

# INTRODUCTION TO VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS AND CONTINUOUS GEOMETRY

Israel Halperin

(received June 22, 1960)

1. What is a von Neumann algebra? What is a factor (i) of type I, (ii) of type II, (iii) of type III? What is a projection geometry? And finally, what is a continuous geometry?

The questions recall some of the most brilliant mathematical work of the past 30 years, work which was done by John von Neumann, partly in collaboration with F. J. Murray, and which grew out of von Neumann's analysis of linear operators in Hilbert space.<sup>1)</sup>

2. Continuous geometries were discovered by von Neumann and most of our present knowledge of these geometries is due to him. The first continuous geometries which he found were projection geometries of certain rings of operators in a separable Hilbert space<sup>2)</sup> (see definition 3 below for the definition of a projection geometry). Roughly speaking, continuous geometries which are projection geometries are a generalization of complex-projective geometry somewhat in the way that Hilbert space is a generalization of finite dimensional Euclidean space. But to be more precise, we need some definitions.

DEFINITION 1. Suppose  $\mathcal{R}$  is a ring of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is called a von Neumann algebra if

(i)  $\mathcal{R}$  contains the multiplication operators  $cl^{2a}$  on  $H$  for every complex number  $c$ .

---

See p. 286 for footnotes.

Canad. Math. Bull. vol. 3, no. 3, September 1960

(ii)  $\mathcal{R}$  contains the adjoint operator  $T^*$  for each  $T$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ .

(iii)  $\mathcal{R}$  contains every  $T_0$  in the strong closure of  $\mathcal{R}$ .<sup>3)</sup> Von Neumann defined, for any family  $\mathcal{F}$  of bounded linear operators on  $H$ , the commutant  $\mathcal{F}'$  to consist of those bounded linear operators  $B$  on  $H$  such that  $BA = AB$  and  $BA^* = A^*B$  for all  $A$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . He showed that  $\mathcal{F}'$  is always a von Neumann algebra and he proved that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a von Neumann algebra if and only if  $(\mathcal{F}')' = \mathcal{F}$ .

DEFINITION 2. A von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{R}$  is called a factor if the only operators in  $\mathcal{R}$  which are also in  $\mathcal{R}'$  are the multiplication operators  $cl$  on  $H$ .<sup>4)</sup>

Von Neumann showed that a von Neumann algebra is a factor if and only if it is irreducible as a ring.<sup>5)</sup>

DEFINITION 3. Suppose  $\mathcal{R}$  is a ring of operators on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then the projection geometry of  $\mathcal{R}$ , denoted  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R})$ , is defined to be the system  $0, M, N, \dots, H$  of those non-empty closed linear subspaces of  $H$  which have projection (i.e., orthogonal projection) operators in  $\mathcal{R}$ .<sup>6)</sup>  $\mathcal{L}$  is to be considered as an ordered system, ordered by the relation of inclusion  $N \subset M$ .<sup>7)</sup>

If  $\mathcal{R}$  is a von Neumann algebra then its projection geometry  $\mathcal{L}$  is a lattice, in fact a complete lattice<sup>8)</sup> which is orthocomplemented.<sup>9)</sup> Furthermore, on this lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  there can be defined in a natural way, a relation of equivalence, as follows:  $M, N$  are called equivalent (written  $M \approx N$ ) if there exists in  $\mathcal{R}$  an operator  $T$  which maps  $M$  isometrically onto  $N$ .<sup>10)</sup> Von Neumann and Murray showed:

(i) If  $M, N$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  are such that some  $T$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  maps  $M$  in a  $(1, 1)$  way into a set dense in  $N$  then  $M \approx N$ .<sup>11)</sup>

(ii) The relation  $\approx$  is unrestrictedly additive for orthogonal families, that is, if  $M_\alpha \approx N_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha$  and the  $M_\alpha$  are mutually orthogonal and the  $N_\alpha$  are mutually orthogonal, then  $\bigcup M_\alpha \approx \bigcup N_\alpha$ .

(iii) If  $M, N$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  are such that  $M \approx N_1$  for some  $N_1 \subset N$  and  $N \approx M_1$  for some  $M_1 \subset M$ , then  $M \approx N$ .

(iv) If  $\mathcal{R}$  is a factor then for every pair  $M, N$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  either  $M \cong N_1$  for some  $N_1 \subset N$  or  $N \cong M_1$  for some  $M_1 \subset M$ .

Finally if  $\mathcal{R}$  is a factor, there exists a function  $d(M)$ , with real finite or infinite non-negative values, defined for the elements  $M$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ , such that:  $d(0) = 0$ ;  $d(M) \leq d(N)$  if  $M \cong N_1$  for some  $N_1 \subset N$ ;  $d(M) = d(N)$  if and only if  $M \cong N$ ;  $d(\cup M_\alpha) = \sum d(M_\alpha)$  if the  $M_\alpha$  are mutually orthogonal. <sup>12)</sup>

3. In analysing the structure of a projection geometry two definitions are useful.

DEFINITION 4. An element  $M \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  is called an atom if for every  $N$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ , the relations  $0 \neq N \subset M$  imply that  $N$  coincides with  $M$ .

DEFINITION 5. An element  $M$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  is called finite if  $N \cong M, N \subset M$  imply that  $N$  coincides with  $M$ . If  $M$  is not finite it is called infinite.

