *Picogryllus* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.**) and head shape (eyes not at all prominent and antennal insertion widely separated from lateral ocelli in Pentacentrinae). It differs from *Odontogryllus* and related genera by the size and shape of forewing and stridulatory apparatus (forewings short lacking a complete stridulum in these taxa). It can easily be excluded from Itarinae by the shape of its fore wings and stridulum.

Within Oecanthidae *sensu* Campos *et al.* (2022), *Picogryllus* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.** is excluded from Euscyrtinae by fastigium not flattened dorsally, forewings with developed stridulatory apparatus, hind tibia with at most five subapical spurs (more than six in Euscyrtinae), and inner margin of claws smooth (serrated in Euscyrtinae). It is excluded from Oecanthinae *sensu* Campos *et al.* (2022) by having inner ventral apical spur of hind tibiae well-developed (regressed or absent in Oecanthinae), forewing apical field well-developed although slightly shorter than mirror (reduced or absent in Oecanthinae), lateral field of forewings perpendicular to dorsal field in anterior and posterior views (forming an acute angle in Oecanthinae), and tarsal claws simple (bifid in Oecanthinae). It can be excluded from Tafaliscinae as its fastigium is wider than antennal scape in frontal view, its stridulatory file is not sinuous, and spines are absent between subapical spurs of hind tibiae. *Picogryllus* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.** can be classified within Podoscirtinae by the shapes of its pseudepiphallallic sclerite and lophi in male genitalia, head shape, scape shape, number of subapical spurs of hind tibiae, and stridulum shape.

Only three fossil taxa are currently classified as Podoscirtinae, i.e. *Allopterites mutilineatus* Cockerel, 1920 (incomplete hindwing; Lutetian, Eocene, England), *Stenogryllodes brevivalpis* Chopard, 1936 (male juvenile; Eocene Baltic amber) and *Madasumma europensis* Chopard, 1936 (adult female, dark amber, Lower Oligocene, Germany) (Cigliano *et al.* 2022b; fossilworks data base at <http://fossilworks.org>). The position of *S. brevivalpis* within




Fig. 4. *Picogryllus carentonensis* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen.nov.**, **sp. nov.** (male holotype, IGR-ARC-421.1) in mid-Cretaceous amber from Charentes (France): (a–c) male holotype in dorsal (a), ventral (b) and right side (c) views; (d–e) head in left side (d) and dorsal (e) views. Abbreviations: see Section 2. Scales 1 mm.

Podoscirtinae should probably be revised, as its hind tibiae are not serrulated and its fore tibiae have only two apical spurs. It differs anyway from *Picogryllus* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.** by its maxillary palpi (article 5 short and wide), the spurs of its fore and middle tibiae (only two apical spurs), and its rounded (not serrulated) hind tibiae with four subapical spurs on each side and only two inner apical spurs.

Allopterites multilineatus is known by only one incomplete hindwing. Thus, it cannot be compared to *Picogryllus* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.** in which the hindwings are plicated along the body, but the length of *A. multilineatus* wing is

much too large to fit the new taxon (19 mm against 3.04 mm in *Picogryllus* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.**).

Madasomma europensis has been described from a nearly complete adult female, mainly characterized by its small, rounded head, short palpi, little protruding eyes, relatively short legs, hind tibiae (well-serrulated at base, few spines between inner subapical spurs, four pairs of subapical spurs, three pairs of apical spurs), flattened second tarsomeres, hind basitarsomeres elongate with two rows of dorsal spines, setose pronotum and reduced forewing venation (Chopard, 1936; Zeuner, 1939). It is difficult to compare it to *Picogryllus* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.**, but size




Fig. 5. (Colour online) *Picogryllus carentonensis* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen.nov., sp. nov.** (male holotype, IGR-ARC-421.1) in mid-Cretaceous amber from Charentes (France): (a–b), hind tibia in posterior (a) and dorsal (b) views; (c–d), auditory tympana on fore tibia, on outer (c) and inner (d) sides; (e) extremity of male genitalia in dorsal view, in natural position in subgenital plate. Abbreviations: see Section 2. Scales 1 mm.

clearly separates the two taxa (body length *c.* 11 mm in *M. europensis*).

Picogryllus carentonensis Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov., sp. nov.**

(Figs 3, 5)

[urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:A9E4F523-329D-4285-8DCD-8A11C448DE2D](https://zoobank.org/act:A9E4F523-329D-4285-8DCD-8A11C448DE2D)

Derivation of name. The specific epithet is derived from 'Carentonia', the Latin name for the Charente River and Charentes region from which the type specimen originates.

Holotype. Specimen IGR-ARC-421.1, male, in a piece of opaque amber with several other arthropods (see Section 2 above).

Type locality and stratum. France, Charente-Maritime, Archingeay / Les Nouillers, Font-de-Benon quarry, lithological subunit A1sl-A.

Age. Latest Albian or earliest Cenomanian, Cretaceous.

Diagnosis. As for the genus (see above).

Description. Size very small for an adult Podoscirtinae cricket (body length 3.30 mm). Complete adult male, with cerci, but right hind leg broken at level of tibia and antennae broken before *c.* 30 articles.

