## A NOTE ON A GENERIC HYPERPLANE SEGTION OF AN ALGEBRAIC VARIETY
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1. Introduction. Let $V$ be an irreducible algebraic variety of dimension $>1$ defined over a field $k$ in an affine $n$-space over $k$, and let $H$ be the generic hyperplane defined by $u_{0}+u_{1} X_{1}+\ldots+u_{n} X_{n}=0$, where $u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ are indeterminates over $k$. It is well known that:
(1) if $V$ is normal over $k$, then $V \cap H$ is normal over $k\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ (see [6]), and
(2) if $P$ is in the intersection $V \cap H$, then $P$ is absolutely simple on $V \cap H$ over $k\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ if and only if $P$ is absolutely simple on $V$ over $k$ (see $[\mathbf{2} ; \mathbf{5}]$ ).
In this paper we prove:
( $1^{\prime}$ ) if $V$ is factorial over $k$, then $V \cap H$ is also factorial over $k\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ (Theorem 3), and
(2') if $P$ is in $V \cap H$, then $P$ is normal on $V \cap H$ over $k\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ if and only if $P$ is normal on $V$ over $k$ (Theorem 2).
The relative case of (2) is a special case of Bertini's theorem [7, p. 138]; it can also be proved by the same argument as [6, Theorem 1]. In this paper, we give a new proof of the relative case of (2). In addition, we prove that if $V$ is factorial over $k$ at $P$, then $V \cap H$ is also factorial over $k\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ at $P$ (Theorem 4). I thank Professor A. Seidenberg for his suggestion to remove the restriction of $k$ being infinite from Lemma 4.
2. Notation and terminology. Let $V$ be an irreducible algebraic variety defined over a field $k$ in an affine $n$-space $A^{n}$ over $k$, i.e. $V$ is a subset of $A^{n}$ consisting of all zeros of a finite collection of polynomials in the polynomial ring $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ which generate a prime ideal in $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Let $(\xi)=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ be a generic point of $V$ over $k$, let $Q=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)$ be a point on $V$ with $\mathfrak{q}$ as the prime ideal in the coordinate ring $k[\xi]$, and let $k[\xi]_{\mathfrak{q}}$ be the local ring of $V$ at $Q$ in the function field $k(\xi)$. $Q$ is simple on $V$ over $k$ if $k[\xi]_{q}$ is a regular local ring. $Q$ is factorial on $V$ over $k$ if $k[\xi]_{q}$ is factorial (i.e. a unique factorization domain). $Q$ is normal on $V$ over $k$ if $k[\xi]_{a}$ is integrally closed in $k(\xi) . V$ is factorial over $k$ if $k[\xi]$ is factorial. The term simple point as defined here is a relative notion over $k$ in contrast to the notion of an absolute simple point over $k$, which is defined by the classical Jacobian

[^0]criterion. Namely, if $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{s}$ is a set of defining polynomials of $V$ over $k$, $P$ is absolutely simple on $V$ over $k$ if
$$
\operatorname{rank}\left(\frac{\partial F_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right)_{P}=n-r,
$$
where $r=\operatorname{dim} V$. These two concepts are equivalent if $k$ is a perfect field (see [8]). Let $u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ be $n+1$ indeterminates over $k(\xi)$. The plane $H$, given by $u_{0}+u_{1} X_{1}+\ldots+u_{n} X_{n}=0$, is called a generic hyperplane. $V \cap H$ is an irreducible variety defined over $k(u)=k\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ (see [6]). Let $\tau$ be an indeterminate over $k(\xi)$. If $P$ is a prime ideal in $k[\xi]$, then $p \cdot k(\tau)[\xi]$ is a prime ideal in $k(\tau)[\xi]$ (see [2]). We denote the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \cdot k(\tau)[\xi]$ by $\boldsymbol{p}^{e}$, and for a prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ in $k(\tau)[\xi]$, we denote the prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \cap k[\xi]$ by $\mathfrak{q}^{c}$. Let $A$ be an integral domain with $K$ as its quotient field and let $A^{\prime}$ be the integral closure of $A$ in $K$. If $\mathbb{C}=\left\{x \in A \mid x A^{\prime} \subset A\right\}$, we call $\mathbb{C}$ the conductor of $A . A$ is integrally closed if and only if $\mathbb{C}=(1) \cdot A$ (see $[9]$ ).