The factors  $\mathcal{R}$  are classified in types as follows:

- (i)  $\mathcal{R}$  is said to be of type I if  $\mathcal{L}$  contains an atom.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{R}$  is said to be of type II if  $\mathcal{L}$  does not contain any atom, but  $\mathcal{L}$  does contain some non-zero finite element.
- (iii)  $\mathcal{R}$  is said to be of type III if  $\mathcal{L}$  does not contain any non-zero finite element.

4. Factors of type I. If  $\mathcal{L}$  has atoms, then any two atoms are necessarily equivalent and any non-zero  $M$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  can be expressed as the union of an orthogonal family of atoms:  $M = \sum \oplus (M_\alpha; \alpha \in I)$  with the  $M_\alpha$  orthogonal atoms. It is not difficult to prove that the cardinality of  $I$  is uniquely determined by  $M$ ;  $d(M)$  can be defined to be the cardinality of  $I$  (this amounts to "normalizing" the function  $d(M)$  by the additional condition that  $d(\text{atom})$  should be 1). It is easy to prove that this function  $d(M)$  satisfies the conditions listed at the end of § 2. If  $a = d(H)$ , where  $a$  is a finite integer or infinite, we say  $\mathcal{R}$  is of type  $I_a$ .

In the case that  $H$  is a separable Hilbert space,  $a$  must be one of  $1, 2, \dots, n, \dots$  or  $\aleph_0$ . Murray and von Neumann wrote  $I_\infty$  to denote  $I_{\aleph_0}$ .

A factor  $\mathcal{R}$  has finite linear dimension as a vector space<sup>13)</sup> (this must be the case whenever  $H$  has finite dimension) if and only if  $\mathcal{R}$  is of type  $I_n$  for some finite  $n$ . In this case  $\mathcal{L}$ , considered as an ordered system, can be identified with the ordered system of all linear subsets of a complex-projective geometry, the atoms of  $\mathcal{L}$  being identified with the points of the projective geometry (the normalized function  $d(M)$  is then the projective geometry dimension increased by 1). If  $H$  has finite dimension then  $d(M)$  differs from the dimension of  $M$ , considered as a Hilbert space (see footnote 2), by a finite multiplicative factor; but if  $H$  has infinite dimension then all non-zero  $M$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  have infinite dimension as Hilbert spaces.

The case  $I_a$  occurs if  $\mathcal{R}$  is the ring of all bounded linear operators on an  $H$  of dimension  $a$ . Murray and von Neumann obtained the important result that every  $\mathcal{R}$  of type  $I_a$  is "essentially" such a ring of all bounded linear operators on an  $H$  of dimension  $a$ . To be precise, they defined the tensor product  $H_1 \otimes H_2$ <sup>14)</sup> of two Hilbert spaces  $H_1, H_2$  and they showed: a factor  $\mathcal{R}$  on a space  $H$  is of type  $I_a$  if and only if  $H$  can be expressed as a tensor product  $H_1 \otimes H_2$ , with  $H_1$  of dimension  $a$ , in such a way that  $\mathcal{R}$  is identified with the ring of those bounded linear operators on  $H$  which depend only on the factor  $H_1$ .<sup>15)</sup>

5. Factors of type II. If  $\mathcal{L}$  has no atoms then every  $M$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  can be expressed as the union of two orthogonal equivalent elements; in other words, each  $M$  can be decomposed orthogonally into two equivalent parts, each of which can be called a half- $M$  element.

If now  $\mathcal{L}$  has no atoms but  $F$  is a non-zero finite element, the function  $d(M)$  can be constructed in the following way, which amounts to "normalizing"  $d(M)$  by the additional condition that  $d(F) = 1$ .

Let  $F_1 = F$  and let  $F_{1/2}$  denote a half- $F_1$  element. By induction, let  $F_{1/2^{n+1}}$  denote a half- $F_{1/2^n}$  element for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Then an element  $M$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  is finite if and only if it can be expressed as a finite or countable union of orthogonal elements

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^r \oplus F'_i \oplus \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus F'_{1/2^n}$$

where  $r$  is finite,  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , each of  $F_1', \dots, F_r'$  is equivalent to  $F_1$ , and each  $F_{1/2^n}'$  is either 0 or equivalent to  $F_{1/2^n}$ ; the value of  $r + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/2^n)'$  where  $(1/2^n)' = 0$  or  $1/2^n$  according as  $(F_{1/2^n})'$  is 0 or is equivalent to  $F_{1/2^n}$ , will be uniquely determined by  $M$ . Choose this value to be  $d(M)$  if  $M$  is finite.

If  $M$  is infinite, then  $M$  can be expressed as the union of a countable or non-countable family  $I$  of orthogonal elements each equivalent to  $F_1$ , the cardinality of  $I$  being uniquely determined by  $M$ . Choose  $d(M)$  in this case to be the cardinality of  $I$ .

The function  $d(M)$  so defined for all  $M$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  will, in fact, be defined uniquely and will satisfy the conditions given at the end of § 2. This construction of the function does depend on the particular choice of finite element  $F$  as normalizing element, but a different choice for  $F$  will merely change the function  $d(M)$  by multiplying it by a finite non-zero positive constant; in particular, if  $M$  is infinite the value of  $d(M)$  is independent of the choice of  $F$ .

If  $H$  itself is finite it is convenient to choose  $H$  to be  $F$ . Then the values of  $d(M)$  are precisely all  $x$  in the interval  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ . In this case we say  $\mathcal{R}$  is of type  $II_1$ .