Head. Opisthognathous (Fig. 4c, d), small compared to body, wider than high in front view (maximal width 0.70 mm); without clear setae insertion. Eyes not protruding and not very large (eye

length 0.30 mm), almost reniform, broadly separated from posterior margin of cheek; separated from one another by a distance greater than 4× apical width of fastigium; minimal interocular distance 0.41 mm. Three large ocelli (Fig. 4e); distance between lateral ocelli twice that between median ocellus and one lateral ocellus; median ocellus subapical on fastigium; lateral ocelli on fastigium basis. Vertex slightly convex between eyes, not separated from fastigium (Fig. 4d). Fastigium wider than scape; not furrowed longitudinally (Fig. 4e). Antennae filiform, inserted between eyes. Antennal pits small, separated by a distance of 0.20 mm. Scapes wider than long, with convex inner margin (Fig. 4b). Maxillary palpi short with five articles (Fig. 4d); articles 1 and 2 very short; articles 3, 4 and 5 respectively 0.20 mm, 0.15 mm and 0.20 mm long; article 5 widened toward apex, with upper margin slightly concave. Labial palpi with five articles (Fig. 4d). Mandibles small and simple.

Thorax. Dorsal disc of pronotum transverse (Fig. 4a); anterior margin almost straight; posterior margin slightly bisinuated; median length 0.40 mm, anterior width 0.70 mm, posterior width 0.90 mm. Lateral lobes (Fig. 4c) quite high; lower margin straight. Metanotum not visible, covered by forewings (presence of glands not checked).

Legs. Short and robust; femora with a wide ventral gutter. All tarsi with three tarsomeres; first (most basal) tarsomere the longest; second tarsomere very short and flattened dorsoventrally; third tarsomere long and thin, with a pair of simple (= neither serrated,

nor bifid) claws. Coxae widely separated (Fig. 4b). Fore femora 0.70 mm long; slightly compressed laterally; fore tibiae 0.65 mm long, with at least three apical spurs, with a tympanum on both inner and outer sides (Fig. 5c, d), both longer than wide and not slit-shaped, with two strong setae in ventral side; fore tarsus: length of tarsomeres 1, 2 and 3 respectively 0.05 mm, 0.01 mm and 0.03 mm. Mid legs very similar to fore legs, of same size, without tympanum; tibiae with three apical spurs. Hind femora long and very strong (length 1.80 mm, maximal width 0.50 mm); hind tibiae (Fig. 5a, b) shorter than hind femur (length 1.50 mm), with three inner and three outer apical spurs, inner spurs longer than outer spurs; outer spurs $ao2 > ao1 > ao3$, inner spurs $ai2 > ai3 > ai1$, ventral spurs $ai1 > ao1$; in tibia distal half, four inner and five outer subapical spurs, all short and subequal in length, but $so4$ and $so5$ distinctly shorter; tibiae serrulated on both inner and outer margins, above subapical spurs; one spine perhaps present between $si1$ and $si2$. Hind basitarsomeres very long (length 0.50 mm), flattened dorsally, with two rows of dorsal spines (five inner, eight outer), with two apical spurs, inner spur longer than outer spur but slightly shorter than tarsomeres 3.

Wings. Forewings and hindwings both present and well-developed, covering posterior part of thorax and abdomen beyond epiproct (Fig. 4a, b). Forewings not reaching distal margin of subgenital plate; 2.60 mm long, maximal width 0.90 mm; almost completely overlapping. Stridulatory apparatus complete (Fig. 3a), occupying 75 % of dorsal field length; stridulatory file transverse, slightly oblique; harp relatively narrow; mirror longer than wide, crossed by one (or two?) parallel transverse veins, anterior angle wide. Other characters of venation: innermost chord separated at base from chord 2, diagonal slightly bifurcated, mirror bordered by a very wide distal cell, apical field long with large cells; lateral field wide, with parallel veins perpendicular to forewing outer margin. Hindwings (length 3.04 mm) plicated along body and extending beyond abdomen, between cerci.

Abdomen. Tergites completely hidden by forewings. Nine sternites, sternite 9 forming the subgenital plate. Cerci long and thin, 1.80 mm long, longer than abdomen, complete; some club-shaped setae on basal inner side. Subgenital plate (Figs. 4b, 5e) very long (median length 0.40 mm) and high, rectangular, latero-ventrally subcarinated; posterior margin truncated and sinuated.

Phallic complex. Fig. 5e. Pseudepiphallal sclerite long and narrow; distal margin with two small median process, that could correspond to median lophi, and a pair of lateral hook-shaped structures that could be the lateral lophi.

4. Discussion

Fossils have long been used in evolutionary biology as calibration points, placed *a posteriori* of phylogenetic analyses on a resultant topology for dating clade emergence and diversification. But today, fossils tend to be introduced in the data matrix as terminals (Edgecombe, 2010), as for example in the fossilized birth–death approach (Heath *et al.* 2014). The main consequences of this methodological advance have been to revive the phylogenetic study of morphological characters, to link past and extant taxa in the same evolutionary dynamics, and reconcile the taxonomies developed for fossils and for extant taxa (Flores *et al.* 2021).