## 3. Results.

Lemma 1. (a) $k[\xi]_{p}$ is regular if $k(\tau)[\xi]_{p}$ e is regular, and (b) $k(\tau)[\xi]_{a}$ is regulur if $k[\xi]$ वc is regular.

Proof. [7, p. 132, Lemma 2].
Lemma 2. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{\tau}$ be the conductors of $k[\xi]$ and $k(\tau)[\xi]$, respectively. Then $\mathfrak{C} \cdot k(\tau)[\xi]=\mathfrak{C}_{\tau}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{\tau} \cap k[\xi]=\mathfrak{C}$.

Proof. Let $k[\xi]^{\prime}$ and $k(\tau)[\xi]^{\prime}$ be the integral closures of $k[\xi]$ and $k(\tau)[\xi]$ in $k(\xi)$ and $k(\tau, \xi)$, respectively. If $\alpha(\tau, \xi) \in k(\tau)[\xi]^{\prime}$, then there exists $d(\tau) \in k[\tau]$ such that $d(\tau) \alpha(\tau, \xi)$ is integral over the polynomial ring $k(\xi)[\tau]$. Hence $d(\tau) \alpha(\tau, \xi) \in k(\xi)[\tau]$, since $k(\xi)[\tau]$ is a unique factorization domain and therefore is integrally closed. Thus $d(\tau) \alpha(\tau, \xi)=a_{0}(\xi)+\ldots+a_{n}(\xi) \tau^{n}$. Replacing $\tau$ by $n+1$ values $\lambda_{i}$ from the algebraic closure $\bar{k}$ of $k$, we see that $a_{0}(\xi)+a_{1}(\xi) \lambda_{i}+\ldots+a_{n}(\xi) \lambda_{i}{ }^{n}$ is integral over $\bar{k}[\xi]$ for each $i$. Therefore each $a_{i}(\xi)$ is integral over $\bar{k}[\xi]$ and hence, integral over $k[\xi]$. Now, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $z a_{i}(\xi) \in k[\xi]$, for $i=0,1,2, \ldots, n$, and $z \alpha(\tau, \xi) \cdot d(\tau) \in k[\xi][\tau]$. Thus $\mathfrak{C} \cdot k(\tau)[\xi] \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\tau}$. On the other hand, let $z \in \mathfrak{C}_{\tau}$. Then there exists $e(\tau) \in k[\tau]$ such that $e z \in k[\xi][\tau]$. Therefore, $e z=b_{0}(\xi)+\ldots+b_{m}(\xi) \tau^{m}$, where $b_{i}(\xi) \in k[\xi]$ for $i=0,1,2, \ldots, m$. Let $\beta$ be any element in $k[\xi]^{\prime}$ so that $z \beta \in k(\tau)[\xi]$ and $e z \beta \in k[\tau][\xi]$. Thus, $b_{i}(\xi) \beta \in k[\xi]$ for $i=0,1,2, \ldots, m$, and hence $b_{i}(\xi) \in \mathbb{C}$ for $i=0,1,2, \ldots, m$. It follows that $\mathbb{C}_{\tau} \subset \mathfrak{C} \cdot k(\tau)[\xi]$. The second equality in the lemma follows immediately from the first one and the fact that $k(\tau)[\xi] \cap k(\xi)=k[\xi]$.

As a consequence we have the following result.
Corollary 1. $k[\xi]$ is integrally closed if and only if $k(\tau)[\xi]$ is integrally closed.
Corollary 2. (a) $k[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is integrally closed if $k(\tau)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p e}}$ is integrally closed.
(b) $k(\tau)[\xi]_{a}$ is integrally closed if $k[\xi]_{a c}$ is integrally closed.

