Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 17 (4), 1974

A NOTE ON MULTIPLIER OPERATORS AND DUAL *B**-ALGEBRAS

BY K. ROWLANDS

Let A be a complex Banach algebra without order. Following Kellogg [4] and Ching and Wong [2], a mapping T of A into itself is called a right (left) multiplier on A if T(ab) = (Ta)b(T(ab) = a(Tb)) for all a, b in A.[†] T is said to be a multiplier on A if it is both a right and left multiplier on A. Let M(A)(RM(A), LM(A)) be the set of all (right, left) multipliers on A. Then both RM(A) and LM(A) are closed subalgebras of the algebra L(A) of all bounded linear operators on A, and M(A)is a closed commutative subalgebra of L(A) ([4], Theorem 2.1). In a recent paper [5], Malviya and Tomiuk have proved the following result.

THEOREM A ([5], Corollary 2.6). Let A be a dual B*-algebra, and let $\{I_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be the family of all minimal closed two-sided ideals of A. For each $T \in LM(A)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let T_{λ} be the restriction of T to I_{λ} , and let $LM_{\lambda} = \{T_{\lambda}: T \in LM(A)\}$ Then LM(A) is isometrically isomorphic to the normed full direct sum of the algebras LM_{λ} .

In this note we show that, if A is a dual B^* -algebra, and $\Omega(A)$ is the space of minimal closed two-sided ideals of A with its discrete topology, then M(A) is isometrically isomorphic to the algebra of all bounded complex-valued functions on $\Omega(A)$. We also give a similar characterization for the compact multipliers on A. The results obtained are similar to ones established by Kellogg [4] and Ching and Wong [2] for H^* -algebras.

Throughout the remainder of this note, A denotes a dual B^* -algebra.

Let $\{I_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be the family of all minimal closed two-sided ideals of A. Then $A = (\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_{\lambda})_{0}$, where $(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_{\lambda})_{0}$ denotes the completion of the direct sum $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_{\lambda}$ of the $I_{\lambda}(\lambda \in \Lambda)$ with respect to the norm $\|\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_{\lambda}\| = \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|a_{\lambda}\|$ ([1], Theorem 6). By ([1], Theorem 8), ([7], Lemma 4.10.3), and the fact that I_{λ} is a minimal closed two-sided ideal of A, each I_{λ} is a simple dual B^* -algebra, and so is isometrically isomorphic to an algebra $LC(H_{\lambda})$ of compact operators on some Hilbert space H_{λ} ([6], p. 334, Theorem 14). Thus $A \cong (\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} LC(H_{\lambda}))_{0}$. In fact, by combining ([7], Lemma 4.10.1) with the results of ([1], §3), we see that, for each I_{λ} , there exists a hermitian idempotent e_{λ} such that I_{λ} is the closed two-sided ideal generated by Ae_{λ} , and $I_{\lambda} \cong LC(Ae_{\lambda})$.

Received by the editors August 8, 1973.

^{*} Kellogg [4] has used the terminology centralizers instead of multipliers.

K. ROWLANDS

[December

It follows from a result by Taylor ([8], Lemma 3.1) that $M(A) \cong (\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M(LC(H_{\lambda})))$, the normed full direct sum of the algebras $M(LC(H_{\lambda}))$. The isomorphism Φ may be defined by the equation

$$\Phi(T) = \mathscr{T}_T, \qquad (T \in M(A))$$

where $\mathscr{T}_T(\lambda) = T_{\lambda}$ and T_{λ} denotes the restriction of T to I_{λ} . Also $||T|| = ||\mathscr{T}_T|| = \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} ||T_{\lambda}||$. Each element of $M(LC(H_{\lambda}))$ is a scalar multiple of the identity operator, as we now show. From ([5], Lemma 2.1) (see also ([3], Theorem 18)), it follows that $M(LC(H_{\lambda})) \cong Z_{LC(H_{\lambda})}(L(H_{\lambda}))$, where $Z_{LC(H_{\lambda})}(L(H_{\lambda}))$ is the centralizer of $LC(H_{\lambda})$ in the algebra $L(H_{\lambda})$ of all bounded linear operators on H_{λ} .[†] Now $D \in Z_{LC(H_{\lambda})}(L(H_{\lambda}))$ if and only if D commutes with the ideal $\mathscr{F}(H_{\lambda})$ consisting of operators of finite rank, and it is easy to show that D commutes with $\mathscr{F}(H_{\lambda})$ if and only if it is a scalar multiple of the identity operator. Thus, for each $T \in M(A)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, there exists a scalar $\mu_{T_{\lambda}}$ such that $T_{\lambda} = \mu_{T_{\lambda}}I$, as required. For each $T \in M(A)$, we define a function f_T on $\Omega(A)$ by the equation

$$f_T(I_{\lambda}) = \mu_{T_{\lambda}}.$$

Then the mapping $T \leftrightarrow f_T$ defines an isometric isomorphism Ψ between M(A) and $C(\Omega(A))$. Collecting our results we have

THEOREM 1. M(A) is isometrically isomorphic to $C(\Omega(A))$, the algebra of all bounded complex-valued functions on $\Omega(A)$.

Let $M_c(A)$ denote the compact multipliers on A. If LC(A) is the algebra of all compact operators on A, then $M_c(A) = M(A) \cap LC(A)$, so that $M_c(A)$ is a closed ideal of M(A).

We define Λ_0 to be the set

$$\Lambda_0 = \{\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda, I_\lambda \text{ is infinite dimensional}\},\$$

and let \mathscr{I}_0 be the set of all functions f in $C(\Omega(A))$ such that $f(I_\lambda)=0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$; if $\Lambda_0=\phi$, let $\mathscr{I}_0=C(\Omega(A))$. Clearly \mathscr{I}_0 is a closed ideal of $C(\Omega(A))$. Let $C_0(\Omega(A))$ be the subalgebra of $C(\Omega(A))$ which consists of functions vanishing at infinity.