If  $H$  is infinite, and  $d(H) = a$  then the values of  $d(M)$  are precisely all real  $x \geq 0$  together with all infinite cardinals  $\leq a$ . In this case we say  $\mathcal{R}$  is of type  $II_a$ . If  $H$  is a separable Hilbert space then a factor of type  $II$  must be  $II_1$  or  $II_{\aleph_0}$ . Murray and von Neumann wrote  $II_{\infty}$  for  $II_{\aleph_0}$ .

6. Factors of type III. Suppose now that all non-zero elements in  $\mathcal{L}$  are infinite. It is not difficult to prove that there exists in  $\mathcal{L}$  a non-zero element  $M_0$  such that  $0 \neq N \subset M_0$  implies that  $N \cong M_0$ . Then every non-zero element  $M$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  can be expressed as the union of a family  $I$  of orthogonal elements each equivalent to this  $M_0$ :  $M = \sum_{\alpha \in I} M_{\alpha}$ ,  $M_{\alpha} \cong M_0$  for all  $\alpha$ . If  $M$  is  $\neq 0$  and is not equivalent to  $M_0$  then it is not difficult to prove that the cardinality of  $I$  is uniquely determined. Define  $d(M: M_0)$  to be the cardinality of  $I$ . If  $M = M_0$  the cardinality of  $I$  is not uniquely determined; define  $a$  to be the supremum of all cardinalities of such  $I$  (necessarily  $a \geq \aleph_0$ ).

Now if  $H$  itself is equivalent to  $M_0$ , the function  $d(M)$  can (and must) be defined by:  $d(0) = 0$ ; for all  $M \neq 0$ ,  $d(M) = b$  for some fixed  $b \geq a$ . In this case  $\mathcal{R}$  is said to be of type  $\text{III}_a$ . If  $H$  is a separable Hilbert space, every factor of type  $\text{III}$  must be of type  $\text{III}_{\aleph_0}$ ; Murray and von Neumann wrote  $\text{III}_\infty$  for this type  $\text{III}_{\aleph_0}$ .

If  $M_0$  can actually be expressed as the union of a family  $I$  of a orthogonal elements each equivalent to  $M_0$  (that is, if the cardinality  $a$  is attained) but  $H$  is not equivalent to  $M_0$  then  $d(M)$  can (and must) be defined by:  $d(0) = 0$ ;  $d(M) = a$  if  $M$  is equivalent to  $M_0$ ;  $d(M) = d(M: M_0)$  if  $M$  is not equivalent to  $M_0$ . In this case, let  $b = d(H: M_0)$ . Then  $d(M)$  takes on as values: 0 and every cardinal  $\aleph$  satisfying  $a \leq \aleph \leq b$ . In this case the factor  $\mathcal{R}$  is said to be of type  $\text{III}(a, b)$ .

Finally, suppose if possible that  $H$  is not equivalent to  $M_0$  and that  $a$  is not attained (necessarily  $a > \aleph_0$  and  $a$  has the property that it cannot be the sum of a set of cardinals

$\sum_{\alpha \in J} a_\alpha$  with each  $a_\alpha < a$  and cardinality of  $J < a$ ). Then there will be an element  $M_1$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $d(M_1: M_0) = a$  and yet  $M_1$  is not equivalent to  $M_0$ . But for any function  $d(M)$  with the properties listed at the end of § 2, it is easy to see that  $d(M_0)$  would equal  $d(M_1)$ . Thus if  $a$  is not attained and  $H$  is not equivalent to  $M_0$  there can be no such function  $d(M)$ . If  $d(H: M_0) = b$  (necessarily  $b > a > \aleph_0$ ),  $\mathcal{R}$  is said to be of type  $\text{III}'(a, b)$ , the sloped mark indicating that  $a$  is not attained. It is not yet clear whether this type  $\text{III}'(a, b)$  actually occurs.

7. Examples of factors. As stated at the end of § 4, for each finite or infinite  $a$  ( $a = 1, 2, \dots, \aleph_0, \dots$ ) and for each Hilbert space  $H$  of dimension divisible by  $a$ , there exists a factor of type  $I_a$  on  $H$ . Two factors of type  $I_a$  with the same  $a$  are isomorphic under a suitable isomorphism of their  $H$ -spaces if their  $H$  spaces have the same dimension; in any case the factors are isomorphic as rings with a  $*$ -operation (a factor is always defined with respect to a particular Hilbert space  $H$  but two factors can be  $*$ -ring isomorphic even though they are defined on Hilbert spaces of different dimension).

The construction of factors of types  $\text{II}$  and  $\text{III}$  is a more difficult matter. Von Neumann and Murray first constructed examples of factors  $\text{II}_1$  and  $\text{II}_{\aleph_0}$  on a separable Hilbert space by using measure-theoretic methods [7]. In a later paper [8] von Neumann defined tensor products  $\prod \otimes H_\alpha$  with an infinite number of factor spaces  $H_\alpha$  and used an infinite tensor

product  $\prod_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}$  with each  $H_{\alpha}$  a 4-dimensional Euclidean space to construct a wide variety of factors. It turned out that among these tensor product factors, were (i) factors of type  $\text{II}_1$  on spaces  $H$  of arbitrary dimension  $\geq \aleph_0$ , (ii) factors of type  $\text{II}_a$  with fixed but arbitrarily given  $a \geq \aleph_0$ , on spaces  $H$  of arbitrary dimension  $\geq a$ , and (iii) factors of type  $\text{III}_a$  for fixed but arbitrarily given  $a$  on spaces  $H$  of arbitrary dimension  $\geq a$ .<sup>15a)</sup>

The factors constructed by von Neumann with the help of infinite tensor products are not difficult to describe, but the verification of their types, as given by von Neumann, is involved. In fact, von Neumann actually made the verification only for the  $\text{II}_1$  factor on a separable Hilbert space by identifying the factor on a tensor product space with a certain  $\text{II}_1$  measure-theoretic factor constructed in a previous Murray-von Neumann paper (the  $\text{II}_{\aleph_0}$  case then follows easily).