Proof. (a) By [9, p. 269, Lemma], the conductors of $k(\tau)[\xi]_{\text {pe }}$ and $k[\xi]_{\mathrm{p}}$ are $\mathfrak{C}_{\tau} \cdot k(\tau)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p e}}$ and $\mathfrak{C} \cdot k[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}}$, respectively. As $k(\tau)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap k(\xi)=k[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}}$, it follows that $\mathscr{C}_{\tau} \cdot k(\tau)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap k[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}}=\mathbb{E} \cdot k[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus, if $k(\tau)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p e}}$ is integrally closed, then $\mathfrak{C}_{\tau} \cdot k(\tau)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p e}}=(1) \cdot k(\tau)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p e}}$. It follows that $\mathbb{C} \cdot k[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}}=(1) \cdot k[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and hence $k[\xi]_{\mathrm{p}}$ is integrally closed.
(b) This is immediate, since $k(\tau)[\xi]_{a} \supset k[\xi]_{a c}$ and also $\mathbb{C} \cdot k[\xi]_{a c}=(1) \cdot k[\xi]_{a c}$ implies that $\mathbb{C}_{\tau} \cdot k(\tau)[\xi]_{q}=(1) \cdot k(\tau)[\xi]$.

Lemma 3. Let $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ be indeterminates over $k(\xi)$, let

$$
\bar{u}_{0}=-\left(u_{1} \xi_{1}+\ldots+u_{n} \xi_{n}\right)
$$

and let the conductor of $k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi]$ be $\mathfrak{C}_{0}$. Then

$$
\mathfrak{S}_{0}=\mathfrak{C} \cdot k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi] .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2, © $\cdot k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]$ is the conductor of $k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]$. Also $k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi]=k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]_{s}$, where $S=k\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right]-\{0\}$. By [9, p. 269, Lemma], © $\mathfrak{C}_{0}=\mathfrak{C} \cdot k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]_{S}=\mathfrak{C} \cdot k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi]$.

Theorem 1. Let $V$ be an irreducible $\gamma$-dimensional variety defined over $k$ with $\gamma \geqq 2$. Let $P$ be a point on the generic hyperplane section $V \cap H$ of $V$ and $H$ over $k\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$. Then $P$ is simple on $V$ over $k$ if and only if $P$ is simple on $V \cap H$ over $k\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{u}{ }^{\prime}$ be the prime ideal of $P$ in $k(u)[\xi] . k(u)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}_{u^{\prime}}}$ is the local ring of $V$ at $P$ over $k(u)$. Since $\left(u_{0}+u_{1} \xi_{1}+\ldots+u_{n} \xi_{n}\right) \cdot k(u)[\xi]_{p_{u^{\prime}}}$ is a prime ideal [6, p. 367, Lemma 3], the local ring of $V \cap H$ at $P$ over $k(u)$ is isomorphic to $k(u)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}} /\left(u_{0}+u_{1} \xi_{1}+\ldots+u_{n} \xi_{n}\right) \cdot k(u)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}_{u^{\prime}}}$. If $P$ is simple on $V$ over $k$, then, by Lemma $1, k(u)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}_{u^{\prime}}}$ is a regular local ring. In order to prove that $P$ is simple on $V \cap H$ over $k(u)$, we need only prove that $u_{0}+u_{1} \xi_{1}+\ldots+$ $u_{n} \xi_{n} \notin \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{p}_{u^{\prime}}{ }^{2}}$, where $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{p}_{u^{\prime}}}$ is the maximal ideal of $k(u)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}_{u^{\prime}}}$. Suppose that $u_{0}+u_{1} \xi_{1}+\ldots+u_{n} \xi_{n} \in \mathfrak{M p}_{p_{u^{\prime}}}{ }^{2}$. Taking the partial derivative with respect to $u_{0}$, we have $1 \in \mathfrak{M p}_{p_{u^{\prime}}}$, a contradiction. Therefore $u_{0}+u_{1} \xi_{1}+\ldots+$ $u_{n} \xi_{n} \notin \mathfrak{M p}_{\mathbf{p}_{u^{\prime}}}$, and it follows from [10, p. 303, Theorem 26] that