The evolutionary study of Ensifera (Insecta, Orthoptera) primarily considers the emergence of acoustic communication in katydids (Tettigoniidea, Tettigoniioidea), crickets s. l. (Gryllidea, Grylloidea and Gryllotalpoidea) and grigs (Tettigoniidea,

Hagloidea), to test whether it appeared only once within Ensifera (Bailey, 1991; Otte, 1992; Béthoux, 2012; Chivers *et al.* 2017; Song *et al.* 2020), twice independently in katydids+grigs and crickets (Gwynne, 1995), or multiple times in Ensifera, within a general frame of communication not limited to calling with a wing stridulum (Desutter-Grandcolas, 2003; Desutter-Grandcolas *et al.* 2017). The Ensifera is a very ancient clade, with representatives known as early as the Middle Permian or even before that (see reference in Nel, 2021). This includes the Tettigoniioidea *Permotettigonia gallica* Nel & Garrouste, 2016, which presents the venational apomorphies of Tettigoniidae, even if Gorochov (2021: p. 557) excluded it from this clade without giving any reason and, instead, indicated that it ‘may be a member of the Kaminae or some other group of possible ecological counterparts of Tettigoniioidea in the Oedischiidea’. But *Kamia angustovenosa* Martynov, 1928 and the Oedischiidea have forewings with numerous branches of $CuA+CuPa$ and of M , unlike *Permotettigonia* and the Tettigoniidae (see Sharov, 1968). These oldest fossils are isolated wing imprints, which raises the problem, still fiercely debated, of vein homologies (Chivers *et al.* 2017; Desutter-Grandcolas *et al.* 2017; Schubnel *et al.* 2020b), reconsidered recently thanks to the use of X-ray microtomography (Schubnel *et al.* 2000). Up to now, ensiferan fossils have been used as calibration points in molecular studies (Song *et al.* 2015, 2020; Chang *et al.* 2020) but the choice of the fossils strongly biased the resulting dating, as shown by Nel (2021).

The fossil record currently available for crickets is quite poor and not optimal for total-evidence analyses, including mostly imprints and recent fossils in Cenozoic amber inclusions. The discovery of several fossil crickets in amber-rich deposits from the Early–Late Cretaceous allowed for detailed descriptions, similar to or even better than extant taxa. This state of preservation means that potentially most characters used in a data matrix for extant taxa could be observed and described on these fossils, opening a wide field of characters and character states for phylogenetic studies (Kearney & Clark 2003). All these Cretaceous amber fossils are of small sizes, and most are juveniles, i.e. *Marchandia magnifica* Perrichot, Néraudeau *et al.*, 2002, *Burmagryllotalpa longa* Wang *et al.*, 2020, *Tresdigitus rectanguli* Xu *et al.*, 2020a, *T. gracilis* Jiang *et al.*, 2022, *Pherodactylus micromorphus* Poinar *et al.*, 2020 and *Protomogoplistes asquamosus* Gorochov, 2010.

The Early Cretaceous diversity of mole crickets (Gryllotalpidae) is attested by *M. magnifica*, *B. longa*, *T. rectanguli*, *T. gracilis*, *Chunxiania fania* Xu *et al.*, 2020b and the shapes of their head, pronotum and/or fore legs (Perrichot *et al.* 2002; Wang *et al.* 2020; Xu *et al.* 2020a, 2022). This confirms previous discoveries of oldest mole cricket representatives from the Aptian Formation of Brazil (125–113 Ma in age; Martins-Neto, 1991, 1995). Based mostly on its head shape, the supposedly Late Cretaceous *Protomogoplistes asquamosus* has been ascribed to the Mogoplistidae cricket family (see Gorochov, 2010), a hypothesis supported by the serrulated hind tibia without subapical spurs.

Pherodactylus micromorphus has been described as a juvenile female because of its short ovipositor and undeveloped hindwings, although it presents a clear tympanum on its fore tibia, a character usually observed in adults. It has been designated as the type genus of a ‘Gryllidae’ family, with the following diagnostic characters: ‘head without dorsal bristles, tympana on the outer fore tibia, tarsi three-segmented with 1st tarsomere longer than the other two combined, hind tibia shorter than hind femur; long terminal spurs on hind tibia with spines on proximal portion of hind tibia’ (Poinar *et al.* 2020: p. 34). Among these characters, the lack of dorsal

bristles on head dorsum is observed in the Gryllidae: Gryllinae. But the presence of three tarsomeres is a plesiomorphy in Gryllidae; a basitarsomere longer than tarsomeres 2+3 and the presence of an outer tympana are observed in nearly all cricket clades; a hind tibia shorter than hind femur is observed in many cricket clades (for example in Nemobiinae, some Phalangopsidae, or many Gryllidae and Oecanthidae); long ‘terminal’ (= apical) spurs on hind tibia are also plesiomorphic in Grylloidea, while the presence of spines on the proximal part of hind tibia is a character largely observed in crickets.