Later, von Neumann [9] established the existence of a factor of type  $\text{III}_{\aleph_0}$  on a separable Hilbert space, again by measure-theoretic methods, and asserted that some of the factors previously constructed by him on infinite tensor product spaces could be identified with some of these (measure-theoretic) factors  $\text{III}_{\aleph_0}$ .

The next two sections are devoted to a discussion of these tensor product factors, avoiding the more involved measure-theoretic apparatus.

8. Infinite tensor product spaces. Suppose  $H_{\alpha}$  is a fixed Hilbert space for each  $\alpha$  in a set of indices  $J$  (assume each  $H_{\alpha}$  has dimension  $\geq 2$ ), and suppose a fixed unit vector  $\phi_{\alpha}$  has been selected in each  $H_{\alpha}$ . Now let  $\prod'_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}$  consist of all finite formal sums  $v = \sum_i (\prod_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^i)$ , where for each  $\alpha$  in  $J$  and for each  $i$ ,  $f_{\alpha}^i$  is in the corresponding  $H_{\alpha}$  and, with at most a finite number of exceptions,  $f_{\alpha}^i = \phi_{\alpha}$ . If  $w = \sum_j (\prod_{\alpha} g_{\alpha}^j)$  define  $(v|w)$  to be  $\sum_{i,j} \prod_{\alpha} (f_{\alpha}^i | g_{\alpha}^j)$  (note that  $\sum_{i,j}$  is a finite sum, and for each  $i, j$ , the product  $\prod_{\alpha} (f_{\alpha}^i | g_{\alpha}^j)$  is a finite product since, with a finite number of exceptions,  $(f_{\alpha}^i | g_{\alpha}^j) = (\phi_{\alpha} | \phi_{\alpha}) = 1$ ). Note that the vector  $g = \prod_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}$  is in  $\prod'_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}$ .

In  $\prod'_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}$ , for each complex number  $c$  identify  $cv$  with  $\sum_i \prod_{\alpha} (f_{\alpha}^i)'$  where for each  $i$ ,  $(f_{\alpha}^i)' = cf_{\alpha}^i$  for one of the  $\alpha$  and  $(f_{\alpha}^i)' = f_{\alpha}^i$  for all other  $\alpha$ ; also identify  $v$  and  $w$  if

$(v-w|v-w) = 0$ . With these identifications  $\Pi' \otimes H_\alpha$  becomes a linear space with inner product; its completion is called the tensor product  $\Pi \otimes H_\alpha$ . This tensor product depends not only on the  $H_\alpha$  but also on the particular choice of the  $\phi_\alpha$ . However, different choices of the  $\phi_\alpha$  give tensor product spaces which are isomorphic, all having the same dimension<sup>15b)</sup> (von Neumann called this space an incomplete direct product; he wrote  $\Pi \otimes H_\alpha$  to denote a much larger space which depends only on the  $H_\alpha$ , not on a choice of  $\phi_\alpha$  and he showed that his larger space decomposed in a unique way into orthogonal parts each of which is an incomplete direct product or tensor product as defined above).

Each bounded linear operator  $T$  on a single factor space  $H_{\alpha_0}$  determines a bounded linear operator  $\bar{T}$  on the space  $H = \Pi \otimes H_\alpha$  in the following way. If  $v = \Pi \otimes f_\alpha$  with  $f_\alpha = \phi_\alpha$  for all but a finite number of  $\alpha$ , let  $\bar{T}v = \Pi \otimes f'_\alpha$  where  $f'_\alpha = f_\alpha$  if  $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$  and  $f'_{\alpha_0} = Tf_{\alpha_0}$ . Now  $\bar{T}$  is uniquely defined by linearity for each  $v$  in  $\Pi \otimes H_\alpha$  (the corresponding  $\bar{T}v$  is also in  $\Pi \otimes H_\alpha$ ) and by continuity  $\bar{T}$  is defined uniquely on all of  $H$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the set of all bounded linear operators on  $H$  and for fixed  $\alpha$  let  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$  denote the set of all bounded linear operators on  $H_\alpha$ . If  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$  let  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha$  denote the set of all  $\bar{T}$  as  $T$  varies over  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ . If for each  $\alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$  let  $\Pi' \otimes \bar{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha$  denote the set of those operators from  $\mathcal{B}$  which can be expressed as  $\sum_i (\Pi_\alpha \bar{T}_\alpha^i)$  with  $\sum$  a finite sum and each  $\Pi_\alpha \bar{T}_\alpha^i$  containing only a finite number of factors and each  $\bar{T}_\alpha^i$  in  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha$ ; and let  $\Pi \otimes \bar{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha$  denote the strong closure<sup>16)</sup> of  $\Pi' \otimes \bar{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha$ .

It is not difficult to prove the following.