$$
k(u)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}} /\left(u_{0}+u_{1} \xi_{1}+\ldots+u_{n} \xi_{n}\right) k(u)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}_{u^{\prime}}}
$$

is a regular local ring. Hence $P$ is simple on $V \cap H$ over $k(u)$. Conversely, if $P$ is simple on $V \cap H$ over $k(u)$, then

$$
k(u)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}_{u^{\prime}}} /\left(u_{0}+u_{1} \xi_{1}+\ldots+u_{n} \xi_{n}\right) k(u)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}_{u^{\prime}}}
$$

is a regular local ring. But the prime ideal $\left(u_{0}+u_{1} \xi_{1}+\ldots+u_{n} \xi_{n}\right) \cdot k(u)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}_{u^{\prime}}}$ is of height 1 . It follows from [4, p. 28, (9.11)] that $k(u)[\xi] \bar{p}_{u^{\prime}}$ is a regular local ring. Now $\left(\mathfrak{p}_{u}{ }^{c}\right)^{e} \subset \mathfrak{p}_{u}{ }^{\prime}$ is a prime ideal, therefore

$$
k(u)[\xi]_{\left(\mathfrak{p}_{u^{\prime}} c\right) e}=\left(k(u)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}_{u^{\prime}}}\right)_{\left(\mathfrak{p}_{u^{\prime}} c e\right) \cdot k(u)\left[\xi \mathfrak{p}_{u^{\prime}}\right.}
$$

is a regular local ring. It follows from Lemma 1 that $k[\xi]_{p_{u^{\prime}}}$ is a regular local ring and hence $P$ is simple on $V$ over $K$.

Theorem 2. Let $V$ be an irreducible $\gamma$-dimensional variety defined over $k$ with $\gamma \geqq 2$. Let $P$ be a point on the generic hyperplane section $V \cap H$ over $k\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) . P$ is normal on $V$ over $k$ if and only if $P$ is normal on $V \cap H$ over $k\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$.

Proof. Let $P$ be a normal point on $V$ over $k$ and let $(\eta)$ be a generic point of $V \cap H$ over $k(u)$. Let $l=u_{0}+u_{1} \xi_{1}+\ldots+u_{n} \xi_{n}$, and

$$
\bar{u}_{0}=-\left(u_{1} \xi_{1}+\ldots+u_{n} \xi_{n}\right)
$$

and let $(l)$ be $(l) \cdot k(u)[\xi]$, the principal ideal in $k(u)[\xi]$ generated by $l$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
(l) \cap k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]=(0) \\
k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi] \cong k(u)[\xi] /(l) \cong k(u)[\eta],
\end{gathered}
$$

as pointed out in [6, p. 367, proof of Lemma 3]. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{u}, \mathfrak{p}_{u}{ }^{\prime}$ be the prime ideals determined by $P$ in $k(u)[\eta]$ and $k(u)[\xi]$ respectively, and let $\mathfrak{p}_{0}$ be the isomorphic copy of $\mathfrak{p}_{u}$ in $k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi]$. Then $\mathfrak{p}_{u}{ }^{\prime} \cap k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]$ is the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ determined by $P$ in $k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]=\mathfrak{p}_{0} \cap k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]$.

