Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society (1997) 40, 175-179 (

ESSENTIALLY DEFINED DERIVATIONS ON SEMISIMPLE BANACH ALGEBRAS

by A. R. VILLENA

(Received 31st May 1995)

We prove that every partially defined derivation on a semisimple complex Banach algebra whose domain is a (non necessarily closed) essential ideal is closable. In particular, we show that every derivation defined on any nonzero ideal of a prime C^* -algebra is continuous.

1991 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 46H40.

1. Introduction

Johnson and Sinclair obtained in [1] the continuity of everywhere defined derivations on semisimple Banach algebras by building suitable sequences which dissolve the continuity problem. Since then the spirit of these sequences has been successfully exploited and now we know it as the sliding hump procedure. However, in the C^* algebraic formulation of quantum physics, partially defined derivations on C^* -algebras appear generally. On the other hand it is known that partially defined derivations, even on C^* -algebras, may be not closable. In this paper we obtain the automatic closability of partially defined derivations on semisimple Banach algebras whose domain is an essential ideal of the algebra, and further we obtain the continuity when the algebra is actually a prime C^* -algebra.

2. Automatic closability

Throughout this section, A denotes a semisimple complex Banach algebra and D stands for a complex linear map from an *essential* ideal I of A (i.e. $I \cap J \neq 0$ for every nonzero ideal J of A) into A satisfying D(ab) = D(a)b + aD(b), for all $a, b \in I$. Such a mapping is said to be an *essentially defined derivation* on A. It is worth pointing out that I is not assumed to be closed nor to be dense in A.

Let us denote by \mathcal{P} the set of those primitive ideals P of A for which $I \notin P$. It is clear that $I \cap (\bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P) \subset Rad(A) = 0$ and therefore $\bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P = 0$. It is well known that every primitive ideal P can be obtained as the kernel of a continuous irreducible representation of A on a complex Banach space X_P , actually $||ax|| \leq ||a|| ||x||$ for all $a \in A, x \in X_P$.

A. R. VILLENA

We measure the closability of D by considering the subspace S(D) of those $a \in A$ for which there is a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in I with $\lim a_n = 0$ and $\lim D(a_n) = a$. It is well known that D is closable if, and only if, S(D) = 0. It is easy to check that $IS(D) + S(D)I \subset S(D)$. Write $\mathcal{P}_C = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : S(D) \subset P\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_E = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : S(D) \not\subset P\}$. Note that $S(D) \subset \mathcal{P}_C = \bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}_C} P$. Our method consists of showing that $\mathcal{P}_C = 0$.

Lemma 1. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and J any non necessarily closed ideal of A satisfying $J \not\subset P$. Then one of the following assertions holds:

- (i) The ideal of those elements $b \in J$ with dim $bX_P < \infty$ acts irreducibly on X_P . Accordingly, given $x, y \in X_P$ with $x \neq 0$ there is $b \in J$ with dim $bX_P = 1$ and bx = y.
- (ii) There exist sequences $\{b_n\}$ in J and $\{x_n\}$ in X_p satisfying $b_n \dots b_1 x_n \neq 0$ and $b_{n+1} \dots b_1 x_n = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. First we note that J acts irreducibly on X_p . Now we observe that Lemma B.13 in [3] provides an algebra norm on the centralizer of X_p as J-module and therefore equals \mathbb{C} by the Gelfand-Mazur theorem.

Let F(J) denote the ideal of those $b \in J$ with dim $bX_P < \infty$. If $F(J) \not\subset P$, then F(J) acts irreducibly on X_P and the first assertion follows.

Otherwise, for every $b \in J$ with $bX_P \neq 0$ it is satisfied that dim $bX_P = \infty$. In this situation we take $b_1 \in J$ and $x_1 \in X_P$ with $b_1x_1 \neq 0$. Suppose that $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in J$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X_P$ have been chosen satisfying $b_j \ldots b_1 x_{j-1} = 0$ and $b_j \ldots b_1 x_j \neq 0$ for $j = 2, \ldots, n$. Since $(b_n \ldots b_1)X_P \neq 0$, dim $(b_n \ldots b_1)X_P = \infty$. Therefore there is $x_{n+1} \in X_P$ such that $b_n \ldots b_1 x_n$ and $b_n \ldots b_1 x_{n+1}$ are linearly independent. Consequently there exists $b_{n+1} \in J$ such that $b_{n+1} \ldots b_1 x_{n+1} \neq 0$ and $b_{n+1} \ldots b_1 x_n = 0$. The sequences $\{b_n\}$ and $\{x_n\}$ satisfy the requirements in the second assertion.

Let $\{P_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{P} . A sequence $\{b_n\}$ in I is said to be a sliding hump sequence for $\{P_n\}$ if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $x_n \in X_{P_n}$ such that

$$b_n \dots b_1 x_n \neq 0$$
 and $b_{n+1} \dots b_1 x_n = 0$.