- (i) If  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are in different  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha$  then  $T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_1$ .
- (ii) For each fixed  $\alpha$ ,  $(\bar{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha)'' = \bar{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha$ , i.e.  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha$  is a von Neumann algebra.
- (iii) The only von Neumann algebra which contains all the  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- (iv) For fixed  $\alpha$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha$  is a von Neumann algebra (respectively, a factor) on  $H$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$  is a von Neumann algebra (respectively a factor\*) on  $H_\alpha$ . If  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha$  are factors, they are of the same type.

(v) If for each  $\alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$  is a von Neumann algebra on  $H$  then  $\pi \otimes \overline{\mathcal{F}_\alpha}$  is a von Neumann algebra on  $H$  and it is the smallest one which contains all  $\overline{\mathcal{F}_\alpha}$ .

(vi) If for each  $\alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$  is a factor on  $H_\alpha$  then  $\pi \otimes \overline{\mathcal{F}_\alpha}$  is a factor on  $H$ .

(vi) immediately presents a challenging problem, as yet not completely solved, namely to determine the type of the factor  $\pi \otimes \overline{\mathcal{F}_\alpha}$ , given the factor  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$  in each  $H_\alpha$ . Von Neumann emphasized that (vi) was also important because it opened up the possibility of constructing complicated factors on  $H$  by starting from known factors on the individual  $H_\alpha$ . In fact von Neumann constructed factors of the form  $\pi \otimes \overline{\mathcal{F}_\alpha}$  of types  $II_1$ ,  $II_{\lambda_0}$  and  $III_{\lambda_0}$  respectively by using spaces  $H_\alpha$ , each an Euclidean space of dimension 4, and in each  $H_\alpha$  a factor  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$  of type  $I_2$ .

We shall discuss these examples of von Neumann in the next section.

9. Factors with a trace function. Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be any ring of operators on a Hilbert space  $H$  such that  $\mathcal{R}$  contains the identity operator on  $H$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  contains  $A^*$  if  $A$  is in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then a function  $\theta$  with complex numbers (finite!) as values, is called a finite trace on  $\mathcal{R}$  if

- (i)  $\theta(A)$  is defined for each  $A$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ ,
- (ii)  $\theta(A + B) = \theta(A) + \theta(B)$  for all  $A, B$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ ,
- (iii)  $\theta(A^*A)$  is real and  $> 0$  for every  $A \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ ,
- (iv)  $\theta(1) = 1$ ,
- (v)  $\theta(AB) = \theta(BA)$  for all  $A, B$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ .

Suppose now that  $\mathcal{R}$  is known to be a factor and that it possesses a trace. Then it must be of type  $II_1$  or  $I_n$  for some finite  $n$ , and if  $P_M$  denotes the orthogonal projection operator on a closed linear subspace  $M$  then the function  $\theta(P_M)$  can be taken as  $d(M)$ . To see this, recall that  $M \cong N$  means that there exists an operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  which maps  $M$  isometrically onto  $N$ . Let  $W = P_N T P_M$ . Then  $W^*W = P_M$ ,  $WW^* = P_N$  so  $\theta(P_M) = \theta(W^*W) = \theta(WW^*) = \theta(P_N)$ ; i.e.  $M \cong N$  implies

that  $\theta(P_M) = \theta(P_N)$ . From this fact and (ii), (iii), and (iv) it follows easily that  $d(M) = k \theta(P_M)$  for some finite positive constant  $k$ . This implies that  $H$  is finite so that  $\mathcal{R}$  is necessarily of type  $\text{II}_1$  or  $\text{I}_n$  for some finite  $n$ .

Now make the following definition.

**DEFINITION 6.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a ring of operators on a space  $H$  such that  $\mathcal{R}$  contains the identity operator on  $H$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  contains  $A^*$  if  $A$  is in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then a unit vector  $g$  in  $H$  is called a trace-vector for  $\mathcal{R}$  if  $(ABg|g) = (BAG|g)$  for all  $A, B$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ .

It is easy to see that if  $\mathcal{R}$  possesses a trace-vector  $g$  then  $(Ag|g)$  is a finite trace on  $\mathcal{R}$ ; hence if  $\mathcal{R}$  is a factor it must be of type  $\text{I}_n$  or  $\text{II}_1$ .

Finally, suppose that for each  $\alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$  is a factor on  $H_\alpha$  and that  $\phi_\alpha$  is a trace-vector in  $H_\alpha$  for  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$  (the  $\phi_\alpha$  are the vectors in  $H_\alpha$  involved in the construction of  $\prod \otimes H_\alpha$ ). Let  $g$  be the vector  $\prod \otimes \phi_\alpha$  in  $\prod \otimes H_\alpha$ . Then it is easy to prove that  $(ABg|g) = (BAG|g)$  for all  $A, B$  in  $\prod \otimes \bar{\mathcal{R}}_\alpha$  and hence for all  $A, B$  in  $\mathcal{R} = \prod \otimes \bar{\mathcal{R}}_\alpha$ .