Let © be the conductor of $k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]$. Since

$$
k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi]_{S} \subset k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi]_{p_{0}}
$$

where $S=k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]-\mathfrak{p}$, we see that

$$
\mathfrak{E} \cdot k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathfrak{E} \cdot k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi] \mathfrak{p}_{0},
$$

which according to [9, p. 269, Lemma] and Lemma 3, are conductors of $k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi] \mathfrak{p}_{0}$, respectively. By Corollary 2 to Lemma $2, k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]_{\downarrow}$ is integrally closed. Therefore

$$
\mathfrak{C} \cdot k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}}=(1) \cdot k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}},
$$

and we have $\mathfrak{C} \cdot k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi] p_{p_{0}}=(1) \cdot k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi] p_{p_{0}}$. Hence $P$ is normal on $V \cap H$ over $k\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$.

The converse follows immediately from [4, p. 134, (36.9)].
Lemma 4. $k[\xi]$ is factorial if and only if $k(\tau)[\xi]$ is factorial.
Proof. If $k[\xi]$ is factorial, then $k[\xi][\tau]$ is factorial and hence $k[\xi][\tau]_{S}$ is factorial, where $S=k[\tau]-\{0\}$. Thus $k(\tau)[\xi]$ is factorial. Conversely, let $k(\tau)[\xi]$ be factorial and let $f(\xi) \in k[\xi]$ be an irreducible element. To show that $k[\xi]$ is factorial, we only need to show that the principal ideal $(f(\xi))$ is prime in $k[\xi]$. Suppose that $f(\xi)=g_{1}(\tau, \xi) \cdot h_{1}(\tau, \xi)$ in $k(\tau)[\xi]$. Multiplying both sides of $f(\xi)=g_{1}(\tau, \xi) \cdot h_{1}(\tau, \xi)$ by the denominator of $g_{1}(\tau, \xi) \cdot h_{1}(\tau, \xi)$, we may write $v(\tau) f(\xi)=g(\tau, \xi) h(\tau, \xi)$, where $v, f, g, h \in k[\tau, \xi](=k[\tau][\xi])$. We may also suppose that $v$ is monic. Let $\bar{k}$ be the algebraic closure of $k$ in $k(\xi)$. Then $\bar{k} \subset k[\xi]$. Indeed, we observe that $k(\tau)[\xi] \cap k(\xi)=k[\xi]$, and hence $k[\xi]$ is integrally closed. Now let $a \in \bar{k}$. Then $a$ is in $k(\xi)$ and is integral over $k[\xi]$, hence $a$ is also in $k[\xi]$. Therefore $\bar{k} \subset k[\xi]$. Thus, $k[\xi]=\bar{k}[\xi]$ and
$k(\tau)[\xi]=\bar{k}(\tau)[\xi]$. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that $k$ is algebraically closed in $k(\xi)$. Let $g_{0}(\xi)$ and $h_{0}(\xi)$ be the leading coefficients of $g(\tau, \xi)$ and $h(\tau, \xi)$, respectively. Then

$$
v(\tau)=\frac{g(\tau, \xi)}{g_{0}(\xi)} \cdot \frac{h(\tau, \xi)}{h_{0}(\xi)} .
$$

The coefficients of $g(\tau, \xi) / g_{0}(\xi)$ and of $h(\tau, \xi) / h_{0}(\xi)$ are algebraic over $k$ since they are algebraic sums of products of roots of $v(\tau)=0$; and they are in $k(\xi)$. Hence they are in $k$. Thus $g(\tau, \xi) / g_{0}(\xi)$ and $h(\tau, \xi) / h_{0}(\xi)$ are units in $k(\tau)[\xi]$. We have $f(\xi)=g_{0}(\xi) \cdot h_{0}(\xi)$, and so $g_{0}$ or $h_{0}$ is a unit in $k[\xi]$. Hence $g$ or $h$ is a unit in $k(\tau)[\xi]$. Thus $f(\xi)$ is irreducible in $k(\tau)[\xi]$ and $(f(\xi)) \cdot k(\tau)[\xi]$ is a prime ideal. Hence $(f(\xi)) \cdot k(\tau)[\xi] \cap k[\xi]=(f(\xi)) \cdot k[\xi]$ is a prime ideal.