The diagnosis of the genus *Pherodactylus* Poinar *et al.*, 2020 itself is as follows: ‘Overall body shape and color typical of the Gryllidae. Body mostly brown, covered with short fine hairs and bearing undeveloped wing pads. Head without prominent bristles. Pronotum longer than wide; middle of pronotal disk with two distinct large dark “eyespot”. Forelegs robust, with three apical spurs arranged on inner side of fore tibia.’ Among these characters, the ‘eyespot’ on pronotum correspond to muscular insertions that exist in all Grylloidea, while wing pads are related to the development stage of the fossil at death. In fact, *Pherodactylus* certainly belongs to the Gryllidae family, as shown by the three apical spurs on fore tibia, with however a unique specialization (spurs all on inner side of tibia). The lack of setae on head dorsum (apomorphy) and of subapical spurs on hind tibia (apomorphy) are considered characters of the subfamily Gryllinae: they allow the assignment of the remarkable fossil of *Pherodactylus micromorphus* in this subfamily, as proposed by Poinar *et al.* (2020), but using a different set of characters.

Adult cricket fossils are exceedingly rare in Mesozoic amber. They include *Birmaninemobius hirsutus* Xu *et al.* 2020b from earliest Cenomanian Burmese amber (98.79 ± 0.62 Ma), which belongs to the Trigonidiinae (Trigonidiidae) as it shares several of the apomorphies used to describe this subfamily (Xu *et al.* 2020b; Desutter-Grandcolas *et al.* 2021); the oecanthine *Birmanioecanthus haplostichus* Yuan *et al.*, 2022 and *Apiculatus cretaceus* Yuan *et al.*, 2022, and the two fossils from Albian–Cenomanian French amber described in the present paper, i.e. *Palaeonemobius occidentalis* Laurent and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.**, **sp. nov.** (Trigonidiidae, Nemobiinae) and *Picogryllus carentonensis* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.**, **sp. nov.** (Oecanthidae, Podoscirtinae *sensu* Campos *et al.* 2022). The latter species are the oldest representatives of their respective subfamily, pushing back the presence of Nemobiinae and Podoscirtinae from the Eocene to the mid-Cretaceous, c. 100 Ma. *Palaeonemobius occidentalis* Laurent and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.**, **sp. nov.**, together with *B. hirsutus*, are congruent with the calibration of the cricket family Trigonidiidae. In the same way, *Picogryllus carentonensis* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.**, **sp. nov.**, together with *Birmanioecanthus haplostichus* and *Apiculatus cretaceus*, are congruent with the presence of the sister subfamilies Oecanthinae and Podoscirtinae in the early- to mid-Cretaceous, pushing back the diversification of Oecanthidae and Gryllidae deeper in the past as reconstructed by Campos *et al.* (2022) for the first time.

Taken together, these Cretaceous amber taxa include representatives of both cricket superfamilies (Grylloidea, Gryllotalpoidea), and of five of the seven main cricket clades (Gryllotalpidae, Mogoplistidae, Trigonidiidae, Gryllidae and Oecanthidae) acknowledged today on phylogenetic bases. It should be added that the Early Cretaceous occurrence of ant-loving crickets family Myrmecophilidae is also attested by *Araripemyrmecophilops gracilis* Martins-Neto, 1991. Finally, the only cricket family not yet

discovered in the Cretaceous is the Phalangopsidae, documented only by a few recent fossils, from the Middle Eocene (*Electrogryllus septentrionalis* (Chopard, 1936), *Eozacla Gorochov*, 2012 in Gorochov & Labandeira (2012), *Eotrella Gorochov*, 2012 in Gorochov & Labandeira (2012)) and the Early Miocene (*Araneagryllus dylani* Heads, 2010) (Cigliano *et al.* 2022b). As the sister group of the clade (Gryllidae + Oecanthidae), the Phalangopsidae was already present during the Cretaceous. The Phalangopsidae are most often large crickets that may either escape when partly glued in resin, or get ruined during fossilization in the standing water of ancient lakes in which the Orthoptera have a general tendency to float and decay before being buried. Thanks to the Cretaceous amber deposits, all the main cricket clades proved to be already present and diversified by the Early–Late Cretaceous boundary. These data will help calibrate future phylogenetic analyses of crickets, using a large sample of taxa to take into account their huge morphological diversity.

As said above, amber crickets are actually of very small sizes, and *Picogryllus carentonensis* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.**, **sp. nov.** is the smallest known adult male cricket (3.3 mm long) with a full, functional stridulatory apparatus ever documented in the whole infra-order. It should be noted in this respect that although extremely small for a cricket, *Picogryllus carentonensis* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.**, **sp. nov.** is far bigger than the smallest insects ever found and does not present the morphological reduction currently associated with miniaturized insects (see Polilov, 2015; Minelli & Fusco, 2019): on the contrary, it looks like a perfect cricket! Its very small size is anyhow a puzzling trait as far as the functioning of its stridulatory apparatus and its putative acoustic signals are concerned. The smaller the insects, the higher the frequency and the lower the intensity of the acoustic signals: *Picogryllus carentonensis* Josse and Desutter-Grandcolas, **gen. nov.**, **sp. nov.** certainly emitted acoustic signals to reproduce, but these signals may have been quite high in frequency, with a poor propagating range in the environment and so little efficiency to attract potential mates (Bennet-Clark, 1998). Reducing the power of an acoustic signal is a common way to avoid acoustically orienting predators. In katydids (Tettigoniidae), a modern acoustic communication may exist since the Jurassic (Gu *et al.* 2012) and predation may have been a burden since the Permian (Garrouste *et al.* 2016). Crickets, yet more ancient than katydids (Nel, 2021), may have faced these biological interactions even deeper in the past and circumvented them with novel communication systems: emitting high-frequency calls in a world without bats may have been one of them.