Lemma 2. If there exists a sliding hump sequence for a sequence $\{P_n\}$ in \mathcal{P} , then there is a natural number n for which $S(D) \subset P_n$. In particular, $S(D) \subset P$ if $P_n = P$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $\{b_n\}$ be a sliding hump sequence for $\{P_n\}$ and, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $x_n \in X_{P_n}$ for which the sliding hump condition holds. We can certainly assume that $\|b_n\| = \|x_n\| = 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We claim that there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a nonzero $x \in X_{P_n}$ such that the map $a \mapsto D(a)x$ from I into X_{P_n} is continuous. If the claim fails, then all the maps $a \mapsto D(a)b_n \dots b_1 x_n$ from I into X_{P_n} are discontinuous and we can construct inductively a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in I satisfying

ESSENTIALLY DEFINED DERIVATIONS

$$||a_n|| \le 2^{-n} \min\{(1 + ||D(b_k \dots b_1)||)^{-1} : k = 1, \dots, n\}$$
 and
 $||D(a_n)b_n \dots b_1 x_n|| \ge n + \left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} D(a_k b_k \dots b_1) x_n\right\|.$

Now we consider the element $a \in A$ given by $c = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n \dots b_1$ and, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we write $c_n = a_n + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k b_k \dots b_{n+1}$. Note that all the elements c and c_n lie in I since $c = c_1 b_1$ and $c_n = a_n + c_{n+1} b_{n+1}$. Then we have

$$D(c)x_{n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} D(a_{k}b_{k}\dots b_{1})x_{n} + D(a_{n})b_{n}\dots b_{1}x_{n} + a_{n}D(b_{n}\dots b_{1})x_{n} + c_{n}D(b_{n+1}\dots b_{1})x_{n}$$

and $||D(c)|| \ge ||D(c)x_n|| \ge n-3$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see the proof of [1, Theorem 2.2]). This contradiction proves our claim.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the map $a \mapsto D(a)x$ from I into X_{P_m} is continuous for some nonzero $x \in X_{P_m}$ and let X be the set of all $x \in X_{P_m}$ satisfying this property. X is a nonzero I-submodule of X_{P_m} . Therefore we conclude that $X = X_{P_m}$. Let $a \in S(D)$ then $a = \lim D(a_n)$ for a suitable sequence $\{a_n\}$ in I with $\lim a_n = 0$. Then $ax = \lim D(a_n)x = 0$ for every $x \in X_{P_m}$ and therefore $a \in P_m$, which is the desired conclusion.

Lemma 3. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and J any subspace of A satisfying $IJ + JI \subset J$ and $J \not\subset P$. Then $Jx = X_P$ for every nonzero $x \in X_P$.

Proof. The set $\{x \in X_p : Jx = 0\}$ is an *I*-submodule of X_p different from X_p and therefore equals zero, since *I* acts irreducibly on X_p . Hence, for every nonzero $x \in X_p$, Jx is a nonzero *I*-submodule of X_p and consequently equals X_p .

Lemma 4. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and J any non necessarily closed ideal of A contained in I. If there is an element $b \in J$ with $b \notin P$ and dim $bJb < \infty$. Then $S(D) \subset P$.

Proof. Note that the map $a \mapsto D(bab)$ from J into A is continuous. Let $a \in S(D)$, then $a = \lim D(a_n)$ for a suitable sequence $\{a_n\}$ in I with $\lim a_n = 0$. By continuity, $0 = \lim D(ba_nb) = bab$ and therefore bS(D)b = 0.

Since $b \notin P$, we have $bX_P \neq 0$. If $S(D) \notin P$, then from Lemma 3 it may be concluded that $S(D)bX_P = X_P$. Hence $0 = bS(D)bX_P = bX_P$ which gives $b \in P$ and this contradiction completes the proof.

We can now formulate our main result.

Theorem 5. D is closable.

Proof. If the theorem fails, then $P_C \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{P}_E \neq \emptyset$. Let $J_0 = I \cap P_C$. We set $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_E$ and we write $J_1 = J_0 \cap P_1$. On account of Lemmas 1 and 2, we may choose

A. R. VILLENA

 $b_1 \in J_0$ and $x_1 \in X_{P_1}$ satisfying dim $b_1 X_{P_1} = 1$ and $b_1 x_1 = x_1$. It should be noted that dim $(b_1 + P_1)(A/P_1)(b_1 + P_1) = 1$ and therefore, for every $a \in A$, there exists a complex number $\lambda(a)$ such that $b_1 a b_1 - \lambda(a) b_1 \in P_1$.

Now we claim that there exists $P_2 \in \mathcal{P}_E$ such that $J_1 \not\subset P_2$ and $b_1 \notin P_2$. Otherwise, for every $a \in A$, we have $b_1 a b_1 - \lambda(a) b_1 \in \bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}_E, J_1 \not\subset P} P$ and so $b_1 a b_1 - \lambda(a) b_1 \in J_0 \cap (\bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}_E, J_1 \subset P} P) \cap (\bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}_E, J_1 \not\subset P} P) = 0$. Consequently dim $b_1 A b_1 = 1$ and Lemma 4, applied to J_0 and P_1 , shows that $S(D) \subset P_1$. This contradiction proves our claim.