Theorem 3. Let $V$ be an irreducible $\gamma$-dimensional variety defined over $k$ with $\gamma \geqq 2$. If $V$ is factorial over $k$, then $V \cap H$ is factorial over $k\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$.

Proof. By Lemma 4, $k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]$ is factorial. Let $(y)$ be a generic point of $V \cap H$ over $k(u)$. Then $k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, u_{0}\right)[y] \cong k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi]$, where $\bar{u}_{0}=-\left(u_{1} \xi_{1}+\ldots+u_{n} \xi_{n}\right)$. But $k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi]=k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]_{S}$, where $S=k\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right]-\{0\}$ and $k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]_{S}$ is factorial. Hence $k(u)[y]$ is factorial.

Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity, and let $S \subset R$ be a multiplicative system which does not contain 0 . Let $f$ be the canonical homomorphism of $R$ into $R_{S}$. For an ideal $\mathfrak{N}$ in $R$, let $\mathfrak{A}_{e}=f(\mathfrak{R}) \cdot R_{S}$, and for an ideal $\mathfrak{X}$ in $R_{S}$, let $\mathfrak{X}_{c}=f^{-1}(\mathfrak{X})$. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ be two ideals in $R$ and let $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ be two ideals in $R_{S}$. With respect to the operation of the quotient of two ideals, we have $(\mathfrak{H}: \mathfrak{B})_{e} \subset \mathfrak{H}_{e}: \mathfrak{B}_{e}$ and $(\mathfrak{X}: \mathfrak{D})_{c} \subset \mathfrak{X}_{c}: \mathfrak{D}_{c}$ [9, p. 219]. We give an elementary proof to the following proposition.

Proposition 1. Let $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{X}$, and $\mathfrak{D}$ be as above. Then
(a) $(\mathfrak{Y}: \mathfrak{B})_{e}=\mathfrak{H}_{e}: \mathfrak{B}_{e}$, if $\mathfrak{A} \supset \operatorname{Ker} f$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ is finitely generated and
(b) $(\mathfrak{X}: \mathfrak{D})_{c}=\mathfrak{X}_{c}: \mathfrak{D}_{c}$ if $\mathfrak{D}$ is finitely generated.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{B}=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{i}\right) \cdot R$. We have $\mathfrak{B}_{e}=\left(f\left(b_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(b_{t}\right)\right) \cdot R_{S}$. Let $x \in \mathfrak{H}_{e}: \mathfrak{B}_{e}$. Then $x \mathfrak{B}_{e} \subset \mathfrak{H}_{e}$ and $x f\left(b_{i}\right)=f\left(a_{i}\right) / f\left(s_{i}\right)$ for some $a_{i} \in \mathfrak{A}$, and $s_{i} \in S$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, t$. Therefore

$$
f\left(\prod_{i=1}^{t} s_{i}\right) x f\left(b_{j}\right) \in f(\mathfrak{Y}) \quad \text { for } j=1,2, \ldots, t
$$

For each $b \in f(\mathfrak{B}), b=\sum_{j=1}^{t} f\left(r_{j}\right) f\left(b_{j}\right)$ for some $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{t} \in R$. Now

$$
f\left(\prod_{i=1}^{t} s_{i}\right) x b=\sum_{j=1}^{t} f\left(\prod_{i=1}^{i} s_{i}\right) x f\left(r_{j}\right) f\left(b_{j}\right)
$$

which is in $f(\mathfrak{H})$. Therefore $f\left(\prod_{i=1}^{t} s_{i}\right) x \in f(\mathfrak{H}): f(\mathfrak{B})$. Hence

$$
x \in(f(\mathfrak{H}): f(\mathfrak{B})) \cdot R_{S} .
$$

Since $\mathfrak{H} \supset \operatorname{Ker} f$, by $[\mathbf{9}, \mathrm{p} .148,(15)], f(\mathfrak{H}): f(\mathfrak{B})=f(\mathfrak{R}: \mathfrak{B})$. Therefore $x \in(\mathfrak{A}: \mathfrak{B})_{e}$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{e}: \mathfrak{B}_{e}=(\mathfrak{R}: \mathfrak{B})_{e}$. The proof of (b) is similar.
Let $k$ be an infinite field, and let $\tau$ be an indeterminate over $k$. Let $\mathfrak{I}$ be an ideal in the polynomial ring $k(\tau)\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Let