This shows that  $\mathcal{R}$  must then be a factor of type  $\text{I}_n$  or  $\text{II}_1$ . It is easy to prove that  $\mathcal{R}$  is of type  $\text{I}_n$  if and only if all  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$  are of type  $\text{I}_{a_\alpha}$  for finite  $a_\alpha$  such that  $\prod a_\alpha$  is finite (necessarily  $= n$ ; then  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$  is of type  $\text{I}_1$  for all but a finite number of  $\alpha$ ). In all other cases,  $\mathcal{R}$  is necessarily of type  $\text{II}_1$ . This indicates a method of constructing  $\text{II}_1$  factors on a space  $H$  of arbitrary dimension  $a \geq \aleph_0$ . Namely, first choose a set  $J$  of indices  $\alpha$  so that  $J$  has cardinality  $a$ . Then choose for each  $\alpha$  some space  $H_\alpha$  of finite dimensionality  $a_\alpha \geq 2$  and choose a unit vector  $\phi_\alpha$  in  $H_\alpha$ ;  $\prod \otimes H_\alpha$  will then have dimension  $a$ . Next, choose if possible in each  $H_\alpha$  a factor  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$  of some type  $\text{I}_{n_\alpha}$  with  $n_\alpha \geq 1$  so that  $\phi_\alpha$  is a trace-vector in  $H_\alpha$  for  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$  and so that the set  $J'$  of  $\alpha$  with  $n_\alpha > 1$  has cardinality  $a'$  with  $\aleph_0 \leq a' \leq a$ . Then  $\mathcal{R} = \prod \otimes \bar{\mathcal{R}}_\alpha$  will be a factor of type  $\text{II}_1$  on the  $a$ -dimensional space  $\prod \otimes H_\alpha$  as desired.

To complete the selection of  $H_\alpha$ ,  $\phi_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$ , proceed as follows: Let  $a_\alpha$  be a product  $n_\alpha m_\alpha$  with  $n_\alpha \leq m_\alpha$  and let  $H_\alpha$  be itself a tensor product  $H_\alpha = E_\alpha \otimes F_\alpha$  with  $E_\alpha$  an Euclidean space with a complete orthonormal

basis  $h_1, \dots, h_{n_\alpha}$  and  $F_\alpha$  an Euclidean space with a complete orthonormal basis  $k_1, \dots, k_{m_\alpha}$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$  be the ring of bounded linear operators on  $H_\alpha$  which depend only on  $E_\alpha$  and let

$$\phi_\alpha = 1/\sqrt{n_\alpha} \{ (h_1 \otimes k_1) + (h_2 \otimes k_2) + \dots + (h_{n_\alpha} \otimes k_{n_\alpha}) \} .$$

It is easily verified that  $\phi_\alpha$  is a trace-vector in  $H_\alpha$  for  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$  and so  $\Pi \otimes \bar{\mathcal{R}}_\alpha$  is a  $\Pi_1$  factor on  $\Pi \otimes H_\alpha$ . The simplest case of this situation is when all  $E_\alpha$  and  $F_\alpha$  are 2-dimensional Euclidean spaces and  $J$  has cardinality  $\aleph_0$ . Note that in the general construction the  $\Pi_1$  factor on  $\Pi \otimes H_\alpha$  depends on the cardinality of  $J'$ .

To construct a  $\Pi_b$  factor on a space  $H$  of dimension  $a$  (assuming  $a \geq b \geq \aleph_0$ ) proceed as follows. Let  $\mathcal{R}_1$  be a  $\Pi_1$  factor on a space  $H_1$  of dimension  $b$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_2$  be the ring of all bounded linear operators on a separable space  $H_2$ , and let  $\mathcal{R}_3$  be the  $I_1$  factor consisting of multiplication operators  $cl$  on a space  $H_3$  of dimension  $a$ . Then  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2 \otimes \mathcal{R}_3$  is a factor of type  $\Pi_b$  on the space  $H = H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes H_3$  of dimension  $a$ .

The construction of factors of type III is easy to describe using tensor products. Again let  $J$  be an infinite set of indices  $\alpha$  and for each  $\alpha$  let  $H_\alpha$  be a tensor product  $E_\alpha \otimes F_\alpha$  where  $E_\alpha, F_\alpha$  have orthonormal complete bases  $h_1, h_2$  and  $f_1, f_2$  respectively. Let  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$  be the ring of bounded linear operators on  $H_\alpha$  which depend only on  $E_\alpha$ .

But now let  $\phi_\alpha = c_1(h_1 \otimes f_1) + c_2(h_2 \otimes f_2)$  with  $0 < c_1 < c_2$  and  $c_1^2 + c_2^2 = 1$ . The fact that  $c_1 < c_2$  prevents  $g = \Pi \otimes \phi_\alpha$  from being a trace-vector for  $\mathcal{R} = \Pi \otimes \bar{\mathcal{R}}_\alpha$  on  $\Pi \otimes H_\alpha$ , and von Neumann indicated that this  $\mathcal{R}$  is in fact a factor of type III. However, the verification of this fact and construction of factors  $\text{III}_{(a,b)}$  for  $\aleph_0 \leq a \leq b$  will not be given in the present article.

10. Projection geometries. For every von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{R}$  on a space  $H$  the projection geometry  $\mathcal{L}$  is a kind of generalization of complex-projective geometry (in the case  $\mathcal{R}$  is a factor of type  $I_n$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  is actually a projective geometry). It has already been observed that  $\mathcal{L}$  is a complete, orthocomplemented lattice with a special congruence relation. It is not difficult to show that  $\mathcal{L}$  is irreducible as a lattice if and only if  $\mathcal{R}$  is a factor.

Now  $\mathcal{L}$  is not in general a distributive lattice, that is

$$(*) \quad M \wedge (N \vee Q) = (M \wedge N) \vee (M \wedge Q)$$

is not true, in general. In fact, if  $\mathcal{R}$  is a factor, the only  $M$  for which (\*) holds for all  $N, Q$  are:  $M = 0$  and  $M = H$ . Thus, even the projective geometry lattices are not distributive.