Acknowledgements. We warmly thank Thierry Lenglet for the donation of the amber piece from La Buzinie, and the Marchand family for permitting access to the Font-de-Benon quarry and collection of amber. We are also grateful to Didier Néraudeau for his efforts and multiple contributions to the collection and study of Charentese amber; Paul Tafforeau and Malvina Lak (ESRF) for their contribution to the synchrotron imaging of the specimens; and two anonymous reviewers who improved our manuscript.

Funding statement. Financial support for field studies, collection, and synchrotron imaging of Charentese amber was provided by the French National Research Agency (ANR, project AMBRACE n° BLAN07-1-184190 to D. Néraudeau). Support for imaging used in the present study was provided by the ESRF through attribution of beamtime on the beamline ID19.

Declaration of interest. The authors of the paper declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Bailey WJ (1991). *Acoustic Behaviour of Insects: An Evolutionary Perspective*. New York: Chapman and Hall, xv + 225 pp.
- Bennet-Clark H (1998). Size and scale effect as constraints in insect sound communication. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, B, Biological Sciences* **153**, 407–19. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/56461>
- Béthoux O (2012). Grylloptera – a unique origin of the stridulatory file in katydids, crickets, and their kin (Archaeorthoptera). *Arthropod Systematics & Phylogeny* **70**, 43–68.
- Béthoux O and Nel A (2002). Venation pattern and revision of Orthoptera sensu nov. and sister groups: phylogeny of Palaeozoic and Mesozoic Orthoptera sensu nov. *Zootaxa* **96**, 1–88. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.96.1.1>
- Campos LD, Souza-Dias PGB, Audino GA, Desutter-Grandcolas L and Nihei SS (2022). The fifth family of the true crickets, Oecanthidae n. status (Ensifera, Grylloidea): phylogenetic relationship and divergence times. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **20**, 1–44. <https://doi.org/10.1093/zoolinnean/zlac066>
- Campos LD, Souza-Dias PGB, Desutter-Grandcolas L and Nihei SS (2021). Colonization of different biomes drove the diversification of the Neotropical *Eidmanacris* crickets (Insecta: Orthoptera: Grylloidea: Phalangopsidae). *PLOS ONE* **16**, e0245325. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245325>
- Chang H, Qiu Z, Yuan H., Wang X, Li X, Sun H, Guo X, Lu Y, Feng X, Majid M and Huang Y (2020). Evolutionary rates of and selective constraints on the mitochondrial genomes of Orthoptera insects with different wing types. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **145**, 106734. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2020.106734>
- Chintauan-Marquier IC, Legendre F, Hugel S, Robillard T, Grandcolas P, Nel A, Zuccon D and Desutter-Grandcolas L (2016). Laying the foundations of evolutionary and systematic studies in crickets (Insecta, Orthoptera): a multilocus phylogenetic analysis. *Cladistics* **32**, 54–81. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cla.12114>
- Chivers BD, Béthoux O, Sarria-S FA, Jonsson T, Mason AC and Montealegre-Z F (2017). Functional morphology of tegmina-based stridulation in the relict species *Cyphoderris monstrosa* (Orthoptera: Ensifera: Prophalangopsidae). *Journal of Experimental Biology* **220**, 1112–21. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.153106>
- Choe JC and Crespi BJ (1997). *The Evolution of Mating Systems in Insects and Arachnids*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, x + 387 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511721946>
- Chopard L (1936). Orthoptères fossiles et subfossiles de l'ambre et du copal. *Annales de la Société entomologique de France* **1936**, 375–86.
- Chopard L (1956). Some crickets from South America (Grylloidea and Tridactyloidea). *Proceedings of the US National Museum* **106**, 241–93.
- Cigliano MM, Braun H, Eades DC and Otte D (2021). *Orthoptera Species File. Version 5.0/5.0* (accessed 21 January 2021). <http://Orthoptera.SpeciesFile.org>
- Cigliano MM, Braun H, Eades DC and Otte D (2022a). *Orthoptera Species File. Version 5.0/5.0* (accessed 30 August 2022). <http://Orthoptera.SpeciesFile.org>
- Cigliano MM, Braun H, Eades DC and Otte D (2022b). *Orthoptera Species File. Version 5.0/5.0* (accessed 20 December 2022). <http://Orthoptera.SpeciesFile.org>
- Coram R and Jepson JE (2012). *Fossil Insects of the Purbeck Formation of Southern England: Palaeoentomology from the Dawn of the Cretaceous?* UK: Siri Scientific Press, 144 pp.
- Desutter-Grandcolas L, Jacquelin L, Hugel S, Boistel R, Garrouste R, Henrotay M, Warren BH, Chintauan-Marquier IC, Nel P, Grandcolas P and Nel A (2017). 3-D imaging reveals four extraordinary cases of convergent evolution of acoustic communication in crickets and allies (Insecta). *Scientific Reports* **7**, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-06840-6>