We now obtain a characterization for $M_c(A)$.

THEOREM 2. $M_{\mathfrak{o}}(A)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\mathscr{I}_{\mathfrak{o}} \cap C_{\mathfrak{o}}(\Omega(A))$.

Proof. Let Ψ denote the isomorphism between M(A) and $C(\Omega(A))$. Let $C_c(\Omega(A))$ be the subalgebra of $C_0(\Omega(A))$ which consists of functions with compact support, and suppose that $\psi \in \mathscr{I}_0 \cap C_c(\Omega(A))$. Then, since $\Omega(A)$ is discrete, ψ is zero except at a finite number of points $I_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, I_{\lambda_m}$ say, and, since $\psi \in \mathscr{I}_0$, each $I_{\lambda_k}(1 \le k \le m)$ is finite dimensional. Now $\Psi^{-1}(\Psi)$ is an element, T say, of M(A); in fact, $T \in M_c(A)$, as we now show.

[†] The centralizer of $LC(H_{\lambda})$ in $L(H_{\lambda})$ is the set of all elements in $L(H_{\lambda})$ which commute with all the members of $LC(H_{\lambda})$.

Suppose \tilde{T} denotes the restriction of T to $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_{\lambda}$. Then \tilde{T} is defined according to the equation

$$Ta = f_T(I_{\lambda_1})a_{\lambda_1} + \cdots + f_T(I_{\lambda_m})a_{\lambda_m},$$

where $a = a_{\lambda_1} + \cdots + a_{\lambda_m}$ and $a_{\lambda_k} \in I_{\lambda_k} (1 \le k \le m)$. The range of \tilde{T} is $I_{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus I_{\lambda_m}$, and since $I_{\lambda_k} (1 \le k \le m)$ is finite dimensional, it follows that \tilde{T} is compact. Hence Tis compact. Consequently, $\Psi^{-1}(\mathscr{I}_0 \cap C_c(\Omega(A))) \subseteq M_c(A)$. Since $C_c(\Omega(A))$ is dense in $C_0(\Omega(A))$, it follows that $\Psi^{-1}(\mathscr{I}_0 \cap C_0(\Omega(A))) \subseteq M_c(A)$.

Conversely, suppose $T \in M_{c}(A)$. If $\Psi(T) \notin \mathscr{I}_{0}$, then there exists a $\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}$ such that $(\Psi(T))(I_{\lambda}) \neq 0$. It follows that the restriction of T to I_{λ} is not compact, and so T itself is not compact. Hence $\Psi(T) \in \mathscr{I}_{0}$. Also, $\Psi(T) \in C_{0}(\Omega(A))$, as we now show. Suppose $\Psi(T) \notin C_{0}(\Omega(A))$. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the set $\Lambda_{\varepsilon} = \{\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda, |\Psi(T)(I_{\lambda})| \geq \varepsilon\}$ is not finite. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon}$, choose $a_{\lambda} \in I_{\lambda}$ such that $||a_{\lambda}|| = 1$, and let $(\Psi(T))(I_{\lambda}) = \mu_{T_{\lambda}}$. Then $Ta_{\lambda} = \mu_{T_{\lambda}}a_{\lambda}$, and so $||Ta_{\lambda}|| = |\mu_{T_{\lambda}}| \geq \varepsilon$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon}$. Hence

$$||Ta_{\lambda} - Ta_{\lambda'}|| = \max(||Ta_{\lambda}||, ||Ta_{\lambda'}||) \ge \varepsilon \qquad (\lambda, \lambda^{1}e\Lambda_{\varepsilon}, \lambda \neq \lambda^{1}).$$

Thus $\{a_{\lambda}\}(\lambda \in \Lambda_{\epsilon})$ is a bounded subset of A which has no convergent subsequence. This contradicts the compactness of T, and so $\Psi(T) \in C_0(\Omega(A))$. Thus $\Psi(T) \in \mathscr{I}_0 \cap C_0(\Omega(A))$, as required.

If A is commutative, then $I_{\lambda} = Ae_{\lambda}$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Now $Ae_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda}Ae_{\lambda}$, and each $e_{\lambda}Ae_{\lambda}$ is a normed division algebra ([7], Lemma 2.1.5). Consequently, by the Gelfand-Mazur theorem, every element in I_{λ} is a scalar multiple of e_{λ} , and so each I_{λ} is one-dimensional. Therefore, for a commutative dual B^* -algebra, $\Lambda_0 = \phi$, and $\mathscr{I}_0 = C(\Omega(A))$, so that $M_c(A)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $C_0(\Omega(A))$.

References

1. F. Bonsall and A. W. Goldie, Annihilator algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 4 (1954), 154-167.

2. Wai-Mee Ching and J. S. W. Wong, *Multipliers and H*-algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 22 (1967), 387–396.

3. B. E. Johnson, An introduction to the theory of centralizers, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 14 (1964), 299-320.

4. C. N. Kellogg, Centralizers and H*-algebras, Pacific J. Math. 17 (1966), 121-129.

5. B. D. Malviya and B. J. Tomiuk, *Multiplier operators on B*-algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (1972), 505-510.

6. M. A. Naimark, Normed rings, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1959.

7. C. E. Rickart, General theory of Banach algebras, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.

8. D. C. Taylor, *The strict topology for double centralizer algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **150** (1970), 633–643.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF WALES, ABERYSTWYTH, UNITED KINGDOM

1974]