But if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a projective geometry,  $\mathcal{L}$  does satisfy a restricted form of (\*); namely (\*) with the restriction  $M \supset N$  (this restricted relation (\*) is called the modular law). It turns out that for a general factor  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies the modular law if and only if  $\mathcal{R}$  is of type  $I_n$  with a finite  $n$  or of type  $II_1$ .

Von Neumann observed that if  $\mathcal{R}$  is of type  $I_n$  or  $II_1$  then  $\mathcal{L}$  is not only modular, but it satisfies the continuity conditions:

$$(**) \quad M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \dots \subset M_\alpha \subset \dots \text{ implies } \bigcup (M_\alpha \wedge N) = (\bigcup M_\alpha) \wedge N$$

for all  $N$ ,

$$M_1 \supset M_2 \supset \dots \supset M_\alpha \supset \dots \text{ implies } \bigcap (M_\alpha \vee N) = (\bigcap M_\alpha) \vee N$$

for all  $N$ ,

(Kaplansky later proved in [3], see also [1], that every orthocomplemented complete modular lattice necessarily satisfies (\*\*)).

Von Neumann considered the  $II_1$  projection geometries to be a natural and very important generalization of classical projective geometry and he succeeded in characterizing them by lattice theoretic axioms (this manuscript has never been published but an abstract will be included in the forthcoming Collected Works of J. von Neumann). However, these projection geometries of  $II_1$  factors are closely associated with the complex number system and von Neumann found it convenient to axiomatize a wider class of lattice-geometries which included the  $I_n$  and  $II_1$  projection geometries but no other projection geometries. This wider class of geometries he called continuous geometries. His axioms for a continuous geometry  $L$  were:  $L$  should be a complete lattice which is modular, satisfies (\*\*) and is complemented (for a lattice to be complemented means: for each  $a$  in  $L$  there exists at least one  $a'$  such that  $a \vee a' = 1$  and  $a \wedge a' = 0$ ). Of course, if a lattice is orthocomplemented it is complemented.

A detailed account of continuous geometries is given in von Neumann's forthcoming Princeton lectures [13]. The first main theorem of von Neumann for continuous geometry was: if the continuous geometry is irreducible then there exists a unique dimension function  $d(a)$  defined for all  $a$  in  $L$  with the properties:  $0 \leq d(a) \leq 1$  for each  $a$  in  $L$ ;  $d(0) = 0$ ;  $d(1) = 1$ ;  $d(a \cup b) = d(a) + d(b)$  if  $a \cap b = 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. I. Amemiya and I. Halperin, Complemented modular lattices, *Canad. J. Math.* 11 (1959), 481-520.
2. J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien (algèbres de von Neumann)*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1957.
3. Irving Kaplansky, Any orthocomplemented complete modular lattice is a continuous geometry, *Ann. of Math.* 61 (1955), 524-541.
4. J. von Neumann, Allgemeine Eigenwerttheorie hermitescher Funktionaloperatoren, *Math. Ann.* 102 (1929), 49-131.
5. J. von Neumann, Zur Algebra der Funktionaloperatoren und Theorie der normalen Operatoren, *Math. Ann.* 102 (1929), 370-427.
6. J. von Neumann, On a certain topology for rings of operators, *Ann. of Math.* 37 (1936), 111-115.
7. F.J. Murray and J. von Neumann, On rings of operators, *Ann. of Math.* 37 (1936), 116-229.
8. J. von Neumann, On infinite direct products, *Compositio Math.* 6 (1938), 1-77.
9. J. von Neumann, On rings of operators, III, *Ann. of Math.* 41 (1940), 94-161.
10. F.J. Murray and J. von Neumann, On rings of operators, IV, *Ann. of Math.* 44 (1943), 716-808.

11. J. von Neumann, On some algebraical properties of operator rings, *Ann. of Math.* 44 (1943), 709-715.
12. J. von Neumann, On rings of operators. Reduction theory, *Ann. of Math.* (1949), 401-485.
13. J. von Neumann, 5 Notes in *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 1936-37, and *Continuous Geometry*, mimeographed lecture notes, The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, 1935-37, published by Princeton University Press, 1960.
14. L. H. Loomis, The lattice theoretic background of the dimension theory, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* (1955).
15. S. Maeda, Dimension functions on certain general lattices, *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ.* 19 (1955), 211-237.

#### FOOTNOTES

1. See the references [2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13].
2. In modern terminology, a Hilbert space  $H$  is any vector space with the complex numbers as scalars, possessing an inner product  $(x|y)$  and complete in the corresponding metric: distance  $(x,y) = \|x - y\| = (x - y | x - y)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . It is usually assumed that  $H$  contains at least one non-zero vector. The dimension of  $H$  is the cardinality of a complete orthonormal basis; it may be finite (Euclidean space), countable (separable Hilbert space) or non-countable (hyper-Hilbert space).
- 2a. The multiplication operator  $cl$  is, of course:  $cl(x) = cx$  for all  $x$  in  $H$ .
3. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a set of bounded linear operators, then a bounded linear operator  $T_0$  on  $H$  is said to be in the strong closure of  $\mathcal{F}$  if for every given finite set of vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  in  $H$  and arbitrarily given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $T$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\|(T_0 - T)x_i\| < \varepsilon$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . The condition (iii) holds automatically whenever (i) holds if  $H$  has finite dimension, more generally if  $\mathcal{R}$  has finite linear dimension as a vector space (this means:  $\mathcal{R}$  consists of the linear combinations of a fixed finite set of operators).