$$
\overline{\mathfrak{U}}=\left\{g\left(a, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mid g\left(\tau, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in k\left[\tau, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] \cap \mathscr{U}\right\}
$$

be the specialization of $\mathfrak{A}$ over the specialization $\tau \rightarrow a \in k$. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ be two ideals in $k(\tau)\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and let $\mathfrak{A}: \mathfrak{B}=\left\{\gamma \in k(\tau)\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] \mid \gamma \mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{Q}\right\}$. It is well known that $\overline{\mathscr{Q}: \mathfrak{B}}=\overline{\mathfrak{Q}}: \overline{\mathfrak{B}}$ almost always, i.e. $\overline{\mathfrak{Q}: \mathfrak{B}}=\overline{\mathfrak{Q}}: \overline{\mathfrak{B}}$ for all but a finite number of elements in $k$ (see [1]). Let $Q=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)$ be a point in the affine space $A^{n}$ over $k$ with $q$ as its prime ideal in $k(\tau)\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, and let $\mathfrak{q}^{c}=\mathfrak{q} \cap k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be an ideal in the local ring $k(\tau)\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, and let
$\left.\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}=\left\{f\left(a, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mid f\left(\tau, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in k\left[\tau, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] \cap \mathfrak{X}\right\} \cdot k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]\right]_{o}$ be the specialization of $\mathfrak{X}$ over the specialization $x \rightarrow a \in k$. Thus $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}=\left(\mathfrak{X}_{c}\right)_{e}$.

Proposition 2. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ be two ideals in $k(\tau)\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{X}}: \widetilde{\mathfrak{D}}=\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}: \mathfrak{D}$ almost always.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ be two ideals in $k(\tau)\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ such that $\mathfrak{H} \cdot k(\tau)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{q}=\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{B} \cdot k(\tau)\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{q}=\mathfrak{D}$. By Proposition 1, we have $\widetilde{\mathfrak{X}: \mathfrak{D}}=\overline{\mathfrak{H}_{e}: \mathfrak{B}_{e}}=(\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}: \mathfrak{B})})_{e}$ and $\left(\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}: \mathfrak{B})_{e}}=\overline{(\sqrt[\mathfrak{H}]{\mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{B})_{e c}}\right)_{e}=\left(\overline{\left(\mathfrak{H}_{e}: \mathfrak{B}_{e}\right)_{c}}\right)_{e}=$ $\left(\overline{\mathfrak{H}_{e c}: \mathfrak{B}_{e c}}\right)_{e}$. By [1, p. 59, Satz 3], $\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}_{e c}: \mathfrak{B}_{e c}}\right)_{e}=\left(\overline{\mathfrak{A}_{e c}}: \overline{\mathfrak{B}_{e c}}\right)_{e}$ almost always, and by Proposition 1, $\left(\overline{\mathfrak{A}_{e c}}: \overline{\mathfrak{B}_{e c}}\right)_{e}=\left(\overline{\mathfrak{H}_{e c}}\right)_{e}:\left(\overline{\mathfrak{B}_{e c}}\right)_{e}=\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{e}: \mathfrak{\mathfrak { B }}_{e}=\mathfrak{\mathfrak { X }}: \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$. Thus we have $\widetilde{\mathfrak{X}: \mathfrak{D}}=\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}: \mathfrak{D}$ almost always.

Lemma 5. If $k[\xi]_{\mathrm{q}}$ is factorial, then $k(\tau)[\xi]_{\mathrm{a}}$ is factorial. Conversely, if $k(\tau)[\xi]_{\mathrm{pe}}$ is factorial and $k$ is infinite, then $k[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is factorial.