- Dejax J and Masure E (2005). Analyse palynologique de l'argile lignitifère à ambre de l'Albien terminal d'Archeingay (Charente-Maritime, France). *Comptes Rendus Palevol* **4**, 53–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crpv.2004.12.002>
- Desutter L (1987). Structure et évolution du complexe phallique des Grylloidea (Orthoptera) et classification des genres néotropicaux de Grylloidea. 1ère partie. *Annales de la Société entomologique de France (N.S.)* **23**, 213–39. <https://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/bpt6k6139207n>.
- Desutter-Grandcolas L (2003). Phylogeny and the evolution of acoustic communication in extant Ensifera (Insecta, Orthoptera). *Zoologica Scripta* **32**, 525–61. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1463-6409.2003.00142.x>
- Desutter-Grandcolas L (2015). Phalangopsidae crickets from Tropical Africa (Orthoptera, Grylloidea), with descriptions of new taxa and an identification key for African genera. *Zootaxa* **3948**, 451–496. <http://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3948.3.5>
- Desutter-Grandcolas L, Hugel S, Nel A, Warren BH, Souza Dias P and Chintauan-Marquier IC (2021). Updated diagnoses for the cricket family Trigonidiidae (Insecta: Orthoptera: Grylloidea) and its subfamilies (Trigonidiinae, Nemobiinae), with a review of the fossil record. *Zoologischer Anzeiger* **294**, 80–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2021.06.004>
- Ding N, Liu Y-J, Liao X-Y, Shen C-Z and He Z-Q (2021). A new species of *Parapteronemobius* from Zhejiang, China (Orthoptera: Trigonidiidae: Nemobiinae). *Zootaxa* **4985**, 294e300. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4985.2.13>.
- Dong J, Kergoat GJ, Vicente N, Rahmadi C, Xu S and Robillard T (2018). Biogeographic patterns and diversification dynamics of the genus *Cardiodactylus* Saussure (Orthoptera, Grylloidea, Eneopterinae) in Southeast Asia. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **129**, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2018.06.001>
- Edgecombe GD (2010). Palaeomorphology: fossils and the inference of cladistic relationships. *Acta zoologica (Stockholm)* **91**, 72–80. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6395.2009.00426.x>
- Flores JR, Bippus AC, Suárez GM and Hyvönen J (2021). Defying death: incorporating fossils into the phylogeny of the complex thalloid liverworts (Marchantiidae, Marchantiophyta) confirms high order clades but reveals discrepancies in family-level relationships. *Cladistics* **37**, 231–47. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cla.12442>
- Garrouste R, Hugel S, Jacquelin L, Rostan P, Steyer J-S, Desutter-Grandcolas L and Nel A (2016). Insect mimicry of plants dates back to the Permian. *Nature Communications*, **7**, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13735>
- Gerhardt HC and Huber F (2002). *Acoustic Communication in Insects and Anurans*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, xi + 531 pp.
- Gorochov AV (2010). New and little known orthopteroid insects (Polyneoptera) from fossil resins: Communication 3. *Paleontological Journal* **44**, 434–50.
- Gorochov AV (2021). The oldest representative of the superfamily Hagloidea (Orthoptera) from the Upper Permian of Russia, with remarks on katydid-like forms from the Paleozoic. *Paleontological Journal* **55**, 552–8. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0031030121050063>
- Gorochov AV and Labandeira CO (2012). Eocene Orthoptera from Green River formation of Wyoming (USA). *Russian Entomological Journal* **21**, 357–70.
- Gu J-J, Montealegre-Z F, Roberts D, Engel MS, Qian G-X and Ren D (2012). Wing stridulation in a Jurassic katydid (Insecta, Orthoptera) produced low-pitched musical calls to attract females. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **109**, 3869–73. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.111837210>
- Gwynne DT (1995). Phylogeny of the Ensifera (Orthoptera): a hypothesis supporting multiple origins of acoustical signalling, complex spermatophores and maternal care in crickets, katydids and wetas. *Journal of Orthoptera Research* **4**, 203–18. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3503478>
- Gwynne GT and Morris GK (1983). *Orthopteran Mating Systems*. Colorado, Boulder: Westview Press, xvii + 376 pp.
- He Z-Q, Shen C-Z and Wu X (2020). The first phylogenetic study of sword-tail crickets from China inferred from COI, 18S and 28S genes, with the establishment of two new genera and description of one new species (Orthoptera: Grylloidea: Trigonidiidae). *Zootaxa* **4890**, 119–28. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4890.1.7>
- Heads S (2010). The first fossil spider cricket (Orthoptera: Gryllidae: Phalangopsinae): 20 million years of troglomorphy or exaptation in the dark? *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **158**, 56–65. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2009.00587.x>
- Heath TA, Huelsenbeck JP and Stadler T (2014). The fossilised birth-death process for coherent calibration of divergence-time estimates. *Proceedings*