4. In other words: the only operators in  $\mathcal{R}$  which permute with all members of  $\mathcal{R}$ , are the multiplication operators  $cl$  on  $H$ .
5. Irreducibility of a ring  $\mathcal{R}$  with identity element means that it is not possible to find two subrings  $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2$  of  $\mathcal{R}$ , neither consisting only of the zero element in  $\mathcal{R}$ , such that  $T_1T_2 = T_2T_1 = 0$  whenever  $T_1$  is in  $\mathcal{R}_1$  and  $T_2$  is in  $\mathcal{R}_2$ , and such that each  $T$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  can be expressed as a sum  $T = T_1 + T_2$  with  $T_1$  in  $\mathcal{R}_1$  and  $T_2$  in  $\mathcal{R}_2$ .
6. The zero in  $\mathcal{L}$ , denoted  $0$ , consists of only the zero vector in  $H$ .
7.  $N \subset M$  (equivalently:  $M \supset N$ ) means that  $N$  is part or all of  $M$ , that is, every vector in  $N$  is also in  $M$ .
8. An ordered system  $M, N, \dots$  is called a complete lattice if: for every family  $\{M_\alpha\}$  in the system there is a least  $M$  in the system such that  $M \supset M_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$  (this  $M$  is called the union of the  $M_\alpha$  and denoted  $\cup M_\alpha$ ) and a greatest  $M$  in the system such that  $M \subset M_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$  (this  $M$  is called the intersection of the  $M_\alpha$  and denoted  $\cap M_\alpha$ ). In the case of a projection geometry  $\mathcal{L}$ , the union  $\cup M_\alpha$  is actually the closed linear subspace of  $H$  generated by the  $M_\alpha$  and the intersection  $\cap M_\alpha$  is actually the set-intersection of the  $M_\alpha$ ;  $0$  is the least element (the zero) in  $\mathcal{L}$ , and  $H$  is the greatest element (the unit) in  $\mathcal{L}$ .
9. A lattice with zero  $0$  and unit  $1$  is said to be orthocomplemented if for each  $M$  in the lattice there is assigned an element  $M'$  such that  $M \cup M' = 1, M \cap M' = 0$  and  $(M')' = M$ . For a projection geometry,  $M'$  can be taken to be the orthogonal complement of  $M$  with respect to  $H$ .
10. That is, (i) for each  $x$  in  $M$ ,  $Tx$  is in  $N$  and  $\|Tx\| = \|x\|$ , and (ii)  $N$  is the set of all  $Tx$  when  $x$  varies over  $M$ .
11. Define  $W'$  to be the operator which, for each  $x$  in  $M$ , maps  $(T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}x$  onto  $Tx$  and such that  $Wx = 0$  for each  $x$  orthogonal to  $M$ . Then  $W'$  is defined on a set dense in  $H$  and is bounded and linear. By continuity  $W'$  has an extension  $W$  defined on all of  $H$  and it is not difficult to see that  $W$  is in  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $W$  maps  $M$  isometrically onto  $N$ .

12. To be precise, such a function  $d(M)$  exists if  $\mathcal{R}$  is a factor (cf. Definition 5) of type I or II. But among the factors of type III there may be exceptional cases in which such a function  $d(M)$  fails to exist (see the last sentence of § 6 below). It is not yet known whether such exceptional cases do in fact exist. The original discussion of Murray and von Neumann assumed  $H$  to be a separable Hilbert space and for this  $H$  there is no difficulty with exceptional cases. Von Neumann remarked in a later paper that separability of  $H$  was not involved essentially in the discussion of factors but he was probably aware of the possible difficulty connected with exceptional cases among the factors of type III for non-separable Hilbert space.
13. See footnote 3 above.
14. Let  $H_1 \otimes' H_2$  consist of all finite formal sums  $v = \sum_i (f_i \otimes g_i)$  with all  $f_i$  in  $H_1$  and  $g_i$  in  $H_2$ . If  $w = \sum_j (h_j \otimes k_j)$ , define  $(v|w)$  to be  $\sum_{ij} (f_i | h_j)(g_i | k_j)$ . Now identify  $cv$  with  $\sum_i (cf_i \otimes g_i)$  for every complex number  $c$  and identify  $v$  with  $w$  if  $(v - w | v - w) = 0$ . With these identifications  $H_1 \otimes' H_2$  becomes a vector space with inner product (a pre-Hilbert space) and its completion is called the tensor product, denoted  $H_1 \otimes H_2$ .
15. A bounded linear operator  $T$  on  $H_1 \otimes H_2$  is said to depend only on  $H_1$  if there exists a bounded linear operator  $T_1$  on  $H_1$  such that  $T(f \otimes g) = (T_1 f) \otimes g$  for all  $f$  in  $H_1$  and  $g$  in  $H_2$ . Then  $T_1$  determines  $Tv$  by linearity for all  $v$  in  $H_1 \otimes' H_2$  and by continuity for all  $v$  in  $H_1 \otimes H_2$ .
- 15a. A simple construction of factors II, using groups, is given in [10].
- 15b. Let the Hilbert-space dimension of a Hilbert space  $H$  be denoted by  $D(H)$ . Then  $D(\prod \otimes H_\alpha) = \prod D(H_\alpha)$  if  $\prod D(H_\alpha)$  is finite; otherwise  $D(\prod \otimes H_\alpha) = \sum D(H_\alpha)$ .
16. See footnote 3. above.

Queen's University