Since $b_1 \notin P_2$, there is $x_2 \in X_2$ such that $b_1 x_2 \neq 0$. Further, from Lemma 2 it may be concluded that there is no sliding hump sequences for P_2 . Lemma 1 applied to J_1 now gives that there exists $b_2 \in J_1$ such that $b_2 b_1 x_2 = x_2$ and dim $b_2 b_1 X_2 = 1$ which gives dim $(b_2 b_1 + P_2)(A/P_2)(b_2 b_1 + P_2) = 1$.

Suppose that $P_1, \ldots, P_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n$, and x_1, \ldots, x_n have been chosen satisfying

- (i) $P_1, \ldots, P_n \in \mathcal{P}_E$,
- (ii) $J_{k-1} \not\subset P_k$, for k = 2, ..., n,
- (iii) $b_k \in J_{k-1} = J_0 \cap P_1 \cap \ldots \cap P_{k-1}$, for k = 2, ..., n,
- (iv) $\dim(b_k \dots b_1 + P_k)(A/P_k)(b_k \dots b_1 + P_k) = 1$, for $k = 2, \dots, n$,
- (v) $x_k \in X_{P_k}$, for k = 1, ..., n,
- (vi) $b_k \dots b_1 x_k = x_k$, for $k = 1, \dots, n$.

For abbreviation, we write b instead of $b_n ldots b_1$. Since $\dim(b + P_n)(A/P_n)(b + P_n) = 1$, for every $a \in A$, there exists a complex number $\lambda(a)$ such that $bab - \lambda(a)b \in P_n$. Now we claim that there exists $P_{n+1} \in \mathcal{P}_E$ satisfying $J_n \not\subset P_{n+1}$ and $b \notin P_{n+1}$. Otherwise, for each $a \in A$, we have $bab - \lambda(a)b \in \bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}_E, J_n \not\subset P} P$ and therefore $bab - \lambda(a)b \in J_n \cap (\bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}_E, J_n \not\subset P} P) \cap$ $(\bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}_E, J_n \not\subset P} P) = 0$. Accordingly dim bAb = 1 and from Lemma 4, applied to J_{n-1} and P_n , we deduce that $\mathcal{S}(D) \subset P_n$. This contradiction proves the preceding claim.

Now we choose $x_{n+1} \in X_{P_{n+1}}$ with $bx_{n+1} \neq 0$. From Lemma 2 it follows that there is no sliding hump sequences for P_{n+1} . Lemma 1 applied to J_n now gives that there exists $b_{n+1} \in J_n$ such that $b_{n+1}bx_{n+1} = x_{n+1}$ and $\dim b_{n+1}bX_{n+1} = 1$ which gives $\dim(b_{n+1}b + P_{n+1})(A/P_{n+1})(b_{n+1}b + P_{n+1}) = 1$.

Finally we note that conditions (iii) and (vi) give that the sequence $\{b_n\}$ is a sliding hump sequence for $\{P_n\}$ which, according to Lemma 2, gives a contradiction.

3. Automatic continuity

A Banach algebra A is said to be ultraprime if $k = \inf \{ \|M_{a,b}\| : a, b \in A, \|a\| = \|b\| = 1 \} > 0$, where $M_{a,b}$ is the two-sided multiplication operator on A defined by $M_{a,b}x = axb$. It was proved in [2, Proposition 2.3] that every prime C^{*}-algebra is an ultraprime Banach algebra, actually in this case k = 1.

Theorem 6. Let D be a closable derivation defined on a nonzero ideal I of an ultraprime Banach algebra A. Then D is continuous.

178

Proof. Fix $b \in I$ with ||b|| = 1 and consider the operator $x \mapsto D(xb)$ from A into itself. Given a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in A converging to zero with $\{D(x_nb)\}$ converging to $y \in A$, we have that the elements x_nb lie in I and therefore y = 0. By the closed graph theorem, this operator is continuous and we denote by M its operator norm.

For all $a \in I$ and $x \in A$ we have D(a)xb = D(axb) - aD(xb) and so $k ||D(a)|| \le M_{D(a),b} || \le 2M ||a||$. Consequently D is continuous.

From Theorems 5 and 6 we can now state the following.

Corollary 7. Every essentially defined derivation on an ultraprime semi-simple complex Banach algebra is continuous. Accordingly, every derivation defined on a nonzero ideal of a prime C^* -algebra is continuous.

REFERENCES

1. B. E. JOHNSON and A. M. SINCLAIR, Continuity of derivations and a problem of Kaplansky, *Amer. J. Math.* 90 (1968), 1067–1073.

2. M. MATHIEU, Elementary operators on prime C^{*}-algebras. I. Math. Ann. 284 (1989), 223-244.

3. A. RODRIGUEZ, Jordan structures in Analysis, in *Jordan algebras: Proceedings of a Conference held at Oberwolfach, August 9-15, 1992* (Edited by W. Kaup, K. McCrimmon and H. Peterson. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York 1994), 97-186.

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA 18071 GRANADA SPAIN *E-mail address:* avillena@goliat.ugr.es