- of the National Academy of Sciences E2957–E2966. <http://pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1319091111>
- Hugel S and Desutter-Grandcolas L.** (2021). New intertidal crickets from Comoros and Mascarene islands (Orthoptera: Trigonidiidae: Nemobiinae: Burcini). *Zootaxa* **4995**, 1–26.
- Jiang X, Xu C, Jarzembowski EA and Xiao C** (2022). A peculiar species of mole cricket (Orthoptera: Gryllotalpidae) from mid-Cretaceous Kachin amber of northern Myanmar. *Cretaceous Research* **139**, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2022.105273>
- Jouault C, Legendre F, Grandcolas P and Nel A** (2021a). Revising dating estimates and the antiquity of eusociality in termites using the fossilized birth-death process. *Systematic Entomology* **46**, 592–610. <https://doi.org/10.1111/syen.12477>
- Jouault C, Maréchal A, Condamine FL, Wang B, Nel A, Legendre F and Perrichot V** (2021b). Including fossils in phylogeny: a glimpse into the evolution of the superfamily Evanioidea (Hymenoptera: Apocrita) under tip-dating and the fossilized birth–death process. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **194**, 1396–423. <https://doi.org/10.1093/zoolin/leaa034>
- Kearney M and Clark JM** (2003). Problems due to missing data in phylogenetic analyses including fossils: a critical review. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **23**, 263–74. [https://doi.org/10.1671/0272-4634\(2003\)023\[0263:PDTMDI\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1671/0272-4634(2003)023[0263:PDTMDI]2.0.CO;2)
- Lak M, Azar D, Nel A, Néraudeau D and Tafforeau P** (2008a). The oldest representative of the Trichomyiinae (Diptera : Psychodidae) from the Lower Cenomanian French amber studied with phase-contrast synchrotron X-ray imaging. *Invertebrate Systematics* **22**, 471–8. <https://doi.org/10.1071/IS08008>
- Lak M and Nel A** (2009). An enigmatic diapriid wasp (Insecta, Hymenoptera) from French Cretaceous amber. *Geodiversitas* **31**, 137–44.
- Lak M, Néraudeau D, Nel A, Cleotens P, Perrichot V and Tafforeau P** (2008b). Phase contrast X-ray synchrotron imaging: opening access to fossil inclusions in opaque amber. *Microscopy and Microanalysis* **14**, 251–9. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1431927608080264>
- Liu Y-J, Yu Z-Y and He, Z-Q** (2022). A new genus with a new species of cricket from mid-Cretaceous Burmese Kachin amber (Orthoptera: Trigonidiidae). *Cretaceous Research* **138**, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2022.105269>
- Martins-Neto RG** (1991). Sistemática dos Ensifera Insecta (Orthopteroidea) de Formação Santana (Cretáceo inferior do Nordeste do Brasil). *Acta Geologica Leopoldensia* **32**, 5–160.
- Martins-Neto RG** (1995). Complementos ao estudo sobre os Ensifera (Insecta, Orthopteroidea) da Formação Santana, Cretáceo Inferior do Nordeste do Brasil. *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* **39**, 321–45.
- Mendelson TC and Shaw KL** (2005). Rapid speciation in an arthropod. *Nature* **433**, 375–6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/433375a>
- Minelli A and Fusco G** (2019). No limits: breaking constraints in insect miniaturization. *Arthropod Structure & Development* **48**, 4–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asd.2018.11.009>
- Nel A** (2021). Impact of the choices of calibration points for molecular dating: a case study of Ensifera. *Palaeoentomology* **4**, 228–30. <https://doi.org/10.11646/palaeoentomology.4.3.9>
- Néraudeau D, Perrichot V, Dejaj J, Masure E, Nel A, Philippe M, Moreau P, Guillocheau F and Guyot T** (2002). Un nouveau gisement à ambre insectifère et à végétaux (Albien terminal probable): Archingeay (Charente-Maritime, France). *Geobios* **35**, 233–40. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0016-6995\(02\)00024-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0016-6995(02)00024-4)
- Otte D** (1992). Evolution of cricket song. *Journal of Orthoptera Research* **1**, 25–49.
- Otte D** (1994). *The Crickets of Hawaii, Origin, Systematics and Evolution*. The Orthopterists' Society and The Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, vi + 396 pp.
- Perrichot V, Nel A and Néraudeau D** (2007). Schizopterid bugs (Insecta: Heteroptera) in mid-Cretaceous ambers from France and Myanmar (Burma). *Palaeontology* **50**, 1367–1374. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4983.2007.00721.x>
- Perrichot V, Néraudeau D, Azar D, Menier J-J and Nel A** (2002). A new genus and species of fossil mole cricket in the Lower Cretaceous amber of Charente-Maritime, SW France (Insecta: Orthoptera: Gryllotalpidae). *Cretaceous Research* **23**, 307–14. <https://doi.org/10.1006/cres.2002.1011>
- Perrichot V, Néraudeau D and Tafforeau P** (2010). Charentese amber. In *Biodiversity of Fossils in Amber from the Major World Deposits* (ed. D Penney), pp. 192–207. Manchester: Siri Scientific Press.
- Peyrot D, Barrón E, Polette F, Batten DJ and Néraudeau D** (2019). Early Cenomanian palynofloras and inferred resiniferous forests and vegetation types in Charentes (southwestern France). *Cretaceous Research* **94**, 168–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2018.10.011>
- Peyrot D, Jolly D and Barrón E** (2005). Apport de données palynologiques à la reconstruction paléoenvironnementale de l'Albo-Cénomaniens des Charentes (Sud-Ouest de la France). *Comptes Rendus Palevol* **4**, 151–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crpv.2004.11.016>
- Poinar GO, Jr, Su YN and Brown A** (2020). A new genus of crickets (Orthoptera: Gryllidae) in mid-Cretaceous Myanmar amber. *Biosis: Biological Systems* **1**, 33–8. <https://doi.org/10.37819/biosis.001.01.0049>
- Polette F** (2019). Les assemblages palynologiques continentaux du Crétacé inférieur de France (Tithonien–Cénomaniens) : paléoenvironnements, paléoclimats, stratigraphie, et taxonomie. Published PhD thesis, Rennes I University. <https://theses.hal.science/tel-02529241v1>
- Polilov AA** (2015). Small is beautiful: features of the smallest insects and limits to miniaturization. *Annual Review of Entomology* **60**, 103–21. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-010814-020924>
- Pollack GS, Mason AC, Popper AN and Fay RR** (2016). *Insect Hearing*. New York: Springer, xiii + 262. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-28890-1_1
- Schubnel T, Desutter-Grandcolas L, Garrouste R, Hervet S and Nel A** (2020a). Paleocene of Menat Formation, France, reveals an extraordinary diversity of orthopterans and the last known survivor of a Mesozoic Elcanidae. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* **65**, 371–85. <https://doi.org/10.4202/app.0676.2019>
- Schubnel T, Desutter-Grandcolas L, Legendre F, Prokop J, Mazurier A, Garrouste R, Grandcolas P and Nel A** (2020b). To be or not to be: postcubital vein in insects revealed by microtomography. *Systematic Entomology* **45**, 327–36. <https://doi.org/10.1111/syen.12399>
- Schubnel T, Mazurier A, Nel A, Grandcolas P, Desutter-Grandcolas L, Legendre F and Garrouste R** (in press). Flat does not mean 2D: using X-ray microtomography to study insect wings in 3D. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*.
- Sharov AG** (1968). Filogeniya ortopteroidnykh nasekomykh. *Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta, Akademiya Nauk S.S.S.R.* **118**, 1–216 (in Russian). [English trans., 1971: *Phylogeny of the Orthopteroidea*. Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem: Keter Press, 251 pp.]
- Shen C-Z, Guo P-K and He Z-Q** (2020). A pilot phylogeny study of Nemobiinae inferred from 18S, 28S and COI genes, with descriptions of two new genera and a new species from Hainan, China (Orthoptera: Grylloidea: Trigonidiidae). *Zootaxa* **4778**, 383–90. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4778.2.9>
- Song H, Amédégato C, Cigliano MM, Desutter-Grandcolas L, Heads SW, Huang Y, Otte D and Whiting MF** (2015). 300 million years of diversification: elucidating the patterns of orthopteran evolution based on comprehensive taxon and gene sampling. *Cladistics* **31**, 621–51. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cla.12116>
- Song HJ, Béthoux O, Shin S, Donath A, Letsch H, Liu SL, McKenna DD, Meng GL, Misof B, Podsiadlowski L, Zhou X, Wipfler B and Simon S** (2020). Phylogenomic analysis sheds light on the evolutionary pathways towards acoustic communication in Orthoptera. *Nature Communications* **11**, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18739-4Tan>
- Tan MK, Malem J, Legendre F, Dong J, Baroga-Barbecho J, Yap SA, Wahad R, Japir R, Chung AYC and Robillard T** (2021). Phylogeny, systematics and evolution of calling songs of the Lebinthini crickets (Orthoptera, Grylloidea, Eneopterinae), with description of two new genera. *Systematic Entomology* **46**, 1060–87. <https://doi.org/10.1111/syen.12510>
- Vicente N, Kergoat GJ, Dong J, Yotoko K, Legendre F, Nattier R and Robillard T** (2017). In and out of the Neotropics: historical biogeography of Eneopterinae crickets. *Journal of Biogeography* **44**, 2199–210. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13026>