Proof. Assume that $k[\xi]_{\mathrm{ac}}$ is factorial. Since $k(\tau)[\xi]_{\mathrm{q}}=k[\tau][\xi]_{\mathrm{a}_{\cap k[\tau][\xi]}}=$ $\left(k[\xi] q_{c}[\tau]\right)_{q_{\cap k[\tau][\xi]}}$, we see that $k(\tau)[\xi]_{q}$ is factorial.

For the converse we use the fact that an integral domain $R$ is factorial if and only if for every two elements $a$ and $b$ in $R,(a):(b)$ is a principal ideal in $R$ [3, p. 370, Lemma 1]. Let $a(\xi)$ and $b(\xi)$ be any two elements which are non-zero and non-unit in $k[\xi]$ p. We proceed to prove that

$$
(a(\xi)) \cdot k[\xi]_{\mathrm{p}}:(b(\xi)) \cdot k[\xi]_{\mathrm{p}}
$$

is principal. Indeed, since $k(\tau)[\xi]_{p e}$ is factorial,

$$
(a(\xi)) \cdot k(\tau)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p e}}:(b(\xi)) \cdot k(\tau)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p e}}=(c(\tau, \xi)) \cdot k(\tau)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p e}}
$$

for some $c(\tau, \xi) \in k[\tau, \xi]$. Let $\mathfrak{T}$ be the prime ideal of $V$ in $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and let $\mathfrak{B}$ be the prime ideal in $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ containing $\mathfrak{T}$ such that $\mathfrak{B} / \mathfrak{T}=\mathfrak{p}$.

Assume that $a(\xi), b(\xi) \in k[\xi]$. We have, by [9, p. 148, (21)], that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(a\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \mathfrak{I}\right) \cdot k(\tau)\left[x_{1}, \ldots,\right. & \left.x_{n}\right]_{\mathfrak{B} e}:\left(b\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \mathfrak{T}\right) \cdot k(\tau)\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{\mathfrak{B} e} \\
& =\left(c\left(\tau, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \mathfrak{T}\right) \cdot k(\tau)\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{\mathfrak{B} e} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 2, there exists $\alpha \in k$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(a\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \mathfrak{I}\right) \cdot k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{\mathfrak{P}}: & \left(b\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \mathfrak{T}\right) \cdot k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{\mathfrak{B}} \\
& =\left(c\left(\alpha, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \mathfrak{T}\right) \cdot k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{\mathfrak{P}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing to the quotient, we have, by [9, p. 148, (15)],

$$
(a(\xi)) \cdot k[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}}:(b(\xi)) \cdot k[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}}=(c(\alpha, \xi)) \cdot k[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}}
$$

Theorem 4. Let $V$ be an irreducible $\gamma$-dimensional variety defined over $k$ with $\gamma \geqq 2$. Let $P$ be a point on the generic hyperplane section $V \cap H$ of $V$ and $H$ over $k\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$. If $V$ is factorial at $P$ over $k$, then $V \cap H$ is factorial at $P$ over $k\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$.

Proof. Using Lemma 5 and the inclusion relation,

$$
k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]_{p} \subset k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi]_{s} \subset k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi]_{p_{0}}
$$

where $S=k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]-\mathfrak{p}$ that appeared in the proof of Theorem 2, we see that $k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]_{\mathrm{p}}$ is factorial. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi]_{S} & =\left(k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]_{S}\right)_{k\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right]-\{0\}} \\
& =\left(k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]_{p}\right)_{k\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right]-\{0\}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\left(k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)[\xi]_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_{k\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right]-\{0\}}$ is factorial, we see that

$$
k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi]_{S}
$$

is factorial. On the other hand, we observe that $S \subset k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi]-p_{0}$ since $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{p}_{0} \cap k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi]$. Therefore

$$
k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi]_{p_{0}}=\left(k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi]_{S}\right)_{k\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \bar{u}_{0}\right)[\xi]-p_{0}}
$$

is factorial.
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