- Vrsansky P** (2008). Mesozoic relative of the common synanthropic German cockroach (Blattodea). *Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift* **55**, 215–21. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mmnd.200800022>
- Wang H, Lei X, Zhang G, Xu C, Fang Y, Zhang H et al.** (2020). The earliest Gryllotalpinae (Insecta, Orthoptera, Gryllotalpidae) from mid-Cretaceous Burmese amber. *Cretaceous Research* **107**, 104292. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2019.104292>
- Xu C, Fang Y and He W** (2020a). A new mole cricket (Orthoptera: Gryllotalpidae) from mid-Cretaceous Burmese amber. *Cretaceous Research* **112**, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2020.104428>
- Xu C, Wang H, Fang Y, Jarzembowski EA and Zhuo D** (2022). *Chunxiania fania*: a new genus and species of mole cricket (Orthoptera: Ensifera: Gryllotalpidae) from mid-Cretaceous Kachin amber. *Cretaceous Research* **134**, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2022.105159>
- Xu CC, Zhang H, Jarzembowski EA and Fang Y** (2020b). The first ground cricket (Orthoptera: Trigonidiidae: Nemobiinae) from mid-Cretaceous Burmese amber. *Cretaceous Research* **115**, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2020.104481>
- Yuan W, Zheng C-J, Zheng Y-N, Ma L-B and Gu J-J** (2022). The oldest representatives of tree crickets (Orthoptera: Gryllidae, Oecanthinae) from Northern Myanmar. *Insects* **13**, 619. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects13070619>
- Zeuner FE** (1939). *Fossil Orthoptera Ensifera*. London: British Museum (Natural History), 309 pp.